

Policy Effects of Privacy Coins

Nigel Nderi <ncn@andrew.cmu.edu>, Karim Helmy <khelmy@andrew.cmu.edu>



Introduction

- What are privacy coins?
- Cryptocurrencies with private transactions
- Examples: Zcash and Monero
- Importance:
- Enable digital financial privacy
- Not government issued
- Issues surrounding their regulation, benefits and potential for misuse

Benefits and Risks⁽³⁾

- Security in transactions
- Limits agents aware of transaction details
- Easier transaction error detection and handling
- Consumer privacy
- Reduces risk of theft
- Minimizes risk when partaking in illicit transactions



The values of Monero (bright orange) and Zcash (dark red) are climbing rapidly in spite of bear market⁽⁴⁾

Findings

- Treat privacy coins like cash
- KYC/AML requirements to financial institutions involved in their use.
- Large transactions must legally be reported.
- Banning privacy coins: (2)
- Adopted in Japan
- Disproportionately affects
 developers rather than users
- Businesses could move offshore



Fees, a proxy for network usage, Monero (bright) and Zcash (dark) are recently trending

- Likely to be ineffective and to disincentivize innovation
- Requiring merchants to follow KYC/AML procedures:
- Recently proposed in a Texas bill (Texas HB4371)⁽¹⁾
- Would be burdensome for users
- Would infringe on financial privacy

Conclusion

- Privacy coins:
- Good for freedom and financial privacy
- Reduce dependence on banks
- Introduce significant challenges
- Regulation should take into account characteristics of privacy coins
 - Regulate them like cash





References

- (1) Janus, E. Texas' 'Worse than Bitlicense' Crypto Bill is Dead on Arrival. 2019.
- (2) Viglione, R. Japan's Ban is a Wake-Up Call to Defend Privacy Coins. 2018.
- (3) Kahn, C. et. all. Money is Privacy. 2005.
- (4) Generated with Coin Metrics