## *Summary of the course · 1MA170*

## Vilhelm Agdur<sup>1</sup>

December 13, 2023

This document gives a summary of the entire course, with keywords highlighted, in colours indicating whether and how it might appear on the exam.

## How this document works

The document goes through each lecture in order, noting what we covered in the lecture. In particular, it highlights the key topics of the course, and marks for each how it may appear on the exam.

In particular, the coding works as follows:

- If it is highlighted like this, that indicates that you are expected to be familiar with the statement to the degree that you could use it to show some other statement or solve an exercise, if the highlighted statement is provided to you.
  - You could also be asked to provide a precise statement given a prompt as to what it is about so for example if you see Dirac's theorem in this document, an exam question might also be "What does Dirac's theorem about the existence of Hamiltonian paths say?". You are not expected to know the proof of the result.
- 2. If it is highlighted like this, you are expected to not only know the statement in the same sense as in the previous point, but also to have an idea of the proof of the theorem. So you might be asked to fill in a key step of the proof of the statement, write a precise proof given a prompt of what the general outline is, or write an outline of the idea of the proof.
  - So if you see Dirac's theorem in this document, an exam question might give you the proof of the result with the step where the maximal length path is turned into a cycle, and you are asked to fill in that step. Or you could be asked to write a proof, given that the drawings for the proof from the lecture notes are given to you. Or you could be asked to draw those figures and explain the broad idea of taking a maximal length path and showing that it can be turned into a cycle, which must be a Hamilton cycle.
- If it is highlighted like this, you are expected to know the statement of the theorem without any prompt, but not expected to know the proof.

¹ vilhelm.agdur@math.uu.se

So if you see Dirac's theorem in this document, an exam question might be "State Dirac's theorem", but you would not be asked about the proof.

- 4. If it is highlighted like this, you are expected to know the statement of the result, and additionally to have an idea of the proof. So this is the same as this and this together.
- 5. Finally, if it is highlighted like this, you are expected to know both the theorem and its proof. If you see Dirac's theorem, that means you could see an exam question just ask "State and prove Dirac's theorem".

For definitions, it of course makes no sense to refer to knowing a proof, so we simply highlight definitions like this if you are expected to know and be able to state the definitions, and like this if you are just expected to be able to use the definition and explain the idea of it if given it, but not to be able to state it.

## L2: Eulerianity, simple graphs and subgraphs

In our first lecture of the course we started softly, giving the definitions of a multigraph, a walk, a trail, a path, a circuit, and a cycle.

Then we defined what it means for a graph to be connected, and what its connected components are.

Having made all these definitions, we defined an Eulerian trail to be a trail using every edge exactly once, and stated and proved Euler's theorem on Eulerian paths, which characterizes when a graph is Eulerian in terms of the degree of its vertices.

Then, we stated and proved the handshake lemma, which says that

$$2|E| = \sum_{v \in V} d_v.$$

Having done all this, we defined a simple graph<sup>2</sup>, and what a graph morphism of simple graphs is, in terms of which we could then define isomorphism of graphs.

Once we knew what it meant for graphs to be isomorphic, we could define an unlabelled graph to be an isomorphism class of graphs.3

We ended the lecture by defining what a subgraph, an induced subgraph, an edge-induced subgraph, and a spanning subgraph is.

- <sup>2</sup> Which is of course, for most of the course, the only notion of graph we referred to - so generally we end up just calling these graphs.
- 3 We largely did not end up actually using this concept - other than in a few counting arguments, where we needed to be clear that we were not considering unlabelled but labelled graphs.

L3: Common graph families, trees, and Cayley's theorem

L4: Spectral graph theory and the matrix-tree theorem

L6: Weights, distances, and minimum spanning trees

L7: The max-flow min-cut and marriage theorems

L8: Vertex covers, Hamilton cycles, independent sets

L10: Connectivity

L11: Planarity

*L*12: *Vertex colourings* 

L14: The probabilistic method and the Erdős-Rényi random graph

*L*16: *Edge-colourings and Ramsey theory* 

L17: The Rado graph

L18: Extremal graphs and Szemerédi's regularity lemma

L19: ???