# **CS140 – Operating Systems**

**Instructor:** David Mazières

**CAs:** Mahesh Agrawal, Ryan Hermstein, Omar Rizwan, Anna Saplitski, Riad S. Wahby

Stanford University

### **Outline**

1 Administrivia

2 Substance

#### **Administrivia**

- Class web page: http://cs140.scs.stanford.edu/
  - All assignments, handouts, lecture notes on-line
- Textbook: Operating System Concepts, 8th Edition, by Silberschatz, Galvin, and Gagne
  - 9th edition is way more expensive, I haven't looked at it
  - Trying to ween class from textbook anyway—consider it optional
- Goal is to make lecture slides the primary reference
  - Almost everything I talk about will be on slides
  - PDF slides contain links to further reading about topics
  - Please download slides from class web page

#### **Administrivia 2**

- Staff mailing list: cs140-staff@scs.stanford.edu
  - Please mail staff list rather than individuals for help
- Google group 17wi-cs140 is main discussion forum
- Key dates:
  - Lectures: MW 3:00pm-4:20pm, Skilling
  - Section: 6 Fridays, time/location TBD, starting this Friday
  - Midterm: Monday, February 13, 3:00–4:20pm (in class)
  - Final: Monday, March 20th, 3:30pm-6:30pm
- Exams open note, but not open book
  - Bring notes, slides, any printed materials except textbook
  - No electronic devices permitted

#### **Lecture videos**

- Lectures will be televised for SCPD students.
  - Can also watch if you miss a lecture, or to review
  - But resist temptation to miss a bunch of lectures and watch them all at once
- SCPD students welcome to attend lecture in person
- Other notes for SCPD students:
  - Please attend exams in person if possible
  - Feel free to use google group to find project partners

### **Course topics**

- Threads & Processes
- Concurrency & Synchronization
- Scheduling
- Virtual Memory
- I/O
- Disks, File systems
- Protection & Security
- Virtual machines
- Note: Lectures will often take Unix as an example
  - Most current and future OSes heavily influenced by Unix
  - Won't talk much about Windows

#### **Course goals**

- Introduce you to operating system concepts
  - Hard to use a computer without interacting with OS
  - Understanding the OS makes you a more effective programmer
- Cover important systems concepts in general
  - Caching, concurrency, memory management, I/O, protection
- Teach you to deal with larger software systems
  - Programming assignments much larger than many courses
  - Warning: Many people will consider course very hard
  - In past, majority of people report ≥15 hours/week
- Prepare you to take graduate OS classes (CS240, 240[a-z])

### **Programming Assignments**

- Implement parts of Pintos operating system
  - Built for x86 hardware, you will use hardware emulator
- One setup homework (lab 0) due this Friday
- Four implementation projects:
  - Threads
    - User processes
    - Virtual memory
    - File system
- Lab 1 distributed at end of this week
  - Attend section this Friday for project 1 overview
- Implement projects in groups of up to 3 people
  - Pick your partners today (lecture may end early for this)
  - Please disclose if you are planning to take class pass/fail

### **Grading**

- No incompletes
  - Talk to instructor ASAP if you run into real problems
- 50% of grade based on exams using this quantity: max (midterm > 0 ? final :  $0, \frac{1}{2}$  (midterm + final))
- 50% of grade from projects
  - For each project, 50% of score based on passing test cases
  - Remaining 50% based on design and style
- Most people's projects pass most test cases
  - Please, please, please turn in working code, or **no credit** here
- Means design and style matter a lot
  - Large software systems not just about producing working code
  - Need to produce code other people can understand
  - That's why we have group projects

### **Style**

- Must turn in a design document along with code
  - We supply you with templates for each project's design doc
- CAs will manually inspect code for correctness
  - E.g., must actually implement the design
  - Must handle corner cases (e.g., handle malloc failure)
- Will deduct points for error-prone code w/o errors
  - Don't use global variables if automatic ones suffice
  - Don't use deceptive names for variables
- Code must be easy to read
  - Indent code, keep lines and (when possible) functions short
  - Use a uniform coding style (try to match existing code)
  - Put comments on structure members, globals, functions
  - Don't leave in reams of commented-out garbage code

#### **Assignment requirements**

- Do not look at other people's solutions to projects
  - We reserve the right to run MOSS on present and past submissions
  - Do not publish your own solutions in violation of the honor code
  - That means using (public) github can get you in big trouble
- You may read but not copy other OSes
  - E.g., Linux, OpenBSD/FreeBSD, etc.
- Cite any code that inspired your code
  - As long as you cite what you used, it's not cheating
  - In worst case, we deduct points if it undermines the assignment
- Projects due Fridays (before section—TBD)
- Ask cs140-staff for extension if you run into trouble
  - Be sure to tell us: How much have you done? How much is left? When can you finish by?


### **Outline**

1 Administrivia

2 Substance

### What is an operating system?

Layer between applications and hardware




- Makes hardware useful to the programmer
- [Usually] Provides abstractions for applications
  - Manages and hides details of hardware
  - Accesses hardware through low/level interfaces unavailable to applications
- [Often] Provides protection
  - Prevents one process/user from clobbering another

### Why study operating systems?

- Operating systems are a mature field
  - Most people use a handful of mature OSes
  - Hard to get people to switch operating systems
  - Hard to have impact with a new OS
- High-performance servers are an OS issue
  - Face many of the same issues as OSes
- Resource consumption is an OS issue
  - Battery life, radio spectrum, etc.
- Security is an OS issue
  - Hard to achieve security without a solid foundation
- New "smart" devices need new OSes
- Web browsers increasingly face OS issues


### **Primitive Operating Systems**

Just a library of standard services [no protection]




- Standard interface above hardware-specific drivers, etc.
- Simplifying assumptions
  - System runs one program at a time
  - No bad users or programs (often bad assumption)
- Problem: Poor utilization
  - ... of hardware (e.g., CPU idle while waiting for disk)
  - ... of human user (must wait for each program to finish)

### Multitasking




- Idea: More than one process can be running at once
  - When one process blocks (waiting for disk, network, user input, etc.) run another process
- Problem: What can ill-behaved process do?

### Multitasking




- Idea: More than one process can be running at once
  - When one process blocks (waiting for disk, network, user input, etc.) run another process
- Problem: What can ill-behaved process do?
  - Go into infinite loop and never relinquish CPU
  - Scribble over other processes' memory to make them fail
- OS provides mechanisms to address these problems
  - Preemption take CPU away from looping process
  - Memory protection protect process's memory from one another

#### **Multi-user OSes**



- Many OSes use protection to serve distrustful users/apps
- Idea: With N users, system not N times slower
  - Users' demands for CPU, memory, etc. are bursty
  - Win by giving resources to users who actually need them
- What can go wrong?

#### **Multi-user OSes**




- Many OSes use protection to serve distrustful users/apps
- Idea: With N users, system not N times slower
  - Users' demands for CPU, memory, etc. are bursty
  - Win by giving resources to users who actually need them
- What can go wrong?
  - Users are gluttons, use too much CPU, etc. (need policies)
  - Total memory usage greater than in machine (must virtualize)
  - Super-linear slowdown with increasing demand (thrashing)

#### **Protection**


- Mechanisms that isolate bad programs and people
- Pre-emption:
  - Give application a resource, take it away if needed elsewhere
- Interposition/mediation:
  - Place OS between application and "stuff"
  - Track all pieces that application allowed to use (e.g., in table)
  - On every access, look in table to check that access legal
- Privileged & unprivileged modes in CPUs:
  - Applications unprivileged (unprivileged *user* mode)
  - OS privileged (privileged supervisor/kernel mode)
  - Protection operations can only be done in privileged mode

### **Typical OS structure**



- Most software runs as user-level processes (P[1-4])
- OS kernel runs in privileged mode (shaded)
  - Creates/deletes processes
  - Provides access to hardware

### System calls




- Applications can invoke kernel through system calls
  - Special instruction transfers control to kernel
  - ...which dispatches to one of few hundred syscall handlers

### System calls (continued)

- Goal: Do things application can't do in unprivileged mode
  - Like a library call, but into more privileged kernel code
- Kernel supplies well-defined system call interface
  - Applications set up syscall arguments and trap to kernel
  - Kernel performs operation and returns result
- Higher-level functions built on syscall interface
  - printf, scanf, fgets, etc. all user-level code
- Example: POSIX/UNIX interface
  - open, close, read, write, ...

### System call example



- Standard library implemented in terms of syscalls
  - printf in libc, has same privileges as application
  - calls write in kernel, which can send bits out serial port

### **UNIX file system calls**

- Applications "open" files (or devices) by name
  - I/O happens through open files
- int open(char \*path, int flags, /\*int mode\*/...);
  - flags: O\_RDONLY, O\_WRONLY, O\_RDWR
  - O\_CREAT: create the file if non-existent
  - O\_EXCL: (w. O\_CREAT) create if file exists already
  - O\_TRUNC: Truncate the file
  - O\_APPEND: Start writing from end of file
  - mode: final argument with O\_CREAT
- Returns file descriptor—used for all I/O to file

#### **Error returns**

- What if open fails? Returns -1 (invalid fd)
- Most system calls return -1 on failure
  - Specific kind of error in global int errno
- #include <sys/errno.h> for possible values
  - 2 = ENOENT "No such file or directory"
  - 13 = EACCES "Permission Denied"
- perror function prints human-readable message
  - perror ("initfile");
     → "initfile: No such file or directory"

### **Operations on file descriptors**

```
int read (int fd, void *buf, int nbytes);

    Returns number of bytes read

    Returns 0 bytes at end of file, or -1 on error

int write (int fd, const void *buf, int nbytes);

    Returns number of bytes written, -1 on error

off_t lseek (int fd, off_t pos, int whence);
   whence: 0 - start, 1 - current, 2 - end
      ▶ Returns previous file offset, or -1 on error
int close (int fd);
```

### File descriptor numbers

- File descriptors are inherited by processes
  - When one process spawns another, same fds by default
- Descriptors 0, 1, and 2 have special meaning
  - 0 "standard input" (stdin in ANSI C)
  - 1 "standard output" (stdout, printf in ANSIC)
  - 2 "standard error" (stderr, perror in ANSI C)
  - Normally all three attached to terminal
- Example: type.c
  - Prints the contents of a file to stdout

#### type.c

```
void
typefile (char *filename)
 int fd, nread;
 char buf [1024];
 fd = open (filename, O_RDONLY);
 if (fd == -1) {
   perror (filename);
   return;
 while ((nread = read (fd, buf, sizeof (buf))) > 0)
   write (1, buf, nread);
 close (fd);
```

#### **Different system contexts**

- A system is generally in one of several contexts
- User-level CPU in user mode running application
- Kernel process context
  - Running kernel code on behalf of a particular process
  - E.g., performing system call
  - Also exception (mem. fault, numeric exception, etc.)
  - Or executing a kernel-only process (e.g., network file server)
- Kernel code not associated w. a process
  - Timer interrupt (hardclock)
  - Device interrupt
  - "Softirqs", "Tasklets" (Linux-specific terms)
- Context switch code changing address spaces
- Idle nothing to do (might powerdown CPU)

#### **Transitions between contexts**

- User → kernel process context: syscall, page fault
- User/process context → interrupt handler: hardware
- Process context → user/context switch: return
- Process context → context switch: sleep
- Context switch → user/process context

### **CPU preemption**

- Protection mechanism to prevent monopolizing CPU
- E.g., kernel programs timer to interrupt every 10 ms
  - Must be in supervisor mode to write appropriate I/O registers
  - User code cannot re-program interval timer
- Kernel sets interrupt to vector back to kernel
  - Regains control whenever interval timer fires
  - Gives CPU to another process if someone else needs it
  - Note: must be in supervisor mode to set interrupt entry points
  - No way for user code to hijack interrupt handler
- Result: Cannot monopolize CPU with infinite loop
  - At worst get 1/N of CPU with N CPU-hungry processes

## **Protection is not security**

• How can you monopolize CPU?

### **Protection is not security**

- How can you monopolize CPU?
- Use multiple processes
- For many years, could wedge most OSes with

```
int main() { while(1) fork(); }
```

- Keeps creating more processes until system out of proc. slots
- Other techniques: use all memory (chill program)
- Typically solved with technical/social combination
  - Technical solution: Limit processes per user
  - Social: Reboot and yell at annoying users

#### **Address translation**

- Protect memory of one program from actions of another
- Definitions
  - Address space: all memory locations a program can name
  - Virtual address: addresses in process' address space
  - Physical address: address of real memory
  - Translation: map virtual to physical addresses
- Translation done on every load and store
  - Modern CPUs do this in hardware for speed
- Idea: If you can't name it, you can't touch it
  - Ensure one process's translations don't include any other process's memory

### More memory protection

#### CPU allows kernel-only virtual addresses

- Kernel typically part of all address spaces,
   e.g., to handle system call in same address space
- But must ensure apps can't touch kernel memory

#### CPU lets OS disable (invalidate) particular virtual addresses

- Catch and halt buggy program that makes wild accesses
- Make virtual memory seem bigger than physical (e.g., bring a page in from disk only when accessed)

#### CPU enforced read-only virtual addresses useful

- E.g., allows sharing of code pages between processes
- Plus many other optimizations

#### CPU enforced execute disable of VAs

Makes certain code injection attacks harder

### **Resource allocation & performance**

- Multitasking permits higher resource utilization
- Simple example:
  - Process downloading large file mostly waits for network
  - You play a game while downloading the file
  - Higher CPU utilization than if just downloading
- Complexity arises with cost of switching
- Example: Say disk 1,000 times slower than memory
  - 1 GB memory in machine
  - 2 Processes want to run, each use 1 GB
  - Can switch processes by swapping them out to disk
  - Faster to run one at a time than keep context switching

### Useful properties to exploit

#### Skew

- 80% of time taken by 20% of code
- 10% of memory absorbs 90% of references
- Basis behind cache: place 10% in fast memory, 90% in slow, usually looks like one big fast memory

#### Past predicts future (a.k.a. temporal locality)

- What's the best cache entry to replace?
- If past ≈ future, then least-recently-used entry

#### Note conflict between fairness & throughput

- Higher throughput (fewer cache misses, etc.) to keep running same process
- But fairness says should periodically preempt CPU and give it to next process