9: Further Methods

John H Maindonald

December 7, 2018

```
doFigs <- FALSE
```

```
fig9.4 <- function(){
## ---- gamErie ----

df <- data.frame(
   height=as.vector(Erie),
   year=time(Erie))

obj <- mgcv::gam(height ~ s(year), data=df)

plot(obj,
        shift=mean(df$height),
        residuals=TRUE, pch=1,
        xlab="",
        ylab="Height of lake")
}</pre>
```

```
library(DAAGviz, quietly = TRUE)
```

```
## ---- bronchit-first3 ----
## ---- bronchit-rp ----
set.seed(47)  # Reproduce tree shown
fig9.11 <- function(){
## ---- treefig ----
opar <- par(mar=rep(1.1,4))
if(!exists('b.rpart'))b.rpart <- rpart(rfac ~ cig+poll, data=bronchit)
plot(b.rpart)
text(b.rpart, xpd=TRUE)
par(opar)
}</pre>
```

Figure 1: Yields from 4 packages of land on each of eight sites on the Caribbean island of Antigua. Data are a summarized version of a subset of data given in Andrews and Herzberg 1985, pp.339-353.

Figure 2: Level of Lake Erie, in meters.

```
figset9 <- function(){
    library(MASS)
    library(lattice)
    library(DAAGviz)
    if(!require('DAAG', quietly=TRUE))stop('DAAG must be installed')
    if(!requireNamespace('mgcv', quietly=TRUE))stop('mgcv must be installed')
    if(!requireNamespace('oz', quietly=TRUE))stop('oz must be installed')
    if(!requireNamespace('ggplot2', quietly=TRUE))
    stop('ggplot2 must be installed')
}</pre>
```

```
## ---- getErie ----
Erie <- greatLakes[,"Erie"]</pre>
```

```
library(rpart, quietly=TRUE)
```

Figure 3: Panel A plots Lake Erie levels vs levels at lags 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Panel B shows a consistent pattern of decreasing autocorrelation at successive lags.

Figure 4: GAM smoothing term, fitted to the Lake Erie Data. Most of the autocorrelation structure has been removed, leaving residuals that are very nearly independent.

Figure 5: The plots are from repeated simulations of an AR1 process with a lag 1 correlation of 0.85. Smooth curves, assuming independent errors, have been fitted.

```
## ---- aupoints ----
aupts <- cmdscale(audists)</pre>
```

Figure 6: Predictions, 15 years into the future, of lake levels (m). The shaded areas give 80% and 95% confidence bounds.

- Figure 7: Estimated contributions of the model terms to mdbRain, in a GAM model that is the sum of smooth terms in Year and Rain. The dashed curves show pointwise 2-SE limits, for the fitted curve. Note the downturn in the trend of mdbRain after about 1985,
- Figure 8: The top left panel shows the autocorrelation plot of the residuals from the GAM model mdbRain.gam. The five remaining panels show autocorrelation plots for a series of independent random normal numbers.
- Figure 9: Estimated number of events (aircraft crashes) per time interval versus time. In Panel A, the outcome variable was events per day, while in Panel B it was events per week.
- Figure 10: Visual representation of a classification rule, derived using *linear discriminant* analysis, for the forensic glass data. A five-dimensional pattern of separation between the categories has been collapsed down to two dimensions. Some categories may therefore be better distinguished than is evident from this figure.
- Figure 11: Decision tree for predicting whether a miner has bronchitis. Where the condition at a node is satisfied, the left branch is taken. Thus, at the initial node, cig<4.385 takes the branch to the left. In general, unless a random number seed is specified, the tree may be different for each different run of the calculations.
- Figure 12: Each tree is for a different bootstrap sample of observations. The final classification is determined by a random vote over all trees. Where there are > 2 explanatory variables (but not here) a different random sample of variables is typically used for each different split. The final classification is determined by a random vote over all trees.
- Figure 13: The plot is designed to represent, in two dimensions, the random forest result. It aims to reflect probabilities of group membership given by the analysis. It is not derived by a 'scaling' of the feature space.
- Figure 14: Relative locations of Australian cities, derived from road map distances, using metric scaling.
- Figure 15: In Panel A, Figure 14 has been rotated and scaled, to give a best fit to a map of Australia. Each city moves as shown by the line that radiates out from it. Panel B is the equivalent plot for the Sammon scaling ordination.