

OPTIMAL TRANSPORTATION AND SWEEPOUTS

J. H. MARTEL

ABSTRACT.

CONTENTS

1.	1
2. Regularity of Optimal Transports	3
3. Comparison with Width Inequalities	4
3.1. Gromov-Guth Width Inequalities	4

1.

The purpose of this article is to construct interesting sweepouts of a given source Riemannian manifold (X, σ) using optimal transportation methods and variational data. If (Y, τ) is a target space satisfying $\dim(X) \geq \dim(Y)$ and $\int_X \sigma \geq \int_Y \tau$, then costs $c : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy sufficient assumptions [ref] admit unique c -optimal semicouplings and potentials solving Kantorovich's dual max program, namely c -concave potentials ψ on the target Y . The c -concave potential, or specifically its c -subdifferential, generates a Y -parameter family of subsets $Z(y) := \partial^c \psi(y)$. Our goal is to study $y \mapsto Z(y)$ as a *topological sweepout* of X in the sense of [Almgren, Guth]. This requires hypotheses on c, τ , such that:

- the cells $Z(y) \hookrightarrow X$ are closed cycles on X , i.e. $Z(y)$ has vanishing boundary; and
- the Y -parameter sweepout $y \mapsto Z(y)$ of X is continuous in the flat Almgren topology: namely if $y_0, y_1 \in Y$ are sufficiently close, then $Z(y_0), Z(y_1)$ bound a Lipschitz chain C of arbitrarily small area with $\partial C = Z(y_1) - Z(y_0)$.

We begin with the following elementary lemma which is a combination of results from [MCP].

Date: January 28, 2022.

Lemma 1. *Suppose $c, D_y c$ satisfy [assumptions]. Then $Z(y')$ is a smooth submanifold of X if $y' \in \text{dom}(D\psi)$, and if $\nabla_y \psi(y')$ is a regular value of $\nabla_y c(\cdot, y') : X \rightarrow T_{y'} Y$. That is, if $D_{xy}^2 c(x', y')$ has full rank for every $x' \in X$ satisfying $\nabla_y c(x', y') = \nabla_y \psi(y')$.*

The c -concave potential ψ is locally Lipschitz when c satisfies (A0)–(A5). See [ref]. This implies $\text{dom}(D\psi)$ has full measure in $\text{dom}(\psi)$. We can weaken the hypothesis that $y' \in \text{dom}(D\psi)$ by extending the definition of *degeneracy* of $D_{xy}^2 c$ to the nonsmooth case.

If $c \in C^2$, then for every $y' \in Y$, the set of regular values of $D_y c(\cdot, y') : X \rightarrow T_{y'} Y$ has full Lebesgue measure in $T_{\bar{y}} Y$. [Error? Need more regularity on $D_y c$?]

Lemma 2. *If $\bar{k} = D_y c(x, \bar{y}) \in T_{\bar{y}} Y$ is a regular value for some $x \in X$, and if c is nondegenerate throughout $X(\bar{y}, \bar{k})$, then $X(\bar{y}, \bar{k})$ is the intersection of X with an $(n - d)$ -dimensional submanifold of X .*

Proof. The first sentence is application of Sard's theorem. The second follows from Lemma [ref]. \square

Therefore the regular values of $D_y c(\cdot, y)$ are generic in X , and consequently the fibres $Z(y)$ are globally smooth submanifolds of X for almost every $y \in Y$, and representing cycles in X with $\partial_{\text{top}} Z(y) = \emptyset$.

Returning to sweepouts, we find that most sweepouts require *some* fibres to be singular, and it's very difficult – if not impossible – to generate sweepouts $Z(y)$ is a smooth submanifold for every $y \in Y$.

Lemma 3. *Suppose the cost c satisfies assumptions (A0)–(A5). Let ψ be a c -concave potential on Y . Then the Y -parameter family $y \mapsto Z(y)$ is continuous in the flat Almgren topology.*

Proof. Under the above assumptions we know c -concave potentials ψ are locally Lipschitz on $\text{dom}(\psi)$ [ref: Prop 1. in TopSing]. Let y_0, y_1 be points in $\text{dom}(\psi)$ and suppose $y_0 \rightarrow y_1$. Then $\psi(y_0) \rightarrow \psi(y_1)$. Therefore the minimum value of $x \mapsto c(x, y_0) + \phi(x)$ ($= \psi(y_0)$) converges to the minimum value of $x \mapsto c(x, y_1) + \phi(x)$ ($= \psi(y_1)$). Thus we need show that the minimizers of $c(x, y_0) + \phi(x)$ converge in flat Almgren topology to the minimizers of $c(x, y_1) + \phi(x)$. By [ref: Prop 2. in TopSing] we know the c -convex potential ϕ is locally Lipschitz on $\text{dom}(\phi)$. Hence the function $(x, y) \mapsto c(x, y) + \phi(x)$ is locally Lipschitz, and that is sufficient to prove $Z(y_0)$ converges to $Z(y_1)$ in flat Almgren topology. \square

N.B. Without ϕ, ψ being locally Lipschitz, it would be difficult to control the cell in the limit $\lim_{y \rightarrow y_1} Z(y)$.

2. REGULARITY OF OPTIMAL TRANSPORTS

In equal dimensions the regularity of optimal transportation is well-developed thanks to the work of Y-H. Kim, R.J. McCann, Ma-Trudinger-Wang, et. al.. Typically when X, Y are equidimensional, the cost assumptions are symmetric in the source and target variables. However in our applications we specifically focus on transport in nonequal dimensions, especially $\dim(X) \gg \dim(Y)$. Thus we specifically distinguish between source points (X, σ) and target points (Y, τ) . In applications the source space (X, σ) is given, and there is some freedom in choosing target spaces (Y, τ) .

The key assumptions require the cost c to be C^2 on $X \times Y$, proper, and satisfying a (Twist) condition. The assumptions imply every c -concave function $\psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is Lipschitz and semiconcave, and differentiable τ -almost everywhere on Y . Therefore $\text{dom}(\nabla_x \phi)$ is a full measure subset of the activated domain $A \hookrightarrow$. The active domain A is naturally defined as $\text{dom}(\phi)$ and with a closure equal to $\text{dom}(D\phi)$. Furthermore, $D^2\psi$ is defined τ -almost everywhere on $\text{dom}(D\psi)$.

Following McCann-Pass [ref] we define the following two subsets:

$$X_1(y) := \{x \in X \mid \nabla_y c(x, y) = \nabla_y \psi(y)\},$$

and

$$X_2(y) := \{x \in X_1(y) \mid \nabla_{yy}^2 c(x, y) - \nabla_{yy}^2 \psi(y) \geq 0\}.$$

By definition we see

$$\partial^c \psi(y) \subset X_2(y) \subset X_1(y).$$

The definition of c -concavity implies $x \in \partial^c \psi(y)$ if and only if equality is attained in $-\phi(x) + \psi(y) \leq c(x, y)$, where $\phi = \psi^c$. But then $x \in X_1$ if $y \in \text{dom} D\psi$, and $x \in X_2(y)$ if $y \in \text{dom} D^2\psi$. Moreover X_2 is a closed subset of X_1 . If $D_{xy}^2 c(x, y)$ is nonsingular for every $x \in X_1(y)$, then the Inverse Function Theorem implies $X_1(y)$ is a smooth submanifold of X .

Example. We now illustrate following the approach of [McCann-Pass]. Transporting (X, σ) to a one-dimensional target measure (\mathbb{R}, τ) . Suppose $c : X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a cost. If $\nabla_{xy}^2 c(\cdot, y)$ is nonvanishing, i.e. $\frac{\partial c}{\partial y}(\cdot, y)$ has only regular values, then all the fibres of $x \mapsto \frac{\partial c}{\partial y}(\cdot, y)$ define smooth hypersurfaces in X . The c -optimal transport map from σ to τ then has the following form. For given $y \in \mathbb{R}$, define $k = k(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_{\{x \in X \mid \frac{\partial c}{\partial y}(x, y) \leq k\}} d\sigma(x) = \int_{-\infty}^k d\tau(y).$$

The c -optimal transport map $F : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is then defined for a given $\bar{x} \in X$ by $F(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$, where \bar{y} is the unique element satisfying

$$\sigma[\{x \in X \mid \frac{\partial c}{\partial y}(x, \bar{y}) \leq \frac{\partial c}{\partial y}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\}] = \tau[(-\infty, \frac{\partial c}{\partial y}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))].$$

[Insert result on regularity?]

3. COMPARISON WITH WIDTH INEQUALITIES

3.1. Gromov-Guth Width Inequalities. Given X , let $\{z_t\}$ be a sweepout of X into k -dimensional cycles. We require the sweepout to be continuous with respect to Almgren's flat chain topology. [Insert defn] Consider the maximum volume $\max_t \text{vol}_k(z_t)$ of the cycles in $\{z_t\}$, and let

$$\text{width}_k(X) := \min_{\{z_t\}} \max_t \text{vol}_k(z_t),$$

where the minimum ranges over all k -sweepouts of X . Estimates on width_k imply every k -sweepout contains at least one cycle of "large" volume. The basic estimate of width_k is the following comparison:

Gromov-Guth's Width Inequality 1. Let (X, g) be a compact oriented n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Then there exists an absolute constant $C = C(n)$ depending only on the dimension such that

$$\text{width}_k(X, g)^{1/k} \leq C \cdot \text{vol}_n(X)^{1/n}.$$

Now our task is to interpret width_k in terms of optimal transportation.

On a k -dimensional target Y , consider the min-max quantity

$$\alpha_Y := \min_g \max_y \frac{g(y)}{\int_Y g},$$

where the minimum ranges over all positive continuous functions $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and the maximum ranges over $y \in Y$. One readily finds the minimum is attained for the uniform distribution on Y , in which case $\alpha_Y = (\text{vol}_k(Y))^{-1}$.

Suppose c satisfies the assumptions [A]. Then π describes a measurable decomposition of X into $(n - k)$ -subvarieties $\partial^c \psi(y)$. By construction, the coarea formula yields the formula

$$(1) \quad g(y) = \int_{F^{-1}(y)} \frac{1}{JF(x)} \cdot f(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-k}(x).$$

We review the definition of the Jacobian term $JF(x)$. Consider the derivative DF of F . Then $JF(x)$ is the square root of the sum of squares of all $k \times k$ -minors of DF where $k = \dim(Y)$. Equivalently one has $JF(x) = \sqrt{\det(DF \cdot {}^t DF)}$.

Our goal is to evaluate the volumes of the cells $\partial^c \psi(y)$, specifically the integral

$$\int_{\partial^c \psi(y)} 1 \cdot f(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-k}(x) = \text{vol}_{f, \mathcal{H}^{n-k}}[F^{-1}(y)] = \text{vol}_{f, \mathcal{H}^{n-k}}[\partial^c \psi(y)].$$

Note that we need integrate the $(n - k)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure multiplied by the density $f(x)$. Now the question is how to relate the integrals along the fibres $\partial^c \psi(y)$ when the integrands are 1 and JF^{-1} , respectively. In the simplest case, the Jacobian is constant along the fibres, although this is not typical.

For applications to the width inequalities, we study the maximum $\max_y \text{vol}_{f, \mathcal{H}^{n-k}}[\partial^c \psi(y)]$, and the min-max

$$\beta_{\sigma, c} := \min_{\tau} \max_y \text{vol}_{f, \mathcal{H}^{n-k}}[\partial^c \psi(y)] = \min_{\psi \text{ } c\text{-concave}} \max_y \text{vol}_{f, \mathcal{H}^{n-k}}[\partial^c \psi(y)].$$

We include the subscripts “ σ, c ” in β because the subdifferential $\partial^c \psi(y)$ depends on the geometry of the cost c and source σ relative to τ . N.B. we can equivalently define β by minimizing over all c -concave potentials $\psi^{cc} = \psi$ on the target space Y , since there is essentially a unique correspondance between c -concave potentials on Y and c -optimal transports from source σ to target τ .

But can we compare $\text{width}_k(X, g)$ with $\beta_{\sigma, c}$? An elementary application of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields

$$\int_{\partial^c \psi(y)} 1 \leq g(y)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\int_{\partial^c \psi(y)} JF \right)^{1/2},$$

where the integration is with respect to $f \mathcal{H}^{n-k}$ along the fibres. Evidently equality holds if and only if JF is constant along the fibres. N.B. the above inequality is only useful when $\int_{\partial^c \psi(y)} JF < +\infty$. When the dimension of Y is zero, then the density of the target $g(y)$ is directly related to the volume of the cells $\partial^c \psi(y)$. In general case when $\dim(Y) > 0$ the Jacobian term is not so easily controlled.