

ABSTRACT.

Let  $A$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The medial axis  $M(A)$  defined by Blum consists of all  $x \in A$  for which  $\text{dist}(x, \partial A)$  is attained by at least two distinct points,

$$(1) \quad M(A) := \{x \in A \mid \#\text{argmin}_{y \in \partial A} \{d(x, y)\} \geq 2\}.$$

Blum [Blu67] conjectured that the inclusion  $M(A) \hookrightarrow A$  is a homotopy-isomorphism. This implies  $M(A)$  contains all the topology of  $A$ , is connected whenever  $A$  is, and formalizes Blum's idea that the medial axis is a *complete shape descriptor*. A formal proof of Blum's conjecture for bounded open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is established in [Lie04].

The present article establishes a strong deformation retract version of Blum's theorem. We define a closed subset  $N = N(A) \supset M(A)$  and prove that the inclusion  $N(A) \hookrightarrow A$  is a strong deformation retract.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $A$  is bounded open subset satisfying [assumptions], then  $N(A) \hookrightarrow A$  is a homotopy-isomorphism, and the max-centre map defines a strong deformation retract  $A \leadsto N(A)$ .*

Our proof is independant of Lieutier's [Lie04], and is elementary, depending on our definition of *max-centre map*  $m$  (see Def. 10).

Notation: a “ball” in this article designates some Euclidean open ball contained in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The open ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r > 0$  is denoted  $B_r(x)$ . The directed geodesic segment between a pair of points  $x, y$  is denoted  $[x, y]$ .

## 1. MAX-RADIUS AND MAX-CENTRES

**Definition 2** (Max-Radius). For  $x \in A$ , let  $r(x) = r_A(x)$  be the maximal radius of those balls  $B_r(x)$  centred at  $x$  and contained in  $A$ . Thus  $r(x) := \sup\{r > 0 \mid B_r(x) \subset A\}$ .

**Lemma 3** (Max-Radius is Continuous). *If  $A$  is open bounded set, then the max-radius function  $r : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  defined in (2) is Lipschitz continuous.*

---

Date: February 2, 2022.

*Proof.* The max-radius  $r(x)$  is numerically equal to the distance-to-boundary  $x \mapsto \text{dist}(x, \partial A)$ , which is 1-Lipschitz by the triangle inequality and continuous since  $\partial A$  is compact. Thus  $x, x' \in A$  satisfy  $|r(x) - r(x')| \leq \text{dist}(x, x')$ .  $\square$

For  $y_0 \in \partial A$  define the subset

$$I(y_0) := \{ x \in A \mid d(x, y_0) \leq d(x, y) \text{ for all } y \in \partial A \}.$$

Equivalently one has

$$I(y_0) = \cap_{y \in \partial A} \{ x \in A \mid d(x, y) - d(x, y_0) \geq 0 \}.$$

This shows  $I(y_0)$  is a closed subset and the intersection of  $A$  with a convex set, namely the intersection of closed halfspaces containing  $y_0$  in the interior.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $A$  is a bounded open subset with  $C^1$ -boundary, then  $y \mapsto I(y)$  is continuous in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.*

*Proof.* If the boundary  $\partial A$  has continuously defined tangent plane at  $y_0 \in \partial A$ , then  $I(y_0)$  is a one-dimensional arc normal to the boundary at  $y_0$ , and in this case a compact one-dimensional convex segment.  $\square$

If the boundary  $\partial A$  does not admit a tangent plane at  $y_0$ , then  $I(y_0)$  becomes larger and is an upper semicontinuous function of  $y_0$ . The set  $I(y_0)$  is generally a nonconvex subset of  $A$  wherever  $A$  is nonconvex. However  $I(y_0)$  is the intersection of  $A$  with a convex set – thus if  $x_0, x_1 \in I(y_0)$  and  $x_{1/2} := \frac{1}{2}(x_0 + x_1) \in A$ , then  $x_{1/2} \in I(y_0)$ .

**Lemma 5** (Unique Max-Balls). *Let  $A$  be bounded open set. For every  $x \in A$  there exists a unique maximal ball  $M = M_x$  satisfying  $B_{r(x)}(x) \subset M \subset A$ .*

*Proof.*  $\square$

N.B. Given  $x \in A$ , there need not exist a unique maximal ball containing  $x$  in  $A$ , but this maximal ball  $M$  is uniquely specified when we further require that  $M$  contains  $B_{r(x)}(x)$ .

**Definition 6** (Free points). A point  $x_0 \in A$  is called *free* if there exists a sufficiently small open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  in  $A$  such that  $M_x$  is strictly larger than  $B_{r(x)}(x)$  for all  $x \in U$ , i.e.  $M_x \supsetneq B_{r(x)}(x)$ .

If a point  $x_0$  is *not-free*, then the definition implies  $x_0$  is a limit point of  $M(A)$ . This observation implies the following.

**Lemma 7** (Non-free points are closed). *The set of non-free points  $N = N(A)$  of  $A$  is a closed topological subset of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* A point  $x \in N$  is non-free iff  $x$  is an accumulation point of  $M(A)$ . If  $\{x_k\}_k$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $N$ , then a diagonalization argument implies the limit point  $x_\infty$  is an accumulation point of  $M(A)$ , hence non-free in  $A$ .  $\square$

So  $A$  is free at  $x_0$  if *all* max-radius balls  $B_{r(x)}(x)$  for  $x$  sufficiently near  $x_0$  can be strictly increased. Our definition of free and not-free points is actually equivalent to the standard loci of differentiability and nondifferentiability. Recall the max-radius function  $r(x)$  is differentiable almost-everywhere on  $A$ , and  $N = N(A)$  equals the domain of nondifferentiability of  $r(x)$ . Since differentiability is a local condition, we find  $\text{dom}(Dr)$  is an open subset of full measure in  $A$  since  $r$  is Lipschitz [3](#).

**Lemma 8.** *The set of free points  $A - N$  coincides with the locus of differentiability of  $r(x)$ , namely*

$$A - N = \text{dom}(Dr).$$

*Proof.*  $\square$

Now we use the definition of free points to modify the definition of max balls.

**Definition 9.** For  $x \in A$ , define  $M'_x$  to be the *minimal* ball  $M'$  which contains  $B_{r(x)}(x)$  and contained in  $A$  (i.e.  $B \subset M' \subset A$ ), and is centred over  $N$  (i.e.  $M'$  is a ball with a non-free centre).

In general we have  $B_{r(x)}(x) \subset M'_x \subset M_x \subset A$ . It's possible that  $B_{r(x)}(x) = M'_x \neq M_x$ . Following the argument of [5](#) we see  $M'_x$  is uniquely defined. Now we define the modified max-centre map.

**Definition 10** (Max-Centre Map). For  $x \in A$ , define  $m(x)$  to be the centre of  $M'_x$ .

Thus we obtain a map  $m : A \rightarrow A$ , where  $m(x)$  is centre of the minimum ball containing  $B_{r(x)}(x)$  and centred over  $N$ . The definition is informally equivalent to defining  $m(x)$  as the centre of the “maximal” ball containing  $B_{r(x)}(x)$  with free centre (i.e. centred over  $\text{dom}(Dr)$ ). However this maximum is not always strictly attained. It's more convenient to define  $M'_x$  as the minimal ball with *non-free* centre, in which case the minimum *is* attained. Thus the image of the max-centre map consists of non-free points

$$(2) \quad m(A) = N(A).$$

Our modified max-centre map involves  $M'$  and centres over  $N$  in order to address Saül Rodrigues Martin's “jellybean” example provided in [\[ \]](#). This example demonstrates the need to define  $m(x)$  based on local data at  $x$ , and not just set-theoretically as a function of the point  $x$ . This strategy is useful to obtain the important *continuity* of  $m$  [\[ref\]](#).

**Lemma 11** (Max-Centre Map is Continuous). *If  $A$  is a bounded open subset, then the max-centre map  $m : A \rightarrow A$  (Def. 10) is continuous.*

*Proof.* □

## 2. CONSTRUCTING THE DEFORMATION RETRACT

**Lemma 12.** *For every  $x \in A$ , the Euclidean distance  $\text{dist}(x, m(x))$  varies continuously with  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Corollary of Lemma (11). □

For every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , let  $[x, m(x)]_t$  be the unique point on the segment which is distance exactly  $t \cdot \text{dist}(x, m(x))$  from  $x$ . Now we define the deformation retract from  $A$  to  $N(A)$ .

**Theorem 13.** *For  $x \in A$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the function  $h(x, t) := [x, m(x)]_t$  defines a continuous strong deformation retract  $h : A \times [0, 1] \rightarrow A$  from  $A$  onto  $N(A)$ .*

*Proof.* We need demonstrate:

- (i) that  $h$  is continuous;
- (ii) that  $h(m, t) = m$  for all  $m \in N(A)$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (iii) that  $h(x, 1) \in N(A)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Lemma (12) implies the continuity of  $h$ , and this proves (i). If  $x \in N(A)$ , then  $M_x = B_{r(x)}(x)$  and  $x = m(x)$ , and therefore  $h(x, t) = x$  for all  $t$ , and this proves (ii). Finally we clearly see  $m(x) \in N(A)$ , and this proves (iii). □

**Corollary 14.** *The inclusion  $N(A) \hookrightarrow A$  is a homotopy-isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Immediate consequence of the continuity of  $h$  in (13). □

## REFERENCES

- In: (). URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/413480/is-the-max-centre-map-continuous-for-open-bounded-domains> (visited on 01/31/2022).
- [Blu67] Harry Blum. “A Transformation for Extracting New Descriptors of Shape”. In: *Models for the Perception of Speech and Visual Form*. Ed. by Weiant Wathen-Dunn. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1967, pp. 362–380.
- [Lie04] Andre Lieutier. “Any open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has the same homotopy type as its medial axis”. In: *Computer-Aided Design* 36.11 (2004), pp. 1029–1046. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2004.01.011>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010448504000065>.

Email address: [jhmartel@protonmail.com](mailto:jhmartel@protonmail.com)