

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON

Disc Winds Matter: Modelling Accretion and Outflow on All Scales

by

James Matthews

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the
Faculty of Physical Sciences and Engineering
Department of Physics & Astronomy

April 2016

“Here, on the edge of what we know, in contact with the ocean of the unknown, shines the mystery and the beauty of the world.

And it’s breathtaking.”

Seven Brief Lessons on Physics, Carlo Rovelli

“Good enough for government work.”

Christian Knigge

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON

Abstract

Faculty of Physical Sciences and Engineering
Department of Physics & Astronomy

Doctor of Philosophy

by James Matthews

Outflows are ubiquitous in accreting systems across 10 orders of magnitude in mass. They can take the form of highly collimated radio jets, or less collimated, mass loaded winds emanating from the accretion disc. Perhaps the most spectacular evidence for accretion disc winds is the blue-shifted, broad absorption lines (BALs) in UV resonance lines, seen in cataclysmic variables (CVs) and approximately 20% of quasars. In addition to directly producing absorption in the spectrum, it is possible that accretion disc winds may significantly affect the line and continuum *emission* from CVs and quasars – as a result, they may even dominate the spectral appearance of such objects. When one considers that disc winds are also a possible mechanism for AGN feedback, it becomes clear that understanding the physics and true spectral imprint of these winds is of wide-ranging astrophysical significance.

In this thesis I use the confusingly named Monte Carlo radiative transfer (MCRT) code, PYTHON, to conduct a series of MCRT and photoionization simulations designed to test simple biconical disc wind models. I provide a detailed description of these methods, focusing particularly on the macro-atom implementation developed by Leon Lucy. First, I apply them to the optical spectra of CVs. Second, I conduct tests of quasar unification models. Finally, informed by the previous study, I use Sloan Digital Sky Survey and Hubble Space Telescope data to test the models in an empirical way, by using emission line equivalent widths as a probe of unification geometries.

Overall, the work presented here suggests that *disc winds matter*. They not only act as a spectral ‘filter’ for the underlying accretion continuum, but may actually dominate the emergent spectrum from accreting objects. As a result, unveiling their driving mechanisms, mass-loss rates, and ionization structure is an important goal for the astronomical community.

Contents

Abstract	ii
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vi
1 Testing Quasar Unification: Radiative Transfer In Clumpy Winds	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 A Clumpy Biconical Disk Wind Model for Quasars	2
1.2.1 Photon Sources	2
1.2.2 A Simple Approximation for Clumping	4
1.2.3 The Simulation Grid	7
1.3 Results and Analysis From A Fiducial Model	8
1.3.1 Physical Conditions and Ionization State	8
1.3.2 Synthetic Spectra: Comparison to Observations	11
1.3.2.1 Broad absorption lines ('BALQSO-like' angles)	13
1.3.2.2 Broad emission lines ('quasar-like' angles)	15
1.3.3 X-ray Properties	17
1.3.4 LoBALs and Ionization Stratification	18
1.4 Discussion	20
1.4.1 Parameter Sensitivity	20
1.4.2 Inclination Trends: FWHM and EW	20
1.4.2.1 FWHM and Black Hole Mass Estimates	23
1.5 Summary And Conclusions	24
2 Quasar Emission Lines as Probes of Orientation and Unification	26
2.1 Introduction	26
2.2 Data Sample	27
2.3 The Angular Distribution of Emission from an Accretion Disc	30
2.3.1 Standard Thin Disc Models	30
2.3.2 Including GR, Comptonisation and Opacity Effects	31
2.4 Predicted EW distributions compared to observations: A Monte Carlo approach	31
2.5 Discussion	33
2.5.1 Eigenvector 1	35

2.5.2	Radio Observations	35
2.5.3	Polarisation	35
2.5.4	Theoretical Considerations	36
2.6	Conclusions	37
3	Conclusions	40
3.1	Suggestions for Future Work	40
3.1.1	CVs as Accretion and Outflow Laboratories	40
3.1.2	Improving the Treatment of Clumping	40
3.1.3	Expanding the Capabilities of PYTHON	40
3.1.4	Obtaining Reliable Orientation Indicators	40
3.2	Closing Remarks	40
Bibliography		41

List of Figures

1.1	A cartoon showing the geometry and some key parameters of our biconical quasar wind model.	3
1.2	The input spectrum used for our quasar modelling.	4
1.3	Some typical length scales for the fiducial model.	6
1.4	Contour plots showing the logarithm of some important physical properties of the quasar outflow.	9
1.5	The electron density in the fiducial model on linear axes.	10
1.6	Synthetic spectra at four viewing angles for the fiducial quasar model.	12
1.7	A schematic showing the broad classes of sightline in the fiducial model.	13
1.8	Synthetic spectra at two viewing angles compared to the non-BAL SDSS quasar composite.	14
1.9	X-ray properties of the clumped quasar model compared to H13 and samples from the literature.	17
1.10	C IV, Mg II, Al III and Fe II line profiles for wind viewing angles.	19
1.11	Equivalent widths and BALnicities of some important lines for the quasar wind grid.	21
1.12	$W_{\lambda, RW}$ as a function of inclination in the fiducial model.	22
1.13	f_{FWHM} as a function of inclination	24
2.1	BH mass and Eddington fraction for the BAL samples plotted over the overall quasar sample from S10.	28
2.2	Histograms of equivalent widths for three emission lines from the two different samples.	28
2.3	Monochromatic continuum luminosities from AGNSPEC and classical thin disc models.	32
2.4	The geometry of the toy model used to carry out the Monte Carlo simulations	33
2.5	Contour plots from the Monte Carlo simulations.	34
2.6	Eigenvector 1 for BAL and non-BAL quasars.	36
2.7	Distribution of FWHM[H β] in BAL and non-BAL quasars.	37
2.8	<i>Top:</i> Polarisation percentages as a function of measured inclination from Marin et al. (2015) for Type I and Type II AGN. <i>Bottom:</i> Histograms of polarisation percentages for BAL quasars from Schmidt et al. (1999) together with the Marin et al. (2015) AGN sample.	38

List of Tables

1.1	The grid points used in the parameter search for quasar wind models	8
1.2	Model parameters for the fiducial quasar model.	10
1.3	Some derived spectral properties of the fiducial model compared to ob- servations.	16
2.1	Eigenvector 1 correlation coefficients	34

For/Dedicated to/To my...

Chapter 1

Testing Quasar Unification: Radiative Transfer In Clumpy Winds

This chapter is based on the publication:

Matthews J. H., Knigge C., Long K. S., Sim S. A., Higginbottom N., Mangham S. W., ‘Testing quasar unification: radiative transfer in clumpy winds’, 2016, MNRAS, 458, 293.

1.1 Introduction

In the earlier chapters I presented the evidence for accretion disc winds in quasars and luminous AGN, and showed how they may be responsible for more than just the broad absorption lines and P-Cygni profiles seen in quasar spectra. In particular, they offer a natural way to *unify* much of the complex phenomenology into one simple picture.

Here, I aim to test that picture using PYTHON, with the specific aim of determining whether it is possible to simulate the properties of the spectra of AGN, including BALQSOs, using simple kinematic prescriptions for biconical disc winds. Past results have been encouraging; H13 produced simulated spectra that resembled that of BALQSOs, as long as the luminosity of the X-ray source was relatively low, of order 10^{43} erg s⁻¹

and the mass loss rate was relatively high, of order the mass accretion rate. However, at higher X-ray luminosities, the wind was so ionized that UV absorption lines were not produced. In addition, and in part due to limitations in our radiative transfer code, the model failed to produce spectra with strong emission lines at any inclination angle.

Here I attempt to address both of these issues, by introducing clumping into PYTHON and a more complete treatment of H and He into the radiative transfer calculations. Thus, the simulations presented in this chapter treat H & He as full macro-atoms, and model metals as simple-atoms as described extensively in chapter 3. In order to correctly model the ionizing spectrum for simple-atoms I dispense with the ML93 modified Saha approach and fully solve the ionization balance using the spectral modelling approach described in section ???. Macro-atoms still have their ionization and excitation states calculated from MC estimators.

The kinematic model used once again follows the SV93 prescription, and is described, together with the clumping implementation, in section 1.2. Section 1.3 contains the results from a clumped model, with comparisons to observational data, as well as some discussion. Further discussion and examination of sensitivity to model parameters and viewing angle can be found in section 1.4, which expands somewhat on the work presented in (Matthews et al. 2016). Finally, we summarise our findings in section 1.5.

1.2 A Clumpy Biconical Disk Wind Model for Quasars

Our kinematic prescription for a biconical disc wind model follows Shlosman & Vitello (1993), and is described further by LK02, H13 and M15. A schematic is shown in Fig. 2.4, with key aspects marked. The general biconical geometry is similar to that invoked by Murray et al. (1995) and Elvis (2000) to explain the phenomenology of quasars and BALQSOs.

1.2.1 Photon Sources

We include two sources of r-packets in our model: An accretion disc and a central X-ray source. The accretion disc is assumed to be geometrically thin, but optically thick. Accordingly, the disc is modelled as an ensemble of blackbodies with a Shakura




FIGURE 1.1: A cartoon showing the geometry and some key parameters of our biconical wind model.

& Sunyaev (1973) effective temperature profile. The emergent SED is then determined by the specified accretion rate (\dot{m}) and central BH mass (M_{BH}). All photon sources in our model are opaque, meaning that r -packets that strike them are destroyed. The inner radius of the disc extends to the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) of the BH. We assume a Schwarzschild BH with an ISCO at $6 r_G$, where $r_G = GM_{BH}/c^2$ is the gravitational radius. For a $10^9 M_\odot$ BH, this is equal to 8.85×10^{14} cm or $\sim 10^{-4}$ pc.

The X-ray source is treated as an isotropic sphere at the ISCO, which emits r -packets according to a power law in flux with index α_X , of the form

$$F_X(\nu) = K_X \nu^{\alpha_X}. \quad (1.1)$$

The normalisation, K_X of this power law is such that it produces the specified 2-10 keV luminosity, L_X . The input spectrum for our simulations is therefore a simple combination of a power law X-ray component and accretion disc spectrum; an example input spectrum is shown in Fig. 1.2. In actual fact, this spectrum will be angle dependent




FIGURE 1.2: The input spectrum used for our quasar modelling.

due to the geometry of the system and the angular emissivity profile of the accretion disc (see sections 1.3.3 and 1.4.2). Photons, or r -packets, produced by the accretion disc and central X-ray source are reprocessed by the wind. This reprocessing is dealt with by enforcing strict radiative equilibrium (*modulo* adiabatic cooling; see section 2.3) via an indivisible energy packet constraint (see Lucy 2002, M15).

1.2.2 A Simple Approximation for Clumping

In previous modelling efforts with PYTHON, a smooth outflow was assumed, such that the density at a given point was determined only by the kinematic parameters and mass loss rate. However, as already discussed, AGN winds exhibit significant substructure – the outflow is expected to be *clumpy*, rather than smooth, and probably on a variety of scales. A clumpy outflow offers a possible solution to the so-called ‘over-ionization problem’ in quasar and AGN outflows (e.g. ??Hamann et al. 2013). This is the main motivation for incorporating clumping into our model.

Deciding on how to implement clumping into our existing wind models was not straightforward. First, and most importantly, the physical scale lengths and density contrasts in AGN outflows are not well-constrained from observations or theory. As a result, while one could envision in principle, clouds with a variety of sizes and density contrasts varying perhaps as function of radius, there would have been very little guidance on how to set nominal values of the various parameters of such a model. Second, there are significant computational difficulties associated with adequately resolving and realistically modelling a series of small scale, high density regions with a MCRT – or for that matter, a hydrodynamical – code. Given the lack of knowledge about the actual type of clumping, I have implemented a simple approximation used successfully in stellar wind modelling, known as *microclumping* (e.g. [Hamann & Koesterke 1998](#); [Hillier & Miller 1999](#); [Hamann et al. 2008](#)).

The underlying assumption of microclumping is that clump sizes are much smaller than the typical photon mean free path, and thus the clumps are both geometrically and optically thin. This approach allows one to treat clumps only in terms of their volume filling factor, f_V , instead of having to specify separately their size and density distributions. In our model, f_V is independent of position (see section 4.4). The inter-clump medium is modeled as a vacuum, although the outflow is still non-porous and axisymmetric. This approach therefore assumes that the inter-clump medium is unimportant in determining the output spectrum, which is expected to be true only when density constraints are large and the inter-clump medium is both very ionized and of low emissivity and opacity. The density of the clumps is multiplied by the “density enhancement” $D = 1/f_V$. Opacities, κ , and emissivities, ϵ , can then be expressed as

$$\kappa = f_V \kappa_C(D); \quad \epsilon = f_V \epsilon_C(D). \quad (1.2)$$

Here the subscript C denotes that the quantity is calculated using the enhanced density in the clump. The resultant effect is that, *for fixed temperature*, processes that are linear in density, such as electron scattering, are unchanged, as f_V and D will cancel out. However, any quantity that scales with the square of density, such as collisional excitation or recombination, will increase by a factor of D . In our models, the temperature is not fixed, and is instead set by balancing heating and cooling in a given cell. In the presence of an X-ray source, this thermal balance is generally dominated by bound-free heating and line cooling. The main effect of including clumping in our modelling is that it




FIGURE 1.3: Some typical length scales for the fiducial model. This places a formal limit of $\sim 10^{12}$ cm on clump sizes in the microclumping framework, and confirms that the cells are sufficiently larger than the Sobolev length in almost all cases.

moderates the ionization state due to the increased density. This allows an increase in the ionizing luminosity, amplifying the amount of bound-free heating and also increasing the competing line cooling term (thermal line emission).

The shortest length scale in a Sobolev MCRT treatment such as ours is normally the Sobolev length, given by

$$l_S = \frac{v_{th}}{|dv/ds|} \quad (1.3)$$

This is typically $\sim 10^{13}$ cm near the disc plane, increasing outwards. The Sobolev length is shown, together with the size of the cell and Thomson mean free path, in Fig. 1.3. We use the mean density to calculate the Sobolev optical depth, which assumes that l_S is greater than the typical clump size. Thus for the microclumping assumption to be formally correct, clumps should be no larger than $\sim 10^{12}$ cm. This size scale is not unreasonable for quasar outflows, as [de Kool & Begelman \(1995\)](#) suggest that BAL flows may have low filling factors with clump sizes of $\sim 10^{11}$ cm.

Our clumping treatment is necessarily simple; it does not adequately represent the complex substructures and stratifications in ionization state expected in AGN outflows. Nevertheless, this parameterization allows simple estimates of the effect clumping has on the ionization state and emergent line emission.

1.2.3 The Simulation Grid

Using this prescription, I conducted a limited parameter search over a 5-dimensional parameter space involving the variables r_{min} , θ_{min} , f_V , α and R_v . The grid points are shown in Table 1. The aim here was to first fix M_{BH} and \dot{m} to their H13 values, and increase L_X to 10^{45} erg s $^{-1}$ (a more realistic value for a quasar of $10^9 M_\odot$ and an Eddington fraction of 0.2; see section 1.3.3).

We then evaluated these models based on how closely their synthetic spectra reproduced the following properties of quasars and BALQSOs:

- UV absorption lines with $BI > 0$ at $\sim 20\%$ of viewing angles (e.g. Knigge et al. 2010);
- Line emission emerging at low inclinations, with $EW \sim 40\text{\AA}$ in C IV 1550\text{\AA} (e.g. Shen et al. 2011);
- H recombination lines with $EW \sim 50\text{\AA}$ in Ly α (e.g. Shen et al. 2011);
- Mg II and Al III (LoBAL) absorption features with $BI > 0$ at a subset of BAL viewing angles;
- Verisimilitude with quasar composite spectra.

Here BI is the ‘Balnicity Index’ (Weymann et al. 1991), given by

$$BI = \int_{3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}}^{25000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} C \left(1 - \frac{f(v)}{0.9} \right) dv. \quad (1.4)$$

The constant $C = 0$ everywhere, unless the normalized flux has satisfied $f(v) < 0.9$ continuously for at least 2000 km s 1 , whereby C is set to 1.

In the next section, O present one of the most promising models, which I refer to as the fiducial model, and discuss the various successes and failures with respect to the

Parameter	Grid Point Values		
r_{min}	$60r_g$	$180r_g$	$300r_g$
θ_{min}	55°	70°	
R_v	10^{18}cm	10^{19}cm	
α	0.5	0.6	0.75
f_V	0.01	0.1	

TABLE 1.1: The grid points used in the parameter search. The sensitivity to some of these parameters is discussed further in section 1.4.1

above criteria. This allows us to gain insight into fundamental geometrical and physical constraints and assess the potential for unification. We then discuss the sensitivity to key parameters in section 1.4.1. The full grid, including output synthetic spectra and plots can be found at jmatthews.github.io/quasar-wind-grid/.

1.3 Results and Analysis From A Fiducial Model

Here I describe the results from a fiducial model, and discuss these results in the context of the criteria presented in section 3.4. The parameters of this model are shown in Table 2. Parameters differing from the benchmark model of H13 are highlighted with an asterisk. In this section, I examine the physical conditions of the flow, and present the synthetic spectra, before comparing the X-ray properties of this particular model to samples of quasars and luminous AGN.

1.3.1 Physical Conditions and Ionization State

Fig. 1.4 shows the physical properties of the wind. The wind rises slowly from the disc at first, with densities within clumps of $n_H \sim 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ close to the disc plane, where n_H is the local number density of H. To illustrate the degree of scale and density ranges in the model I also show n_e in the wind on a linear scale in Fig. 1.5. The flow then accelerates over a scale length of $R_V = 10^{19} \text{ cm}$ up to a terminal velocity equal to the escape velocity at the streamline base ($\sim 10,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). This gradual acceleration results in a wind that exhibits a stratified ionization structure, with low ionization material in the base of the wind giving way to highly ionized plasma further out. This is illustrated in Fig. 1.4 by the panels showing the ion fraction $F = n_j/n_{tot}$ of some important ions. The clumped wind produces the range of ionization states observed




FIGURE 1.4: Contour plots showing the logarithm of some important physical properties of the outflow. The spatial scales are logarithmic and the x and z scales are not the same. Symbols are defined in the text. The solid black line marks a sphere at $1000 r_G$. The dotted lines show the 72° and 78° sightlines to the centre of the system, and illustrate that different sightlines intersect material of different ionization states. The line luminosities, L , represent the luminosity of photons escaping the Sobolev region for each line. These photons do not necessarily escape to infinity.

Fiducial Parameters	Model	Value
M_{BH}		$1 \times 10^9 M_\odot$
\dot{m}_{acc}		$5 M_\odot yr^{-1} \simeq 0.2 \dot{M}_{Edd}$
α_X		-0.9
L_X		$10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
$r_{disc}(\min) = r_X$		$6r_g = 8.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}$
$r_{disc}(\max)$		$3400r_g = 5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}$
\dot{m}_{wind}		$5 M_\odot yr^{-1}$
r_{min}		$300r_g = 4.4 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}$
r_{max}		$600r_g = 8.8 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}$
θ_{min}		70.0°
θ_{max}		82.0°
$v_\infty(r_0)$		$v_{esc}(r_0)$
R_v		10^{19} cm^*
α		0.5*
f_V		0.01*
n_x		100
n_z		200

TABLE 1.2: Wind geometry parameters used in the fiducial model, as defined in the text and figure 1. Parameters differing from the benchmark model of H13 are highlighted with an asterisk.




FIGURE 1.5: The electron density in the model, this time on linear axes in order to illustrate the density contrasts and scale of the system. The plot is on the scale of the acceleration length, whereas the inset is a box of $2700 \times 800r_G$, where the bottom left corner corresponds to the base of the innermost streamline.

in quasars and BALQSOs, while adopting a realistic $2 - 10$ keV X-ray luminosity of $L_X = 10^{45}$ erg s $^{-1}$. Without clumping, this wind would be over-ionized to the extent that opacities in e.g., C IV would be entirely negligible (see H13).

One common way to quantify the ionization state of a plasma is through the ionization parameter, U_H , given by equation ???. Shown in Fig. 1.4, the ionization parameter is a useful measure of the global ionization state, as it represents the ratio of the number density of H ionizing photons to the local H density. It is, however, a poor representation of the ionization state of species such as C IV as it encodes no information about the shape of the SED. In our case, the X-ray photons are dominant in the photoionization of the UV resonance line ions. This explains why a factor of 100 increase in X-ray luminosity requires a clumping factor of 0.01, even though the value of U_H decreases by only a factor of ~ 10 compared to H13.

The total line luminosity also increases dramatically compared to the unclumped model described by H13. This is because the denser outflow can absorb the increased X-ray luminosity without becoming over-ionized, leading to a hot plasma which produces strong collisionally excited line emission. This line emission typically emerges on the edge of the wind nearest the central source. The location of the line emitting regions is dependent on the ionization state, as well as the incident X-rays. The radii of these emitting regions is important, and can be compared to observations. The line luminosities, L , shown in the figure correspond to the luminosity in erg s $^{-1}$ of photons escaping the Sobolev region for each line. As shown in Fig. 1.4, the C IV 1550Å line in the fiducial model is typically formed between $100 - 1000 r_G$ ($\sim 10^{17} - 10^{18}$ cm). This is in rough agreement with the reverberation mapping results of Kaspi (2000) for the $2.6 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ quasar S5 0836+71, and also compares favourably with microlensing measurements of the size of the C IV 1550Å emission line region in the BALQSO H1413+117 (O'Dowd et al. 2015).

1.3.2 Synthetic Spectra: Comparison to Observations

Fig. 1.6 shows the synthetic spectrum in the UV from the fiducial model. To assess the ability of the synthetic spectra to match real quasar spectra, I also show *Sloan Digital Sky Survey* (SDSS) quasar composites from Reichard et al. (2003), normalised to the flux at 2000Å for low inclinations. Unfortunately, the wide variety of line profile shapes and




FIGURE 1.6: Synthetic spectra at four viewing angles for the fiducial model. At 20° and 60° I show a comparison to an SDSS quasar composite from Recihard et al. (2003). At 73° and 76° I show a comparison to an *HST* STIS spectrum of the high BALnicity BALQSO PG0946+301 (Arav et al. 2000), and an SDSS spectrum of the LoBAL quasar SDSS J162901.63+453406.0, respectively. The dotted line shows a disc only continuum to show the effect of the outflow on the continuum level. All the spectra are scaled to the model flux at 2000\AA , except for the *HST* STIS spectrum of PG0946+301, which is scaled to 1350\AA due to the incomplete wavelength coverage.




FIGURE 1.7: A cartoon describing the broad classes of sightline in the fiducial model, illustrating how geometric effects lead to the different emergent spectra. The colour gradient is approximate, but indicates the stratified ionization structure, from highly ionized (yellow) to low ionization (purple) material.

internal trough structure in BALQSOs tends to ‘wash out’ BAL troughs in composite spectra to the extent that BALQSO composites do not resemble typical BALQSOs. Because of this, I instead compare to a *Hubble Space Telescope* STIS spectrum of the high BALnicity BALQSO PG0946+301 (Arav et al. 2000), and an SDSS spectrum of the LoBAL quasar SDSS J162901.63+453406.0, for the angles of 73° and 76° , respectively. We show a cartoon illustrating how geometric effects determine the output spectra in Fig. 1.7.

1.3.2.1 Broad absorption lines (‘BALQSO-like’ angles)

The UV spectrum is characterised by strong BAL profiles at high inclinations ($> 70^\circ$). This highlights the first success of our model: clumping allows the correct ionization state to be maintained in the presence of strong X-rays, resulting in large resonance line opacities. At the highest inclinations, the cooler, low ionization material at the base of the wind starts to intersect the line of sight. This produces multiple absorption lines in species such as Mg II, Al III and Fe II. The potential links to LoBALQSOs and FeLoBALQSOs are discussed in section 2.4.

The high ionization BAL profiles are often saturated, and the location in velocity space of the strongest absorption in the profile varies with inclination. At the lowest inclination




FIGURE 1.8: Synthetic spectra at two viewing angles, this time in frequency space and including the optical band, compared to the non-BAL SDSS quasar composite. The spectra are normalised to the flux at 2000Å, then an offset of 2 is applied per spectrum for clarity – the dotted lines show the zero point of F_ν/F_{2000} in each case.

BAL sight lines, the strongest absorption occurs at the red edge, whereas at higher inclinations (and for the strongest BALs) the trough has a sharp edge at the terminal velocity. This offers one potential explanation for the wide range of BALQSO absorption line shapes (see e.g. [Trump et al. 2006](#); [Knigge et al. 2008](#); [Filiz Ak et al. 2014](#)).

The absorption profiles seen in BALQSOs are often non-black, but saturated, with flat bases to the absorption troughs ([Arav et al. 1999a,b](#)). This is usually explained either as partial covering of the continuum source or by scattered contributions to the BAL troughs, necessarily from an opacity source not co-spatial with the BAL forming region. The scattered light explanation is supported by spectropolarimetry results ([Lamy & Hutsemékers 2000](#)). Our spectra do not show non-black, saturated profiles. We find black, saturated troughs at angles $i > 73^\circ$, and the BALs are non-saturated at lower inclinations. The reasons for this are inherent in the construction of our model. First, the microclumping assumption does not allow for porosity in the wind, meaning that it does not naturally produce a partial covering absorber. To allow this, an alternative approach such as *macroclumping* would be required (e.g. [Hamann et al. 2008](#); [Šurlan et al. 2012](#)). Second, our wind does not have a significant scattering contribution along sightlines which do not pass through the BAL region, meaning that any scattered component to the BAL troughs is absorbed by line opacity. This suggests that either the scattering cross-section of the wind must be increased (with higher mass loss rates or covering factors), or that an additional source of electron opacity is required, potentially in a polar direction above the disc. We note the scattering contribution from plasma in polar regions is significant in some ‘outflow-from-inflow’ simulations ([Kurosawa & Proga 2009](#); [Sim et al. 2012](#)).

1.3.2.2 Broad emission lines (‘quasar-like’ angles)

Unlike H13, significant collisionally excited line emission now emerges at low inclinations in the synthetic spectra, particular in the C IV and N V lines. We also find a strong Ly α line and weak He II 1640Å line as a result of our improved treatment of recombination using macro-atoms. In the context of unification, this is a promising result, and shows that a biconical wind can produce significant emission at ‘quasar-like’ angles. To demonstrate this further, I show line luminosities and monochromatic continuum luminosities

Property	Synthetic, 20°	Observed (S11)
$\log L[\text{C IV}]$	44.60	44.42 ± 0.32
$\log L[\text{Mg II}]$	43.92	43.54 ± 0.28
$\log(\nu L_\nu)_{1350}$	46.42	46.01 ± 0.30
$\log(\nu L_\nu)_{3000}$	46.18	45.79 ± 0.30

TABLE 1.3: Some derived spectral properties of the fiducial model, at 20°, compared to observations. The observed values are taken from the Shen et al. (2011) SDSS DR7 Quasar catalog, and correspond to mean values with standard deviations in log space from a subsample with $8.5 > \log(M_{BH}) < 9.5$ and $1.5 < \log(L_{bol}/L_{Edd}) < 0$, where the BH mass is a C IV virial estimate. Units are logarithms of values in erg s⁻¹.

from the synthetic spectra in Table 1.3. These are compared to mean values from a subsample of the SDSS DR7 quasar catalog (Shen et al. 2011) with BH mass and Eddington fraction estimates similar to the fiducial model values (see caption). The spectra do not contain the strong C III] 1909Å line seen in the quasar composite spectra, but this is due to a limitation of our current treatment of C; semi-forbidden (intercombination) lines are not included in our modelling.

In Fig. 1.8, I show an F_ν spectrum with broader waveband coverage that includes the optical, showing that our synthetic spectra also exhibit H α and H β emission. In this panel, I include a low inclination and also a very high inclination spectrum, which looks underneath the wind cone. This model shows strong line emission with very similar widths and line ratios to the quasar composites, and the Balmer lines are double peaked, due to velocity projection effects. Such double-peaked lines are seen in so-called ‘disc emitter’ systems (e.g. Eracleous & Halpern 1994) but not the majority of AGN. The line equivalent widths (EWs) increase at high inclination due to a weakened continuum from wind attenuation, disc foreshortening and limb darkening. This effect also leads to a redder continuum slope, as seen in quasars, which is due to Balmer continuum and Balmer and Fe II line emission. This extreme 89° viewing angle cannot represent a typical quasar within a unified model, but does show that a model such as this can naturally reproduce quasar emission lines if the emissivity of the wind is increased *with respect to the disc continuum*. In addition, it neatly demonstrates how a stratified outflow can naturally reproduce the range of ionization states seen in quasars.

Despite a number of successes, there are some properties of the synthetic spectra that are at odds with the observations. First, the ratios of the EW of the Ly α and Mg II 2800Å lines to the EW of C IV 1550Å are much lower than in the composite




FIGURE 1.9: X-ray (2 keV) luminosity of the our clumped model (red squares) and the H13 model (blue squares), plotted against monochromatic luminosity at 2500Å. The points are labeled according to inclination; angles $> 70^\circ$ correspond to BALs in our scheme (see figure 4). Also plotted are measurements from the COMBI-7 AGN and the BQS samples (Steffen et al. 2006) and the Saez et al. (2012) sample of BALQSOs. The dotted line shows the best fit relation for non-BALQSOs from Steffen et al. (2006).

spectra. Similar problems have also been seen in simpler photoionization models for the BLR (Netzer 1990). It may be that a larger region of very dense ($n_e \sim 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) material is needed, which could correspond to a disc atmosphere or ‘transition region’ (see e.g. Murray et al. 1995; Knigge et al. 1998). While modest changes to geometry may permit this, the initial grid search did not find a parameter space in which the Ly α or Mg II EWs were significantly higher (see section 1.4.1). Second, EWs increase with inclination (see Fig. 1.6 and Fig. 1.8; also Fig. 1.10). This is discussed further in section 1.4.2.

1.3.3 X-ray Properties

The main motivation for adding clumping to the model was to avoid over-ionization of the wind in the presence of strong X-rays. Having verified that strong BALs appear in the synthetic spectra, it is also important to assess whether the X-ray properties of this fiducial model agree well with quasar and BALQSO samples for the relevant inclinations.

Fig. 1.9 shows the emergent monochromatic luminosity (L_ν) at 2 keV and plotted against L_ν at 2500Å for a number of different viewing angles in our model. The monochromatic luminosities are calculated from the synthetic spectra and thus include the effects of wind reprocessing and attenuation. In addition to model outputs, I also show the BALQSO sample of Saez et al. (2012) and luminous AGN and quasar samples from Steffen et al. (2006). The best fit relation from Steffen et al. (2006) is also shown. For low inclination, ‘quasar-like’ viewing angles, the model properties are in excellent agreement with AGN samples. The slight gradient from 20° to 60° in the models is caused by a combination of disc foreshortening and limb-darkening (resulting in a lower L_{2500} for higher inclinations), and the fact that the disk is opaque, and thus the X-ray source subtends a smaller solid angle at high inclinations (resulting in a lower L_{2keV} for higher inclinations).

The high inclination, ‘BALQSO-like’ viewing angles show moderate agreement with the data, and are X-ray weak due to bound-free absorption and electron scattering in the wind. Typically, BALQSOs show strong X-ray absorption with columns of $N_H \sim 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Green & Mathur 1996; Mathur et al. 2000; Green et al. 2001; Grupe et al. 2003). This is often cited as evidence that the BAL outflow is shielded from the X-ray source, especially as sources with strong X-ray absorption tend to exhibit deep BAL troughs and high outflow velocities (Brandt et al. 2000; Laor & Brandt 2002; Gallagher et al. 2006). Our results imply that the clumpy BAL outflow itself can be responsible for the strong X-ray absorption, and supports Hamann et al.’s (2013) suggestion that geometric effects explain the weaker X-ray absorption in mini-BALs compared to BALQSOs.

1.3.4 LoBALs and Ionization Stratification

At high inclinations, the synthetic spectra exhibit blue-shifted BALs in Al III and Mg II – the absorption lines seen in LoBALQSOs – and even show absorption in Fe II at the highest inclinations. Line profiles in velocity space for C IV, Al III and Mg II, are shown in Fig. 1.10 for a range of BALQSO viewing angles. We find that ionization stratification of the wind causes lower ionization material to have a smaller covering factor, as demonstrated by figures 1.4 and 1.10. This confirms the behaviour expected from a unification model such as Elvis (2000). LoBALs are only present at viewing




FIGURE 1.10: C IV, Mg II, Al III and Fe II line profiles for viewing angles from $72 - 79^\circ$. The profiles are plotted relative to the local continuum with an offset applied for clarity. Lower ionization profiles appear at a subset of high inclinations, compared to the ubiquitous C IV profile.

angles close to edge-on ($i > 75^\circ$), as predicted by polarisation results (Brotherton et al. 1997). As observed in a BALQSO sample by Filiz Ak et al. (2014), the model BAL troughs are wider and deeper when low ionization absorption features are present, and high ionization lines have higher blue-edge velocities than the low ionization species. There is also a correlation between the strength of LoBAL features and the amount of continuum attenuation at that sightline, particularly blueward of the Lyman edge as the low ionization base intersects the line-of-sight. A model such as this therefore predicts that LoBALQSOs and FeLoBALQSOs have stronger Lyman edge absorption and are more Compton-thick than HiBALQSOs and Type 1 quasars. An edge-on scenario also offers a potential explanation for the rarity of LoBAL and FeLoBAL quasars, due to a foreshortened and attenuated continuum, although BAL fraction inferences are fraught with complex selection effects (Goodrich 1997; Krolik & Voit 1998).

1.4 Discussion

1.4.1 Parameter Sensitivity

Having selected an individual fiducial model from the simulation grid, it is important to briefly explore how specialised this model is, and how small parameter changes can affect the synthetic spectra. Fig. 1.11 shows the EW at a low inclination, and BI at a high inclination for the simulation grid. A few conclusions can be drawn from this plot straight away. First, we can see that almost all the models with $f_V = 0.1$ are over-ionized, and fail to produce strong C IV BALs or emission lines. Second, it is difficult to significantly increase line emission while keeping the luminosity and mass loss rate of the system fixed. We show an additional point on figure 7 corresponding to a model with an order of magnitude higher X-ray luminosity and double the mass loss rate. As expected, this results in far higher line EWs, but fails to produce BALs because the collisionally excited emission swamps the BAL profile. In addition, this model would lie well above the expected $L_{2kev} - L_{2500}$ relation in figure 5. Such a high X-ray luminosity could therefore not be the cause of the strong line emission seen in *all* Type 1 quasars.

The parameter search presented here is by no means exhaustive, and conclusions may be limited by the specific parameterisation of the outflow kinematics used. Nevertheless, I suggest that the angular distribution of both the line and continuum emission is perhaps the crucial aspect to understand. With this in mind, obtaining reliable orientation indicators appears to be a crucial observational task if we are to further our understanding of BAL outflows and their connection, or lack thereof, to the broad line region.

1.4.2 Inclination Trends: FWHM and EW

EW increases with inclination in the fiducial model. This trend means that even though significantly denser models can match the line EWs fairly well at low inclinations, they will then possess overly strong red wings to the BAL P-Cygni profiles at high inclinations. The fact that the EW increase in our model are directly related to limb-darkening and foreshortening of the continuum. This appears to contradict observations, which show remarkably uniform emission line properties in quasars and BALQSOs (Weymann et al. 1991; DiPompeo et al. 2012a).




FIGURE 1.11: The EW of the C IV 1550Å line at 20° plotted against a) the BI of C IV 1550Å at 75°, b) the EW of the Mg II 2800Å line at 20° and c) the EW of Ly α at 20°. The circles correspond to the simulation grid for two different values of f_V , and the fiducial model is marked with an orange star. We also show a higher X-ray luminosity model and a higher mass loss rate with a red star.




FIGURE 1.12: $W_{\lambda,RW}$ as a function of inclination in the fiducial model, compared to $1/2$ EW from the quasar sample of Di Pompeo et al. (2012; D12).

In order to quantitatively assess how emission lines change with inclination when blue-shifted absorption may affect the line profile, I define the ‘red wing equivalent width’ ($W_{\lambda,RW}$) as

$$W_{\lambda,RW} = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda'} \left(1 - \frac{F_\lambda}{F_0} \right) d\lambda \quad (1.5)$$

where F_0 is the continuum flux and the integral is calculated from λ_0 , line centre, to a wavelength λ' where the flux has returned to the continuum level. This quantity is shown as a function of inclination in Fig. 1.12 for the C IV UV line. We also plot show the $W_{\lambda,RW}$ expected from isotropic line emission and a foreshortened and limb darkened disc as well as $1/2$ equivalent widths from [DiPompeo et al. \(2012a\)](#).

The variation of EW with inclination is significantly larger than the variation across the quasar population. The angular distribution of the disc continuum and line emission is clearly crucially important in determining the emergent broad line EWs, as suggested by, e.g., the analysis of [Risaliti et al. \(2011\)](#). We shall explore this question further in a future study (see chapter 6).

1.4.2.1 FWHM and Black Hole Mass Estimates

In a recent study, [Yong et al. \(2016\)](#) used the SV93 wind prescription assess the variation of full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of H β (and resultant BH mass estimates) with inclination in a disc wind model. Although their model is fairly simple – it does not include, for example, full radiative transfer or ionization physics – this analysis still gives interesting insights into how emission lines from a disc wind might bias BH mass estimates.

If the BLR gas is virialised, as often assumed, then the black hole mass is related to the velocity dispersion, Δv , of the gas by

$$M_{BH} = f \frac{\Delta v^2 R_{BLR}}{G}, \quad (1.6)$$

where R_{BLR} is some appropriate emissivity-weighted radius and is often either assumed, estimated from ionization arguments or calculated from reverberation mapping. When using FWHM to estimate the velocity dispersion the above equation can be rewritten as

$$M_{BH} = f_{FWHM} \left[c \frac{(FWHM)}{\lambda_0} \right]^2 \frac{R_{BLR}}{G}, \quad (1.7)$$

where λ_0 is the central wavelength of the line in question and the FWHM is in the same wavelength units. In a model such as ours the BH mass is known, and determines the escape velocities and rotational motions of the outflow. Thus, using a typical radius for line formation in the model it is trivial to calculate f_{FWHM} for each viewing angle. Fig. 1.13 shows f_{FWHM} as a function of inclination for the C IV, Mg II and H α emission lines in our model, assuming $R_{BLR} = 10^{17}$ cm. For C IV and Mg II the wind angles are not plotted as BAL profiles affect the measurement, and I have compared to H α rather than H β due to the low H β luminosity at some viewing angles. We nevertheless expect this value to trace f_{FWHM} from H β fairly well.

The values from our model agree fairly well with the predictions of [Yong et al. \(2016\)](#) from their simpler analysis, suggesting that, at least in this specific set-up, radiative transfer and ionization has a minimal role in determining the emergent FWHM. Instead, the effect is dominated by velocity projection effects. The reason for the significantly




FIGURE 1.13: f_{FWHM} as a function of inclination from the fiducial model for three different lines, compared to values from Yong et al. 2016 and Pancoast et al. 2014.

lower values for Mg II is that it is actually formed well inside $R_{BLR} = 10^{17}$ cm, highlighting the dangers of using a single BLR radius for BH mass estimates from different lines.

1.5 Summary And Conclusions

We have carried out MCRT simulations using a simple prescription for a biconical disc wind, with the aim of expanding on the work of H13. To do this, we introduced two main improvements: First, we included a simple treatment of clumping, and second, we improved the modelling of recombination lines by treating H and He as ‘macro-atoms’. Having selected a fiducial model from an initial simulation grid, we assessed the viability of such a model for geometric unification of quasars, and found the following main points:

1. Clumping moderates the ionization state sufficiently to allow for the formation of strong UV BALs while agreeing well with the X-ray properties of luminous AGN and quasars.
2. A clumpy outflow model naturally reproduces the range of ionization states expected in quasars, due to its stratified density and temperature structure. LoBAL line profiles are seen at a subset of viewing angles, and Fe II absorption is seen at particularly high inclinations.
3. The synthetic spectra show Ly α line and weak He II 1640Å line as a result of our improved treatment of recombination using macro-atoms. We also see Balmer emission lines and a Balmer recombination continuum in the optical spectrum, but this is only really significant at high inclination where the continuum is suppressed.
4. The higher X-ray luminosity causes a significant increase in the strength of the collisionally excited emission lines produced by the model. However, the equivalent-width ratios of the emission lines do not match observations, suggesting that a greater volume of dense ($n_e \sim 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) material may be required.
5. The line EWs in the synthetic spectra increase with inclination. BAL and non-BAL quasar composites have comparable EWs, so our model fails to reproduce this behaviour. If the BLR emits fairly isotropically then for a foreshortened, limb-darkened accretion disc it is not possible to achieve line ratios at low inclinations that are comparable to those at high inclinations. We suggest that understanding the angular distribution of line and continuum emission is a crucial question for theoretical models.

Our work confirms a number of expected outcomes from a geometric unification model, and suggests that a simple biconical geometry such as this can come close to explaining much of the phenomenology of quasars. However, our conclusions pose some challenges to a picture in which BALQSOs are explained by an *equatorial* wind rising from a classical thin disc, and suggest the angular distribution of emission is important to understand if this geometry is to be refuted or confirmed. We suggest that obtaining reliable observational orientation indicators and exploring a wider parameter space of outflow geometries in simulations are obvious avenues for future work.

Chapter 2

Quasar Emission Lines as Probes of Orientation and Unification

This chapter is based on a paper in preparation:

Matthews J. H., Knigge C., ‘Quasar Emission Lines as Probes of Orientation and Unification’, to be submitted to MNRAS.

2.1 Introduction

In the previous chapter, I presented tests of geometric unification models using MCRT and photoionization simulations. One of the key results from that analysis is that trends with inclination prohibit models with equatorial outflows matching observations, as the EW of the emission lines tend to increase with inclination. This trend has clear implications for the geometries of BAL outflows; the viewing angle may determine many of the selection effects at work and must therefore be understood before the true covering factor of BAL outflows can be accurately determined. The covering factor and opening angle of the outflow are important quantities to measure in order to calculate the feedback efficiency (e.g. [Borguet et al. 2012](#)), and make inferences about the outflow physics (e.g. [Proga 2005](#)).

Unlike in galactic accretion disc systems, measuring inclinations for quasars and AGN is notoriously difficult, and obtaining reliable orientation indicators is thus an important

observational goal for the community. Perhaps as a result of this problem, directly opposing geometries have been proposed for BAL outflows (see section ??). Here, I use observational data from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey to constrain the inclinations of BAL quasars. Similar attempts have been made previously with different diagnostics; for example, by considering radio properties (Zhou et al. 2006; DiPompeo et al. 2012b), polarisation (Brotherton et al. 2006) and general emission line properties (DiPompeo et al. 2012a).

This chapter is structured as follows. First, I describe the data sample and selection criteria being used. I begin by simply examining the distributions of the EW of the O III 5007Å emission line, EW[O III], and comparing the BAL and non-BAL quasar distributions. In section 2.3 I review the angular distribution of continuum emission one would expect from simple α -disc models, as well as exploring the same quantity in more advanced disc models computed with AGNSPEC. I then use these theoretical angular distributions applied to a simple toy model in section 2.4, and conduct MC simulations in an attempt to fit the observed BAL and non-BAL quasar distributions of EW[O III]. In section 2.5 I discuss the results in the context of radio and polarisation measurements of AGN, as well as exploring the location of BAL quasars in ‘Eigenvector 1’ parameter space. Finally, in section 2.6, I summarise the findings.

2.2 Data Sample

The data sample is based upon the Shen et al. (2010; hereafter S10) catalog of 105,783 quasars from the The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 7 (DR7). As I will use emission line diagnostics in this study, this sample must be further divided according to which emission lines are present in the SDSS wavelength range at a given redshift. Sample A contains all quasars within the redshift range $0.35 < z < 0.83$, such that the Mg II 2800A and O III 5007Å line EWs are both measured, and Mg II BAL identification is possible. Sample B contains all quasars within the redshift range $1.45 < z < 2.28$, such that the EWs and presence of BALs in Mg II 2800A and CIV 1550A are both measurable. The details of these samples are shown in table 1.

In attempting to draw broad conclusions about unification models as a whole, we would like to be able to construct a large homogenous dataset of *HiBAL* and non-BAL quasars,




FIGURE 2.1: BH mass and Eddington fraction for the BAL samples plotted over the overall quasar sample from S10.




FIGURE 2.2: Histograms of equivalent widths for three emission lines from the two different samples.

both with O III 5007Å EWs. Unfortunately, the wavelength limits of SDSS do not allow this. One of the problems with using just LoBAL quasars as tests of unification is that there is evidence that they are drawn from a different population than normal quasars, perhaps suggesting an *evolutionary* origin. Examples include anomalously high LoBAL fractions in dust-reddened quasar samples ([Urrutia et al. 2009](#)) and infra-red selected samples ([Dai et al. 2012](#)); although see also [Lazarova et al. \(2012\)](#)). To partially address this issue, I also build a small sample of HiBAL quasars in SDSS by cross-matching the S11 catalog with BALs identified in the HST COS archive. BALs were selected from the COS spectra using the balnicity index, BI , defined in equation ???. HST objects were designated as HiBALS by satisfying the condition that $BI > 0$ in one of CIV, NV, SiIV. The mass and Eddington fraction measurements from S11, with errorbars, are shown against the background distribution of all quasars.

Fig. 2 shows histograms of a number of different emission line properties for samples A and B. As discussed by previous authors (e.g. [Weymann et al. 1991](#)), I find that BAL and non-BAL quasars really do seem to possess very similar emission line properties. The EW is related to the ‘face-on’ equivalent width, EW_0 by the equation

$$EW = EW_0 / \epsilon(\theta) \quad (2.1)$$

where θ is the viewing angle with respect to the symmetry axis and $\epsilon(\theta)$ is the ‘angular emissivity function’, which describes how the continuum luminosity from the disc varies as a function of viewing angle. For a foreshortened disc this is simply $\epsilon(\theta) = \cos \theta$.

Thus, if BAL quasars are viewed from a larger viewing angle on average then one would expect them to possess higher EWs, with a broader distribution. It is already apparent from figure 1 that the BAL distribution mean is not systematically higher than the non-BAL mean – in fact, it is lower. This is not expected from a model in which the continuum is foreshortened and BAL outflows are at all equatorial. This problem is examined further in section 2.4. First, I will examine the motivations for different forms of $\epsilon(\theta)$ in AGN and quasars.

2.3 The Angular Distribution of Emission from an Accretion Disc

The most widely-used theoretical model for an accretion disc was proposed by Shakura & Sunyaev (1973; hereafter SS73). There are a number of well-documented problems when fitting AGN SEDs with SS73 accretion disc models (REFs), and there are also tensions with the ‘accretion disc size’ relation from time lags (Edelson et al. 2015) and microlensing (Morgan et al. 2010). Despite these problems, Capellupo et al. (2015) recently had some success fitting VLT XSHOOTER spectra of AGN when the effects of GR, mass-loss and comptonisation were included. In this section, I start by discussing the angular distribution of emission from an SS73 disc, before discussing opacity and GR effects. In order to explore these effects, I use AGNSPEC (Hubeny et al. 2000; Davis & Hubeny 2006; Davis et al. 2007). I stress that the discussion here is not limited to SS73 discs; the only real condition for the expected angular distributions derived here is that the disc is geometrically thin and optically thick.

2.3.1 Standard Thin Disc Models

Any geometrically thin, optically thick disc will appear foreshortened and limb darkened (if temperature decreases with height from the central disc plane). Foreshortening is a simple $\cos \theta$ geometric effect, where θ is the inclination with respect to the vertical z axis, which is perpendicular to the disc plane. Limb darkening, $\eta(\theta)$, is given by

$$\eta(\theta) = a(1 + b \cos \theta), \quad (2.2)$$

where a is a normalisation constant and b governs the strength of the limb darkening. $b = 3/2$, known as the Eddington approximation tends to give good agreement with solar observations (e.g. Mihalas 1978). The two effects can be combined to give an angular emissivity function, of

$$\epsilon(\theta) = a \cos \theta \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \cos \theta\right). \quad (2.3)$$

2.3.2 Including GR, Comptonisation and Opacity Effects

In reality, limb darkening is not frequency independent and depends on the bound-free and bound-bound opacities in the disc. In addition, it has been shown that GR can ‘isotropize’ the radiation field in XRBs (Zhang et al. 1997; Muñoz-Darias et al. 2013), in some cases overcoming foreshortening effects. To assess the impact of GR and disc opacities on $\epsilon(\theta)$ I use AGNSPEC models, which uses stellar atmosphere calculations to calculate the SED in a series of annuli, before using the KERRTRANS code to calculate the emergent SED by ray-tracing along Kerr geodesics. Fig. 2.3 shows $\epsilon(\theta)$ as a function of θ for AGNSPEC models for minimally and maximally spinning BHs, compared to foreshortened and limb-darkened predictions for SS73 models. Clearly, there is very little effect; the accretion disc is still strongly anisotropic in the relevant wavebands.

2.4 Predicted EW distributions compared to observations:

A Monte Carlo approach

We assume $\epsilon(\theta) = \cos \theta$, as this is the conservative estimate.

The Monte Carlo simulation undergoes the following steps:

1. A set of isotropic angles is chosen such that $P(\theta) \propto d\Omega(\theta)$. If $\theta_{min} < \theta < \theta_{max}$ then the fake object is flagged as a mock BAL. If $\theta < \theta_{min}$ then the fake object is designated a non-BAL, and otherwise the object is ignored. To be included in the sample, the object also has to survive a selection test based on a arbitrary flux selection limit, to simulate the distribution of angles in a flux-limited sample.
2. I then construct a best estimate of the intrinsic (i.e. ‘face-on’) EW distribution for non-BAL quasars. This is done via a χ^2 minimisation, by finding the gaussian with μ and σ which best reproduces the observed distribution when convolved with the non-BAL angles generated in the previous step. a χ^2 minimisation.
3. For each mock sample, a EW_0 is drawn from the intrinsic gaussian.
4. A mock EW is estimated such that $EW = EW_0/\epsilon(\theta)$, and this process is repeated to build up a mock sample of objects.




FIGURE 2.3: Monochromatic continuum luminosities from AGNSPEC and classical thin disc models.

5. The number of objects in the mock sample with $\theta_{min} < \theta < \theta_{max}$ is recorded, providing an estimate of the expected BAL fraction for this wind geometry. This already includes a selection effect for the weaker continuum flux.
6. The value of the K-S test statistic is recorded, in which the mock BAL sample is compared to the real BAL sample. The mean and variance of the mock sample is also recorded. This allows us to ascertain which regions of parameter space best fit the observed BAL distribution

This process is repeated for a grid of θ_{min} and θ_{max} . The results are shown in figure 2.5, in which the mean, standard deviation and f_{BAL} are shown as a function of θ_{min} and




FIGURE 2.4: The geometry of the toy model used to carry out the Monte Carlo simulations

θ_{max} . As expected, equatorial viewing angles are strongly disfavoured.

2.5 Discussion

I have demonstrated that the EW distributions of the O III 5007Å emission line in quasars is not consistent with a model in which BALs are viewed from equatorial angles and the continuum emission originates from a foreshortened accretion disc. This conclusion would be strengthened were I to include limb darkening. This conclusion is extendible to the broad emission lines (IS IT?), with the caveat that those lines are dipole transitions and so opacity effects can change the angular distribution of emission. A number of other observations...




FIGURE 2.5: Contour plots from the Monte Carlo simulations.

Par. A	Par. B	$r_{corr,AB}$ (non- BALs)	$r_{corr,AB}$ (BALs)
$\log[\text{EW}[\text{O III}]]$	$\text{FWHM}[\text{H}\beta]$	0.14	0.18
$\log[\text{EW}[\text{O III}]]$	R_{FeII}	-0.51	-0.67
$\text{FWHM}[\text{H}\beta]$	R_{FeII}	-0.26	-0.42

TABLE 2.1: Eigenvector 1 correlation coefficients

2.5.1 Eigenvector 1

Eigenvector 1 (EV1) is a fundamental parameter space for AGN and quasars (Boroson & Green 1992; Sulentic et al. 2000; Marziani et al. 2001; Shen & Ho 2014). It relates the FWHM of H β , FWHM[H β], the relative iron strength, R_{FeH} and the EW[O III]. Both EW[O III] and FWHM[H β] have been used as orientation indicators, and so comparing the BAL EV1 distribution to the non-BAL EV1 distribution is particularly interesting.

Fig. 2.6 shows the quasar distribution from sample A in EV1 parameter space, with BAL quasars from samples A and B overplotted. Shen & Ho (2014) suggest that the main inclination driver in the figure is FWHM[H β], and that high inclination sources should thus cluster around a diagonal line from the lower right to upper left quadrants. Conversely, Risaliti et al. (2011) would suggest that high inclination sources should cluster around high EW OIII widths. As EW[O III] and FWHM[H β] are very weakly correlated (Spearman's rank coefficient of 0.13), this means they should lie to the left of the parameter space. Inspection of the figure shows that BAL quasars are not exclusively found in one region of the EV1 parameter space. In order to assess this more quantitatively, I have shown

Overall, the behaviour of FWHM[H β] strengthens the above conclusion that BAL quasars are not generally viewed from high inclinations.

2.5.2 Radio Observations

Figure ? shows the equivalent width distributions in radio-loud quasars, split into core or lobe dominated. This designation is commonly used as an orientation indicator (Orr & Browne 1982; Wills & Brotherton 1995). Although th

In this case, we can see that A full investigation of this is beyond the scope

2.5.3 Polarisation

Polarisation measurements of BAL quasars tend to show two properties. The first is a polarisation angle of $\gtrsim 60^\circ$ with respect to the radio jet axis in RL source (Brotherton et al. 2006). The second is a polarisation percentage of around 2.4 times greater, on




FIGURE 2.6: Eigenvector 1 for BAL and non-BAL quasars. FWHM of the H β line plotted against the relative iron strength, R_{FeII} . The colour coding corresponds to the EW of OIII. The dots mark all quasars from sample A, while the squares mark those with Mg II BALs. The triangles show the HST BAL quasars from sample B.

average, than the non-BAL population. The polarisation percentages of a sample of BAL quasars from REF are compared to the Type I and Type II AGN populations from REF in Fig. 2.8.

The polarisation properties of BAL quasars offer some of the best insights into the geometries of BAL outflows, some of which appear to be in contrast with the conclusions drawn from emission line and radio properties.

2.5.4 Theoretical Considerations

Discuss Proga models: They tend to rise fairly equatorially (see eg. PK04) Talk to Nick?




FIGURE 2.7: Distribution of FWHM[H β] in BAL and non-BAL quasars.

2.6 Conclusions

I have explored the emission line properties of BAL and non-BAL quasars and found that they are inconsistent with a unification picture in which BAL outflows rise equatorially from a foreshortened or limb darkened accretion disc. Based on these findings, it is possible to construct a few possible scenarios that are not ruled out by the above results. These conclusions have the caveat that I have assumed that conclusions drawn about LoBAL quasars can be extended to BAL quasars in general.

- *Scenario 1:* The quasar continuum is roughly isotropic, which is not expected from a geometrically thin, optically thick accretion disc. I have demonstrated that general relativistic effects cannot account for this discrepancy in the UV. Reprocessing by surrounding dense plasma with a large covering factor or limb brightening in the disc may provide possible explanations which this analysis cannot yet confirm or refute.
- *Scenario 2:* Quasar discs are strongly anisotropic, as expected from a geometrically thin, optically thick accretion disc. In this case, BAL outflows cannot only




FIGURE 2.8: *Top:* Polarisation percentages as a function of measured inclination from Marin et al. (2015) for Type I and Type II AGN. *Bottom:* Histograms of polarisation percentages for BAL quasars from Schmidt et al. (1999) together with the Marin et al. (2015) AGN sample.

emerge at extreme inclinations and should instead be seen at very similar angles to non-BAL quasars. Polarisation measurements need to be reconciled with this hypothesis. I recommend that future RT modelling efforts explore different outflow geometries and that detailed polarisation modelling is undertaken to constrain the outflow opening angles.

- **Scenario 3:** The geometric unification model does not explain the incidence of BALs in quasars, or requires an additional component which is *time-dependent*, such as an evolutionary or accretion state origin for BAL outflows. In this scenario, BAL quasars would be seen from very similar angles to non-BAL quasars. However, the evidence for this is limited and there is no good model for why outflows would exist only for $\sim 20\%$ of a quasar's lifetime. Even if this is the case, then the covering factor of the outflow still needs to be constrained in order to estimate the BAL duty cycle.

Regardless of the conclusions about BAL quasars and their outflow geometries, this analysis allows conclusions to be drawn about the *overall* quasar population. In scenario 1, the EW[O III] distribution of quasars cannot be driven by inclination as suggested by (Risaliti et al. 2011). It is also clear from the work presented here that $\log R$, EW[O III] and FWHM H β are *cannot all* be reliable orientation indicators. Furthermore, if a geometric unification does explain BAL quasars, then absorption effects cannot be responsible for the observed EW[O III] distribution. The above conclusions each pose a different challenge to the current understanding of, respectively, accretion physics, polarisation measurements and geometric unification models. This work therefore adds to the growing evidence that our simplest models are not sufficient to describe the overall quasars and that alternatives should be sought.

Chapter 3

Conclusions

“...and the credits rave as the critics roll.”

Mike Vennart, Silent/Transparent

In the first study I demonstrated that accretion disc winds can have a profound impact on the optical spectra of CVs – a region of the spectrum often assumed to have nothing to do with outflows.

In chapter 5, I applied similar techniques to the question of quasar unification.

3.1 Suggestions for Future Work

3.1.1 CVs as Accretion and Outflow Laboratories

3.1.2 Improving the Treatment of Clumping

3.1.3 Expanding the Capabilities of python

3.1.4 Obtaining Reliable Orientation Indicators

3.2 Closing Remarks

Bibliography

- Arav N., Becker R. H., Laurent-Muehleisen S. A., Gregg M. D. et al., 1999a, ApJ 524, 566
- Arav N., Korista K. T., de Kool M., Junkkarinen V. T., Begelman M. C., 1999b, ApJ 516, 27
- Borguet B. C. J., Edmonds D., Arav N., Dunn J., Kriss G. A., 2012, ApJ 751, 107
- Boroson T. A., Green R. F., 1992, ApJs 80, 109
- Brandt W. N., Laor A., Wills B. J., 2000, ApJ 528, 637
- Brotherton M. S., De Breuck C., Schaefer J. J., 2006, MNRAS 372, L58
- Brotherton M. S., Tran H. D., van Breugel W., Dey A., Antonucci R., 1997, ApJ Letters 487, L113
- Capellupo D. M., Netzer H., Lira P., Trakhtenbrot B., Mejía-Restrepo J., 2015, MNRAS 446, 3427
- Dai X., Shankar F., Sivakoff G. R., 2012, ApJ 757, 180
- Davis S. W., Hubeny I., 2006, ApJs 164, 530
- Davis S. W., Woo J.-H., Blaes O. M., 2007, ApJ 668, 682
- de Kool M., Begelman M. C., 1995, ApJ 455, 448
- DiPompeo M. A., Brotherton M. S., Cales S. L., Runnoe J. C., 2012a, MNRAS 427, 1135
- DiPompeo M. A., Brotherton M. S., De Breuck C., 2012b, ApJ 752, 6
- Edelson R., Gelbord J. M., Horne K., McHardy I. M. et al., 2015, ApJ 806, 129

- Elvis M., 2000, ApJ 545, 63
- Eracleous M., Halpern J. P., 1994, ApJs 90, 1
- Filiz Ak N., Brandt W. N., Hall P. B., Schneider D. P. et al., 2014, ApJ 791, 88
- Gallagher S. C., Brandt W. N., Chartas G., Priddey R. et al., 2006, ApJ 644, 709
- Goodrich R. W., 1997, ApJ 474, 606
- Green P. J., Aldcroft T. L., Mathur S., Wilkes B. J., Elvis M., 2001, ApJ 558, 109
- Green P. J., Mathur S., 1996, ApJ 462, 637
- Grupe D., Mathur S., Elvis M., 2003, AJ 126, 1159
- Hamann F., Chartas G., McGraw S., Rodriguez Hidalgo P. et al., 2013, MNRAS 435, 133
- Hamann W.-R., Koesterke L., 1998, A&A 335, 1003
- Hamann W.-R., Oskinova L. M., Feldmeier A., 2008, in W.-R. Hamann, A. Feldmeier, L. M. Oskinova (eds.), Clumping in Hot-Star Winds, 75
- Hillier D. J., Miller D. L., 1999, ApJ 519, 354
- Hubeny I., Agol E., Blaes O., Krolik J. H., 2000, ApJ 533, 710
- Knigge C., Long K. S., Wade R. A., Baptista R. et al., 1998, ApJ 499, 414
- Knigge C., Scaringi S., Goad M. R., Cottis C. E., 2008, MNRAS 386, 1426
- Krolik J. H., Voit G. M., 1998, ApJ Letters 497, L5
- Kurosawa R., Proga D., 2009, ApJ 693, 1929
- Lamy H., Hutsemékers D., 2000, A&A 356, L9
- Laor A., Brandt W. N., 2002, ApJ 569, 641
- Lazarova M. S., Canalizo G., Lacy M., Sajina A., 2012, ApJ 755, 29
- Marziani P., Sulentic J. W., Zwitter T., Dultzin-Hacyan D., Calvani M., 2001, ApJ 558, 553
- Mathur S., Green P. J., Arav N., Brotherton M. et al., 2000, ApJ Letters 533, L79

- Matthews J. H., Knigge C., Long K. S., Sim S. A. et al., 2016, MNRAS
- Mihalas D., 1978, Stellar atmospheres /2nd edition/
- Morgan C. W., Kochanek C. S., Morgan N. D., Falco E. E., 2010, ApJ 712, 1129
- Muñoz-Darias T., Coriat M., Plant D. S., Ponti G. et al., 2013, MNRAS 432, 1330
- Murray N., Chiang J., Grossman S. A., Voit G. M., 1995, ApJ 451, 498
- Netzer H., 1990, in R. D. Blandford, H. Netzer, L. Woltjer, T. J.-L. Courvoisier, M. Mayor (eds.), Active Galactic Nuclei, p. 57
- O'Dowd M. J., Bate N. F., Webster R. L., Labrie K., Rogers J., 2015, ArXiv e-prints
- Orr M. J. L., Browne I. W. A., 1982, MNRAS 200, 1067
- Proga D., 2005, in J.-M. Hameury, J.-P. Lasota (eds.), The Astrophysics of Cataclysmic Variables and Related Objects, Vol. 330 of *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, 103
- Reichard T. A., Richards G. T., Hall P. B., Schneider D. P. et al., 2003, AJ 126, 2594
- Risaliti G., Salvati M., Marconi A., 2011, MNRAS 411, 2223
- Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, A&A 24, 337
- Shen Y., Ho L. C., 2014, Nature 513, 210
- Shen Y., Richards G. T., Strauss M. A., Hall P. B. et al., 2011, ApJs 194, 45
- Shlosman I., Vitello P., 1993, ApJ 409, 372
- Sim S. A., Proga D., Kurosawa R., Long K. S. et al., 2012, MNRAS 426, 2859
- Sulentic J. W., Zwitter T., Marziani P., Dultzin-Hacyan D., 2000, ApJ Letters 536, L5
- Trump J. R., Hall P. B., Reichard T. A., Richards G. T. et al., 2006, ApJs 165, 1
- Urrutia T., Becker R. H., White R. L., Glikman E. et al., 2009, ApJ 698, 1095
- Šurlan B., Hamann W.-R., Kubát J., Osokinova L. M., Feldmeier A., 2012, A&A 541, A37
- Weymann R. J., Morris S. L., Foltz C. B., Hewett P. C., 1991, ApJ 373, 23

Wills B. J., Brotherton M. S., 1995, ApJ Letters 448, L81

Yong S. Y., Webster R. L., King A. L., 2016, PASA 33, e009

Zhang S. N., Cui W., Chen W., 1997, ApJ Letters 482, L155

Zhou H., Wang T., Wang H., Wang J. et al., 2006, ApJ 639, 716