TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Title/Draft No.			Author(s)					
Lakes, landscapes, and R: A framework for open research on freshwater cyanobacteria			Jeffrey Hollister and Bryan Milstead					
			Project Officer/Organization/Address					
Date Review Required Date Review Required								
12/06/17	12/08/17							
Type of Publication/Audience		Reviewer/Org	ganization/Address					
Poster Presentation at USIALE			STEPHEN SHIVERS					
Review Coordinator (e.g., PO	ervisor) JTEP		4EN 3HIVE12					
You are asked to review and comment on the attached manuscript. Feel free to make notations on the manuscript as well as in the comments section below, particularly regarding your recommendations for revisions. If you are unable to review the manuscript by the required date above, please return it now. Your suggestions for alternate or additional reviewers will be welcomed.								
SUN	IMARY RA	TING		RECOMMENDATIONS				
Please rate the manuscript as	follows:	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	(1) Acceptable as is				
Content and scope		\bowtie		(2) Acceptable after minor revision				
Organization and presentation		\boxtimes		(3) Acceptable after major revision				
Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques		X		(4) Not acceptable				
Soundness of conclusions		\boxtimes		If you have checked either 3 or 4,				
Editorial quality		\boxtimes		please specifically state reason(s) in the comments space below				
Other (specify)				1				
				Reviewer's Signature Date				
Comments: (Use Extra sheets it	f needed)							
Comments provided on attached abstract								
	-							

techManuscriptRevEPA363.xsn 7-7-09

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Title/Draft No.			Author(s)	Author(s)				
Lakes, landscapes, and R: A framework for open research on freshwater cyanobacteria			Jeffrey Hollister and Bryan Milstead					
			Project Officer/Organization/Address					
Date Review Requested	Date Review Requested Date Review Required							
12/06/17	12/08/17							
Type of Publication/Audience			Reviewer/Org	ganization/Address				
Poster Presentation at USIAL		folly Kri	eakic					
Review Coordinator (e.g., PO,	ervisor)	7						
You are asked to review and comment on the attached manuscript. Feel free to make notations on the manuscript as well as in the comments section below, particularly regarding your recommendations for revisions. If you are unable to review the manuscript by the required date above, please return it now. Your suggestions for alternate or additional reviewers will be welcomed.								
SUMMARY RATING				RECOMMENDATIONS				
Please rate the manuscript as follows:		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	(1) Acceptable as is				
Content and scope		B		(2) Acceptable after minor revision				
Organization and presentation				(3) Acceptable after major revision				
Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques				(4) Not acceptable				
Soundness of conclusions				If you have checked either 3 or 4, please specifically state reason(s) in the comments space below				
Editorial quality								
Other (specify)								
				Reviewer's Signature 12/6/2017 Date				
Comments: (Use Extra sheets if needed)								
Nicely done.								

techManuscriptRevEPA363.xsn 7-7-09