Title/Draft No.			CRIPT REVIEW FORM Author(s)				
Open Science: A Zealot's View							
			Project Officer/Organization/Address				
Date Review Requested	sted Date Review Required						
03/25/15	(03/25/15					
Type of Publication/Audience			Reviewer/Organization/Address				
Presentation at NEERS			Autumn Oczkowski				
Review Coordinator (e.g., PO, TIM, Supervisor)							
the comments section below, par manuscript by the required date a welcomed.	ticularly rega above, pleas	arding your recon e return it now. Y	nmendations for re	make notations on the manuscript as well as in evisions. If you are unable to review the or alternate or additional reviewers will be			
SUMMARY RATING				RECOMMENDATIONS			
Please rate the manuscript as follows:		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	(1) Acceptable as is			
Content and scope		Y	Г	C (2) Acceptable after minor revision			
Organization and presentation)r		(3) Acceptable after major revision			
Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques		7	Г	← (4) Not acceptable			
Soundness of conclusions		E	Г	If you have checked either 3 or 4, please specifically state reason(s)			
Editorial quality		5		in the comments space below			
Other (specify)			Г Г	Reviewer's Signature Date			
Comments: (Use Extra sheets if needed)							
	06	105 g	004,				

EPA-363 (Cin)

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Title/Draft No.		(E 101) (1 (O O	Author(s)	and the control of th				
Open Science: A Zealot's V	iew							
			Project Officer/Organization/Address					
*			US EPA ORD AED					
Date Review Requested	ate Review Requested Date Review Required			27 Tarzwell Drive Narragansett, RI 02882				
03/25/15	(03/25/15	Nurragansen	Authori, Pa 02002				
Type of Publication/Audience			Reviewer/Organization/Address					
Conference Abstract			Betty Kreakie US EPA ORD AED					
Review Coordinator (e.g., PO	ervisor) US EPA OR 27 Tarzwell							
		Narragansett, RI 02882						
You are asked to review and comment on the attached manuscript. Feel free to make notations on the manuscript as well as in the comments section below, particularly regarding your recommendations for revisions. If you are unable to review the manuscript by the required date above, please return it now. Your suggestions for alternate or additional reviewers will be welcomed.								
SUM	MARY RA	RECOMMENDATIONS						
Please rate the manuscript as	s follows:	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	√ (1) Acceptable as is				
Content and scope				(2) Acceptable after minor revision				
Organization and presentation	n			(3) Acceptable after major revision				
Quality of data and validity of techniques	analytical			(4) Not acceptable				
Soundness of conclusions				If you have checked either 3 or 4,				
Editorial quality				please specifically state reason(s) in the comments space below				
Other (specify)				2 1.11				
		_ 🗆		Reviewer's Signature Date				
Comments: (Use Extra sheets i	if needed)							
52								

EPA-363 (Cin)