

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Title/Draft No.		Author(s)	
Open Science: A Zealot's View			
		Project Officer/Organization/Address	
Date Review Requested	Date Review Required		
03/26/15	03/26/15		
Type of Publication/Audience		Reviewer/Organization/Address	
Presentation at NEERS		Joe LiVolsi	
Review Coordinator (e.g., PO, TIM, Supervisor)			

You are asked to review and comment on the attached manuscript. Feel free to make notations on the manuscript as well as in the comments section below, particularly regarding your recommendations for revisions. If you are unable to review the manuscript by the required date above, please return it now. Your suggestions for alternate or additional reviewers will be welcomed.

SUMMARY RATING			RECOMMENDATIONS
Please rate the manuscript as follows:	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	<input checked="" type="radio"/> (1) Acceptable as is <input type="radio"/> (2) Acceptable after minor revision <input type="radio"/> (3) Acceptable after major revision <input type="radio"/> (4) Not acceptable
Content and scope	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If you have checked either 3 or 4, please specifically state reason(s) in the comments space below <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> Reviewer's Signature </div> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;"> Date <u>3/26/15</u> </div>
Organization and presentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Soundness of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Editorial quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other (specify) _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Comments: (Use Extra sheets if needed)

No GAPP necessary