

# Porygon Language

## A Data Manipulation Language

### Third Stage: Syntax Analysis

Juliana Mayumi Hosoume

Universidade de Brasília  
Departamento de Ciência da Computação  
Compilers 2020.1 - A - Prof. Cláudia Nalon  
ju.hosoume@gmail.com 18/0048864

**Abstract.** Processing and pattern recognition performed on a huge amount of data in an optimized manner is a daunting task. In order to surpass these caveats, a programming language based on the C language is presented. This language features a new type to represent a data table. In the first stage of development, the design and motivations for the development of this language were highlighted. In the second stage, the emphasis is on the lexical analysis, therefore the patterns for lexeme recognition and the creation of tokens that will be forwarded to the parser are detailed. Moreover, the token information that will be stored in the symbol table structure is presented. In the current and third stage, a syntax analysis for the Porygon language is designed.

**Keywords:** Compiler · Programming Language · Data Science

## 1 Introduction

Since the early 1960s, programming languages, such as FORTRAN and ALGOL 60, aid programmers in the task of implementing algorithms (BG96). These languages are abstractions, a set of instructions that can be used to express a computation (ALSU07). With the diversification of problems solved by computers, a myriad of programming languages was developed to best suit different domains (Ban14).

Data science is a novel and growing domain of computer science. The increase of computational power has enabled the manipulation and study of a massive amount of data. In this sense, many languages assist and automate data mining and statistical evaluation of data. Python and R are examples of widely used programming languages in this field (SPB<sup>+</sup>18).

In this work, there is an effort to design a language geared toward data manipulation. This language, named Porygon, should make data efficiently accessible and organized. For that purpose, a table data structure is suggested to augment the C language. To this end, a compiler to translate Porygon to three address code will be constructed.

In the first stages of development of the Porygon language, the design, motivation, grammar, and a summary of the language semantics were introduced. In the previous stage, the lexical analysis project of the language is exposed. In the lexical analysis, the source program is read by the scanner and, then, divided by the scanner into lexemes according to defined patterns. Finally, with the gathered information, a stream of tokens is generated and sent to the parser (ALSU07).

The design of the lexical analyzer is key to the performance of the compiler (Wai86). To promote the process of lexical analysis, the Fast Lexical Analyzer (*flex*) (PEM12) software is used. This

tool generates a scanner based on supplied patterns. The patterns are defined by a set of regular expressions and, with the aid of C code, describe the desired scanner to the *flex* program.

In the current stage, a syntax analysis was developed. The development was aided by the GNU Bison (CT20) software. The previous lexical analyzer communicates with the syntax analyzer using tokens. In accordance with the tokens matched in the input program, the automaton generated by the bison groups the tokens logically (LJ09). The automaton is constructed based on the defined grammar rules of the language. Simultaneously, the abstract syntax tree is constructed. This structure will be used in the semantic phase.

In the next section (Section 2), the motivations for the new language decisions will be presented. Following, a brief introduction to Porygon syntax and semantics are shown (Sections 3.2 and 3.8). Next, the lexical analyzer is detailed (Section 3.1). Specifically, the regular expressions, token information, and symbol table structure are described. Furthermore, the error handling of the scanner is defined.

## 2 Motivation

The C language is a general-purpose, widely used programming language (KR88). Nonetheless, this language only offers structs and arrays as built-in data structures. The provided indexing of arrays is lacking and does not allow easy data accessing. Despite these caveats, C language provides constructs that map to machine instructions and is a well-established language, with many compilers for different hardware.

Tables and arrays are data structures of paramount importance to data manipulation. They facilitate data organization and inspection. The Porygon language is designed to be an extension of the C language, implementing both tables and easy array indexing. Calculation of statistical measures and preprocessing of data, such as noise detecting and feature selection, are manageable when proper a data structure is applied. However, tables are complex in the sense that they mix different data types and pose a challenge to efficiently manage memory and to type check. Besides that, a collection of data should be easily accessed, thus suitable operations for collections should be performed in an efficient manner.

## 3 Formal Description of the Language

Here, the proposed grammar of the Porygon language is presented. The basic patterns are further detailed in Lexical Analysis (Section 3.1). The grammar and its modifications are shown in Sections 3.2 and 3.3.

### 3.1 Lexical Analysis

The *flex* program needs an input file that defines rules. Each rule is an association of a regular expression, or pattern, and an action (PEM12). Next, regular expressions and auxiliary definitions are presented. Followed by the token definition with a brief description of the symbol table design. Finally, the scanner error handling and testing are described.

**Patterns** In the algorithm of the Porygon language scanner, after the match of a pattern, the current line is updated and then the token type of the pattern is returned, accompanied by the information provided by the *flex* in the *yylen* (length of lexeme) and the *yytext* (characters of the lexeme) global variables. Two names are defined to assist in pattern formation.

```
1 DIGIT [0-9]
2 LETTER [a-zA-Z]
```

Besides the simple definitions of digits and letters accepted, two starting conditions, a functionality of the *flex*, are stated. These are used to define the beginning of comments in the language. All comments are ignored by the scanner. The */\** and *\*/* mark the beginning and the end of block comments, which can span multiple lines. If */\** starts a comment block and a *\*/* is not found, the program considers all text after */\** until EOF as a comment block. In turn, *//* marks the beginning of line comments that end with *\n*. Both comments are based on the C language standard (KR88).

```
1 %x COMMENTLINE
2 %x COMMENTBLOCK
```

The patterns to define the comments are then expressed in terms of the starting conditions. For simplicity, the function to update the column and line is not shown in the codes here.

```
1 " /*" { BEGIN(COMMENTBLOCK); }
2 <COMMENTBLOCK>" */" { BEGIN(INITIAL); }
3 <COMMENTBLOCK>\n { ; }
4 <COMMENTBLOCK>. { ; }
5
6 "//" { BEGIN(COMMENTLINE); }
7 <COMMENTLINE>\n { BEGIN(INITIAL); }
8 <COMMENTLINE>. { ; }
```

For the eight keywords in the language, the regular expressions are easily defined. The same is true for the seven variable types, eleven syntax symbols, and fourteen operators.

- **Keywords:**  
"while" "for" "in" "if" "else" "return" "read" "write"
- **Variable Types:**  
"char" "string" "int" "float" "table" "bool" "void"
- **Operators:**  
" + " " - " " \* " " / " " % " " ! " " < " " <= " " > " " >= " " ! = " " == " " || " " && "
- **Symbols:**  
" { " " } " [ " " ] " ( " " ) " : " " : " " ; " " | " " = " " , "

The regular expressions of some constants are more complex, except for the boolean constants.

- **Boolean Constants:**  
"true" "false"
- **Number Constants:**  
[ \ + \ - ]? { DIGIT } + RE to identify integer constant  
[ \ + \ - ]? { DIGIT } + "." { DIGIT } \* RE to identify float constant

– **Char Constants:**

<code>'(.)'</code>	RE to identify char constant
<code>"(.)"</code>	RE to identify string constant

For the char constants, some improvements can be made. It may be necessary to check certain characters like `\` followed by `"` or `/`. The length of constant chars will be assessed, more details in Section 3.4.

The identifier follows the C standard. Any identifier should contain only characters, digits and underscore. An identifier cannot begin with a digit and cannot contain whitespace. The Porygon language is case sensitive. Thus, *num*, *indx*, *value*, *meanProfit*, *\_cases* are all examples of valid identifiers.

– **Identifiers:**

`({LETTER}|" _")({LETTER}|{DIGIT}|" _")*`

Lastly, there are two rules. One for recognizing the whitespace, including space, newline and tabs, which are all ignored. The other one matches all the possible lexemes. This rule is useful to define a proper action for unknown lexemes.

– **Break line, tabs and whitespace:**

`[ \n \t \f \v \r]`

– **Default Rule:**

`.`

The pattern order of definition is important. If two or more matches are found of the same length, the pattern that comes first is the selected one. Therefore, constants, identifiers and the default rule are the last ones listed. All these patterns were directly implemented in the patterns section of a *.l* flex input file.

**Tokens** The design proposed for the Porygon language delegates the management of tokens to the parser. Whilst the scanner generates the tokens based on the tokenization process, the parser inserts them on the symbol table, since the parser is more informed than the scanner about the identifiers (ALSU07). In this context, the scanner creates token instances composed of token type, attribute value and the token location in the source file.

The token type specifies the token and aids the parser in the syntax checking process. The attribute value defines exactly the lexeme found. In the case of the Porygon language, the created token has its value as a text that will be handled further in the compilation process. The location is the number of the line and the column in which the lexeme was found in the source code. This information may be useful to indicate semantic errors further in the compilation process.

```

1 struct token create_token(enum token_type tok_type, const char * value, int
   line, int col) {
2     struct token tok;
3     tok.tok_type = tok_type;
4     tok.att_value = value;
5     tok.line = line;
6     tok.column = col;
7     return tok;
8 }

```

In order to indicate all the different matches to the parser, a total of 48 token types are needed. Additionally, three more token types are specified to report errors found by the scanner. The use of these tokens is specified in Section 3.4. The tokens types are redefined by the bison generated syntax analyzer output. Therefore, the token type names are maintained, however, the numbers were redefined accordingly.

### 3.2 BNF

The grammar of this language uses the notation presented in Section 2.2.1 of Aho et al. book (ALSU07). In the following, terminals are the constants specified in the lexical definitions, operator symbols and boldface strings. The nonterminals are denoted using lowercase, italic fonts. Note that in table definition the ”|” is used to assist in the table definition, so in the context of Rule 8, it does not mean an *or*. The symbol  $\epsilon$  represents the empty string.

1. *declarationList*  $\rightarrow$  *declarationList* *declaration*  
| *declaration*
2. *declaration*  $\rightarrow$  *varDeclaration* ;  
| *functDeclaration*

---

3. *varDeclaration*  $\rightarrow$  *varSimpleDeclaration*  
| *varSimpleDeclaration* = *logicalOrExp*  
| *arrayDeclaration*  
| *arrayDeclaration* = *arrayDefinition*  
| *tableDeclaration*  
| *tableDeclaration* = *tableDefinition*
4. *varSimpleDeclaration*  $\rightarrow$  *typeSpecifier* **ID**
5. *arrayDeclaration*  $\rightarrow$  *typeSpecifier* **ID** [ ]
6. *arrayDefinition*  $\rightarrow$  [ *constList* ]
7. *tableDeclaration*  $\rightarrow$  **table** *typeSpecifier* **ID**[ ]
8. *tableDefinition*  $\rightarrow$  |( *stringList* ) : *columnContent* |
9. *constList*  $\rightarrow$  *constant*  
| *constList* , *constant*
10. *stringList*  $\rightarrow$  STRINGCONST  
| *stringList*, STRINGCONST
11. *columnContent*  $\rightarrow$  ( *constList* )  
| *columnContent* , ( *constList* )

---

12. *functDeclaration*  $\rightarrow$  *typeSpecifier* **ID** ( *parameterList* ) *compoundStmt*  
| *typeSpecifier* **ID** ( ) *compoundStmt*
13. *parameterList*  $\rightarrow$  *parameterDeclaration*  
| *parameterList* , *parameterDeclaration*
14. *parameterDeclaration*  $\rightarrow$  *typeSpecifier* **ID**  
| **void**

---

15. *compoundStmt*  $\rightarrow$  { }  
| { *statementList* }
16. *statementList*  $\rightarrow$  *statement*  
| *statementList* *statement*

17.  $statement \rightarrow varDeclaration ;$   
 $\quad | \quad expression ;$   
 $\quad | \quad iterationStmt$   
 $\quad | \quad conditionalStmt$   
 $\quad | \quad returnStmt ;$   
 $\quad | \quad \mathbf{read} ( ID ) ;$   
 $\quad | \quad \mathbf{write} ( baseValue ) ;$
  18.  $iterationStmt \rightarrow \mathbf{while} ( expression ) compoundStmt$   
 $\quad | \quad \mathbf{for} ( typeSpecifier ID ) \mathbf{in} ID compoundStmt$
  19.  $conditionalStmt \rightarrow ifSmtm \ elseStmt$
  20.  $ifSmtm \rightarrow \mathbf{if} ( expression ) compoundStmt$
  21.  $elseStmt \rightarrow \mathbf{else} compoundStmt \mid \epsilon$
  22.  $returnStmt \rightarrow \mathbf{return}$   
 $\quad | \quad \mathbf{return} expression$
- 
23.  $expression \rightarrow logicalOrExp$   
 $\quad | \quad mutable = logicalOrExp$
  24.  $logicalOrExp \rightarrow logicalAndExp$   
 $\quad | \quad logicalOrExp \parallel logicalAndExp$
  25.  $logicalAndExp \rightarrow equalityExp$   
 $\quad | \quad logicalAndExp \ \&\& \ equalityExp$
  26.  $equalityExp \rightarrow relationExp$   
 $\quad | \quad equalityExp == relationExp$   
 $\quad | \quad equalityExp != relationExp$
  27.  $relationExp \rightarrow sumExp$   
 $\quad | \quad relationExp > sumExp$   
 $\quad | \quad relationExp < sumExp$   
 $\quad | \quad relationExp >= sumExp$   
 $\quad | \quad relationExp <= sumExp$
  28.  $sumExp \rightarrow multExp$   
 $\quad | \quad sumExp + mulExp$   
 $\quad | \quad sumExp - mulExp$
  29.  $multExp \rightarrow unaryExp$   
 $\quad | \quad mulExp * unaryExp$   
 $\quad | \quad mulExp / unaryExp$   
 $\quad | \quad mulExp \% unaryExp$
  30.  $unaryExp \rightarrow baseValue$   
 $\quad | \quad !unaryExp$   
 $\quad | \quad -unaryExp$
  31.  $mutable \rightarrow ID$   
 $\quad | \quad ID [ expression ]$   
 $\quad | \quad ID [ expression : expression ]$   
 $\quad | \quad ID [ expression : expression : expression ]$
  32.  $baseValue \rightarrow constant$   
 $\quad | \quad functCall$   
 $\quad | \quad mutable$   
 $\quad | \quad ( expression )$
  33.  $functCall \rightarrow ID ( args )$
  34.  $args \rightarrow argList \mid \epsilon$
  35.  $argList \rightarrow expression, argList \mid expression$

36. *constant*  $\rightarrow$  INTCONST  
     | FLOATCONST  
     | BOOLEANCONST  
     | CHARCONST  
     | STRINGCONST  
 37. *typeSpecifier*  $\rightarrow$  **char** | **int** | **float** | **bool** | **string** | **void**

*Rule 1:* start symbol of the program.

*Rules 7, 8, 9 and 31:* main differences from Porygon to the C language. These rules are associated with tables and indexing. *One issue of the previous report was the lack of explanation for the notation of the table definition. In this case, this notation is used to properly identify the definition of a table type. Since this type has two main components, the labels and the data, the use of a simple array notation does allow the distinction between the two structures for the definition.*

*Rule 18:* suggested syntax of a loop through elements of an array.

*Rule 31:* when type checking this rule, the expressions used for indexing should only have INTCONST as the computed value.

**Grammatical Changes** Minor changes were made in the grammar. All the expected features remain, nonetheless some rules were modified to solve some shift/reduce conflicts. Besides, now the language also includes expression inside parentheses. Following, the main changes in the rules are presented:

*Rule 6:* Braces were replaced by brackets, since brackets are used to limit scope.

*Rule 14:* Functions can have no parameter or a void specification.

*Rule 17:* Since the read function is hard-coded in the grammar, to avoid conflicts, the function was simplified. Instead of returning a valued to a mutable, it modifies a received variable.

*Rule 32:* Included rule to accept parentheses in order to indicate the precedence of expressions.

### 3.3 Syntax Analysis

**Symbol Table** The symbol table is structured as a hash table. The symbol table has a key-value pair. The key holds the identifier name. The value is a *struct* with the information of name, type, location, scope, and attribute value. The code lines of identifiers definitions and declaration may be presented to aid in reporting some errors. There is also information whether the identifier refers to a function or a variable. To implement the hash table, the headers implemented in the uthash are used (HO18).

Because the Porygon language proposes the implementation of scopes beyond the global scope, a stack structure is required to store the scopes. The utstack (HO18) header is used to implement the stack. The scanner, by identifying brackets, increments indicators of the current scope and push or pop the scopes from the stack accordingly. This lead to some changes in the grammar. The arrays are now identified by brackets, instead of braces.

**Abstract Syntax Tree** The abstract tree is constructed based on generic nodes. The nodes can have multiple leaves and a root. All the nodes are stored in an auxiliary list of nodes. This list facilitates the organization and deallocation of all the nodes.

The scanner creates leaf nodes and hands them to the parser. The leaf nodes contain the information of the terminal tokens of the language. The parser chain the nodes together in agreement with the grammar rules. Each node is composed of information about the constructs of the language. In the next stage, all nodes will have synthesized and inherited attributes about types and other values to allow the semantic checking, as the type checking.

### 3.4 Error Handling

In the proposed language, the scanner only identifies lexical errors. These are passed to the parser as error tokens. Hence, the scanning does not stop on an error. There are three different types of lexical errors:

1. **ERR\_INVALID\_ID**: Token type returned when the identifier is longer than 32 characters. In the C language, for internal identifiers, i.e. not used in external linkage, at least the first 31 characters are significant, depending on the implementation (KR88).
2. **ERR\_INVALID\_CHARCONST**: Token type returned when the char constant, for instance `'a123'` or `'here'`, are larger than one character.
3. **ERR\_UNKNOWN\_TOKEN**: Token type returned when none of the regular expressions presented in Section 3.1 matches.

All the error tokens contain the information of the line and column, so further in the compilation process the error can be handled correctly. The *yyerror* function from the parser is used to assist in the notification of lexical errors.

In the parser, the error is handled by the *yyerror* function. All the syntax errors, or, in a simplified explanation, any sequence of tokens that does not match any of the rules, triggers the error handler and prints the detailed error in the screen (default output). In some cases, the errors are duplicated, because some errors are printed in the standard output and in the *stderr* concomitantly.

As specified in the Bison manual (CT20), the compiler should try to finish the parsing, even if some failures occur. Thus, in some rules, a Bison *error* marker was included. In most cases, the implemented parser can identify all the errors in the files, nonetheless it may halt and does not proceed to detect all the errors before some of them are fixed.

### 3.5 Testing

Multiple tests were done to check the correctness of the lexical and syntax analyzers. In the *test* directory provided along the program, there are 12 different tests files, four without lexical or syntax errors, four with examples with lexical errors and four with syntax errors. Files that start with *extra* are contributions from other students that evaluated this work. Since the parser was not build to continue the evaluation after finding the first error, not all errors are printed, usually only the first one.

The file *extra1.incorrect.prg* presents an illegal character `"?"` in line 23. Meanwhile, file *extra2.incorrect.prg* has two other examples of illegal characters. Line 14 has a `"@"` symbol and line 24 has a `"#"` symbol. The language does not contain both strings.



In the file *t1\_incorrect.prg*, more illegal characters are presented. at line 5, there are "@" and \$ symbols. Similarly, the next code from file *t2\_incorrect.prg* has errors. At line 5, the constant char has more than one character. Also, at line 8, the identifier has more than the maximum length.

```

1  /* This is a sample incorrect (lexically) input Porygon File */
2
3  int main(void) {
4      /*Incorrect char constant (bigger than one character) in line 5 col 14*/
5      char k = 'some very big char';
6      float -----;
7      /* Incorrect long definition of an identifier in line 8 col 9*/
8      int thisisnotlegalintheClanguagebecauseitistolongandshouldnotbeconsidered
9  anidentifier;
10
11 }
12
13 float someFuncn(flaot a, float b) {
14     float something = a +b;
15     return something;
16 }

```

File *t3\_incorrect.prg* has an incorrect number of parentheses at line 2, column 10. Meanwhile, in the similar file *t4\_incorrect.prg*, the duplicated symbol of sum is a syntax error, found at line 2, column 8. File *t5\_incorrect.prg* does not have the program required structure, so a syntax error is thrown at line 1. Finally, file *t6\_incorrect.prg* is missing a semi-colon at line 2, nonetheless this error is identified only in line 6, when an unexpected symbol is found.

### 3.6 Compilation and Execution

In order to make a full compilation of the scanner and parser, the flex and bison should be installed. A Makefile was made to aid in the compilation process. More information can be found in the *README.md* file. All flags needed to generate warnings are already provided. To make a full compilation of the program:

```
$ make clean_all; make flex; make bison; make;
```

In a successful compilation, a *parser* executable will be created in the *bin* directory.

The input file of the parser can be passed as an argument:

```
$ ./bin/parser tests/t2_correct.prg
```

Or from a pipe:

```
$ ./bin/parser < tests/t1_correct.prg
```

The operating system used to develop and test the implementation was Ubuntu 20.04, with gcc 9.3, flex 2.6.4 and bison 3.5.1.

### 3.7 Implementation Details

Besides the implementation of the patterns and tokens shown Section 3.1 and Section 3.1, some other functions were created. One function was created to help debugging, since the *enum* type is not easily printed out.

```
1 void print_token(struct token tok);
```

To keep track of the location of the lexemes in the source code, a function was made to update the current line and column as the lexemes are matched.

```
1 void update_pos(void);
```

The stack, symbol table and AST need to be allocated and deallocated. So a group of functions were created.

```
1 struct st_entry *symbol_table = NULL;
2 struct node_list *ast_tree_list = NULL;
3 struct tree_node *ast_root = NULL;
4
5 scope_stack *sp_stack = NULL;
6
7 /* ... */
8 ast_tree_list = initialize_list();
9 /* ... */
10
11 free_st();
12 free_list(ast_tree_list);
13 free_stack();
```

Further information can be found on comments on the source code.

### 3.8 Semantics

In this section, the definition and the declaration of a table of integers are highlighted. In the context presented, tables should associate a column name with an array. This construction is useful especially to prepare training data for machine learning algorithms.

```
1 table float balance[] = | ("id", "profit", "debt") : (0, 1, 2); (0.2, 0.9,
    108.3); (2.3, 5.3, 9.6) |;
```

After proper assignment, the information in the table should be easily accessed. Therefore, an array of the type defined is returned when the table is indexed by the column name.

```
1 balance["profit"]; // Should return [0.2, 0.9, 108.3], an array of floats
```

One other key feature is a new way of array indexing. Since tables return arrays, a slice of the table can be efficiently obtained. For that, the beginning index, end index and steps (increment) are needed.

```
1 int values[] = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9];
2 values[3:7:2];
3 // Should return [4, 6], beginning = 3, end = 7 and steps = 2
```

Frequently, performing operations through all elements of an array is necessary. To that end, a command loop that iterates over an array is a great asset.

```
1 int values[] = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9];
2 for (int value) in values {
3     write(value); // For each iteration should print from 1 to 9
4 }
```

The Porygon Language uses static scope. In other words, as in the C language, the scope of functions and variables depends on the location of the declarations and can be determined at compile time. In this case, the scope of a declaration is its own block and all the nested blocks inside, except the ones where the name is redeclared (ALSU07). In the Porygon Language, there is the global scope. Nonetheless, a scope can be defined by a block (compound statements), which, in turn, is delimited by curly braces.

The proposed language also uses static typing. Therefore, the type of a declaring is known at compile-time, so the type of a variable or function is defined in its declaration. The language goes towards a strongly typed one. In this sense, only **int** to **float** implicit conversions, or coercions, are performed in the basic arithmetic expressions. In other situations, if the types do not match in the type checking phase, a type exception is returned. The language does not provide explicit conversion, so it does not have *cast* operations.

```

1 int integer_value = 50;
2 float float_value = 2.5;
3 integer_value + float_value; //Should return 52.5 of type float
4 integer_value / float_value; // Should return 20.0 of type float

```

## Bibliography

- [ALSU07] Alfred V. Aho, Monica Lam, Ravi Sethi, and Jeffrey Ullman, editors. *Compilers: principles, techniques, & tools*. Pearson/Addison Wesley, Boston, 2nd edition, 2007. OCLC: ocm70775643.
- [Ban14] Arvind Kumar Bansal. *Introduction to programming languages*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1st edition, 2014. OCLC: 929769460.
- [BG96] Thomas J. Bergin and Richard G. Gibson, editors. *History of programming languages II*. ACM Press ; Addison-Wesley Pub. Co, New York : Reading, Mass, 1st edition, 1996. Meeting Name: History of Programming Languages Conference.
- [CT20] Robert Corbett and GNU Project Team. Bison manual. <https://www.gnu.org/software/bison/manual/>, 2020.
- [HO18] Troy D. Hanson and Arthur O’Dwyer. uthash User Guide. [http://troydhanson.github.io/uthash/userguide.html#\\_what\\_can\\_it\\_do](http://troydhanson.github.io/uthash/userguide.html#_what_can_it_do), 2018.
- [KR88] Brian W. Kernighan and Dennis M. Ritchie. *The C programming language*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J, 2nd edition, 1988.
- [LJ09] John Levine and Levine John. *Flex amp; Bison*. O’Reilly Media, Inc., 1st edition, 2009.
- [PEM12] Vern Paxson, Will Estes, and John Millaway. Lexical Analysis with Flex Manual. <http://courses.softlab.ntua.gr/compilers/2013a/flex.pdf>, 2012.
- [SPB<sup>+</sup>18] Yoav Shoham, Raymond Perrault, Erik Brynjolfsson, Jack Clark, James Manyika, Juan Carlos Niebles, Terah Lyons, John Etchemendy, and Barbara Grosz. The AI Index 2018 Annual Report. Technical report, AI Index Steering Committee, December 2018.
- [Wai86] W. M. Waite. The cost of lexical analysis. *Software: Practice and Experience*, 16(5):473–488, May 1986.