# Test 1

Full Range of motion on each finger - The device should be able to move in the full range of motion for each finger. Determine through flex sensor data in the amount of flexion (degrees).

### Scope:

- System: Robotic/assistive hand device equipped with flex sensors
- Goal: To determine if each finger achieves its full range of motion
- **Test Expectations (Hypothesis):** The device should enable each finger to achieve range of motion within 5% error of an average human's natural finger range.

#### **Administrative Details:**

- Client/Organization: UCSC BSOE CSE123A&B
- Test Conductors: Research Team under Prof. David Harrison.

### **Design of Experiment:**

- Type of test method: Controlled experiment using flex sensors to track finger flexion
  - **Significance:** Ensures the device can replicate the expected movement range of human fingers.

# **Testing Apparatus & Equipment:**

- Glove with integrated flex sensors
- Voltage divider circuit with known resistor (? ohms)
- Arduino
- Computer for data logging and visualization

**Independent variable(s):** Actuation movement signal sent to device.

Dependent variable(s): Measured flexion angle (degrees) from flex sensors

**Number of Factors:** Single-factor (flexion range per finger)

# **Sampling Procedure:**

- Sample Collection: Each finger tested individually through repeated movement cycles.
- Sample Size: Minimum of 30 repetitions per finger to ensure statistical validity.

### Procedure:

- 1. **Setup:** Mount the device securely and ensure proper calibration of flex sensors.
- 2. Baseline Measurement: Record natural rest position of each finger.
- 3. Testing:
  - Actuate each finger from full extension to full flexion.
  - Record flex sensor data at key points of movement (0°, 45°, 90°, etc.).
  - Repeat the process for each finger, ensuring consistency.

# 4. Safety Precautions:

- Ensure the device does not exceed mechanical limits to prevent damage.
- Wear protective gear when handling moving parts.

### 5. Data Collection:

- Data logged digitally via the DAQ system (laptop).
- Observations recorded manually for potential external influences.
- 6. Observation of External Factors:

- Ambient temperature variations.
- o Device vibrations and mechanical inconsistencies.
- Any potential latency in response times.

# **Expected Outcomes:**

- The device should demonstrate flexion within the expected biomechanical range (0°- 90° for DIP, 0°- 100° for PIP, 0°- 90° for MCP, depending on finger).
- Deviation beyond 2.5% of standard human range to be flagged for recalibration.
- If the device does not meet the expected range, adjustments in control algorithms and mechanical design may be necessary.

# Test 2

Ability to fit on a common hand - The device should be able to fit securely and comfortably on common hand sizes. Using straps for adjustability.

### Scope:

- **System Identified:** Robotic/assistive hand device designed for human use with adjustable straps.
- **Goal/Purpose:** To determine if the device can fit securely and comfortably on common hand sizes.
- **Parameters Defined:** Fit assessment will be conducted based on security, comfort, and adjustability of the straps.
- **Justification for Inclusion:** Ensuring the device can be worn by a range of users with different normal hand sizes is critical for usability.
- **Test Expectations (Hypothesis):** The device should fit securely around the middle of the hand and forearm using adjustable straps, providing a snug but comfortable fit.

### **Administrative Details:**

- Client/Organization: UCSC BSOE CSE123A&B
- Test Conductors: Research Team under Prof. David C. Harrison.

### **Design of Experiment:**

- Type of Testing Method: Physical fit testing using standardized hand models.
  - **Significance:** Ensures that the device can accommodate a range of hand sizes.

# **Test Apparatus & Equipment:**

- Robotic/assistive hand device with straps for adjustability.
- 3D hand model (male/female).

- Measuring tools for assessing gaps and pressure points (ruler, measuring tape, etc.).
- User feedback for qualitative comfortability assessment

#### Variables Identified:

- Independent Variable: Strap tension and hand size.
- **Dependent Variable:** Fit security, pressure distribution, and comfort level

Number of Factors Considered: Two-factor (strap-adjustability and hand size variations)

# **Sampling Procedure:**

- Sample Collection: Fit tested on different hand models.
- Sample Size: Two hand sizes tested (male and female 3D models), three trials per size

### **Procedure:**

### 1. Setup:

- o Prepare the hand models and device with adjustable straps.
- Ensure straps are at their default adjustment before each trial.

#### 2. Baseline Measurement:

 Measure the circumference of each hand model at the middle of the hand and forearm.

# 3. Testing:

- Place the device on each hand model and secure it using the straps.
- Adjust the straps for a snug but comfortable fit.
- Record strap tension using measuring tape and pressure sensors.
- Conduct subjective assessment for comfort and stability.

### 4. Safety Precautions:

- Ensure straps do not exert excessive pressure that could restrict circulation.
- Confirm that the device does not cause discomfort or excessive movement restrictions.

#### 5. Data Collection:

- Quantitative data: Strap tightness, pressure distribution, and movement stability.
- Qualitative data: User comfort feedback (1-10 scale).

### 6. Observation of External Factors:

- Impact of movement on strap security.
- Any noticeable discomfort due to prolonged wear.

#### **Expected Outcomes:**

- The device should securely fit common hand sizes without excessive gaps or pressure points.
- The adjustable straps should allow for a customized fit without discomfort.
- If fit issues arise, modifications to strap length, padding, or buckle placement may be necessary.

# Test 3

Durability of the device - The device should have enough structural integrity to survive repeated usage and external forces.

### Scope:

- System Identified: Robotic/assistive hand device.
- **Goal/Purpose:** To determine the durability and structural integrity of the device under repeated usage and external forces.
- **Parameters Defined:** Durability will be assessed based on mechanical wear, structural integrity, and functional performance over time.
- **Justification for Inclusion:** Ensuring the device maintains function and structural integrity over extended use is critical for reliability and user safety.
- Test Expectations (Hypothesis): The device should withstand repeated flexion cycles, external forces, and environmental conditions without significant performance degradation.

#### **Administrative Details:**

- Client/Organization: UCSC BSOE CSE123A&B.
- Test Conductors: Research Team under Prof. David C. Harrison.

### **Design of Experiment:**

- Type of Testing Method: Accelerated life testing, mechanical stress testing, and environmental exposure testing.
  - Significance: Ensures device longevity and reliability in real-world usage scenarios.

# **Test Apparatus & Equipment:**

- Robotic/assistive hand device.
- Mechanical testing rig for repeated flexion cycles.
- Load cell sensors to measure stress and strain.
- Environmental chamber for temperature and humidity variations.
- Impact testing apparatus for drop and shock resistance.

### Variables Identified:

- **Independent Variable:** Number of flexion cycles, applied force, environmental conditions.
- **Dependent Variable:** Structural integrity, material wear, loss of functionality.

**Number of Factors Considered:** Three-factor (mechanical fatigue, impact resistance, environmental durability).

### **Sampling Procedure:**

- Sample Collection: Multiple devices subjected to different stress conditions.
- Sample Size: Minimum of 5 units per test condition.

#### Procedure:

### 1. Setup:

- Mount the device on a mechanical testing rig.
- o Calibrate sensors to measure force, stress, and performance degradation.

#### 2. Baseline Measurement:

Record initial material integrity and functionality metrics.

### 3. **Testing:**

# Mechanical Fatigue Test:

- Subject each finger mechanism to repeated flexion cycles (minimum 100,000 cycles).
- Monitor for signs of wear, stiffness, or failure.

# Impact Resistance Test:

- Drop the device from various heights onto different surfaces.
- Assess structural damage and continued operability.

# Environmental Durability Test:

- Expose the device to temperature fluctuations (-10°C to 50°C) and high humidity.
- Evaluate material degradation and operational stability.

### 4. Safety Precautions:

- Ensure testing rig prevents unintended hazards.
- Use protective barriers during impact testing.

### 5. Data Collection:

- Quantitative data: Wear analysis, force measurements, failure rates.
- Qualitative data: Observations of material degradation and user-reported durability issues.

# 6. Observation of External Factors:

- Effects of prolonged use on mechanical performance.
- Environmental factors leading to premature material failure.

# **Expected Outcomes:**

- The device should maintain functional integrity for at least 100,000 flexion cycles without significant degradation.
- The structural components should withstand typical impact forces without catastrophic failure.
- Environmental exposure should not lead to material breakdown or loss of functionality.
- If durability issues arise, material selection, mechanical design, or protective coatings may need revision.

# **Date & Location for Testing:**

|   | Name(s): | Date: | Location: |
|---|----------|-------|-----------|
| Test 1: Full Range of Motion on each finger |          |       |           |
| Test 2: Ability to fit on common hand       |          |       |           |
| Test 3: Device<br>Durability                |          |       |           |