601.220 Intermediate Programming

C++ default constructors

C++ classes

```
// rectangle_ex1.cpp:
#include <iostream>
#include "rectangle3.h"

using std::cout;
using std::endl;
int main() {

   Rectangle r;

   // What are the values of r.width and r.height right now?

   // I haven't set them to anything

   // Do they get set to reasonable defaults?

return 0;
}
```

C++ classes

- How do classes get initialized?
- Who decides what values the fields should have initially?
- Often, you want to decide how fields should be initialized, and you do this by writing a constructor member function
- Java and Python also have constructors

 Default constructor for a class is a member function that C++ calls when you declare a new variable of that class without any initialization:

```
int main() {
    // behind the scenes, Rectangle's
    // default constructor is called
    Rectangle r;
    ...
}
```

- A constructor is a member function you can define yourself
- If you define it, it should be public
- The function name must match the class name exactly
- Called a default constructor if it takes no arguments

```
class Rectangle {
public:
    // default constructor for Rectangle
    Rectangle() { ... }
    ...
}
```

- Either you provide at least one constructor or the compiler generates a default one for you
- For Rectangle class we saw last time, the compiler generated one for us
- What does a compiler-generated default constructor do?
 - For built-in types (int, doubles,...), instance variables aren't initialized (so they have garbage values)
 - For instance variables of class types, default constructor for that class type is called

We've been using default constructors behind the scenes. For example:

```
// invokes string's default constructor
// initializes word to be empty string
std::string word;

// invokes vector's default constructor
// initializes v to be empty vector
std::vector<int> v;
```

A constructor is called implicitly when a new object is declared or explicitly when one is created using new.

```
int main() {
    // calls default constructor for r
    Rectangle r;

    // calls default constructor for *rp
    Rectangle *rp = new Rectangle();
}
```

```
class Rectangle {
public:
    // Here we define our own "default constructor," to
    // initialize values to zero (because we don't want garbage)
    Rectangle() : width(0.0), height(0.0) { }
    ...

private:
    double width, height;
};
```

If we create our own constructor (default or otherwise), the compiler won't generate any constructor for us.

C++ classes: constructor initializer list

```
class Rectangle {
public:
    // Here we define our own "default constructor," to
    // initialize values to zero
    Rectangle(): width(0.0), height(0.0) { }
    //
    //
                  Initializes dimensions by setting
    //
                  them equal to specified values.
    //
                  If these were objects themselves,
    //
                  we could'ue called THEIR constructors
    //
                  e.g. list() where list is a vector<int>
private:
   double width, height;
};
```

Compare these default constructors:

```
// defCtor1.h:
class IntAndString1 {
public:
    IntAndString1() {
       i = 7;
        s = "hello";
    int i;
    std::string s;
};
class IntAndString2 {
public:
    IntAndString2() : i(7), s("hello") { }
             "initializer list"
    int i;
    std::string s;
};
```

```
// defCtor1.cpp:
#include <iostream>
#include "defCtor1.h"
using std::cout;
using std::endl:
int main() {
    IntAndString1 is1;
    IntAndString2 is2;
    cout << "is1.i=" << is1.i << ", is1.s=" << is1.s << endl:
    cout << "is2.i=" << is2.i << ", is2.s=" << is2.s << endl;
    return 0:
$ g++ -c defCtor1.cpp -std=c++11 -pedantic -Wall -Wextra
$ g++ -o defCtor1 defCtor1.o
$ ./defCtor1
is1.i=7, is1.s=hello
is2.i=7. is2.s=hello
```

- The "initializer list" is usually the better choice:
 - works as expected, even for reference variables
 - can use default and non-default constructors to initialize fields

```
// this is the "initializer list" style
IntAndString() : i(7), s("hello") { }
```

Neither Java nor Python have initializer list syntax

• stackoverflow.com/questions/7154654

C++ classes: initializer list

Why is the "initializer list" usually the better choice?

```
// this is the "initializer list" style
IntAndString() : i(7), s("hello") { }

// this is the other option
IntAndString() {
   i = 7;
   s = "hello";
}
```

It has to do with how s is initialized.

- With initializer list, string s is initialized by calling appropriate non-default constructor
 - We can call whatever non-default constructor we want
- Without initializer list, string s is first initialized with default constructor, then later set using s = "hello", wastefully

Zoom poll!

```
What is the correct output?
                                     A. 10 bye
class Foo {
                                     B. 5 hi
public:
  Foo(): i(5), s("hi") {
                                     C. 5 bye
    i = 10; s = "bye";
                                     D. 10 hi
  int getI() {return i;}
                                     E. does not compile/work and/or
  string getS() {return s;}
                                     undefined behavior
private:
  int i; string s;
};
int main() {
  Foo f;
  cout << f.getI() << " " << f.getS() << endl;</pre>
  return 0;
```

C++ classes: recap

- const protect the object by appending to the end of the method header
- private: or public: scope of data and function members
- constructors can use initializer list
- class definition can/should be split between .h and .cpp files