601.220 Intermediate Programming

Rule of 3

An Image class

Image has resources managed by the constructor & destructor:

```
// image.h:
class Image {
 public:
      Image(const char *orig, int r, int c) : nrow(r), ncol(c) {
          image = new char[r*c];
          for(int i = 0: i < nrow * ncol: i++) {
              image[i] = orig[i]:
          7
      7
      ~Image() { delete[] image; }
      const char *get image() const { return image: }
      int get nrow() const { return nrow: }
      int get_ncol() const { return ncol; }
      void set_pixel(char pix, int row, int col) {
          image[row * ncol + col] = pix;
      7
 private:
      char *image; // image data
      int nrow, ncol; // # rows and columns
ጉ:
std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream&, const Image&);
```

image.cpp

```
// image.cpp:
#include <iostream>
#include "image.h"

using std::endl;
using std::ostream;

ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const Image& image) {
    for(int i = 0; i < image.get_nrow(); i++) {
        for(int j = 0; j < image.get_ncol(); j++) {
        os << image.get_image()[i*image.get_ncol()+j] << ' ';
    }
    os << endl;
    }
    return os;
}</pre>
```

image main.cpp

```
// image main.cpp:
#include <iostream>
#include "image.h"
using std::cout; using std::endl;
int main() {
    Image x_{wins}("X-0-X0--X", 3, 3);
    cout << x wins << "** X wins! **" << endl;</pre>
    return 0;
$ g++ -o image_main image_main.cpp image.cpp
$ ./image_main
X - 0
- X 0
- - X
** X wins! **
```

image_main2.cpp

```
// image_main.cpp:
#include <iostream>
#include "image.h"
using std::cout; using std::endl;
int main() {
    Image x_{wins}("X-0-X0--X", 3, 3);
    Image o wins = x wins;
    o_wins.set_pixel('0', 2, 2); // set bottom right to '0'
    cout << x wins << "** X wins! **" << endl << endl;</pre>
    cout << o wins << "** 0 wins! **" << endl;</pre>
    return 0:
```

image_main2.cpp

```
$ g++ -o image_main image_main.cpp image.cpp
$ ./image_main
X - 0
- X 0
- - 0
** X wins! **
X - 0
- X 0
- - 0
** 0 wins! **
free(): double free detected in tcache 2
Aborted
```

Oops, both have 0 in bottom right corner

o_wins.set_pixel(...) affected both x_wins & o_wins!

image_main2.cpp

Also: destructor delete[]s the same pointer twice

```
$ valgrind ./image_main > /dev/null
==3635== Memcheck, a memory error detector
==3635== Copyright (C) 2002-2017, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.
==3635== Using Valgrind-3.13.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info
==3635== Command: ./image_main
==3635==
==3635== Invalid free() / delete / delete[] / realloc()
==3635==
            at 0x4C3373B: operator delete[](void*) (in /usr/lib/valgrind/vgpreload memcheck-amd64-linux.s
            by 0x400C1C: Image::~Image() (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2021/2021-06-09(Summer) - Intermediat
==3635==
==3635==
           by 0x400ACF: main (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2021/2021-06-09(Summer) - Intermediate Programmi:
==3635== Address 0x5bdac80 is 0 bytes inside a block of size 9 free'd
==3635==
            at 0x4C3373B: operator delete [] (void*) (in /usr/lib/valgrind/vgpreload memcheck-amd64-linux.s
==3635==
          by 0x400C1C: Image::~Image() (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2021/2021-06-09(Summer) - Intermediat
==3635==
            by 0x400AC3: main (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2021/2021-06-09(Summer) - Intermediate Programmi:
==3635== Block was alloc'd at
==3635==
            at 0x4C3289F; operator new[](unsigned long) (in /usr/lib/valgrind/vgpreload memcheck-amd64-li
            by 0x400BA2: Image::Image(char const*, int, int) (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2021/2021-06-09(S
==3635==
            by 0x400A24: main (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2021/2021-06-09(Summer) - Intermediate Programmi
==3635==
==3635==
==3635==
==3635== HEAP SUMMARY:
==3635==
            in use at exit: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==3635==
          total heap usage: 3 allocs, 4 frees, 76,809 bytes allocated
==3635==
==3635== All heap blocks were freed -- no leaks are possible
==3635==
==3635== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v
```

Initialization & assignment

Image o_wins = x_wins; does shallow copy

- Copies x_wins.image pointer directly into o_wins.image, so both are using same heap array
- Instead, we want deep copy; o_wins should be a new buffer, with contents of x_wins copied over
- Want this both for initialization and for assignment

```
Image x_wins("X-0-X0--X", 3, 3);
Image o_wins = x_wins;
```

Image is an example of a class that manages resources, and therefore has a *non-trivial destructor*

Rule of 3: If you have to manage how an object is destroyed, you should also manage how it's copied

Rule of 3 (technical version): If you have a non-trivial destructor, you should also define a *copy constructor* and *operator*=

Case in point: Image should be deep copied

Copy constructor initializes a class variable as a copy of another operator= is called when one object is assigned to another

Copy constructor

Copy constructor is called when:

- Initializing:
 - Image o_wins = x_wins;
 - Image o_wins(x_wins); (same meaning as above)
- Passing by value
- Returning by value

Copy constructor

Copy constructor for Image:

```
Image(const Image& o) : nrow(o.nrow), ncol(o.ncol) {
    // Do a *deep copy*, similarly to the
    // non-default constructor
    image = new char[nrow * ncol];
    for(int i = 0; i < nrow * ncol; i++) {
        image[i] = o.image[i];
    }
}</pre>
```

operator=

operator= is called when assigning one class variable to another

• Except for initialization; copy constructor handles that

```
Image& operator=(const Image& o) {
    delete[] image; // deallocate previous image memory
    nrow = o.nrow;
    ncol = o.ncol;
    image = new char[nrow * ncol];
    for(int i = 0; i < nrow * ncol; i++) {
        image[i] = o.image[i];
    }
    return *this; // for chaining
}</pre>
```

It's a normal member function, not a constructor, so we can't use initializer list syntax

If you don't specify copy constructor or operator=, compiler adds *implicit* version that *shallow copies*

- Simply the contents of the fields
- class fields will have their corresponding copy constructors or operator= functions called
- Pointers to heap memory will simply be copied, without the heap memory itself being copied

Another way of stating the Rule of 3: if your class has a non-trivial destructor, you probably *don't* want shallow copying

When we add the copy constructor and operator= defined above, we get the expected behavior:

```
// image_fixed.cpp:
#include <iostream>
#include "image_fixed.h"
using std::cout; using std::endl;
int main() {
   Image x_{wins}("X-0-X0--X", 3, 3);
   Image o wins = x wins:
   o_wins.set_pixel('0', 2, 2); // set bottom right to '0'
   cout << x_wins << "** X wins! **" << endl << endl;
   cout << o_wins << "** 0 wins! **" << endl;
   return 0:
$ g++ -o image_fixed image_fixed.cpp image.cpp
$ ./image_fixed
X - 0
- X O
- - X
** X wins! **
X - 0
- X O
- - 0
```

And no complaints from valgrind:

```
$ valgrind ./image_fixed > /dev/null
=3649== Memcheck, a memory error detector
=3649== Copyright (C) 2002-2017, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.
=3649== Using Valgrind-3.13.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info
=3649== Command: ./image_fixed
=3649==
=3649== in use at exit: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
=3649== in use at exit: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
=3649== total heap usage: 4 allocs, 4 frees, 76,818 bytes allocated
=3649==
=3649== All heap blocks were freed -- no leaks are possible
=3649== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v
=3649== ERROR SUMMARY: 0 errors from 0 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)
```