

Lecture 15: x86-64 assembly language, code generation

David Hovemeyer

October 24, 2022

601.428/628 Compilers and Interpreters



Today

- ▶ x86-64 assembly language
- ▶ x86-64 tips
- ▶ Code generation

x64-64 assembly language

x86-64 assembly language

- ▶ Your compiler (in Assignments 3–6) will generate x86-64 assembly language
- ▶ x86-64 is the dominant instruction set architecture for general purpose computing (laptops, servers, etc.)
 - ▶ ARM is making inroads, though
- ▶ It's a 64-bit architecture
 - ▶ Registers are 64 bits wide
 - ▶ Memory addresses are 64 bits

x86-64 registers


Register(s)	Note
<code>%rip</code>	Instruction pointer
<code>%rax</code>	Function return value
<code>%rdi, %rsi</code>	
<code>%rbx, %rcx, %rdx</code>	
<code>%rsp, %rbp</code>	Stack pointer, frame pointer
<code>%r8, %r9, ..., %r15</code>	

All of these registers are 64 bits (8 bytes)

Aside from `%rip` and `%rsp`, all of these are *general-purpose* registers

“Sub”-registers

- ▶ For historical reasons (evolution of x86 architecture from 16 to 64 bits), each data register is divided into
 - ▶ Low byte
 - ▶ Second lowest byte
 - ▶ Lowest 2 bytes (16 bits)
 - ▶ Lowest 4 bytes (32 bits)
- ▶ E.g., %rax register has %al, %ah, %ax, %eax:



Naming of sub-registers

Register	Sub-register		
	32 bit	16 bit	Lowest 8 bit
%rax	%eax	%ax	%al
%rbx	%ebx	%bx	%bl
%rcx	%ecx	%cx	%cl
%rdx	%edx	%dx	%dl
%rdi	%edi	%di	%dil
%rsi	%esi	%si	%sil
%rsp	%esp	%sp	%spl
%rbp	%ebp	%bp	%bpl
%r8 ¹	%r8d	%r8w	%r8b

¹Same pattern for %r9–%r15

Stack

- ▶ The `%rsp` register is the *stack pointer*
 - ▶ Contains address of “top” of stack
 - ▶ Stack grows down (from high to low addresses), so `%rsp` decreases as stack grows

Assembly language syntax

- ▶ Each instruction has a mnemonic (`mov`, `push`, `add`, etc.)
- ▶ Most instructions will have one or two *operands* that specify data values (input and/or output)
 - ▶ At most **one** operand can be a memory reference
- ▶ On Linux, the standard tools use “AT&T” assembly syntax
 - ▶ Source is first operand, destination is second
- ▶ For instructions that do computations, destination operand is also a source value!
 - ▶ I.e., they are destructive
 - ▶ This makes code generation a bit interesting

Labels

- ▶ A *label* gives a name to the address of a location in memory (code or data)
 - ▶ Eventual runtime address generally not known ahead of time, linker and/or dynamic linker will resolve prior to execution
- ▶ Used to refer to procedures
- ▶ Used to refer to intermediate locations within procedure (local labels)
- ▶ Used to refer to global data and constants

Operand size suffixes

- ▶ You will notice that instruction mnemonics sometimes use suffixes to indicate the operand size:

Suffix	Bytes	Bits	Note
b	1	8	"Byte"
w	2	16	"Word"
l	4	32	"Long" word
q	8	64	"Quad" word

(Use of `w` to mean 16 bits shows 16-bit origins of x86)

- ▶ E.g., `movq` means move a 64 bit value
- ▶ You can often omit the operand size suffix, but it's helpful for readability, and can even catch bugs

Assembly operands

Assume `count` and `arr` are global variables, R is a register, N is an immediate, S is 1, 2, 4, or 8

Type	Syntax	Example	Note
Memory ref	<i>Addr</i>	<code>count</code>	Absolute memory address
Immediate	$\$N$	<code>\$8, \$arr</code>	<code>\$arr</code> is address of <code>arr</code>
Register	R	<code>%rax</code>	
Memory ref	(R)	<code>(%rax)</code>	Address = <code>%rax</code>
Memory ref	$N(R)$	<code>8(%rax)</code>	Address = <code>%rax+8</code>
Memory ref	(R,R)	<code>(%rax,%rsi)</code>	Address = <code>%rax+%rsi</code>
Memory ref	$N(R,R)$	<code>8(%rax,%rsi)</code>	Address = <code>%rax+%rsi+8</code>
Memory ref	$(,R,S)$	<code>(,%rsi,4)</code>	Address = <code>%rsi×4</code>
Memory ref	(R,R,S)	<code>(%rax,%rsi,4)</code>	Address = <code>%rax+(%rsi×4)</code>
Memory ref	$N(,R,S)$	<code>8(,%rsi,4)</code>	Address = <code>(%rsi×4)+8</code>
Memory ref	$N(R,R,S)$	<code>8(%rax,%rsi,4)</code>	Address = <code>%rax+(%rsi×4)+8</code>

Data movement

90% of assembly code is data movement (made-up statistic)

- ▶ `mov`: copy source operand to destination operand
 - ▶ Register
 - ▶ Memory location (only one operand can be memory location)
 - ▶ Immediate value (source operand only)
- ▶ Stack manipulation: `push` and `pop` instructions
 - ▶ Generally used for saving and restoring register values
 - ▶ `push`: decrement `%rsp` by operand size, copy operand to `(%rsp)`
 - ▶ `pop`: copy `(%rsp)` to operand, increment `%rsp` by operand size

Data movement examples

Instruction	Note
<code>movq \$42, %rax</code>	Store the constant value 42 in %rax
<code>movq %rax, %rdi</code>	Copy 8 byte value from %rax to %rdi
<code>movl %eax, 4(%rdx)</code>	Move 4 byte value from %eax to memory at address %rdx+4
<code>pushq %rbp</code>	Decrement %rsp by 8, store contents of %rbp in memory location %rsp
<code>popq %rbp</code>	Load contents of memory location %rsp into %rbp, increment %rsp by 8

ALU operations

- ▶ ALU = “Arithmetic Logic Unit”
- ▶ An ALU is a hardware component within the CPU that does computations (of various kinds) on data values
 - ▶ Addition/subtraction
 - ▶ Logical operations (shifts, bitwise and/or/negation), etc.
- ▶ So, ALU instructions are the ones that do computations on values
 - ▶ Typically, ALU operates only on integer values
 - ▶ CPU will typically have floating-point unit(s) for operations on FP values

lea instruction

- ▶ lea stands for “Load Effective Address”
- ▶ Instructions that allow a memory reference as an operand generally do an *address computation*
 - ▶ E.g., `movl 12(%rdx,%rsi,4), %eax`
 - ▶ Computed address (for source memory location) is $\%rdx + (\%rsi \times 4) + 12$
- ▶ The lea instruction computes a memory address, but does *not* access a memory location
 - ▶ E.g., `leaq 12(%rdx,%rsi,4), %rdi`
 - ▶ Quite similar to the address-of (&) operator in C and C++

Addition, subtraction

- ▶ add and sub instructions add and subtract integer values
- ▶ Two operands, second operand modified to store the result
 - ▶ Note that either operand (but not both) could be a memory reference
- ▶ E.g.,

```
movq $1, %r9
movq $2, %r10
addq %r9, %r10
/* %r10 now contains the value 3 */
```

- ▶ Overflow is possible!
 - ▶ Can detect using condition codes

Other ALU operations

There are lots of other ALU instructions!

- ▶ `inc`, `dec` (increment and decrement)
- ▶ Multiplication and division
- ▶ Logical/bitwise operations

Consult your favorite x86-64 reference for details

Control flow, condition codes

- ▶ Intra-procedural control flow: unconditional jump, conditional jump
- ▶ Target is the address of an instruction (in the same procedure)
 - ▶ Usually specified by a label
- ▶ Conditional jump check a *condition code*
 - ▶ E.g., “jump if equal”, “jump if less than”, etc.
- ▶ Most ALU instructions set condition codes
- ▶ Most useful one is the `cmp` instruction

Comparing values

- ▶ `cmp` instruction: essentially the same as `sub`, except that it doesn't modify the "result" operand
 - ▶ Useful for comparing integer values
- ▶ Annoying quirk: AT&T syntax puts the operands in the opposite of the order you might expect
 - ▶ E.g., `cmpl %eax, %ebx` computes $\%ebx - \%eax$ and sets condition codes appropriately

Conditional jump

Most often, we want to use the result of a comparison in order to influence a *conditional jump* instruction (used for implementing if/else logic and eventually-terminating loops)

Examples (\wedge means XOR, \sim means NOT, $\&$ means AND, $|$ means OR):

Instruction	Condition for jump	Meaning
je, jz	ZF	jump if equal
j1	SF \wedge OF	jump if less
jle	(SF \wedge OF) $ $ ZF	jump if less than or equal
jg	\sim (SF \wedge OF) $\&$ \sim ZF	jump if greater
jge	\sim (SF \wedge OF)	jump if greater than or equal
ja	\sim CF $\&$ \sim ZF	jump if above (unsigned)
jae	\sim CF	jump if above or equal (unsigned)
jb	CF	jump if below (unsigned)
jbe	CF $ $ ZF	jump if below or equal (unsigned)

call and ret

- ▶ `call` instruction: calls procedure
 - ▶ `%rip` contains address of instruction following `call` instruction
 - ▶ Push `%rip` onto stack (as though `pushq %rip` was executed): this is the *return address*
 - ▶ Change `%rip` to address of first instruction of called procedure
 - ▶ Called procedure starts executing
- ▶ `ret` instruction: return from procedure
 - ▶ Pop saved return address from stack into `%rip` (as though `popq %rip` was executed)
 - ▶ Execution continues at return address

Stack alignment

- ▶ The Linux x86-64 calling conventions require `%rsp` to be a multiple of 16 at the point of a procedure call (to ensure that 16 byte values can be accessed on the stack if necessary)
- ▶ **Issue:** on entry to a procedure, $\text{\code{\%rsp}} \bmod 16 = 8$ because the `call` instruction (which called the procedure) pushed `%rip` (the program counter) onto the stack

Ensuring correct stack alignment

- ▶ To ensure correct stack alignment:
 - ▶ On procedure entry: `subq $8, %rsp`
 - ▶ Prior to procedure return: `addq $8, %rsp`
- ▶ The Linux `printf` function will segfault if the stack is misaligned

Register use conventions

- ▶ Very important issue:
 - ▶ There is only one set of registers
 - ▶ Procedures must share them
 - ▶ *Register use conventions* are rules that all procedures use to avoid conflicts
- ▶ Another important issue:
 - ▶ How are argument values passed to called procedures?
 - ▶ Calling conventions typically designate that some argument values are passed in specific registers
 - ▶ Procedure return value is typically returned in a specific register

x86-64 Linux register use conventions

- ▶ Arguments 1–6 passed in `%rdi`, `%rsi`, `%rdx`, `%rcx`, `%r8`, `%r9`
 - ▶ Argument 7 and beyond, and “large” arguments such as pass-by-value struct data, passed on stack
- ▶ Integer or pointer return value returned in `%rax`
- ▶ Caller-saved registers: `%r10`, `%r11` (and also the argument registers)
- ▶ Callee-saved registers: `%rbx`, `%rbp`, `%r12`, `%r13`, `%r14`, `%r15`

Caller-saved vs. callee-saved

- ▶ What happens to register contents when a procedure is called?
- ▶ *Callee-saved* registers: caller may assume that the procedure call will preserve their value
 - ▶ In general, all procedures must save their values to memory before modifying them, and restore them before returning
- ▶ *Caller-saved* registers: caller must *not* assume that the procedure call will preserve their value
 - ▶ In general any procedure can freely modify them
 - ▶ A caller might need to save their contents to memory prior to calling a procedure and restore the value afterwards

Using registers

- ▶ Using registers correctly and effectively is one of the main challenges of assembly language programming
- ▶ Some advice:
 - ▶ Use caller-saved registers (`%r10`, `%r11`, etc.) for very short-term temporary values or computations
 - ▶ You can use the argument registers as (caller-saved) temporary registers
 - ▶ Understand that called procedures could modify them!
 - ▶ Use callee-saved registers for longer term values that need to persist across procedure calls
 - ▶ Use `pushq`/`popq` to save and restore their values on procedure entry and exit

x86-64 tips

Know where to put things

- ▶ The `.section` directive specifies which “section” of the executable program assembled code or data will be placed in
- ▶ Put things in the right place!
- ▶ Code goes in `.text`
- ▶ Read-only data such as string constants go in `.rodata`
- ▶ Uninitialized (zero-filled) variables and buffers go in `.bss`
 - ▶ Use the `.space` directive to indicate how large these are
- ▶ Initialized (non-zero-filled) variables and buffers go in `.data`
 - ▶ There are various directives such as `.byte`, `.2byte`, `.4byte`, etc. to specify initialized data values

Labels

- ▶ Labels are names representing addresses of code or data in memory
- ▶ For functions and global variables, use appropriate names
 - ▶ Functions and data exported to other modules must be marked with `.globl`
- ▶ For control-flow targets within a function, use *local labels*
 - ▶ These are labels which start with `.L` (dot, followed by upper case L)
 - ▶ The assembler will not add these to the module's symbol table
 - ▶ Using “normal” labels for control flow makes debugging difficult because `gdb` thinks they are functions!

Using gdb

- ▶ You can debug assembly programs using gdb!
- ▶ “Debugging by adding print statements” is less practical for assembly programs than programs in a high level language
 - ▶ Which isn't to say it's not possible or (occasionally) useful
- ▶ Being able to use gdb confidently will greatly enhance your ability to develop working assembly language programs

- ▶ Set breakpoints (`break main`, `break myProg.S:123`)
- ▶ `where`: see current call stack
- ▶ `disassemble` (or just `disas`): display assembly code of current function (not necessary if code has debug symbols)
- ▶ `step`: step to next instruction
- ▶ `next`: step to next instruction (stepping over `call` instructions)
- ▶ Use `$` prefix to refer to registers (e.g., `$rax`, `$edi`, etc.)
- ▶ Use `print` and casts to C data types when inspecting data:
 - ▶ Print 64 bit value `%rsp` points to: `print *(unsigned long *)$rsp`
 - ▶ Print character string `%rdi` points to: `print (char *)$rdi`
 - ▶ Print fourth element of array of `int` elements that `%r12` points to:
`print ((int *)$r12)[3]`

Code generation

Initial code generation

- ▶ Important milestone in compiler development: generate working code
- ▶ Goal is to generate *working* code, not necessarily *efficient* code
- ▶ Later optimization passes improve code quality
- ▶ Approach: use control-flow graph as IR
 - ▶ Nodes are basic blocks
 - ▶ Each basic block is sequence of instructions
 - ▶ Jump instructions must be last
- ▶ Could generate “high-level” (machine-independent) instructions
- ▶ Or, could generate instructions equivalent to target assembly language

High-level code generation

- ▶ Suggested approach: first generate *high-level* code
 - ▶ Abstract “RISC-like” instructions
 - ▶ Similar to ILOC from textbook
- ▶ Infinite number of virtual registers
- ▶ Focus on *what* operations the program will execute when it runs
- ▶ Claim: this representation will be relatively easy to analyze, transform
- ▶ Translation to target (x86-64) code can happen once we’ve eliminated redundancies/inefficiencies in the high-level code


Code generation strategy

- ▶ Build symbol tables, determine storage requirements (size and offset) for variables
- ▶ Code generator is an AST visitor
 - ▶ Code generation is essentially a bottom-up process
- ▶ Assume registers can be allocated as needed
- ▶ Value computed by each expression is held in a register
- ▶ Scalar variables (e.g., `int`) can have virtual registers allocated as their storage


Example program

```
int main(void) {  
    int n, i, sum;  
  
    n = 11;  
    i = 1;  
    sum = 0;  
  
    while (i <= n) {  
        sum = sum + i;  
        i = i + 1;  
    }  
  
    return sum;  
}
```

Example program AST



Example program AST (executable statements)



Example program AST (executable statements)

