Lecture 9: yacc and bison

David Hovemeyer

September 27, 2023

601.428/628 Compilers and Interpreters

- yacc and bison
- Using flex and bison together

yacc/bison: background

Approaches to parsing

We've discussed:
- Hand-coded recursive descent
- Precedence climbing (for infix expressions)
- LL(1) (sort of like automated recursive descent)

Today: yacc and bison
- Takes parser specification as input: grammar rules + actions
- Generates a *bottom-up* parser using LALR(1) table construction algorithm
  - Will discuss in detail next class

yacc and bison

- yacc: "Yet Another Compiler Compiler"
- Invented by Stephen C. Johnson at AT&T Bell Labs in the early 1970s
- bison: open-source reimplementation of yacc

Advantages of yacc/bison

- LALR(1) is a fairly powerful parsing algorithm
  - Can handle left recursion
- Much flexibility in semantic actions for parsing rules
  - Data types can be specified for grammar symbols
- Using yacc/bison is often the quickest approach to creating a front end for an interpreter or compiler

Disadvantages of yacc/bison

- Grammar must be written with limitations of LALR(1) in mind
  - Of course, most practical parsing algorithms have limitations
- Error handling can be difficult

yacc/bison basics

yacc/bison parser specification

```
%{
C preamble (includes, definitions, global vars)
%}

options

%%

grammar rules and actions

%%

C functions
```

Grammar symbols

- Terminal symbols: defined with `%token` directives in the options section
  - Each is assigned a unique integer value, defined in a generated header file
- Nonterminal symbols: defined by grammar rules

Interaction with lexical analyzer

- ▶ Generated parser will call `yylex()` when it wants to read a token
- ▶ Token kinds are integer values
  - ▶ Can also use ASCII characters as token kinds as a convenient representation of single-character tokens
- ▶ Can use a flex-generated lexer to provide `yylex()`, or could hand-code

- All grammar symbols (terminal and nonterminal) can have a data type
- YYSTYPE is a C union data type, specified with the %union directive
- yylval is a global variable which is an instance of YYSTYPE
- Token (terminal symbol) types specified using %token directives
- Nonterminal types specified using %type directives

Example: if we want the parser to build a parse tree, we can make the type of every grammar symbol a pointer to a parse tree node:

```
%union {
  struct Node *node;
}
%token<node> TOK_A, TOK_B, etc...
%type<node> nonterm1, nonterm2, etc...
```

Grammar rules

Say that your grammar has the following productions (nonterminals in *italics*, terminals in **bold**):

sexp → *atom*
sexp → **(** *opt_items* **)**
opt_items → *items*
opt_items → ϵ
items → *sexp*
items → *sexp items*
atom → **number**
atom → **symbol**

Grammar rules in yacc/bison

Grammar rules from previous slide written in yacc/bison format (starting on left, continuing on right):

```
sexp                                    items
  : atom                                  : sexp
  | TOK_LPAREN opt_items TOK_RPAREN       | sexp items
  ;                                       ;

opt_items                               atom
  : items                                 : TOK_NUMBER
  | /* epsilon */                         | TOK_SYMBOL
  ;                                       ;
```

Productions are grouped by left-hand-side nonterminal; first grammar rule defines productions for the start symbol

Actions

Each grammar rule can have an *action*: code executed when the grammar rule is *reduced* (more about this terminology next time)

▶ Values of right-hand symbols can be accessed as $1, $2, $3, etc.

▶ Value of left-hand symbol can be defined by assigning to $$

▶ Types correspond to fields of YYSTYPE, and are specified using %token and %type directives (as seen earlier)

Example, building parse trees for *sexp* nonterminals:

```
sexp
  : atom
    { $$ = node_build1(NODE_sexp, $1); }
  | TOK_LPAREN opt_items TOK_RPAREN
    { $$ = node_build3(NODE_sexp, $1, $2, $3); }
  ;
```

Complete example

JSON parser

- JSON: JavaScript Object Notation (https://www.json.org/)
- Commonly used in web applications for data exchange
  - Increasingly common for non-web applications as well
- Let's use flex and bison to make a parser for it
  - https://github.com/daveho/jsonparser

JSON overview

▶ Values are numbers, strings, objects and arrays

▶ Objects: curly braces ($\{$ and $\}$) surrounding a sequence of fields

▶ Arrays: square brackets ($[$ and $]$) surrounding a sequence of values

▶ Sequences: items separated by commas ($,$)

▶ Object fields: use colon ($:$) to join field name and value

Example JSON object

```
{
    "name" : "Admin",
    "age" : 36,
    "rights" : [ "admin", "editor", "contributor" ]
}
```

JSON grammar

Nonterminals in *italics*, terminals in **bold**

value → **number**

value → **string**

value → *object*

value → *array*

object → **{** *opt_field_list* **}**

opt_field_list → *field_list*

opt_field_list → ϵ

field_list → *field*

field_list → *field* **,** *field_list*

field → **string :** *value*

array → **[** *opt_value_list* **]**

opt_value_list → *value_list*

opt_value_list → ϵ

value_list → *value*

value_list → *value* **,** *value_list*

Lexer: create_token function

The create_token function creates a struct Node to represent a token, and returns the integer value uniquely identifying the token kind

▶ Token is conveyed to parser using yylval union

```
int create_token(int kind, const char *lexeme) {
  struct Node *n = node_alloc_str_copy(kind, lexeme);
  // FIXME: set source info
  yylval.node = n;
  return kind;
}
```

Lexer: easy parts

```
"{"               { return create_token(TOK_LBRACE, yytext); }
"}"               { return create_token(TOK_RBRACE, yytext); }
"["               { return create_token(TOK_LBRACKET, yytext); }
"]"               { return create_token(TOK_RBRACKET, yytext); }
":"               { return create_token(TOK_COLON, yytext); }
","               { return create_token(TOK_COMMA, yytext); }
[ \t\r\n\v]+      { /* ignore whitespace */ }
```

Lexer: numbers

```
"-"?(0|[1-9][0-9]*)("."[0-9]*)?((e|E)("+"|"-")?[0-9]+)? {
                       return create_token(TOK_NUMBER, yytext); }
```

- ▶ Regular expression is slightly complicated due to possibility of minus sign, decimal point, and/or exponent
- ▶ ? means "zero or one" (i.e., optional)

Lexer: string literals

- String literals would be fairly complicated to write a regular expression for
- We can use *lexer states* to simplify handling them
- Idea: when the opening double quote (") character is seen, enter STRLIT lexer state
  - After terminating " is seen, return to default INITIAL state
- Lexer specification has the directive

$$\%x \ STRLIT$$

  in the options section to define the additional lexer state
- A global character buffer g_strbuf is used to accumulate the string literal's lexeme (not a great design, but expedient)

Lexer: string literals

```
                      /* beginning of string literal */
\"                    { g_strbuf[0] = '\0'; add_to_string("\""); BEGIN STRLIT; }
                      /* escape sequence */
<STRLIT>\\([\\\"/bfnrt]|u[0-9A-Fa-f]{4}) { add_to_string(yytext); }
                      /* string literal ends */
<STRLIT>\"            { add_to_string("\"");
                        BEGIN INITIAL;
                        return create_token(TOK_STRING_LITERAL, g_strbuf); }
<STRLIT><<EOF>>       { err_fatal("Unterminated string literal"); }
                      /* "ordinary" character in string
                       * (FIXME: should reject control chars) */
<STRLIT>.             { add_to_string(yytext); }
```

Definition of add_to_string function:

```
void add_to_string(const char *s) {
  strcat(g_strbuf, s);
}
```

Final lexer rule:

```
.                    { err_fatal("Unknown character"); }
```

Parser: types

We'll have the parser build a parse tree, so the type of every symbol (terminal and nonterminal) will be a pointer to a parse node:

```
%union {
  struct Node *node;
}

%token<node> TOK_LBRACE TOK_RBRACE TOK_LBRACKET TOK_RBRACKET
%token<node> TOK_COLON TOK_COMMA
%token<node> TOK_NUMBER TOK_STRING_LITERAL

%type<node> value
%type<node> object opt_field_list field_list field
%type<node> array opt_value_list value_list
```

Parser: integer values for nonterminal symbols

- ▶ All parse nodes in the tree should be tagged with an integer code identifying their grammar symbol
- ▶ For terminal symbols, use the token kind value
  - ▶ yacc/bison will emit these in a header file: e.g., for parse.y, header file is parse.tab.h
- ▶ What to do for nonterminal symbols?
- ▶ Observation: if we use formatting suggested earlier, left hand sides of productions are on a line by themselves: e.g.,

```
field_list
  : field
  | field TOK_COMMA field_list
  ;
```

- ▶ Idea: use a script to extract names of all terminal and nonterminal symbols from parser spec, generate header and source files

scan_grammar_symbols.rb

Running the script (user input in **bold**):

```
$ ./scan_grammar_symbols.rb < parse.y
Generating grammar_symbols.h/grammar_symbols.c...Done!
$ ls grammar_symbols.*
grammar_symbols.c   grammar_symbols.h
```

Header file will have an enumeration called GrammarSymbol with members for all terminal and nonterminal symbols

► All symbols are prefixed with NODE_

Also declares a function called get_grammar_symbol_name to translate grammar symbols to strings, useful for printing textual representation of parse tree

Parser: grammar rules, actions

Given the header file defining identifiers for grammar symbols, we can define an action for each grammar rule to create a parse node of the appropriate type

Examples:
```
opt_field_list
  : field_list { $$ = node_build1(NODE_opt_field_list, $1); }
  | /* epsilon */ { $$ = node_build0(NODE_opt_field_list); }
  ;

field_list
  : field { $$ = node_build1(NODE_field_list, $1); }
  | field TOK_COMMA field_list
    { $$ = node_build3(NODE_field_list, $1, $2, $3); }
  ;
```

main function

```
int yyparse(void);

int main(void) {
  // yyparse() will set this to the root of the parse tree
  extern struct Node *g_parse_tree;

  yyparse();

  treeprint(g_parse_tree, get_grammar_symbol_name);
  return 0;
}
```

Lexer will implicitly read from standard input (can set yyin to read from a different input source)

Running the program

```
$ ./jsonparser
[{"bananas" : 3}, {"apples" : 4}]
value
+--array
   +--TOK_LBRACKET[[]
   +--opt_value_list
   |  +--value_list
   |     +--value
   |     |  +--object
   |     |     +--TOK_LBRACE[{]
   |     |     +--opt_field_list
   |     |     |  +--field_list
   |     |     |     +--field
   |     |     |        +--TOK_STRING_LITERAL["bananas"]
   |     |     |        +--TOK_COLON[:]
   |     |     |        +--value
   |     |     |           +--TOK_NUMBER[3]
   |     |     +--TOK_RBRACE[}]
...additional output omitted...
```

Using flex and bison

Makefile issues

- ▶ Both flex and bison generate source code
- ▶ So, writing a Makefile can be interesting
- ▶ General idea: have explicit rules to generate `.c` files from `.l` and `.y` files
  - ▶ `.y` file will also generate a `.h` file
- ▶ Also need to run `generate_grammar_symbols.rb` to generate `grammar_symbols.h` and `grammar_symbols.c`

Example Makefile

```
C_SRCS = main.c util.c parse.tab.c lex.yy.c grammar_symbols.c node.c treeprint.c
C_OBJS = $(C_SRCS:%.c=%.o)

CC = gcc
CFLAGS = -g -Wall

%.o : %.c
        $(CC) $(CFLAGS) -c $<

jsonparser : $(C_OBJS)
        $(CXX) -o $@ $(C_OBJS)

parse.tab.c parse.tab.h : parse.y
        bison -d parse.y

lex.yy.c : lex.l
        flex lex.l

grammar_symbols.h grammar_symbols.c : parse.y scan_grammar_symbols.rb
        ./scan_grammar_symbols.rb < parse.y

clean :
        rm -f *.o parse.tab.c lex.yy.c parse.tab.h grammar_symbols.h grammar_symbols.c
```