Statistics

Summary

- ggplot() specifies what data to use and what variables will be mapped to where
- inside ggplot(), mapping = aes(x = , y = , color =) specify what variables correspond to what aspects of the plot in general
- layers of plots can be combined using the + at the end of lines
- use geom_line() and geom_point() to add lines and points
- sometimes you need to add a group element to mapping = aes() if your plot looks strange
- make sure you are plotting what you think you are by checking the numbers!
- facet_grid(~variable) and facet_wrap(~variable) can be helpful to quickly split up your plot


Summary

- the factor class allows us to have a different order from alphanumeric for categorical data
- we can change data to be a factor variable using mutate(), as_factor() (in the forcats package), or factor() functions and specifying the levels with the levels argument
- fct_reorder({variable_to_reorder}, {variable_to_order_by}) helps us reorder a variable by the values of another variable
- · arranging, tabulating, and plotting the data will reflect the new order

Overview

We will cover how to use R to compute some of basic statistics and fit some basic statistical models.

- Correlation
- T-test
- · Linear Regression / Logistic Regression



Overview


☐ We will focus on how to use R software to do these. We will be glossing over the statistical **theory** and "formulas" for these tests. Moreover, we do not claim the data we use for demonstration meet **assumptions** of the methods. ☐

There are plenty of resources online for learning more about these methods, as well as dedicated Biostatistics series (at different advancement levels) at the JHU School of Public Health.

Check out www.opencasestudies.org for deeper dives on some of the concepts covered here and the resource page for more resources.

The correlation coefficient is a summary statistic that measures the strength of a linear relationship between two numeric variables.

- · The strength of the relationship based on how well the points form a line
- The direction of the relationship based on if the points progress upward or downward



See this case study for more information.

Function cor() computes correlation in R.

```
cor(x, y = NULL, use = c("everything", "complete.obs"),
    method = c("pearson", "kendall", "spearman"))
```

- · provide two numeric vectors of the same length (arguments x, y), or
- provide a data.frame / tibble with numeric columns only
- · by default, Pearson correlation coefficient is computed

Correlation test

Function cor.test() also computes correlation and tests for association.

```
cor.test(x, y = NULL, alternative(c("two.sided", "less", "greater")),
    method = c("pearson", "kendall", "spearman"))
```

- · provide two numeric vectors of the same length (arguments x, y), or
- provide a data.frame / tibble with numeric columns only
- by default, Pearson correlation coefficient is computed
- alternative values:
 - two.sided means true correlation coefficient is not equal to zero (default)
 - greater means true correlation coefficient is > 0 (positive relationship)
 - less means true correlation coefficient is < 0 (negative relationship)

https://jhudatascience.org/intro_to_r/data/Charm_City_Circulator_Ridership.csv

```
library(jhur)
circ <- read circulator()</pre>
head(circ)
# A tibble: 6 × 15
  day
            date orangeBoardings orangeAlightings orangeAverage purpleBoardings
  <chr>
            <chr>
                             <dbl>
                                               <dbl>
                                                              <dbl>
                                                                              <dbl>
1 Monday 01/1...
                               877
                                                1027
                                                               952
                                                                                  NA
2 Tuesday 01/1...
                               777
                                                               796
                                                 815
                                                                                  NA
3 Wednesday 01/1...
                                                1220
                                                              1212.
                              1203
                                                                                  NA
4 Thursday 01/1...
                              1194
                                                1233
                                                              1214.
                                                                                 NA
5 Friday
            01/1...
                                                1643
                                                              1644
                                                                                 NA
                              1645
6 Saturday 01/1...
                              1457
                                                1524
                                                              1490.
                                                                                  NA
# [] 9 more variables: purpleAlightings <dbl>, purpleAverage <dbl>,
    greenBoardings <dbl>, greenAlightings <dbl>, greenAverage <dbl>,
    bannerBoardings <dbl>, bannerAlightings <dbl>, bannerAverage <dbl>,
#
#
    daily <dbl>
```


Correlation for two vectors

First, we compute correlation by providing two vectors.

Like other functions, if there are NAs, you get NA as the result. But if you specify use only the complete observations, then it will give you correlation using the non-missing data.

```
# x and y must be numeric vectors
x <- circ %>% pull(orangeAverage)
y <- circ %>% pull(purpleAverage)

# have to specify which data on each axis
# can accomodate missing data
plot(x, y)
```



Correlation coefficient calculation and test

```
library(broom)
cor(x, y)
[1] NA
cor(x, y, use = "complete.obs")
[1] 0.9195356
cor.test(x, y)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: x and y
t = 73.656, df = 991, p-value < 0.000000000000000022
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.9093438 0.9286245
sample estimates:
      cor
0.9195356
```


Broom package

The broom package helps make stats results look tidy

Correlation for two vectors with plot

In plot form... geom_smooth() and annotate() can help.

```
corr_value <- pull(cor_result, estimate) %>% round(digits = 4)
cor_label <- paste0("R = ", corr_value)
circ %>%
    ggplot(aes(x = orangeAverage, y = purpleAverage)) +
    geom_point(size = 0.3) +
    geom_smooth() +
    annotate("text", x = 2000, y = 7500, label = cor_label)
```



Correlation for data frame columns

We can compute correlation for all pairs of columns of a data frame / matrix. This is often called, "computing a correlation matrix".

Columns must be all numeric!

```
circ_subset_Average <- circ %>% select(ends_with("Average"))
head(circ_subset_Average)
```

```
# A tibble: 6 \times 4
  orangeAverage purpleAverage greenAverage bannerAverage
           <fdb>>
                           <fdb>>
                                          <db1>
                                                          <fdb>>
            952
                               NA
                                              NA
                                                              NA
2
3
4
            796
                                              NA
                                                              NA
                               NA
           1212.
                               NA
                                              NA
                                                              NA
           1214.
                               NA
                                              NA
                                                              NA
5
           1644
                               NA
                                              NA
                                                              NA
           1490.
                               NA
                                              NA
                                                              NA
```

Correlation for data frame columns

We can compute correlation for all pairs of columns of a data frame / matrix. This is often called, "computing a correlation matrix".


```
cor_mat <- cor(circ_subset_Average, use = "complete.obs")
cor_mat</pre>
```

	orangeAverage	purpleAverage	greenAverage	bannerAverage
orangeAverage	1.0000000	0.9078826	0.8395806	0.5447031
purpleAverage	0.9078826	1.0000000	0.8665630	0.5213462
greenAverage	0.8395806	0.8665630	1.0000000	0.4533421
bannerAverage	0.5447031	0.5213462	0.4533421	1.0000000


Correlation for data frame columns with plot

corrplot package can make correlation matrix plots

library(corrplot)
corrplot(cor_mat)



Correlation does not imply causation





T-test

T-test

The commonly used are:

- one-sample t-test used to test mean of a variable in one group
- **two-sample t-test** used to test difference in means of a variable between two groups (if the "two groups" are data of the *same* individuals collected at 2 time points, we say it is two-sample paired t-test)

The t.test() function in R is one to address the above.

Running one-sample t-test

It tests the mean of a variable in one group. By default (i.e., without us explicitly specifying values of other arguments):

- tests whether a mean of a variable is equal to 0 (mu = 0)
- uses "two sided" alternative (alternative = "two.sided")
- returns result assuming confidence level 0.95 (conf.level = 0.95)
- · omits NA values in data

Running two-sample t-test

It tests the difference in means of a variable between two groups. By default:

- tests whether difference in means of a variable is equal to 0 (mu = 0)
- uses "two sided" alternative (alternative = "two.sided")
- returns result assuming confidence level 0.95 (conf.level = 0.95)
- assumes data are not paired (paired = FALSE)
- assumes true variance in the two groups is not equal (var.equal = FALSE)
- · omits NA values in data

Check out this this case study and this case study for more information.

Running two-sample t-test in R

```
x <- circ %>% pull(orangeAverage)
y <- circ %>% pull(purpleAverage)
sum(is.na(x))
[1] 10
sum(is.na(y)) # count NAs in x and y
[1] 153
t.test(x, y)
   Welch Two Sample t-test
data: x and y
t = -17.076, df = 1984, p-value < 0.0000000000000022
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -1096.7602 -870.7867
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
 3033.161 4016.935
```

T-test: retrieving information from the result with broom package

The broom package has a tidy() function that can organize results into a data frame so that they are easily manipulated (or nicely printed)

P-value adjustment

I You run an increased risk of Type I errors (a "false positive") when multiple hypotheses are tested simultaneously. I

Use the p.adjust() function on a vector of p values. Use method = to specify the adjustment method:

```
my_pvalues <- c(0.049, 0.001, 0.31, 0.00001)
p.adjust(my_pvalues, method = "BH") # Benjamini Hochberg

[1] 0.065333333 0.002000000 0.310000000 0.00004000

p.adjust(my_pvalues, method = "bonferroni") # multiply by number of tests

[1] 0.19600 0.00400 1.000000 0.00004

my_pvalues * 4

[1] 0.19600 0.00400 1.24000 0.00004</pre>
```

See here for more about multiple testing correction. Bonferroni also often done as p value threshold divided by number of tests (0.05/test number).

Some other statistical tests

- wilcox.test() Wilcoxon signed rank test, Wilcoxon rank sum test
- shapiro.test() Shapiro test
- ks.test() Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
- var.test() Fisher's F-Test
- chisq.test() Chi-squared test
- aov() Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Summary

- Use cor() to calculate correlation between two vectors, cor.test() can give more information.
- corrplot() is nice for a quick visualization!
- t.test() one sample test to test the difference in mean of a single vector from zero (one input)
- t.test() two sample test to test the difference in means between two vectors (two inputs)
- tidy() in the broom package is useful for organizing and saving statistical test output
- Remember to adjust p-values with p.adjust() when doing multiple tests on data

Lab Part 1

- Class Website
- Lab

Regression

Linear regression

Linear regression is a method to model the relationship between a response and one or more explanatory variables.

Most commonly used statistical tests are actually specialized regressions, including the two sample t-test, see here for more.

Linear regression notation


Here is some of the notation, so it is easier to understand the commands/results.

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

where:

- · y_i is the outcome for person i
- α is the intercept
- β is the slope (also called a coefficient) the mean change in y that we would expect for one unit change in x ("rise over run")
- · x_i is the predictor for person i
- · $arepsilon_i$ is the residual variation for person i

Linear regression



Linear regression

Linear regression is a method to model the relationship between a response and one or more explanatory variables.

We provide a little notation here so some of the commands are easier to put in the proper context.

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \varepsilon_i$$

where:

- · y_i is the outcome for person i
- α is the intercept
- \cdot β_1 , β_2 , β_2 are the slopes/coefficients for variables x_{i1} , x_{i2} , x_{i3} average difference in y for a unit change (or each value) in x while accounting for other variables
- $\cdot \;\; x_{i1}$, x_{i2} , x_{i3} are the predictors for person i
- · $arepsilon_i$ is the residual variation for person i

See this case study for more details.

Linear regression fit in R

To fit regression models in R, we use the function glm() (Generalized Linear Model).

You may also see lm() which is a more limited function that only allows for normally/Gaussian distributed error terms (aka typical linear regressions).

We typically provide two arguments:

- formula model formula written using names of columns in our data
- data our data frame

Linear regression fit in R: model formula

Model formula

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

In R translates to

Linear regression fit in R: model formula

Model formula

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

In R translates to

In practice, y and x are replaced with the names of columns from our data set.

For example, if we want to fit a regression model where outcome is income and predictor is years_of_education, our formula would be:

income ~ years_of_education

Linear regression fit in R: model formula

Model formula

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \varepsilon_i$$

In R translates to

$$y \sim x1 + x2 + x3$$

In practice, y and x1, x2, x3 are replaced with the names of columns from our data set.

For example, if we want to fit a regression model where outcome is income and predictors are years_of_education, age, and location then our formula would be:

income ~ years_of_education + age + location

Linear regression

We will use data about emergency room doctor complaints.

"Data was recorded on 44 doctors working in an emergency service at a hospital to study the factors affecting the number of complaints received."

```
# install.packages("faraway")
library(faraway)

data(esdcomp)
esdcomp
```

	visits	complaints	residency	gender	revenue	hours
1	2014	2	Y	F	263.03	1287.25
2	3091	3	N	M	334.94	1588.00
3	879	1	Υ	M	206.42	705.25
4	1780	1	N	M	226.32	1005.50
5	3646	11	N	M	288.91	1667.25
6	2690	1	N	M	275.94	1517.75
7	1864	2	Υ	M	295.71	967.00
8	2782	6	N	M	224.91	1609.25
9	3071	9	N	F	249.32	1747.75
10	1502	3	Υ	M	269.00	906.25
11	2438	2	N	F	225.61	1787.75
12	2278	2	N	M	212.43	1480.50
13	2458	5	N	M	211.05	1733.50
14	2269	2	N	F	213.23	1847.25
15	2431	7	N	M	257.30	1433.00
16	3010	2	Υ	M	326.49	1520.00
17	2234	5	Υ	M	290.53	1404.75

Linear regression: model fitting

We fit linear regression model with the number of patient visits (visits) as an outcome and total number of hours worked (hours) as a predictor. In other words, we are evaluation if the number of hours worked is predictive of the number of visits a doctor had.

```
fit <- glm(visits ~ hours, data = esdcomp)
fit

Call: glm(formula = visits ~ hours, data = esdcomp)

Coefficients:
(Intercept) hours
    140.288     1.584

Degrees of Freedom: 43 Total (i.e. Null); 42 Residual
Null Deviance: 16920000
Residual Deviance: 5383000 AIC: 646.3</pre>
```

Linear regression: model summary

The summary() function returns a list that shows us some more detail

```
summary(fit)
Call:
glm(formula = visits ~ hours, data = esdcomp)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 140.288 242.723 0.578
                                                0.566
              1.584 0.167 9.488 0.00000000000526 ***
hours
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 128155.3)
   Null deviance: 16919101 on 43 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 5382524 on 42 degrees of freedom
AIC: 646.3
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
```

tidy results

The broom package can help us here too! The estimate is the coefficient or slope - for one change in hours worked (1 hour increase), we see 1.58 more visits. The error for this estimate is relatively small at 0.167. This relationship appears to be significant with a small p value <0.001.

Linear regression: multiple predictors

Let's try adding another explanatory variable to our model, dollars per hour earned by the doctor (revenue). The tidy function will not work with this unfortunately. The meaning of coefficients is more complicated here.

```
fit2 <- glm(visits ~ hours + revenue, data = esdcomp)</pre>
summary(fit2)
Call:
glm(formula = visits ~ hours + revenue, data = esdcomp)
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value
                                                   Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -2078.1369 327.9157 -6.337
                                               0.00000014326 ***
               1.6179 0.1081 14.968 < 0.0000000000000000 ***
hours
revenue 8.3437 1.0828 7.706
                                               0.0000000169 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 53620.97)
   Null deviance: 16919101 on 43 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 2198460 on 41 degrees of freedom
AIC: 608.91
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
```

Linear regression: multiple predictors

Can also use tidy and glimpse to see the output nicely.

Factors get special treatment in regression models - lowest level of the factor is the comparison group, and all other factors are **relative** to its values.

residency takes values Y or N to indicate whether the doctor is a resident.

```
esdcomp %>% count(residency)
  residency n
1      N 24
2      Y 20
```

Yes relative to No - baseline is no

```
fit_3 <- glm(visits ~ residency, data = esdcomp)</pre>
summary(fit_3)
Call:
glm(formula = visits ~ residency, data = esdcomp)
Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error t value
                                            Pr(>|t|)
residencyY -275.5 187.4 -1.47
                                              0.149
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 383122.6)
   Null deviance: 16919101 on 43 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 16091148 on 42 degrees of freedom
AIC: 694.49
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
```

Comparison group is not listed - treated as intercept. All other estimates are relative to the intercept.

```
circ <- jhur::read_circulator()</pre>
fit_4 <- glm(orangeBoardings ~ factor(day), data = circ)</pre>
summary(fit_4)
Call:
glm(formula = orangeBoardings ~ factor(day), data = circ)
Coefficients:
                  Estimate Std. Error t value
                                                      Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                   3744.04
                               0.00000014090070 ***
factor(day)Monday
                  -667.67
                              125.99 -5.300
factor(day)Saturday -883.37 126.60 -6.978
                                               0.0000000000525 ***
                              factor(day)Sunday
                  -1865.57
factor(day)Thursday -528.83
                             126.39 -4.184
                                             0.00003099042385 ***
factor(day)Tuesday -591.25 126.19 -4.685
                                               0.00000315254564 ***
factor(day)Wednesday -487.93
                              126.39 -3.860
                                                       0.00012 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 1238057)
   Null deviance: 1627179072 on 1078 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 1327197363 on 1072 degrees of freedom
 (67 observations deleted due to missingness)
AIC: 18208
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
```

Relative to the level is not listed.

```
circ <- circ %>% mutate(day = factor(day,
  levels =
   С(
     "Monday", "Tuesday", "Wednesday",
     "Thursday", "Friday", "Saturday", "Sunday"
))
fit_5 <- glm(orangeBoardings ~ day, data = circ)</pre>
summary(fit_5)
Call:
glm(formula = orangeBoardings ~ day, data = circ)
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value
                                                Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 3076.37
                    89.09 34.533 < 0.0000000000000000 ***
dayTuesday 76.42 126.19 0.606
dayWednesday 179.73 126.39 1.422
                                                  0.5449
                                                  0.1553
dayThursday 138.84 126.39 1.098
                                                  0.2723
dayFriday 667.67 125.99 5.300
                                      0.00000141 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 1238057)
   Null deviance: 1627179072 on 1078 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 1327197363 on 1072 degrees of freedom
  (67 observations deleted due to missingness)
AIC: 18208
```

Can view estimates for the comparison group by removing the intercept in the GLM formula $y \sim x - 1$. Caveat is that the p-values change.

```
fit_6 <- glm(orangeBoardings ~ factor(day) - 1, data = circ)</pre>
summary(fit_6)
Call:
glm(formula = orangeBoardings ~ factor(day) - 1, data = circ)
Coefficients:
                 Estimate Std. Error t value
                                                   Pr(>|t|)
factor(day)Monday
                  3076.37
                                   34.53 < 0.00000000000000000 * * *
                             89.09
factor(day)Tuesday
                                   3152.79
                             89.37
factor(day)Wednesday 3256.10
                                   89.66
                                    factor(day)Thursday
                  3215.21
                             89.66
factor(day)Friday
                  3744.04
                             89.09
                                   42.03 < 0.00000000000000000
factor(day)Saturday
                             89.95
                                    2860.67
                                    factor(day)Sunday
                  1878.46
                             90.55
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for gaussian family taken to be 1238057)
   Null deviance: 11540692004 on 1079 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 1327197363 on 1072 degrees of freedom
 (67 observations deleted due to missingness)
AIC: 18208
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 2
```

Linear regression: interactions


Can also specify interactions between variables in a formula $y \sim x1 + x2 + x1 * x2$. This allows for not only the intercepts between factors to differ, but also the slopes with regard to the interacting variable.

```
fit_7 <- glm(visits ~ hours + residency + hours * residency, data = esdcomp)</pre>
tidy(fit_7)
# A tibble: 4 \times 5
                   estimate std.error statistic p.value
  term
                     <dbl>
                                                   <dbl>
  <chr>
                                <dbl>
                                          <dbl>
1 (Intercept)
                                          0.976 0.335
                    469.
                              481.
2 hours
                               0.308 4.30 0.000108
                      1.32
3 residencyY
                   -642.
                              559.
                                      -1.15 0.258
4 hours:residencyY
                     0.574
                               0.377
                                          1.52 0.136
```

Linear regression: interactions

By default, ggplot with a factor added as a color will look include the interaction term. Notice the different intercept and slope of the lines.

```
ggplot(esdcomp, aes(x = hours, y = visits, color = residency)) +
  geom_point(size = 1, alpha = 0.8) +
  geom_smooth(method = "glm", se = FALSE) +
  scale_color_manual(values = c("black", "grey50")) +
  theme_classic()
```



Generalized linear models (GLMs)

Generalized linear models (GLMs) allow for fitting regressions for non-continuous/normal outcomes. Examples include: logistic regression, Poisson regression.

Add the **family** argument – a description of the error distribution and link function to be used in the model. These include:

- binomial(link = "logit") outcome is binary
- poisson(link = "log") outcome is count or rate
- others

Very important to use the right test!

See this case study for more information.

See ?family documentation for details of family functions.

Logistic regression

We will use data about breast cancer tumors.

"The purpose of this study was to determine whether a new procedure called fine needle aspiration which draws only a small sample of tissue could be effective in determining tumor status."

data(wbca)
wbca

	Class	Adhes	BNucl	Chrom	Epith	Mitos	NNucl	Thick	UShap	USize
1	1	1	1	3	2	1	1	5	1	1
2	1	5	10	3	7	1	2	5	4	4
3	1	1	2	3	2	1	1	3	1	1
4	1	1	4	3	3	1	7	6	8	8
5	1	3	1	3	2	1	1	4	1	1
6	0	8	10	9	7	1	7	8	10	10
7	1	1	10	3	2	1	1	1	1	1
8	1	1	1	3	2	1	1	2	2	1
9	1	1	1	1	2	5	1	2	1	1
10	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	4	1	2
11	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1
12	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1
13	0	3	3	4	2	1	4	5	3	3
14	1	1	3	3	2	1	1	1	1	1
15	0	10	9	5	7	4	5	8	5	7
16	0	4	1	4	6	1	3	7	6	4
17	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	4	1	1
18	1	1	1	3	2	1	1	4	1	1
19	0	6	10	4	4	2	1	10	7	7
20	1	1	1	3	2	1	1	6	1	1
21	0	10	10	5	5	4	4	7	2	3
22	0	3	7	7	6	1	10	10	5	5
23	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	3	1	1
24	1	1	1	3	2	1	1	1	1	1
25	0	4	7	3	2	1	6	5	3	2
26	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	2

Logistic regression

Class is a 0/1-valued variable indicating if the tumor was malignant (0 if malignant, 1 if benign).

```
# General format
glm(y \sim x, data = DATASET_NAME, family = binomial(link = "logit"))
binom_fit <- glm(Class ~ UShap + USize, data = wbca, family = binomial(link = "logit"))
summary(binom_fit)
Call:
glm(formula = Class ~ UShap + USize, family = binomial(link = "logit"),
   data = wbca)
Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error z value
                                              Pr(>|z|)
-0.8431 0.1593 -5.292
                                           0.000000121 ***
UShap
           -0.8686 0.1690 -5.139
                                           0.000000277 ***
USize
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 881.39 on 680 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 218.28 on 678 degrees of freedom
AIC: 224.28
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 7
```

An odds ratio (OR) is a measure of association between an exposure and an outcome. The OR represents the odds that an outcome will occur given a particular exposure, compared to the odds of the outcome occurring in the absence of that exposure.

Check out this paper.

This data shows whether people became ill after eating ice cream in the 1940s.

```
# install.packages(epitools)
library(epitools)
data(oswego)
ice_cream <-
   oswego %>%
   select(ill, vanilla.ice.cream) %>%
   mutate(
   ill = recode(ill, "Y" = 1, "N" = 0),
   vanilla.ice.cream = recode(vanilla.ice.cream, "Y" = 1, "N" = 0)
)
```

```
head(ice_cream)
  ill vanilla.ice.cream
1
2
3
4
5
6
    1
    111111
ice_cream %>% count(ill, vanilla.ice.cream)
  ill vanilla.ice.cream
                           0 18
1
2
3
4
    0
    0
1
1
                           1 11
                           1 43
```

Use oddsratio(x, y) from the epitools() package to calculate odds ratios.

```
library(epitools)
response <- ice_cream %>% pull(ill)
predictor <- ice_cream %>% pull(vanilla.ice.cream)
oddsratio(predictor, response)
$data
         Outcome
Predictor 0 1 Total
          18 3
    0
                   21
          11 43
                   54
    Total 29 46
                   75
$measure
         odds ratio with 95% C.I.
Predictor estimate
                      lower
                               upper
        0 1.00000
                         NA
        1 21.40719 5.927963 109.4384
$p.value
         two-sided
Predictor
               midp.exact
                             fisher.exact
                                               chi.square
                       NA
                                       NA
                                                        NA
        1 0.0000002698215 0.0000002597451 0.0000001813314
$correction
[1] FALSE
attr(,"method")
[1] "median-unbiased estimate & mid-p exact CI"
```

See this case study for more information.

Final note

Some final notes:

- Researcher's responsibility to understand the statistical method they use underlying assumptions, correct interpretation of method results
- Researcher's responsibility to understand the R software they use meaning of function's arguments and meaning of function's output elements

Summary

- glm() fits regression models:
 - Use the formula = argument to specify the model (e.g., y ~ x or y ~ x1
 + x2 using column names)
 - Use data = to indicate the dataset
 - Use family = to do a other regressions like logistic, Poisson and more
 - summary() gives useful statistics
- oddsratio() from the epitools package can calculate odds ratios (outside of logistic regression - which allows more than one explanatory variable)
- this is just the tip of the iceberg!

Resources (also on the website!)

For more check out:

- this chapter on modeling in this tidyverse book
- this chart on when to do what test
- opencasestudies.org

For classes at JHU School of Public Health:

- PH.140.621, PH.140.622, PH.140.623, PH.140.62 Statistical Methods in Public Health I, II, III, and IV The class is mostly taught in STATA, but you can also join a group of students working in R. The class covers many topics in statistical analysis for public health data.
- PH.140.778 Statistical Computing, Algorithm, and Software Development A more advanced course for working with data in R. Content for similar topics as this course can also be found on Leanpub.

Lab Part 2

- Class Website
- Lab



Image by Gerd Altmann from Pixabay