



Part III – Knowledge and Reasoning

- **6 Agents that Reason Logically**

- Knowledge-based Agents. – Representations.
- Propositional Logic. – The Wumpus World.

- **7 First-Order Logic**

- **Syntax and Semantics.** – Using First-Order Logic.
- Logical Agents. – Representing Changes.
- Deducing Properties of the World.
- Goal-based Agents.

- **8 Building a Knowledge Base**

- Knowledge Engineering. – General Ontology.



Nesting and Mixing Quantifiers

- **Combining \forall and \exists**

- Express more complex sentences

- e.g. “if x is the parent of y then y is the child of x”:

$$\forall x, \forall y \text{ Parent}(x, y) \Rightarrow \text{Child}(y, x)$$

“every person has a parent”: $\forall x \text{ Person}(x) \Rightarrow \exists y \text{ Parent}(y, x)$

- Semantics depends on quantifiers ordering

- e.g. $\exists y, \forall x \text{ Parent}(y, x)$

“there is someone who is everybody’s parent” ?!?

- Choosing variables to avoid confusion

- e.g. $\forall x \text{ King}(x) \vee \exists x \text{ Brother}(\text{Richard}, x)$ is better written:

$$\forall x \text{ King}(x) \vee \exists z \text{ Brother}(\text{Richard}, z)$$

- **Well-formed formula (WFF)**

- Sentences with all variables properly quantified



Connections between Quantifiers

- **Equivalences**

- Using the negation (*hence only one quantifier is needed*)

$$\forall x P(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$$

- e.g. "everyone is mortal":

$$\forall x \text{Mortal}(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists x \neg \text{Mortal}(x)$$

- De Morgan's Laws

- $\forall x P \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P$

$$\forall x \neg P \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists x P$$

$$\neg \forall x P \Leftrightarrow \exists x \neg P$$

$$\neg \forall x \neg P \Leftrightarrow \exists x P$$

$$P \wedge Q \Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg P \vee \neg Q)$$

$$\neg P \wedge \neg Q \Leftrightarrow \neg(P \vee Q)$$

$$\neg(P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

$$\neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \Leftrightarrow P \vee Q$$



Equality Predicate Symbol

- **Need for equality**

- State that two terms refer to the same object
 - e.g. $\text{Father}(\text{John}) = \text{Henry}$, or $=(\text{Father}(\text{John}), \text{Henry})$
- Predicate symbol with fixed semantics
 - Identity relation, i.e. the set of pairs (2-tuples) of objects where both elements of a pair are the same object.
e.g. $\{ \langle \text{Henry}, \text{Henry} \rangle, \langle \text{KingJohn}, \text{KingJohn} \rangle, \langle \text{RichardLionheart}, \text{RichardLionheart} \rangle, \dots \}$
- Useful to define properties
 - e.g. “King John has two brothers”:
$$\exists x, y \text{ Brother}(x, \text{KingJohn}) \wedge \text{Brother}(y, \text{KingJohn}) \wedge \neg(x=y)$$



Grammar of First-Order Logic

(Backus-Naur Form)

Sentence

→ AtomicSentence | (Sentence)
| Sentence Connective Sentence
| \neg Sentence
| Quantifier Variable, ... Sentence

AtomicSentence

→ Predicate(Term, ...) | Term = Term

Term

→ Function(Term, ...) | Constant | Variable

Connective

→ \wedge | \vee | \Leftrightarrow | \Rightarrow

Quantifier

→ \forall | \exists

Constant

→ A | X_1 | John | ...

Variable

→ a | x | person | ...

Predicate

→ P() | Colour() | Before() | ...

Function

→ F() | MotherOf() | SquareRootOf() | ...



Extensions to First-Order Logic

- **Higher-order logics**

- First-order logic: quantifiers over objects

- e.g. $\forall x,y \text{ Equal}(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \forall x,y (x=y)$

- Second-order logic: quantifiers over relations

- e.g. “2 objects are equal iff all properties are equivalent”:

- $$\forall x,y \text{ Equal}(x, y) \Leftrightarrow (\forall p p(x)=p(y))$$

- or “2 functions are equal iff they have the same value for all args”:

- $$\forall f,g (f=g) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x f(x)=g(x))$$

- Problem: inference procedures not well understood.

- **λ -expressions**

- “Macros” to construct complex predicates and functions

- e.g. Definition: $\lambda x,y \text{ King}(x) \wedge \text{Brother}(x,y)$

- Usage: $(\lambda x,y \text{ King}(x) \wedge \text{Brother}(x,y)) (\text{Richard}, \text{John})$



Using First-Order Logic

- **Knowledge domain**
 - A part of the world we want to express knowledge about
- **Example of the kinship domain**
 - Objects: people e.g., Elizabeth, Charles, William, etc.
 - Properties: gender i.e., male, female
Unary predicates: Male() and Female()
 - Relations: kinship e.g., motherhood, brotherhood, etc.
Binary predicates: Parent(), Sibling(), Brother(), Child(), etc.
Functions: MotherOf(), FatherOf()
 - > Express facts e.g., Charles is a male
and rules e.g., the mother of a parent is a grandmother



Sample Functions and Predicates

- **Functions**

$$\forall x,y \text{ FatherOf}(x)=y \Leftrightarrow \text{Parent}(y,x) \wedge \text{Male}(y)$$

$$\forall x,y \text{ MotherOf}(x)=y \Leftrightarrow \text{Parent}(y,x) \wedge \text{Female}(y)$$

- **Predicates**

$$\forall x,y \text{ Parent}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \text{Child}(y,x)$$

$$\forall x,y \text{ Grandparent}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z, \text{Parent}(x,z) \wedge \text{Parent}(z,y)$$

$$\forall x,y \text{ Sibling}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \neg x=y \wedge \exists z, \text{Parent}(z,x) \wedge \text{Parent}(z,y)$$

$$\forall x \text{ Male}(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg \text{Female}(x)$$

- **Potential problems**

- Self-definition (causes infinite recursion)

- e.g.: $\forall x,y \text{ Child}(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \text{Parent}(y,x)$ following the above



TELLing and ASKing

- **TELLing the KB**

- Assertion: add a sentence to the knowledge base

- e.g.

- TELL(KB, $\forall x,y \text{ MotherOf}(x)=y \Leftrightarrow \text{Parent}(y,x) \wedge \text{Female}(y)$)
 - and so on, then

- TELL(KB, $\text{Female}(\text{Elizabeth}) \wedge \text{Parent}(\text{Elizabeth}, \text{Charles}) \wedge$
 $\text{Parent}(\text{Charles}, \text{William})$)

- **ASKing the KB**

- Query: retrieve/infer a sentence from the knowledge base

- Yes/no answer

- e.g. ASK(KB, $\text{Grandparent}(\text{Elizabeth}, \text{William})$)

- Binding list, or substitution

- e.g. ASK(KB, $\exists x \text{ Child}(\text{William}, x)$) yields {x / Charles}



A Logical Agent for the Wumpus World

- **TELLing the KB**

- Interface: percepts + actions
- Percept sentences
 - e.g. `Percept([Stench, Breeze, Glitter, None, None], t)`
- Action sentences
 - e.g. `Turn(Right), Turn(Left), Forward, Shoot, Grab, Climb`

- **ASKing the KB**

- Queries
 - e.g. $\exists a \text{ Action}(a, t+1)$
returning a binding list, such as `{a / Grab}`



Summary

- **First-order logic ...**
 - Is a general-purpose knowledge representation language.
 - Is based on the ontological commitment that the world is composed of objects, with properties and relations.
- **The syntax of first-order logic ...**
 - Constant symbols name objects.
 - Predicate symbols name relations.
 - Complex terms name objects using function symbols.
 - Atomic sentences consist of predicates applied to terms.
 - Complex sentences use connectives.
 - Quantified sentences allow to express general rules.



Summary

- **Knowledge-based agents can ...**
 - Be designed using first-order logic.
 - Reason using first-order logic.
- **Knowledge-based agents need to ...**
 - React to what they perceive.
 - Abstract descriptions of states from percepts.
 - Maintain an internal model of the relevant aspects of the world not directly available from percepts.
 - Express and use information about the desirability of their actions.
 - Use goals in conjunction with knowledge to make plans.



References

- Bell, J. L. and Machover, M. (1977). *A Course in Mathematical Logic*. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, London, New York.
- Enderton, H. B. (1972). *A Mathematical Introduction to Logic*. Academic Press, New York.
- Kowalski, R. (1979b). *Logic for Problem Solving*. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, London, New York.
- Quine, W. V. (1982). *Methods of Logic*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, fourth edition.
- Reiter, R. (1980). A logic for default reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence*, 13(1-2):81-132.



- **I Artificial Intelligence**
- **II Problem Solving**
- **III Knowledge and Reasoning**
- **IV Acting Logically**
- **V Uncertain Knowledge and Reasoning**
- **VI Learning**
- **VII Communicating, Perceiving and Acting**
- **VIII Conclusions**



Part III – Knowledge and Reasoning

- **9 Inference in First-Order Logic**

- Inference Rules. – Generalised Modus Ponens.
- Forward and Backward Chaining. – Resolution.

- **10 Logical Reasoning Systems**

- Indexing, Retrieval and Unification. – Logic Programming / Prolog. – Production Systems.
- Frames and Semantic / Conceptual Networks.
- Managing Retractions, Assumptions, and Explanations.



9 – INFERENCE IN FIRST-ORDER LOGIC

“In which we define inference mechanisms that can efficiently answer questions posed in first-order logic.”



Part III – Knowledge and Reasoning

- **9 Inference in First-Order Logic**

- Inference Rules. – Generalised Modus Ponens.
- Forward and Backward Chaining. – Resolution.

- **10 Logical Reasoning Systems**

- Indexing, Retrieval and Unification. – Logic Programming / Prolog. – Production Systems.
- Frames and Semantic / Conceptual Networks.
- Managing Retractions, Assumptions, and Explanations.



Inferences Rules for FOL

- Inference rules from Propositional Logic

- *Modus Ponens*

- $$\frac{\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \alpha}{\beta}$$

- And-Elimination

- $$\frac{\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n}{\alpha_i}$$

- Or-Introduction

- $$\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 \vee \dots \vee \alpha_n}$$

- Double-Negation-Elimination

- $$\frac{\neg \neg \alpha}{\alpha}$$

- And-Introduction

- $$\frac{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}{\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n}$$

- Resolution

- $$\frac{\alpha \vee \beta, \neg \beta \vee \gamma}{\alpha \vee \gamma}$$



Inferences Rules with Quantifiers

- **Substitutions**

- $\text{SUBST}(\theta, \alpha)$: binding list θ applied to a sentence α
 - e.g.: $\text{SUBST}(\{x / \text{John}, y / \text{Richard}\}, \text{Brother}(x, y)) = \text{Brother}(\text{John}, \text{Richard})$

- **Inference rules**

- Universal Elimination

- $$\frac{\forall x \alpha}{\text{SUBST}(\{x/g\}, \alpha)}$$

$$\forall x \text{ Dog}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Friendly}(x)$$

$$\vdash \text{Dog}(\text{Snoopy}) \Rightarrow \text{Friendly}(\text{Snoopy})$$

- Existential Elimination

- $$\frac{\exists x \alpha}{\text{SUBST}(\{x/K\}, \alpha)}$$

(Skolemization)

$$\exists x \text{ Dog}(x) \wedge \text{Owns}(\text{John}, x)$$

$$\vdash \text{Dog}(\text{Lassie}), \text{Owns}(\text{John}, \text{Lassie})$$

- Existential Introduction

- $$\frac{\alpha}{\exists x \text{ SUBST}(\{g/v\}, \alpha)}$$



An Example of Logical Proof

- **Proof procedure**

- Analysis of the problem description (Natural Language)
- Translation from NL to first-order logic
- Application of inference rules (proof)

- **Problem statement**

- *“It is a crime for an American to sell weapons to hostile nations. The country Nono, an enemy of America, has some missiles, and all of its missiles were sold by Col. West, who is American.”*

end