## Exercise 3.2: More on Root-finding

#### I. Introduction to the Problem

In this exercise, we consider the function

$$f(x) = 1 + \ln x - x \tag{3.2.1}$$

and initially determine the multiplicity of x=1 as a root of f. After which, we implement the Newton-Raphson Method on f using an initial guess of  $x_0=2$  with a jump-based stopping criterion with error tolerance  $\epsilon=10^{-8}$  and maximum iteration  $N_{\rm max}=100$ . In the iteration process, we also calculate for the rate of convergence q of the sequences of estimates for the root r of f as well as the asymptotic error constant  $\lambda$ . Finally, we apply the Steffensen's method on f using the same initial guess  $x_0=2$  and jump-based stopping criterion. The results of the two methods applied will then be compared and contrasted.

# II. Determining Multiplicity

We note from the discussion that if f is sufficiently differentiable, then we say that r is a root of f with multiplicity m if and only if

$$f(r) = f'(r) = f''(r) = \dots = f^{(m-1)}(r) = 0, f^{(m)}(r) \neq 0$$

Hence, to determine the multiplicity of x=1 as a root of the function f in Equation 3.2.1, we differentiate f and stop after m times if  $f^{(m)}(r) \neq 0$ . Solving this we have

$$f(x) = 1 + \ln x - x$$
  $\Longrightarrow f(1) = 1 + \ln 1 - 1 = 0$   
 $f'(x) = \frac{1}{x} - 1$   $\Longrightarrow f'(1) = \frac{1}{1} - 1 = 0$   
 $f''(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2}$   $\Longrightarrow f''(1) = -\frac{1}{1^2} = -1 \neq 0$ 

Since  $f''(1) \neq 0$ , then x = 1 is a root of f of multiplicity m = 2.

## III. Newton-Raphson Implementation

We now apply the Newton-Raphson Method on f using the initial guess  $x_0 = 2$  and with a jump-based stopping criterion with error tolerance  $\epsilon = 10^{-8}$  and maximum iteration  $N_{\text{max}} = 100$ . Note that  $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$  iteration is given by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \qquad \text{for } n \ge 0$$

We also compute for an estimate of the asymptotic error constant  $\lambda$ . Since we know from **I**. that x = 1 is a root of f, then we let r = 1 and solve  $\lambda$  by

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|r - x_{n+1}|}{|r - x_n|^q} = \lambda \tag{3.2.2}$$

If the estimates for  $\lambda$  converges, we define q as the rate of convergence of our estimates for the root. Implementing these in MATLAB, we have the result in the next page.

```
rel jump
                              lambda (g=1)
                                               lambda (q=2)
     x_n
     1.386294
                 3.068528E-01
                                  0.386294
                                               0.386294
     1.172192
                 1.544421E-01
                                  0.445754
                                               1.153923
                                  0.473543
3
     1.081540
                 7.733526E-02
                                               2.750084
                  3.868118E-02
     1.039705
                                  0.486938
                                               5.971742
                 1.934224E-02
                                               12.429392
     1.019595
                                  0.493511
     1.009734
                 9.671324E-03
                                  0.496766
                                               25.351768
     1.004851
                  4.835687E-03
                                  0.498386
                                               51.200036
8
     1,002422
                 2.417847E-03
                                  0.499193
                                               102.898324
     1.001210
                  1.208924E-03
                                  0.499597
                                               206.295775
10
     1.000605
                  6.044619E-04
                                  0.499798
                                               413.091113
11
     1,000302
                 3.022310E-04
                                  0.499899
                                               826,682007
     1.000151
                  1.511155E-04
                                  0.499950
                                               1653.863905
     1.000076
                  7.555774E-05
                                  0.499975
                                               3308.227756
13
14
     1.000038
                 3.777887E-05
                                  0.499987
                                               6616.955485
15
     1.000019
                 1.888944E-05
                                  0.499994
                                               13234.410956
     1,000009
                 9.444718E-06
                                  0.499997
                                               26469.321905
16
     1.000005
                  4.722359E-06
                                  0.499998
                                               52939.143806
17
18
     1.000002
                 2.361179E-06
                                  0.499999
                                               105878.787615
19
     1.000001
                 1.180590E-06
                                  0.500000
                                               211758.075200
20
     1.000001
                  5.902949E-07
                                  0.500000
                                               423516.650392
                 2.951474E-07
                                               847033.801015
21
     1.000000
                                  0.500000
22
     1.000000
                  1.475737E-07
                                  0.500000
                                               1694068.101625
23
     1.000000
                  7.378686E-08
                                  0.500000
                                               3388136.702846
                 3.689343E-08
     1.000000
                                               6776273.915483
24
                                  0.500000
    1.000000
                1.844671E-08
                                 0.500000
                                             13552548.320365
    1.000000
                9.223357E-09
                                 0.500000
                                             27105097.293263
Root is 1.0000000092 after 26 iterations.
The function value at the estimate is -1.701406E-16.
The rate of convergence is q = 1 with asymptototic error constant lambda = 0.500000
```

Figure 3.2.1: Result of Implementing Newton-Raphson Method on f in MATLAB.

From the table, we see that a good estimate is obtained after 26 iterations. The estimated root is  $r^* = 1.0000000092$  with  $f(r^*)$  having an order of magnitude of  $10^{-16}$ . This verifies the goodness of  $r^*$  as a root of f. Moreover, we see that for q=1 used on Equation 3.2.2, the value converges to  $\lambda=0.5$ . Therefore, we conclude that the rate of convergence is q=1 and the asymptotic error constant is  $\lambda=0.5$ . That is, the Newton-Raphson Method resulted with a linear rate of convergence.

## III. Steffensen's Method Implementation

We now implement the Aitken-I Method on f using the same initial conditions and stopping criterion from II. This method is also known as the *Steffensen's Method*. In this method, we follow the same pattern from Newton-Raphson except that for every iteration of multiple of 3, i.e.,  $3, 6, 9, \ldots$ , we use the formula

$$x_n = x_{n-1} + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} (x_{n-1} - x_{n-2})$$
(3.2.3)

to find the  $n^{\text{th}}$  estimate for the root where  $\lambda = 0.5$  as obtained from II. Moreover, we compute for the asymptotic error constant  $\lambda$  given from Equation 3.2.2 and use the values 1 and 2 for q. Implementing this in Excel, we have the results given in the next page.

			NR	Aitken	NR	Aiten
n	x_n	rel_jump	lambda	lambda	lambda	lambda
0	2.00000000000		q = 1	q=1	q=2	q=2
1	1.38629436112	0.306853	0.3862944		0.386294	
2	1.17219218899	0.154442	0.4457538		1.153923	
3	0.95809001686	0.182651	0.2433907	0.2433907	1.413483	1.413483
4	0.97874597423	0.02156	0.5071352		12.10058	
5	0.98929688773	0.01078	0.5035805		23.69342	
6	0.99984780124	0.010665	0.01422	0.01422	1.32859	1.32859
7	0.99992389676	7.61E-05	0.5000254		3285.344	
8	0.99996194741	3.81E-05	0.5000127		6570.189	
9	0.9999999807	3.81E-05	5.0765E-05	5.0765E-05	1.334064	1.334064
10	0.9999999807	0	1		5.18E+08	

Figure 3.2.2: Result of Implementing Steffensen's Method on f in Excel.

We note that the method halted after 10 iterations. An estimate  $r^* = 0.99999999807$  is obtained with  $f(r^*)$  having an order of magnitude of  $10^{-18}$ , hence a quite good estimate and better than the estimate obtained using the Newton-Raphson Method. It can also be observed that the asymptotic error constant  $\lambda$  for q = 1 implemented with Aitken-I decreases and converges to 0. A value of  $\lambda = 0$  obtained with q = 1 implies that the rate of convergence for the method is greater than 1. That is, the rate of convergence is superlinear.

From these results, we conclude that the Newton-Raphson Method did not work smoothly for the given function since it incurred a rate of convergence of q=1 instead the expected q=2. This is due to the result we obtained from  $\mathbf{I}$ . in which x=1 is a root of f of multiplicity 2. However, the result from  $\mathbf{I}$  verified that since the Newton-Raphson Method converged to a root of multiplicity 2, in this case the root is x=1, then the asymptotic error constant is

$$\lambda = \frac{m-1}{m} = \frac{2-1}{2} = 0.5$$

for this root.

The result from II. then showed that the rate of convergence for Newton-Raphson is q=1 or linear. Hence, we can apply the Aitken method. Implementing the Aitken-I (or Steffensen's) Method as shown in III., showed that the rate of convergence is accelerated from linear to superlinear. Therefore, it is concluded that the Steffensen's Method improved the sequence of estimates for the root x=1.

**Remark:** The program used to solve this problem is made in MATLAB and Excel and is made solely by the author of this paper.