

# 1. THE DESCENTS OF PAINTED BIPARTITIONS

As before, let  $\star \in \{B, C, D, \tilde{C}, C^*, D^*\}$  and let  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  be a Young diagram that has  $\star$ -good parity. Put

$$(1.1) \quad l := l_{\star, \check{\mathcal{O}}} := \begin{cases} \frac{r_1(\check{\mathcal{O}})}{2}; & \text{if } \star \in \{B, \tilde{C}\}; \\ \frac{r_1(\check{\mathcal{O}})-1}{2}, & \text{if } \star \in \{C, C^*\}; \\ \frac{r_1(\check{\mathcal{O}})+1}{2}, & \text{if } \star \in \{D, D^*\}. \end{cases}$$

This is the length of the leading column of every element of  $\text{PBP}_\star(\check{\mathcal{O}})$ .

In various context, we use  $\emptyset$  to denote the empty set, the empty Young diagram or the painted Young diagram whose underlying Young diagram is empty. For every Young diagram  $\iota$ , its descent, which is denoted by  $\nabla(j)$ , is defined to be the Young diagram obtained from  $j$  by removing the first column. By convention,  $\nabla(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .

In the rest of this section, we assume that  $\check{\mathcal{O}} \neq \emptyset$ , and write  $\check{\mathcal{O}}'$  for its dual descent. Write  $\star'$  for the Howe dual of  $\star$  so that  $\check{\mathcal{O}}'$  has  $\star'$ -good parity. Put

$$l' := l_{\star', \check{\mathcal{O}}'}$$

**1.1. Naive descents of painted bipartitions.** In this subsection, let  $\tau = (\iota, \mathcal{P}) \times (j, \mathcal{Q}) \times \alpha$  be a painted bipartition such that  $\star_\tau = \star$ . Write  $\star'$  for the Howe dual of  $\star$  and put

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha' = \begin{cases} B^+, & \text{if } \alpha = \tilde{C} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_\tau(l_{\star, \check{\mathcal{O}}}, 1), 1) \neq c; \\ B^-, & \text{if } \alpha = \tilde{C} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_\tau(l_{\star, \check{\mathcal{O}}}, 1), 1) = c; \\ \star', & \text{if } \alpha \neq \tilde{C}. \end{cases}$$

[

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha' = \begin{cases} B^+, & \text{if } \alpha = \tilde{C} \text{ and } c \text{ does not occur in the leading column of } \tau; \\ B^-, & \text{if } \alpha = \tilde{C} \text{ and } c \text{ occurs in the leading column of } \tau; \\ \star', & \text{if } \alpha \neq \tilde{C}. \end{cases}$$

]

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $\star \in \{B, C, C^*\}$ , then there is a unique painted bipartition of the form  $\tau' = (\iota', \mathcal{P}') \times (j', \mathcal{Q}') \times \alpha'$  with the following properties:*

- $(\iota', j') = (\iota, \nabla(j))$ ;
- for all  $(i, j) \in \text{Box}(\iota')$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}'(i, j) = \begin{cases} \bullet \text{ or } s, & \text{if } \mathcal{P}(i, j) \in \{\bullet, s\}; \\ \mathcal{P}(i, j), & \text{if } \mathcal{P}(i, j) \notin \{\bullet, s\}; \end{cases}$$

- for all  $(i, j) \in \text{Box}(j')$ ,

$$\mathcal{Q}'(i, j) = \begin{cases} \bullet \text{ or } s, & \text{if } \mathcal{Q}(i, j+1) \in \{\bullet, s\}; \\ \mathcal{Q}(i, j+1), & \text{if } \mathcal{Q}(i, j+1) \notin \{\bullet, s\}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* First assume that the images of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are both contained in  $\{\bullet, s\}$ . Then the image of  $\mathcal{P}$  is in fact contained in  $\{\bullet\}$ , and  $(\iota, j)$  is right interlaced in the sense that

$$\mathbf{c}_1(j) \geq \mathbf{c}_1(\iota) \geq \mathbf{c}_2(j) \geq \mathbf{c}_2(\iota) \geq \mathbf{c}_3(j) \geq \mathbf{c}_3(\iota) \geq \cdots.$$

Hence  $(\iota', j') := (\iota, \nabla(j))$  is left interlaced in the sense that

$$\mathbf{c}_1(\iota') \geq \mathbf{c}_1(j') \geq \mathbf{c}_2(\iota') \geq \mathbf{c}_2(j') \geq \mathbf{c}_3(\iota') \geq \mathbf{c}_3(j') \geq \cdots.$$

Then it is clear that there is unique painted bipartition of the form  $\tau' = (i', \mathcal{P}') \times (j', \mathcal{Q}') \times \alpha'$  such that images of  $\mathcal{P}'$  and  $\mathcal{Q}'$  are both contained in  $\{\bullet, s\}$ . This proves the lemma in the special case when the images of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are both contained in  $\{\bullet, s\}$ .

The proof of the lemma in the general case is easily reduced to this special case.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *If  $\star \in \{\tilde{C}, D, D^*\}$ , then there is a unique painted bipartition of the form  $\tau' = (i', \mathcal{P}') \times (j', \mathcal{Q}') \times \alpha'$  with the following properties:*

- $(i', j') = (\nabla(i), j)$ ;
- for all  $(i, j) \in \text{Box}(i')$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}'(i, j) = \begin{cases} \bullet \text{ or } s, & \text{if } \mathcal{P}(i, j+1) \in \{\bullet, s\}; \\ \mathcal{P}(i, j+1), & \text{if } \mathcal{P}(i, j+1) \notin \{\bullet, s\}; \end{cases}$$

- for all  $(i, j) \in \text{Box}(j')$ ,

$$\mathcal{Q}'(i, j) = \begin{cases} \bullet \text{ or } s, & \text{if } \mathcal{P}(i, j) \in \{\bullet, s\}; \\ \mathcal{Q}(i, j), & \text{if } \mathcal{Q}(i, j) \notin \{\bullet, s\}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Lemma 1.1.  $\square$

**Definition 1.3.** *In the notation of Lemma 1.1 and 1.2, we call  $\tau'$  the naive descent of  $\tau$ , to be denoted by  $\nabla_{\text{naive}}(\tau)$ .*

*Example.* If

$$\tau = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & c \\ \hline \bullet & s & c & \\ \hline s & & & \\ \hline c & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \bullet & r & d \\ \hline d & d & \\ \hline \end{array} \times \tilde{C},$$

then

$$\nabla_{\text{naive}}(\tau) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \bullet & \bullet & c \\ \hline \bullet & c & \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \bullet & \bullet & s \\ \hline \bullet & r & d \\ \hline d & d & \\ \hline \end{array} \times B^-.$$

**1.2. Descents of painted bipartitions.** Suppose that  $\tau = (i, \mathcal{P}) \times (j, \mathcal{Q}) \times \alpha \in \text{PBP}_\star(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  and write

$$\tau'_{\text{naive}} = (i', \mathcal{P}'_{\text{naive}}) \times (j', \mathcal{Q}'_{\text{naive}}) \times \alpha'$$

for the naive descent of  $\tau$ . This is clearly an element of  $\text{PBP}_\star(\check{\mathcal{O}}')$ .

The following two lemmas are easily verified and we omit the proofs. We will give an example for each of them.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose that*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = B^+; \\ \mathbf{r}_2(\check{\mathcal{O}}) > 0; \\ \mathcal{Q}(l, 1) \in \{r, d\}. \end{cases}$$

*Then there is a unique element in  $\text{PBP}_\star(\check{\mathcal{O}}')$  of the form*

$$\tau' = (i', \mathcal{P}') \times (j', \mathcal{Q}') \times \alpha'$$

*such that  $\mathcal{Q}' = \mathcal{Q}'_{\text{naive}}$  and for all  $(i, j) \in \text{Box}(i')$ ,*

$$\mathcal{P}'(i, j) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } (i, j) = (l', 1); \\ \mathcal{P}'_{\text{naive}}(i, j), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Example.* If

$$\tau = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \bullet & c \\ \hline c & \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \bullet & r \\ \hline r & d \\ \hline \end{array} \times B^+,$$

then

$$\tau'_{\text{naive}} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline s & c \\ \hline c & \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|} \hline r \\ \hline d \\ \hline \end{array} \times \tilde{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau' = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline s & c \\ \hline s & \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|} \hline r \\ \hline d \\ \hline \end{array} \times \tilde{C}.$$

Note that in this case, the nonzero row lengths of  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  are 4, 4, 4, 2, and  $l' = 2$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** *Suppose that*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = D; \\ \mathbf{r}_2(\check{\mathcal{O}}) = \mathbf{r}_3(\check{\mathcal{O}}) > 0; \\ \mathcal{P}(l' + 1, 1) = r; \\ \mathcal{P}(l' + 1, 2) = c; \\ \mathcal{P}(l, 1) \in \{r, d\}. \end{cases}$$

*Then there is a unique element in  $\text{PBP}_\star(\check{\mathcal{O}}')$  of the form*

$$\tau' = (i', \mathcal{P}') \times (j', \mathcal{Q}') \times \alpha'$$

*such that  $\mathcal{Q}' = \mathcal{Q}'_{\text{naive}}$  and for all  $(i, j) \in \text{Box}(i')$ ,*

$$\mathcal{P}'(i, j) = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } (i, j) = (l' + 1, 1); \\ \mathcal{P}'_{\text{naive}}(i, j), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Example.* If

$$\tau = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \bullet & s \\ \hline \bullet & s \\ \hline r & c \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \bullet & \\ \hline \bullet & \\ \hline \end{array} \times D,$$

then

$$\tau'_{\text{naive}} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \bullet \\ \hline \bullet \\ \hline \bullet \\ \hline c \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \bullet & s \\ \hline \bullet & \\ \hline \bullet & \\ \hline \end{array} \times C, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau' = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \bullet \\ \hline \bullet \\ \hline \bullet \\ \hline r \\ \hline \end{array} \times \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \bullet & s \\ \hline \bullet & \\ \hline \bullet & \\ \hline \end{array} \times C.$$

Note that in this case, the nonzero row lengths of  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  are 7, 7, 7, 3, and  $l' = 3$ .

**Definition 1.6.** *We define the descent of  $\tau$  to be*

$$\nabla(\tau) := \begin{cases} \tau', & \text{if the condition of Lemma 1.4 or 1.5 holds;} \\ \nabla_{\text{naive}}(\tau), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

*which is an element of  $\text{PBP}_\star(\check{\mathcal{O}}')$ . Here  $\tau'$  is as in Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5.*

In conclusion, we have defined the descent map

$$\nabla : \text{PBP}_\star(\check{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow \text{PBP}_\star(\check{\mathcal{O}}').$$

THE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 310 MALOTT HALL, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NEW YORK 14853

*Email address:* `dmb14@cornell.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, 800 DONGCHUAN ROAD, SHANGHAI, 200240, CHINA

*Email address:* `hoxide@sjtu.edu.cn`

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, 310058, CHINA

*Email address:* `sunbinyong@zju.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, 10 LOWER KENT RIDGE ROAD, SINGAPORE 119076

*Email address:* `matzhucb@nus.edu.sg`