

The Feasibility of Small-Scale Wind Power Generation at Kent School, Connecticut: An economic, environmental, and aesthetic analyses

Jiajun Mao, Chun Lam Cheng

1 Macedonia Rd., Kent, CT

Abstract

Carbon-based energy source in electricity generation has already been challenged, in multiple researches, not only for their scarcity(Höök & Tang (2013)) but also their negative impacts on earth's environment. In face of severe environmental challenges such as global warming and its resulting problems such as extreme weathers(Höök & Tang (2013)) and dramatically increasing species, such as amphibian's, extinction rate(Alan Pounds et al. (2006)), a clean and environmentally-friendly energy source is in need. It is evidence through multiple researches that wind power has the potential of subsidizing, if not replacing, the role of power generation by those traditional energy sources. In light of the development of wind power worldwide, it is important for Kent School to also consider using wind power to fulfill parts of the electricity consumption on campus and hopefully reduce campus' environmental footprint. This study specifically focuses on the feasibility of a small-scale wind farm on Kent School's campus through economic, environmental and aesthetic perspectives.

Keywords: Wind, Kent School, Small-scale, Energy, Economic-feasibility

¹Since 1880.

1. Introduction

Kent School receives its electricity from the CT state grid [CONFIRM] (the solar power generated is sold back to the power company), which means that according to the energy sources profile of the CT state grid, 63.7% of the electricity that Kent School uses comes from natural gas and 31.2% comes from nuclear. Also, the data obtained from Kent School's Maintenance Department shows that the annual power consumption is [CONFIRM] MWh. Calculating from the average commercial electricity rates in the state of Connecticut, which is 14.65 cents/kWh, the annual spending of Kent School on electricity consumption is roughly [CONFIRM]. Therefore, the incentives for the installation of wind power generation facility on campus can be concluded into two following parts:

- ***environmental incentives.*** Reduction of school's overall environmental footprint in hope of contriubting to the alleviation of environmental problems caused by electricity consumption worldwide.
- ***economic incentives.*** Reduction of school's growing expenditure incurred by student's growing demand in electricity so that school can reach tuition/expenditure balance with each student.

1.1. *environmental incentives*

Though natural gas is a comparably cleaner energy source than traditional carbon-based sources such as coal or petroleum, the burning of natural gas nevertheless still releases carbon dioxide (Laboratory (2010)), one of the most notorious greenhouse gases that are causing the global warming (Shakun et al. (2012)). Therefore, for environmental concerns, the burning of carbon-based energy source should be avoided as much as possible. Wind power, despite the carbon emission generated during the manufacturing processes of the turbines (Kaldellis & Apostolou (2017)), release mininum, if not none, additional green house gases once they become functional (Denny & O'Malley (2006)).

Several reviews have been done regarding the potential or achievement of

30 wind power in reducing the overall carbon emission and other environmentally
harmful gas for electricity generation, as well as our reliance on fossil fuels.
These studies, including Samal & Tripathy (2019)'s Cost savings and emission
reduction capability of wind-integrated power systems and Denny & O'Malley
(2006)'s Wind Generation, Power System Operation, and Emission Reduction
35 demonstrate the possibility and potential of reduction in Kent School's carbon
footprint if wind-power generating facilities are installed on campus, which will
in turn further Kent's path on making the school's operations more environ-
mentally sustainable.

1.2. economic incentives

40 It is evident that to provide students with quality education, Kent School
needs to possess certain degree of financial affluency. However, according to
various sources, including the Headmaster of the school, Fr. Shell, and the
annual report of Kent School [NEED], there is a substantial gap existing between
the tuition and cost for a student. Therefore, to make Kent education truly
45 available to everyone, the operational cost gap for each student must be reduced.
Using wind energy to subsidize the electricity consumption on campus might
make the cost reduction possible.

Again, several studies, including Maria Isabel Blanco's The economics of
wind energy (Blanco (2009)), and The Economics of Wind Energy: A report by
50 the European Wind Energy Association (Awerbuch & Morthorst), prove that
the average cost of operating wind farm could be substantially lower compared
to the cost of buying electricity from the regional power. Therefore, through
the utilization of wind power on campus, Kent School can possibility reduce
the operation cost for a single student become more financially self-sufficient, in
55 turn providing future Kent students a better education.

2. Methods

2.1. Location Determination Factors

When determining the location of the possible wind farm, the location must satisfy the requirements including but not limited to the listed below. When considering a location, requirement 1 and 2 are strict requirement, meaning that if these two requirements are not fulfilled, a location should not be considered even if they satisfy requirement 3 and 4. Requirement 3 and 4 in turn, are loose requirements that do not necessarily have to be fulfilled if economic and environmental benefit of constructing a wind farm outweigh the negative influence. However, if there is a statistically significant portion of student body voicing against the construction of the wind farm for the reason mentioned in requirement 3 and 4, these two requirements will be weighted more heavily into consideration of the location of the wind farm.

1. *Power and constant wind.* Whether that location has constant and powerful wind to make the construction of a wind farm viable. The rough wind speed of that location is reported by students on campus and Jiajun and Chu Lam's personal experience around the campus.
2. *Feasible location.* Whether that location has enough space on the ground and in the air to support the construction of a wind farm. This factor is determined by the proximity to another physical object on the ground level or in the air, such as dormitories, academic/religious buildings, mountains, etc.
3. *Minimal influence on school operations.* Whether the presence of a wind farm at that location will cause disturbance or negative influence on normal daily school operations. For example, the noise generated by the wind turbine is factored into considerations.
4. *Minimal influence on aesthetic beauty.* Whether the construction of a wind farm at that location will decrease the beauty of the lovely valley land of Kent. This factor is majorly based on Jiajun and Chu Lam's subjective definition of beauty.

2.2. Location Determination Process

In order to obtain a general location on campus where the wind is constant and powerful, a study is done with students population on campus. By assigning each of CL and Jiajun's 54 friends a number and by using a random number
90 generator, we were able to select 30 students to respond to the question of "Where on campus do you think the wind is strongest? And another location where the wind is the second strong?". From the all the responses we receive, we will determine two location with the highest vote and consider them for the second requirement of location feasibility. Taking the second requirement
95 into consideration - location feasibility, we will analyze both sites' proximity to another physical object and conclude whether the two sites from the requirement above satisfy the criteria of having a clear ground level and aerial zone for the construction of a possible wind farm. If any location does not satisfy the criteria for this requirement, it will be eliminated from consideration. Then, from the
100 remaining locations we will analyze their potential influence on school's normal operation, including but not limited to academic activities, atheletic activities, and recreational activities. The negative effects of wind farm will be analyzed and considered such as the noise generated and the space required on the ground level. At last, the remaining sites might cause influence on the aesthetic beauty
105 of the Housatonic valley. A study identical to the one described in requirement one is conducted again with the question "Do you think the construction of a wind farm at site A and/or B will have negative impact on the aesthetic beauty of the surrouding area and the Kent campus?" From the answers received we will analyze the majority side and take that into consideration.

110 2.3. Feasibility Determination Process

After the location is determined by the process described in section 2.2, they will be analyzed for the feasbility of actually constructing a wind farm. Relevant data such as flow volume and wind speed will be collected. To collect such data, HoldPeak's wind anemometer 856A will be used. The device will be setup in
115 the chosen location and the fan that will be measuring the wind speed will be

setup on top of a tripod 2m above ground level. After a continuous 24 hours of data collection, the data from the wind anemometer is then transferred to the computer and a graph of wind speed/flow volume against time will be plotted.

From the plotted graph as well as the wind speed/flow volume's relationship
120 with the amount of electricity generated we can estimate the economic benefit
from the construction of such a wind farm and its possible environmental benefits and consequences. At last we can compare the wind speed and flow volume, as well as the economic/environmental benefit of different locations across campus with each other and other locations in the United States where commercial
125 wind farms are in operation to determine the final feasibility of constructing a wind farm on Kent School's property at a chosen location.

3. Feasibility Analyses

References

- Alan Pounds, J., Bustamante, M. R., Coloma, L. A., Consuegra, J. A., Fogden,
130 M. P. L., Foster, P. N., La Marca, E., Masters, K. L., Merino-Viteri, A.,
Puschendorf, R., Ron, S. R., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G. A., Still, C. J., & Young,
B. E. (2006). Widespread amphibian extinctions from epidemic disease driven
by global warming. *Nature*, *439*, 161–167. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04246>. doi:10.1038/nature04246.
- 135 Awerbuch, S., & Morthorst, P.-E. (). The economics of wind energy. *The
European Wind Energy Association*, . URL: <http://www.ewea.org>.
- Blanco, M. I. (2009). The economics of wind energy. *Renewable
and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *13*, 1372 – 1382. URL: [http:
//www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032108001299](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032108001299).
140 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2008.09.004>.
- Denny, E., & O'Malley, M. (2006). Wind generation, power system operation,
and emissions reduction. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, *21*, 341–347.
doi:10.1109/TPWRS.2005.857845.
- Höök, M., & Tang, X. (2013). Depletion of fossil fuels and anthropogenic
145 climate change—a review. *Energy Policy*, *52*, 797 – 809. URL: [http:
//www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301421512009275](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301421512009275).
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.10.046>. Special Section:
Transition Pathways to a Low Carbon Economy.
- Kaldellis, J., & Apostolou, D. (2017). Life cycle energy and car-
150 bon footprint of offshore wind energy. comparison with onshore
counterpart. *Renewable Energy*, *108*, 72 – 84. URL: [http:
//www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960148117301258](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960148117301258).
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.02.039>.
- Laboratory, N. E. T. (2010). *Volume 1: Bituminous Coal and Natural Gas to
155 Electricity* volume 1. URL: <https://www.nrc.gov/docs>.

Samal, R. K., & Tripathy, M. (2019). Cost savings and emission reduction capability of wind-integrated power systems. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 104, 549 – 561. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0142061517312814>. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2018.07.039>.
160

Shakun, J. D., Clark, P. U., He, F., Marcott, S. A., Mix, A. C., Liu, Z., Otto-Bliesner, B., Schmittner, A., & Bard, E. (2012). Global warming preceded by increasing carbon dioxide concentrations during the last deglaciation. *Nature*, 484, 49 EP –. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10915>. Article.
165