# Splitting the Sample

#### Contents

Data	1
Simple Random Sampling	2
Stratified Random Sampling (with a numeric outcome)	3
Stratified Random Sampling (with a categorical outcome)	4
Stratified Random Sampling (with a categorical outcome) using caTools	5
In Conclusion	6

The dataset may be split into a train and test sample using random approaches or non-random approaches. The latter are used in very specific situations, hence are not discussed here. For more on non-random approaches see, Chapter 4, p.67 in Applied Predictive Modeling, by Max Kuhn and Kjell Johnson. There are two approaches to random sampling:

- Simple Random Sampling: Each observation has an equal likelihood of getting picked.
- Stratified Sampling: Simple random sampling is done on subgroups or strata. In predictive modeling situations, stratified sampling is used to ensure similar distribution of the outcome variable in train and test samples.

#### Data

To demonstrate these two approaches to splitting the sample, we will use the diamonds dataset that ships with ggplot2. We will split this data into a train and test set in the ratio, 70:30, so that 70% of the sample will go to the train sample.

```
library(ggplot2)
head(diamonds)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 10
##
     carat cut
                       color clarity depth table price
                                                              Х
##
     <dbl> <ord>
                       <ord> <ord>
                                      <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 0.23
            Ideal
                             SI2
                                       61.5
                                                55
                                                      326
                                                           3.95
                                                                  3.98
                                                                        2.43
## 2 0.21
                             SI1
                                       59.8
                                                      326
                                                           3.89
                                                                  3.84
                                                                        2.31
            Premium
                       Ε
                                                61
## 3 0.23
            Good
                       Ε
                             VS1
                                       56.9
                                                65
                                                      327
                                                           4.05
                                                                  4.07
                                                                        2.31
## 4 0.290 Premium
                       Ι
                             VS2
                                       62.4
                                                58
                                                      334
                                                           4.2
                                                                  4.23
                                                                        2.63
## 5 0.31
            Good
                       J
                             SI2
                                       63.3
                                                58
                                                      335
                                                           4.34
                                                                  4.35
                                                                        2.75
## 6 0.24
            Very Good J
                             VVS2
                                       62.8
                                                57
                                                      336
                                                           3.94
                                                                  3.96
                                                                        2.48
```

### Simple Random Sampling

Set the seed to 61710. Choice of seed is arbitrary but is very important as it ensures the random split can be replicated. R has a memory of one line for the set.seed() function, so seed must be set right before the step that runs random sampling function.

Before, we actually split the data, we generate a random sample of row indices from a vector containing all the rows in diamonds 1:nrow(diamonds). We get 70% of the row indices by specifying a size that is 70% of the number of rows in diamonds: 0.7\*nrow(diamonds). Take the individual pieces apart to convince yourself of the underlying process. Also, note by default sample() does sampling without replacement which is what we want.

```
set.seed(61710)
split = sample(x = 1:nrow(diamonds), size = 0.7*nrow(diamonds))
split[1:10]

## [1] 40257 48822 14319 28974 15191 23114 38585 50147 35738 40618

The split vector contains approximately 70% of the numbers in 1:nrow(diamonds)

length(1:nrow(diamonds))

## [1] 53940

length(split)

## [1] 37758

Next, we subset the rows of diamonds that have an index matching in split.
```

```
train = diamonds[split,]
```

Next, we use a clever R trick to create a test sample by *not* including the rows in split. Here, -split will not include any row indices that are contained in split.

```
test = diamonds[-split,]
```

We can confirm it all worked well by checking the number of rows in the train and test sets. They must sum to the number of rows in the original dataset, diamonds.

```
nrow(train)
## [1] 37758
nrow(test)
## [1] 16182
nrow(diamonds)
```

```
## [1] 53940
```

## [1] 3934.012

Lastly, we can check to see if the variables in the two samples are similar. Random sampling is going to generate similar samples but they are unlikely to be identical. So, let's check

```
mean(train$price);mean(test$price)
## [1] 3944.739
## [1] 3904.942
```

### Stratified Random Sampling (with a numeric outcome)

When using data for prediction, it is important that the train and test samples be similar but even more important for the outcome variable to have a similar distribution. For this reason, it is common to sample in such a manner that the outcome variable is approximately equal across train and test samples. We will make use of createDataPartition() from the caret package.

As was the case above, we set a seed and run it immediately before the sampling function. Assuming the goal here is to predict price, we setup the sample so that price is kept approximately equal across samples. Since a numeric variable doesn't have natural strata, it is divided into groups based on percentiles. Here, we are using groups=50. We are keeping 70% observations in the train sample.

```
library(caret)
set.seed(61710)
split = createDataPartition(y = diamonds$price, p = 0.7, list = F, groups = 50)
Once we get the split vector, we proceed in the same manner as for random sampling.
train = diamonds[split,]
test = diamonds[-split,]
Verify that the split divided up the diamonds in approximately 70:30 ratio.
nrow(train)
## [1] 37777
nrow(test)
## [1] 16163
nrow(diamonds)
## [1] 53940
Compare the outcome variable, price, across the samples. How does this compare to simple random sampling.
mean(train$price);
## [1] 3932.281
mean(test$price)
```

## Stratified Random Sampling (with a categorical outcome)

A categorical outcome (e.g., email response) has strata that are defined by the levels of the variable (e.g., for email response: respond and not respond). In this case, simple random samples will be drawn from each strata and then combined.

First, let's create a categorical outcome from the diamonds dataset. The new variable, price\_hilo has two levels, high and low.

```
diamonds$price_hilo = ifelse(diamonds$price>mean(diamonds$price),'High','Low')
head(diamonds)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 11
    carat cut
                color clarity depth table price
                                                           У
                                                                 z price hilo
##
    <dbl> <ord> <ord> <ord>
                               <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dr>
## 1 0.23 Ideal E
                       SI2
                                61.5
                                        55
                                             326
                                                 3.95
                                                        3.98 2.43 Low
## 2 0.21 Premi~ E
                                59.8
                                        61
                                             326
                       SI1
                                                 3.89
                                                        3.84 2.31 Low
## 3 0.23 Good E
                       VS1
                                56.9
                                        65
                                             327
                                                 4.05
                                                        4.07 2.31 Low
## 4 0.290 Premi~ I
                       VS2
                                62.4
                                        58
                                             334
                                                 4.2
                                                        4.23 2.63 Low
## 5 0.31
          Good
                 J
                       SI2
                                63.3
                                        58
                                             335
                                                  4.34
                                                        4.35
                                                             2.75 Low
                       VVS2
## 6 0.24 Very ~ J
                                62.8
                                        57
                                             336
                                                 3.94
                                                        3.96 2.48 Low
```

We are now, going to conduct stratified random sampling to ensure the breakdown of high and low in price\_hilo is the same across train and test samples. Set seed and run createDataPartition with price\_hilo.

```
set.seed(61710)
split = createDataPartition(y = diamonds$price_hilo,p = 0.7,list = F)
```

Create train and test samples using split.

```
train = diamonds[split,]
test = diamonds[-split,]
```

Verify that the split divided up the diamonds in approximately 70:30 ratio.

```
nrow(train)
## [1] 37759
nrow(test)
## [1] 16181
nrow(diamonds)
## [1] 53940
```

Since outcome is a categorical variable, we look at counts rather than average.

```
table(train$price_hilo)
```

```
##
## High
           Low
## 13760 23999
table(test$price_hilo)
##
##
   High
           Low
    5897 10284
To compare, proportion of high and low prices in each sample, we examine proportions.
prop.table(rbind(train = table(train*price_hilo),
      test = table(test$price_hilo)),
      margin = 1)
##
              High
## train 0.3644164 0.6355836
## test 0.3644398 0.6355602
```

# Stratified Random Sampling (with a categorical outcome) using caTools

Another package that can accomplish stratified sampling when the outcome variable is categorical is caTools. The process is quite similar. We are doing a 70:30 split, stratifying by price\_hilo.

```
library(caTools)
set.seed(61710)
split = sample.split(Y = diamonds$price_hilo, SplitRatio = 0.7)
```

The key difference of sample.split() from previous sampling functions is that it generates a logical, not a vector of numbers.

```
table(split)
## split
## FALSE TRUE
## 16182 37758
```

Since sample.split() generates a logical, to subset, we will have to make a subtle but important change. Rather than using -, we will using ! operator for the test sample.

```
train = diamonds[split,]
test = diamonds[!split,]
nrow(train)
## [1] 37758
```

```
nrow(test)
## [1] 16182
Since outcome is a categorical variable, we look at counts rather than average.
table(train$price_hilo)
## High
           Low
## 13760 23998
table(test$price_hilo)
##
   High
           Low
   5897 10285
To compare, proportion of high and low prices in each sample, we examine proportions.
prop.table(rbind(train = table(train*price_hilo),
      test = table(test$price_hilo)),
      margin = 1)
               High
                          Low
## train 0.3644261 0.6355739
## test 0.3644173 0.6355827
```

Compare the results of createDataPartition() to sample.split(). You will note, the results are similar but not identical.

#### In Conclusion

For simple random sampling, use sample(). For stratified sampling with a numeric outcome variable, use caret::createDataPartition. For stratified sampling with a categorical outcome, use either caret::createDataPartition() or caTools::sample.split().