Jiaming Liang<sup>1</sup> Renato D.C. Monteiro<sup>1</sup>

 $^{1}$ School of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Georgia Tech

Alice and John Jarvis Ph.D. Student Paper Competition September 17, 2021



 J. Liang and R. D. C. Monteiro. An average curvature accelerated composite gradient method for nonconvex smooth composite optimization problems. SIAM Journal on Optimization, 31(1):217-243, 2021.

- The Main Problem
  - Assumptions
  - Approximate solutions
- 2 Average Curvature ACG Method
  - Motivation
  - AC-ACG method
  - Convergence rate and iteration-complexity
- Computational Results
- 4 Concluding Remarks

The Main Problem

- The Main Problem
  - Assumptions
  - Approximate solutions
- - Motivation
  - AC-ACG method
  - Convergence rate and iteration-complexity

# The main problem:

(P) 
$$\min \{f(z) + h(z) : z \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

where

•  $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, \infty]$  is a closed proper convex function such that

$$D:=\sup\{\|z'-z\|:z,z'\in\mathrm{dom}\,h\}<\infty$$

Computational Results

• f is differentiable (not necessarily convex) on dom h and there exist 0 < m < L such that for every  $z, z' \in \text{dom } h$ 

$$\|\nabla f(z') - \nabla f(z)\| \le L\|z' - z\|$$
$$f(z') - \ell_f(z'; z) \ge -\frac{m}{2}\|z' - z\|^2$$

where  $\ell_f(z';z) := f(z) + \langle \nabla f(z), z' - z \rangle$ .

- The Main Problem
  - Assumptions
  - Approximate solutions
- - Motivation
  - AC-ACG method
  - Convergence rate and iteration-complexity

A necessary condition for  $\bar{z}$  to be a local minimizer of (P) is that

Computational Results

$$0 \in \nabla f(\bar{z}) + \partial h(\bar{z})$$

**Goal:** for given  $\hat{\rho} > 0$ , find a  $\hat{\rho}$ -approximate solution of (P), i.e., a pair  $(\hat{z}, \hat{v})$  such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \nabla f(\hat{\mathbf{z}}) + \partial h(\hat{\mathbf{z}}), \quad \|\hat{\mathbf{v}}\| \leq \hat{\rho}$$

There are a couple of ACG methods which accomplishes the above goal (e.g., Ghadimi-Lan's method). This talk describes a different and novel ACG method for doing that.

The Main Problem

- - Assumptions
  - Approximate solutions
- 2 Average Curvature ACG Method
  - Motivation
  - AC-ACG method
  - Convergence rate and iteration-complexity

## ACG methods compute the next iterate as

$$z_{k+1} = z(\tilde{x}_k; M_k) := \operatorname{argmin}_z \left\{ \ell_f(z; \tilde{x}_k) + h(z) + \frac{M_k}{2} \|z - \tilde{x}_k\|^2 \right\}$$

Computational Results

where

$$M_k \ge \mathcal{C}(z_{k+1}; \tilde{x}_k) := \frac{2[f(z_{k+1}) - \ell_f(z_{k+1}; \tilde{x}_k)]}{\|z_{k+1} - \tilde{x}_k\|^2} \quad (*)$$

Fact: small  $M_k$  leads to fast convergence

- Constant estimate:  $M_k = L$
- Adaptive estimate:  $M_k \leftarrow \tau M_k$  for some  $\tau > 1$ , if (\*) is not satisfied

## ACG methods compute the next iterate as

$$z_{k+1} = z(\tilde{x}_k; M_k) := \operatorname{argmin}_z \left\{ \ell_f(z; \tilde{x}_k) + h(z) + \frac{M_k}{2} \|z - \tilde{x}_k\|^2 \right\}$$

Computational Results

where

$$M_k \ge \mathcal{C}(z_{k+1}; \tilde{x}_k) := \frac{2[f(z_{k+1}) - \ell_f(z_{k+1}; \tilde{x}_k)]}{\|z_{k+1} - \tilde{x}_k\|^2} \quad (*)$$

Fact: small  $M_k$  leads to fast convergence

- Constant estimate:  $M_k = L$
- Adaptive estimate:  $M_k \leftarrow \tau M_k$  for some  $\tau > 1$ , if (\*) is not satisfied

Matrix completion: function, gradient and resolvent evaluations require SVD

We will exploit the novel idea of choosing  $M_k$  as

$$M_k = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{C}(z_{i+1}; \tilde{x}_i)}{k \, \alpha}$$

Computational Results

where  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ 

**Note:** No line search for  $M_k$  is involved here!  $M_k > \mathcal{C}(z_{k+1}; \tilde{x}_k)$  might be violated.

- - Assumptions
  - Approximate solutions
- 2 Average Curvature ACG Method
  - Motivation
  - AC-ACG method
  - Convergence rate and iteration-complexity

# Average Curvature ACG (AC-ACG) Method

- 0. Let  $\alpha, \gamma \in (0,1)$ , tolerance  $\hat{\rho} > 0$  and initial point  $z_0 \in \text{dom } h$ be given; set  $A_0 = 0$ ,  $x_0 = z_0$ ,  $M_0 = \gamma L$  and k = 0
- 1. compute

$$a_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4M_k A_k}}{2M_k}$$
  $A_{k+1} = A_k + a_k$   $\tilde{x}_k = \frac{A_k z_k + a_k x_k}{A_{k+1}}$ 

2. compute

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= \operatorname{argmin}_{u} \left\{ a_{k} \left( \ell_{f}(u; \tilde{x}_{k}) + h(u) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \|u - x_{k}\|^{2} \right\} \\ z_{k+1}^{g} &= \operatorname{argmin}_{u} \left\{ \ell_{f}(u; \tilde{x}_{k}) + h(u) + \frac{M_{k}}{2} \|u - \tilde{x}_{k}\|^{2} \right\} \\ v_{k+1} &= M_{k} (\tilde{x}_{k} - z_{k+1}^{g}) + \nabla f(z_{k+1}^{g}) - \nabla f(\tilde{x}_{k}) \end{aligned}$$

### compute

$$C_{k} = \max \left\{ \mathcal{C}(z_{k+1}^{g}; \tilde{x}_{k}), \mathcal{L}(z_{k+1}^{g}; \tilde{x}_{k}) \right\}, \quad \mathcal{L}(z; \tilde{x}) = \frac{\|\nabla f(z) - \nabla f(\tilde{x})\|}{\|z - \tilde{x}\|}$$

$$M_{k+1} = \max \left\{ \gamma M, \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{k} C_{j}}{\alpha(k+1)} \right\},$$

Computational Results

#### 4. set

$$z_{k+1} = \begin{cases} z_{k+1}^g & \text{if } C_k \le 0.9M_k & \leftarrow \text{good iteration} \\ \frac{A_k z_k + a_k x_{k+1}}{A_{k+1}} & \text{otherwise} & \leftarrow \text{bad iteration} \end{cases}$$

and  $k \leftarrow k + 1$ , and go to step 1

# **Remarks:**

- Both good and bad iterations perform well-known types of acceleration steps Good: mimics the condition in standard ACG methods  $M_k \geq \mathcal{C}(z_{k+1}; \tilde{x}_k)$
- If

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \ge 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}$$

then it can be shown that the proportion of good iterations is at least 2/3

• Every iteration performs two resolvent evaluations

- The Main Problem
  - Assumptions
  - Approximate solutions
- 2 Average Curvature ACG Method
  - Motivation
  - AC-ACG method
  - Convergence rate and iteration-complexity
- 3 Computational Results
- 4 Concluding Remarks

#### Theorem

The following statements hold:

- (a) for every  $k \ge 1$ , we have  $v_k \in \nabla f(z_k^g) + \partial h(z_k^g)$
- (b) for every  $k \ge 12$ , we have

$$\min_{1 \le i \le k} \|v_i\|^2 \le \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_k^2 D^2}{\gamma k^2} + \frac{\theta_k m M_k D^2}{k}\right)$$

where

$$\theta_k := \max\left\{\frac{M_k}{M_i} : 0 \le i \le k\right\} \ge 1.$$

The facts that  $\theta_k = \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $M_k/L = \mathcal{O}(1)$  imply that the iteration-complexity bound for AC-ACG to obtain  $\hat{\rho}$ -approx. sol. is

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mathit{LD}}{\hat{
ho}} + \frac{\mathit{mLD}^2}{\hat{
ho}^2} + 1\right)$$

The variant of AC-ACG described above was benchmarked against

- AG method by Ghadimi and Lan (known Lipschitz constant)
- nmAPG method by Li and Lin (known Lipschitz constant)
- UPFAG method by Ghadimi, Lan and Zhang (backtracking)

All methods stop with a pair (z, v) satisfying

$$v \in \nabla f(z) + \partial h(z), \qquad \frac{\|v\|}{\|\nabla f(z_0)\| + 1} \leq \hat{\rho}$$

### **Constrained Matrix Completion**

The Main Problem

$$\min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\Pi_{\Omega}(X - O)\|_F^2 + \mu \sum_{i=1}^r p(\sigma_i(X)) : \|X\|_F \le R \right\}$$

where  $O \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega}$  is an incomplete observed matrix,  $\mu > 0$  is a parameter,  $r := \min\{m, n\}, \sigma_i(X)$  is the *i*-th singular value of X and

$$p(t) = p_{eta, heta}(t) := eta \log \left( 1 + rac{|t|}{ heta} 
ight)$$

Computational Results

000

Table: MC — 100K MovieLens dataset ( $\alpha = 0.5$  and  $\hat{\rho} = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ )

# Concluding Remarks

Computational Results

- AC-ACG is an ACG method based on the average of the previously observed curvatures.
- AC-ACG does not require any line search for  $M_k$ .
- Convergence rate bound for AC-ACG in terms of the average observed curvatures (novel result).
- A follow-up work <sup>1</sup> presents a FISTA variant of AC-ACG that substantially outperforms previous ACG variants as well as AC-ACG variants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>J. Liang and R. D. C. Monteiro. Average Curvature FISTA for Nonconvex Smooth Composite Optimization Problems. Available on arXiv:2105.06436, 2021. 4□ → 4□ → 4 □ → 1 □ → 9 Q (~)

# THE END

Thanks!