CS240 Homework 2

Shen Linfeng Student ID: 2018E8018461028

March 20, 2019

Problem 1

(a) Before you buy your *i*th toy, if you have exactly *j* toy types, the probability of the *i* toy is the type you already have is $\frac{j}{n}$; before you buy your *i*th toy, if you have exactly j-1 toy types, the probability of the *i*th toy is the type you have not had is $\frac{n-(j-1)}{n} = \frac{n-j+1}{n}$.

So, we have

$$p_{i,j} = \frac{j}{n} p_{i-1,j} + \frac{n-j+1}{n} p_{i-1,j-1}$$

(b) Suppose you only have 0 toys before the first purchase. Then we have some base case:

$$p_{1,1} = 1$$

 $p_{i,j} = 0, i > j$

Direct use of recursion from will result in $O(2^n)$, but with saving past p values we can calculate $p_{i,j}$ in O(ij). The algorithm is shown below:

```
Toy(i, j, n)
1 Initialize a i \times j array p with 0, let p[1][1] = 1
2
   if i > j
3
         return 0
4
   for a = 1 to i
5
         for b = 1 to i
              p[a][b] = \frac{b}{n}p[a-1][b] + \frac{n-b+1}{n}p[a-1][b-1]
6
7
8
                     break
   return p[i][j]
```

Let v, w be the arrays store value and weight, and W is the capacity.

```
Knapsack(n, v, w, W)
1 v-sum = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v[i]
    Initialize a (n+1) \times (v-sum+1) array opt with \infty (for clearly, let the index begin from 0 here).
 4
          for j = 1 to v-sum
 5
               if j \geqslant v[i]
                     opt[i][j] = \min\{opt[i-1][j], opt[i-1][j-v[i]] + w[i]\}
 6
 7
                     opt[i][j] = opt[i-1][j]
 8
    Initialize an array c[n]
    using Binary Search by the index j of opt[i][j] for every i, to find c[i] = \max\{j | opt[i][j] \le W\}
10
    return \max\{c[i]\}
```

The two for loop will cost O(nv-sum), and n Binary Search will cost $O(n \log v-sum)$. From $v_i < n$ we can know $v-sum < n^2$, so this algorithm will run in $O(n^3)$.

opt[i][j] is the min weight subset of items $1, \dots, i$ with value j. There exist two case:

- opt does not select item i.
 opt selects best of $\{1, 2, \dots, i-1\}$ using value limit j.
- opt selects item i. new value limit = j - v[i]. opt selects best of $\{1, 2, \dots, i1\}$ using this new value limit.

The rest of the analysis is similar to the original Knapsack problem, which can prove the correctness.

```
Let's create a data structure with: S[i].x = x_i, S[i].y = y_i.
Counting-Friends(S, n)
   Sort S in ascending order by S[i].x, it will cost O(n \log n).
   return COUNT(S, n)
COUNT(S, n)
    if n == 1
 1
         return 0
    mid = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor
    count = 0, i = j = k = 1
    S-left = S[1:mid]
 6 S-right = S[mid + 1:n]
 7 left = Count(S-left, mid)
    right = Count(S-right, n-mid)
 9
    while i \leq mid and j \leq n - mid
10
         if S-left[i].y > S-right[j].y
               count + = mid - i + 1
11
12
               S[k] = S\text{-}right[j]
13
               j + +
14
         else
15
               S[k] = S\text{-}left[i]
16
               i + +
17
         k + +
18
    if i \leqslant mid
19
         S[k:n] = S[i:mid]
```

This problem can be convert to: when S be sorted by S.x, then the number of Reverse Pairs is the answer. So it can be solved by Merge Sort.

This algorithm modifies from Merge Sort, still run in $O(n \log n)$.

return left + right + count

Let array C store the cards, Equ be the equivalence tester.

```
Equivalent-Detection(C, n)
    tmp = C[1]
 1
    num = 1
3
    for i = 2 to n
        if Equ(tmp, C[i])
 4
 5
             num + +
 6
         else
 7
             num - -
 8
             if num == 0
9
                  tmp = C[i]
10
                  num = 1
11
    num = 0
12
    for i = 1 to n
13
        if Equ(tmp, C[i])
14
             num + +
15
    if num > n/2
16
        return True
17
    else
18
        return False
```

Both two for loop run in O(n), so this algorithm will run in O(n).

If there exist than n/2 cards that are all equivalent to one another, let the number of those cards be n_1 . When in the first for loop and tmp equivalent to those cards, assume it past n_2 other type cards, then we have $n_2 \le n - n_1 < n/1$. So we have $n_1 - n_2 > 0$, which mean after the first for loop end, if there exist than n/2 cards that are all equivalent to one another the tmp is what we want(If exist). And the second for loop will check if it is true.

Let A,B,C be the three sequences, and m, n be the length of A and B.

SEQUENCE-MERGING (A, B, C, m, n)

```
Initialize a (m+1) \times (n+1) bool array T
 2
    for i = 0 to m
3
         for j = 0 to n
              if i == 0 and j == 0
 4
 5
                   T[i][j] = True
 6
              elseif i == 0 and B[j] == C[j]
 7
                   T[i][j] = T[i][j-1]
 8
              elseif j == 0 and A[i] == C[j]
                   T[i][j] = T[i-1][j]
9
              elseif A[i] == B[j] == C[i+j]
10
                   T[i][j] = T[i-1][j] \mid\mid T[i][j-1]
11
              elseif A[i] == C[i+j]
12
                   T[i][j] = T[i-1][j]
13
              elseif B[i] == C[i+j]
14
15
                   T[i][j] = T[i][j-1]
              else
16
17
                   T[i][j] = False
    return T[m][n]
```

Two for loop will cost O(mn), so this algorithm will run in O(mn).

T[i][j] store the possibility of if the first i of A and the first j of B can compose the first i+j of C. All situations are considered in the for loop, so this algorithm will run well.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{Polynomial Multiplication}(A,B,n) \\ 1 & \text{Initialize a } 2^k \text{ array } C \text{ with } 0(k=\min\{k|\ 2^k>n,k\in\mathbb{Z}\}). \\ 2 & \text{for } i=0 \text{ to } 2^k-1 \\ 3 & \text{for } p=0 \text{ to } n-1 \\ 4 & C[i]=C[i]+A[p]\cdot B[p\oplus i] \\ 5 & \text{return } C \end{array}
```

The correctness can be proved by the definition and the fact of $p \oplus (p \oplus i) = i$. Unfortunately this is a brute force, will cost $O(n^2)$.