SD-TSIA204
Statistics : linear models

Joseph Salmon
http://josephsalmon.eu
Télécom ParisTech, Institut Mines-Télécom

Outline

Toward multivariate models

Tree volume as a function of height / girth (🇫🇷 : *circonférence*)



Toward multivariate models

Tree volume as a function of height / girth (🇫🇷 : *circonférence*)



Python commands

```
# Load data
url = 'http://vincentarelbundock.github.io/
       Rdatasets/csv/datasets/trees.csv'
dat3 = pd.read_csv(url)
# Fit regression model
X = dat3[['Girth', 'Height']]
X = sm.add_constant(X)
y = dat3['Volume']
results = sm.OLS(y, X).fit().params
XX = np.arange(8, 22, 0.5)
YY = np.arange(64, 90, 0.5)
xx, yy = np.meshgrid(XX, YY)
zz = results[0] + results[1]*xx + results[2]*yy
fig = plt.figure()
ax = Axes3D(fig)
ax.plot(X['Girth'],X['Height'],y,'o')
ax.plot_wireframe(xx, yy, zz, rstride=10, cstride=10)
plt.show()
```

results output const:-57.98, Girth: 4.70, Height: 0.33

Outline

Model

One observes p features $(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_p)$

Model in dimension p

$$y_i = \theta_0^\star + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \theta_j^\star x_{i,j} + \varepsilon_i$$

$$\varepsilon_i \overset{i.i.d}{\sim} \varepsilon, \text{ pour } i = 1, \ldots, n$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon) = 0$$

Rem: we assume (frequentist point of view) there exists a "true" parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta}^\star = (\theta_0^\star, \ldots, \theta_p^\star)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^p$

Dimension p

Matrix model

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{y}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,1} & \dots & x_{1,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n,1} & \dots & x_{n,p} \end{pmatrix}}_{X} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^\star \\ \vdots \\ \theta_p^\star \end{pmatrix}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^\star} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix}}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$$

Equivalently : $\boxed{\mathbf{y} = X\boldsymbol{\theta}^\star + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$

Column notation : $X = (\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p)$ with $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{1}_n = (1, \dots, 1)^\top$

Line notation : $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ x_n^\top \end{pmatrix} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top$

Rem: often $\mathbf{x}_0$ will be omitted by simplicity, *e.g.*, center $\mathbf{y}$ first

Vocabulary

$$\boxed{\mathbf{y} = X\boldsymbol{\theta}^\star + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$$

- $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: observations vector
- $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)}$: **design** matrix (with features as columns)
- $\boldsymbol{\theta}^\star \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$: (unknown) **true** parameter to be estimated
- $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: noise vector

"Observations" point of view : $y_i = \langle x_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}^\star \rangle + \varepsilon_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$

"Features" point of view : $\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=0}^{p} \theta_j^\star \mathbf{x}_j + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

Outline

(Ordinary) Least squares

A least square estimator is **any** solution of the following problem :

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}^{p+1}}{\arg\min} \left(\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 \right)$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}^{p+1}}{\arg\min} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i - \left(\theta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \theta_j x_{i,j} \right) \right]^2$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\mathbb{R}^{p+1}}{\arg\min} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y_i - \langle x_i, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \right]^2$$

Rem: a solution always exists, as we are minimizing a coercive continuous function (**coercive** : $\lim_{\|x\|\to+\infty} f(x) = +\infty$)

Rem: uniqueness is not guaranteed

Rem: the $\frac{1}{2}$ term does not change the optimization problem, but simplifies gradient computation

Outline

First order condition / Fermat's rule

Theorem : Fermat's rule

If $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at a local minimum $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ then the gradient of f vanishes at $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$, *i.e.*, $\nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*) = 0$.

Rem: sufficient condition when f is convex !

For least squares $f : \boldsymbol{\theta} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$ or

$$\begin{aligned} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle X\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Gradient computation

The gradient of f, ∇f is defined for any $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as the vector satisfying :

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h) = f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle + o(h) \quad \text{for any } h$$

For the f of interest here, this reads

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h) = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} + h, X^\top \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h)^\top X^\top X(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h)$$

Gradient computation

The gradient of f, ∇f is defined for any $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as the vector satisfying :

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h) = f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle + o(h) \qquad \text{for any } h$$

For the f of interest here, this reads

$$\begin{aligned} f(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h) =& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} + h, X^\top \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h)^\top X^\top X (\boldsymbol{\theta} + h) \\ =& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, X^\top \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle h, X^\top \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X \boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} h^\top X^\top X h + \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X h \end{aligned}$$

Gradient computation

The gradient of f, ∇f is defined for any $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as the vector satisfying :

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h) = f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle + o(h) \qquad \text{for any } h$$

For the f of interest here, this reads

$$\begin{aligned} f(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h) =& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} + h, X^\top \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h)^\top X^\top X (\boldsymbol{\theta} + h) \\ =& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, X^\top \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle h, X^\top \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X \boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} h^\top X^\top X h + \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X h \\ =& f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \langle h, X^\top \mathbf{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} h^\top X^\top X h + \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X h \end{aligned}$$

Gradient computation

The gradient of f, ∇f is defined for any $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as the vector satisfying :

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h) = f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta})\rangle + o(h) \qquad \text{for any } h$$

For the f of interest here, this reads

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h) =& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}+h, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h)^\top X^\top X(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h) \\
=& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle - \langle h, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}h^\top X^\top Xh + \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top Xh \\
=& f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \langle h, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}h^\top X^\top Xh + \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top Xh \\
=& f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \underbrace{X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} - X^\top \mathbf{y}}_{\nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta})}\rangle + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}h^\top X^\top Xh}_{o(h)}
\end{aligned}$$

Gradient computation

The gradient of f, ∇f is defined for any $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as the vector satisfying :

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h) = f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta})\rangle + o(h) \qquad \text{for any } h$$

For the f of interest here, this reads

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h) =& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}+h, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h)^\top X^\top X(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h) \\
=& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle - \langle h, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}h^\top X^\top Xh + \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top Xh \\
=& f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \langle h, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}h^\top X^\top Xh + \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top Xh \\
=& f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \underbrace{X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} - X^\top \mathbf{y}}_{\nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta})}\rangle + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}h^\top X^\top Xh}_{o(h)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\boxed{\nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} - X^\top \mathbf{y} = X^\top(X\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})}$

Gradient computation

The gradient of f, ∇f is defined for any $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as the vector satisfying :

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h) = f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta})\rangle + o(h) \qquad \text{for any } h$$

For the f of interest here, this reads

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h) =& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}+h, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h)^\top X^\top X(\boldsymbol{\theta}+h) \\
=& \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle - \langle h, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}h^\top X^\top Xh + \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top Xh \\
=& f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \langle h, X^\top \mathbf{y}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}h^\top X^\top Xh + \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top X^\top Xh \\
=& f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \underbrace{X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} - X^\top \mathbf{y}}_{\nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta})}\rangle + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}h^\top X^\top Xh}_{o(h)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $$\boxed{\nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} - X^\top \mathbf{y} = X^\top(X\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y})}$$

Alternative gradient formulation in finite dimension

The gradient of f, ∇f is defined for any $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as the vector satisfying :

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta} + h) = f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \langle h, \nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle + o(h) \qquad \text{for any } h$$

Property : the gradient can be formulated as the vector of partial derivatives

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_0} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

Least squares - normal equation

$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X^\top X\boldsymbol{\theta} - X^\top \mathbf{y} = X^\top(X\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{y}) = 0$$

Theorem

Fermat's rule ensures that any solution $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ satisfies :

Normal equation : $\boxed{X^\top X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = X^\top \mathbf{y}}$

$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is solution of the linear system "$A\boldsymbol{\theta} = b$" for a matrix $A = X^\top X$ and right hand side $b = X^\top \mathbf{y}$

Rem: uniqueness does not hold when features are **co-linear** (redundant)

Exo: code (in `Python`) gradient descent for least squares

Vocabulary (and abuse of terms)

Definition

We call **Grammian matrix** (🇫🇷 : *matrice de Gram*) the matrix

$$X^\top X$$

whose general term is $[X^\top X]_{i,j} = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle$

Rem: $X^\top X$ is often referred to as the feature correlation matrix (true for standardized columns)

Rem: when columns are scaled such that $\forall j \in [\![0, p]\!], \|\mathbf{x}_j\|^2 = n$, the Grammian diagonal is $(n, \ldots, n)$

The vector $X^\top \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$ represents the correlation

between the observations and the features

Outline

Least squares and uniqueness

Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ be a solution of $\boxed{X^\top X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = X^\top \mathbf{y}}$

Non uniqueness : happens for non trivial kernel, *i.e.*, when $\mathrm{Ker}(X) = \{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} : X\boldsymbol{\theta} = 0\} \neq \{0\}$

Assume $\boldsymbol{\theta}_K \in \mathrm{Ker}(X)$ with $\boldsymbol{\theta}_K \neq 0$, then

$$X(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_K) = X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

and then $$(X^\top X)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_K) = X^\top \mathbf{y}$$

Conclusion : the set of least squares solutions is an affine sub-space

$$\boxed{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \mathrm{Ker}(X)}$$

Optimization in $\mathbb{R}^d$

Convex case, $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \|\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$, where the set of minimizers is non-unique :



Rem: here the set of minimizers is a line

Optimization in $\mathbb{R}^d$

Convex case, $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \|\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$, where the set of minimizers is non-unique :



Rem: here the set of minimizers is a line

Optimization in $\mathbb{R}^d$

Convex case, $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \|\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$, where the set of minimizers is non-unique :



Rem: here the set of minimizers is a line

Optimization in $\mathbb{R}^d$

Convex case, $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \|\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$, where the set of minimizers is non-unique :



Rem: here the set of minimizers is a line

Optimization in $\mathbb{R}^d$

Convex case, $f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \|\mathbf{y} - X\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2^2$, where the set of minimizers is non-unique :



Rem: here the set of minimizers is a line

Non uniqueness : single feature case

Reminder : $X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix}$

If $\mathrm{Ker}(X) = \{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : X\boldsymbol{\theta} = 0\} \neq \{0\}$ there exists $(\theta_0, \theta_1) \neq (0, 0)$:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 & = 0 \\ \vdots \qquad\quad \vdots & = \vdots \\ \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_n & = 0 \end{cases} \qquad (\star)$$

1. If $\theta_1 = 0$: $(\star) \Rightarrow \theta_0 = 0$, so $(\theta_0, \theta_1) = (0, 0)$, **contradiction**
2. If $\theta_1 \neq 0$:
   - 2.1 If $\forall i, x_i = 0$ then $X = (\mathbf{1}_n, 0)$ and $\theta_0 = 0$
   - 2.2 Otherwise there exists $x_{i_0} \neq 0$ and $\forall i, x_i = -\theta_0/\theta_1 = x_{i_0}$, *i.e.*, $X = [\mathbf{1}_n \quad x_{i_0} \cdot \mathbf{1}_n]$

Interpretation : $\mathbf{x}_1 \propto \mathbf{1}_n$, *i.e.*, $\mathbf{x}_1$ is constant

Interpretation for multivariate cases

Reminder : we write $X = (\mathbf{1}_n, \mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_p)$, the features being column-wise (each are of length n)

The property $\mathrm{Ker}(X) = \{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} : X\boldsymbol{\theta} = 0\} \neq \{0\}$ means that there exists a linear dependence between the features $\mathbf{1}_n, \mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_p$,

Reformulation : $\exists \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_p)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \backslash \{0\}$ s.t.

$$\theta_0 \mathbf{1}_n + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \theta_j \mathbf{x}_j = 0$$

Algebra reminder

Definition

Rank of a matrix : $\operatorname{rank}(X) = \dim(\operatorname{vect}(\mathbf{1}_n, \mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_p))$

Property : $\operatorname{rank}(X) = \operatorname{rank}(X^\top)$

Rank–nullity theorem

$$\operatorname{rank}(X) + \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(X)) = p + 1$$

$$\operatorname{rank}(X^\top) + \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(X^\top)) = n$$

Exo: $\operatorname{Ker}(X) = \operatorname{Ker}(X^\top X)$

Rem: $\boxed{\operatorname{rank}(X) \leqslant \min(n, p+1)}$

See Golub et Van Loan (1996) for details

Algebra reminder (continued)

Matrix inversion

A square matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is invertible

- if and only if its kernel is trivial : $\mathrm{Ker}(A) = \{0\}$
- if and only if it is full rank $\mathrm{rank}(A) = m$

Exo: Show that $\mathrm{Ker}(A) = \{0\}$ is equivalent to $A^\top A$ invertible

---

Outline

Closed-form solution for least squares

Closed-form solution for full rank matrix

If X is full (column) rank (*i.e.*, if $X^\top X$ is non-singular) then

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbf{y}$$

Rem: recover the empirical mean if $X = \mathbf{1}_n$: $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \dfrac{\langle \mathbf{1}_n, \mathbf{y} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{1}_n, \mathbf{1}_n \rangle} = \bar{y}_n$

Rem: for a single feature $X = \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^\top$: $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \langle \dfrac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$

Beware : in practice **avoid** inverting the matrix $X^\top X$:

- this is numerically time consuming
- the matrix $X^\top X$ might be big if "$p \gg n$", *e.g.*, in biology n patients (≈ 100), p genes (≈ 50000)

Exo: recover formula for 1D case with intercept

Prediction

Definition

Prediction vector : $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$

Rem: $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ depends linearly on the observation vector $\mathbf{y}$

Reminder : an **orthogonal projector** is a matrix H such that

1. H is symmetric : $H^\top = H$
2. H is idempotent : $H^2 = H$

Proposition

Writing H_X the orthogonal projector onto the space span by the columns of X, one gets $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = H_X\mathbf{y}$

Rem: if X is full (column) rank, then $H_X = X(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top$ is called the **hat matrix**

Prediction (continued)

If a new observation $x_{n+1} = (x_{n+1,1}, \ldots, x_{n+1,p})$ is provided, the associated prediction is :

$$\hat{y}_{n+1} = \langle \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, (1, x_{n+1,1}, \ldots, x_{n+1,p})^\top \rangle$$

$$\hat{y}_{n+1} = \hat{\theta}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{\theta}_j x_{n+1,j}$$

Rem: the normal equation ensures **equi-correlation** between observations and features :

$$(X^\top X)\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = X^\top \mathbf{y} \Leftrightarrow X^\top \hat{\mathbf{y}} = X^\top \mathbf{y}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{x}_0, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{x}_p, \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Exo: Let $P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{n} & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

1. Check that P is an orthogonal projection matrix
2. Determine $\mathrm{Im}(P)$,the range of P
3. For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^\top$, $\overline{x}_n$ is the empirical mean and $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the standard deviation :

$$\overline{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \qquad \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}_n)^2}.$$

Show that $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} = \|(\mathrm{Id}_n - P)\mathbf{x}\|/\sqrt{n}$.

Residual and normal equation

Definition

Résidu(s) : $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y} - X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\mathrm{Id}_n - H_X)\mathbf{y}$

Reminder :

Normal Equation : $\boxed{(X^\top X)\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = X^\top \mathbf{y}}$

Thanks to the residual definition, the later yields :

$$X^\top (X\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \mathbf{y}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow X^\top \mathbf{r} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{r}^\top X = 0$$

With $X = (\mathbf{1}_n, \mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_p)$, this can be rewritten

$$\forall j = 1, \ldots, p : \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle = 0 \text{ et } \overline{r}_n = 0$$

Interpretation : residuals are orthogonal to features

Visualization : predictors and residuals $(p = 2)$