Abstract

Performance regression was observed with a warmup process that does class loading and initialization with CDS (non-JDK default) enabled. Investigation found the effect was correlated to the runtime Java heap region size and was caused by increased GC overhead (particularly young-gen GC). The overhead was worse and more pronounced with enlarged region sizes. The issue was due to the lack of populated G1BlockOffsetTablePart for 'open' archive regions.

Micro-benchmark

A standalone test/benchmark implemented a tight loop for loading and initializing a list of classes (about ~100,000 classes) by invoking Class.forName(). The test could be executed in single thread mode (loading and initializing all classes sequentially within one thread) and multi-threaded mode (loading and initializing classes concurrently using a specified number of threads).

Performance Comparisons

All measurements were performed on a local Linux machine using JDK 11.

Execution Time

Each result was the average of the test execution time from 10 runs reported by perf tool.

Heap region size: 16M (no -Xms -Xmx setting in command-line)

| | Enable CDS | CDS off |
|------------|------------|----------|
| 32-threads | 11.900s | 7.2396s |
| 16-threads | 12.136s | 7.3831s |
| 8-threads | 11.7148s | 8.8131s |
| 4-threads | 12.517s | 11.9189s |
| 2-threads | 11.7897s | 14.779s |
| 1-thread | 10.6274s | 12.2889s |

-Xmx5625M -Xms5625M

| Enable CDS C | CDS off |
|--------------|---------|
|--------------|---------|

| 32-threads | 8.0970s | 6.9260s |
|------------|---------|----------|
| 16-threads | 8.9857s | 7.3448s |
| 8-threads | 8.9707s | 8.4970s |
| 4-threads | 9.3818s | 11.9134s |
| 2-threads | 8.9602s | 14.6877s |
| 1-thread | 7.9756s | 12.3731s |

-Xmx800M -Xms800M

| | Enable CDS | CDS off |
|------------|------------|----------|
| 32-threads | 6.0635s | 7.2391s |
| 16-threads | 6.4316s | 7.5044s |
| 8-threads | 6.4890s | 8.7262s |
| 4-threads | 7.2306s | 12.1763s |
| 2-threads | 7.5984s | 14.928s |
| 1-thread | 6.8995s | 12.1305s |

The above performance results indicated that the Java heap size appeared to have a large impact on the performance of the warmup process when CDS archive was enabled. Using a smaller Java heap produced better results compared to using a larger Java heap with CDS archive enabled. When CDS archive was disabled, there appeared to be no significant performance impact from using different Java heap sizes for the warmup process.

CPU Cycles

| | With CDS | Without CDS |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| -Xms5625M -Xmx5625M, 8-threads | 412,078,054,544 | 203,027,047,699 |

| -Xms5625M -Xmx5625M, 4-threads | 365,228,947,898 | 174,465,171,482 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| -Xms600M -Xmx600M, 8-threads | 211,443,168,149 | 307,696,590,372 |

With 5625M Java heap, there was a significant CPU overhead (2x) observed when CDS was enabled. Larger Java heap had higher CPU overhead.

Young Gen Collection Pauses and Overhead

When running the test with CDS enabled and in multi-threaded mode, there were noticeable pauses during the test execution. Analysis using perf record/report and -xlog:gc* found the CPU overhead and noticeable pauses were caused by young gen collection activities.

With CDS enabled, only 2 young gen evacuations were observed during a test execution according to the gc log. However, each young gen GC had large pause:

```
[3.953s][info][gc,start ] GC(0) Pause Young (Normal) (G1
Evacuation Pause)
[3.955s][info][gc,task ] GC(0) Using 18 workers of 18 for
evacuation
[6.311s][info][gc,phases
                           | GC(0) | Pre Evacuate Collection Set:
0.0ms
[6.311s][info][gc,phases
                           ] GC(0)
                                    Evacuate Collection Set:
2353.5ms
[6.311s][info][gc,phases
                           ] GC(0) Post Evacuate Collection Set:
[6.311s][info][gc,phases
                           ] GC(0) Other: 2.0ms
[6.311s][info][gc,heap
                           ] GC(0) Eden regions: 32->0(28)
[6.311s][info][gc,heap
                           ] GC(0) Survivor regions: 0->4(4)
                           ] GC(0) Old regions: 0->6
[6.311s][info][gc,heap
[6.311s][info][gc,heap
                           ] GC(0) Archive regions: 3->3
[6.311s][info][gc,heap
                           ] GC(0) Humongous regions: 3->3
[6.311s][info][gc,metaspace] GC(0) Metaspace:
13869K->13869K(1064960K)
[6.311s][info][qc
                           ] GC(0) Pause Young (Normal) (G1
Evacuation Pause) 1216M->495M(20480M) 2357.513ms
                           ] GC(0) User=41.91s Sys=0.44s Real=2.36s
[6.311s][info][gc,cpu
```

When CDS was disabled, there were 7 young gen evacuations observed during a test execution. More young gen GC activities were seen in this case because there were more Java

object allocations due to the lack of pre-populated heap data. The evacuation pauses were much smaller in this case. Below was the largest observed:

```
[4.590s][info][qc,start
                             ] GC(12) Pause Young (Concurrent Start)
(Metadata GC Threshold)
[4.590s][info][qc,task
                             ] GC(12) Using 18 workers of 18 for
evacuation
[4.745s][info][gc,phases
                             1 GC(12)
                                        Pre Evacuate Collection Set:
0.2ms
[4.745s][info][gc,phases
                             ] GC(12)
                                        Evacuate Collection Set:
153.0ms
[4.745s][info][gc,phases
                             ] GC(12)
                                        Post Evacuate Collection Set:
                                        Other: 0.8ms
[4.745s][info][qc,phases
                             ] GC(12)
[4.745s][info][gc,heap
                             ] GC(12) Eden regions: 36->0(122)
[4.745s][info][gc,heap
                             ] GC(12) Survivor regions: 8->12(48)
[4.745s][info][gc,heap
                             ] GC(12) Old regions: 4->4
                             ] GC(12) Archive regions: 0->0
[4.745s][info][gc,heap
                             | GC(12) Humongous regions: 3->3
[4.745s][info][gc,heap
[4.745s][info][gc,metaspace
                             ] GC(12) Metaspace:
501279K->501279K(1505280K)
[4.745s][info][qc
                             ] GC(12) Pause Young (Concurrent Start)
(Metadata GC Threshold) 1606M->565M(20480M) 155.444ms
[4.745s][info][qc,cpu
                            ] GC(12) User=1.74s Sys=0.09s Real=0.16s
[4.745s][info][gc
                             ] GC(13) Concurrent Cycle
[4.745s][info][gc,marking
                             ] GC(13) Concurrent Clear Claimed Marks
                             ] GC(13) Concurrent Clear Claimed Marks
[4.745s][info][gc,marking
0.120ms
[4.745s][info][gc,marking
                             ] GC(13) Concurrent Scan Root Regions
```

Region Size Impact

Further investigation found the actual underlying cause of the overhead was due to larger G1 heap region size. The performance impact was higher with enlarged default G1 region size.

| | cycles:u | time elapsed |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| 5G Java heap, 2M region size | 194,826,729,554 | 6.6889 +- 0.0675 seconds |
| 5G Java heap, 8M region size | 414,257,073,171 | 8.9408 +- 0.0588 seconds |

Top JVM functions Reported by perf

Perf report produced from test run with archive enabled showed GC related work was among the top CPU consumers. When archive was disabled, GC was not reported as the top CPU consumers by perf. The difference between the two profiling reports indicated the overhead observed with enabling the archive was related to GC. The perf call graph showed GlBlockOffsetTablePart::forward_to_block_containing_addr_slow->HeapRe gion::block_is_obj was where the overhead came from.

```
of event 'cycles:u',
Self Command
                                                      Shared Object
        0.00% C2 CompilerThre
0.00% C2 CompilerThre
                                                     libjvm.so
libjvm.so
                                                                                                       Compile::Compile
Compile::Code_Gen
       0.05% C2 CompilerInre
0.05% C2 CompilerThre
0.00% G1 Refine#1
0.00% G1 Refine#1
0.00% G1 Refine#1
0.00% G1 Refine#1
                                                                                                       PhaseChaitin::Register_Allocate
start_thread
                                                     libpthread-2.27.so
                                                                                                        __clone
thread_native_entry
                                                     libjvm.so
                                                                                                       Thread::call_run
ConcurrentGCThread::run
                                                     libivm.so
31% GlConcurrentRefineThread::run_service
3.31% GlConcurrentRefine::do_refinement_step
- 3.31% DirtyCardQueueSet::apply_closure_to_completed_buffer
- 3.31% GlRefineCardConcurrentlyClosure::do_card_ptr
                       0.97% G1ContiguousSpace::block_start
G1 Refine#1 libjvm.so
                    61 Refine#1
61 Refine#1
61 Refine#1
61 Refine#1
61 Refine#1
                                                                                                        G1ConcurrentRefineThread::run_service
                                                                                                        G1ConcurrentRefine::do_refinement_step
DirtyCardQueueSet::apply_closure_to_completed_buffer
                                                     libjvm.so
                                                                                                        G1RefineCardConcurrentlyClosure::do_card_ptr
G1RemSet::refine card concurrently
                                                      libjvm.so
                                                                                                        G1BlockOffsetTablePart::forward_to_block_containing_addr_slow
```

Cause

G1BlockOffsetTable divides the covered space (Java heap) into "N"-word subregions ("N" is from 2^"LogN"). It uses an _offset_array to tell how far back it must go to find the start of a block that contains the first word of a subregion. Every G1 region (is a G1ContiguousSpace) owns a G1BlockOffsetTablePart (associates to part of the _offset_array), which covers space of the current region.

For a pre-populated open archive heap region, its <code>G1BlockOffsetTablePart</code> is never set up at runtime. <code>G1BlockOffsetTablePart::block_start(const void* addr)</code> always does lookup from the start (bottom) of the region when called (for an open archive region) at runtime, regardless if the given 'addr' is near the bottom, top or in the middle of the region. The lookup becomes linear, instead of $O(2^LogN)$. Therefore, large heap region size makes the situation worse and young-gen pauses longer.

Note that the overhead occurs to all young gen GCs throughout the execution, not just during startup.

Solution

Populate G1BlockOffsetTableParts and associated G1BlockOffsetTable::_offset_array entries for 'open' archive regions at runtime.