

## Supplementary materials for “What to Select: Pursuing Consistent Motion Segmentation from Multiple Geometric Models”

Table 1: Notations and descriptions.

Notation	Description
$V$	$V$ is the total number of geometric models used for the dataset.
$\mathbf{G}^{(<v)}$	$\mathbf{G}^{(<v)}$ is all the $\mathbf{G}^{(i)}$ such that $i < v$ , i.e., $\mathbf{G}^{(<v)} = \{\mathbf{G}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{G}^{(v-1)}\}$ .
$\mathbf{G}^{(>v)}$	$\mathbf{G}^{(>v)}$ is all the $\mathbf{G}^{(i)}$ such that $i > v$ , i.e., $\mathbf{G}^{(>v)} = \{\mathbf{G}^{(v+1)}, \dots, \mathbf{G}^{(V)}\}$ .
$\mathbf{G}^{(\setminus v)}$	$\mathbf{G}^{(\setminus v)}$ is all the $\mathbf{G}^{(i)}$ such that $i \neq v$ , i.e., $\mathbf{G}^{(\setminus v)} = \{\mathbf{G}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{G}^{(v-1)}, \mathbf{G}^{(v+1)}, \dots, \mathbf{G}^{(V)}\}$ .
$\mathbf{G}$	$\mathbf{G}$ stands for all the $\mathbf{G}^{(v)}$ s when they are considered as a whole.
$\mathbf{X}_t$	$\mathbf{X}_t$ is the variable $\mathbf{X}$ updated at the $t$ -th iteration, where $\mathbf{X}$ could be $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}$ or $\mathbf{G}$ .
$\text{vec}(\mathbf{X})$	Given a matrix $\mathbf{X}$ , $\text{vec}(\mathbf{X})$ is the vectorization of the matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ such that $\text{vec}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_1 \times n_2) \times 1}$ .
$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot)$	Given a set $\mathcal{C}$ , $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot)$ is characteristic function such that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{C}) = 0$ , if $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C}$ , otherwise $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{C}) = +\infty$ .
$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta)$	$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta) = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{S}) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{U}) + \sum_v \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{G}^{(v)})$
$\ell(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}^{(v)}, \mathbf{U})$	$\ell(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}) = \frac{1}{2} \ \mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S} \odot \mathbf{G}^{(v)}\ _F^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \ \mathbf{G}^{(v)}\ _F^2 + \alpha_2 \langle \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{S}}, \mathbf{U} \rangle$
$\ell(\mathbf{X})$	$\ell(\mathbf{X})$ is the loss function of $\mathbf{X}$ subproblem, where $\mathbf{X}$ could be $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}$ .
$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U})$	$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_v \ \mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S} \odot \mathbf{G}^{(v)}\ _F^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \sum_v \ \mathbf{G}^{(v)}\ _F^2 + \alpha_2 \langle \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{S}}, \mathbf{U} \rangle + \frac{\alpha_3}{2} \ \mathbf{U}\ _F^2$
$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U})$	$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta)$ , where $\Theta = \{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}\}$ . It could also be denoted as $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ .
$\text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$	$\text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the euclidean distance between a point and a set of points.
$\mathbf{q}_{\mathcal{C}}$	$\mathbf{q}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a subgradient of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(\cdot)$ .
$\mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$	$\mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a subgradient of $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$ w.r.t. $\mathbf{C}$ , where $\mathbf{C}$ could be $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}, \Theta$ .
$\mathbf{q}_{\mathcal{C}}^t, \mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{C}}^t$	$\mathbf{q}_{\mathcal{C}}^t, \mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{C}}^t$ are the values of $\mathbf{q}_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the $t$ -th iteration.
$\mathbf{X}^{\odot 2}$	$\mathbf{X}^{\odot 2} = \mathbf{X} \odot \mathbf{X}$
$\ \cdot\ $	$\ \cdot\ $ means $\ \cdot\ _F$ by default.

### Proof of Theorem 1

The proof could be found in (Yang et al. 2020).

### Proof of Theorem 2

**Lemma 1.** *The following facts hold for the overall objective function of the proposed model:*

(1) *Given  $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}^{(\setminus v)}, \mathbf{U}$ , the subproblem for each  $\mathbf{G}^{(v)}$  (Eq.(7)) is  $\alpha_1$ -strongly convex.*

- (2) Given  $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}$ , the subproblem for  $\mathbf{S}$  (Eq.(9)) is  $\alpha_2 V G_{min}^2$ -strongly convex.  
(3) Given  $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}$ , the subproblem for  $\mathbf{U}$  (Eq.(13)) is  $\alpha_3$ -strongly convex.

*Proof.*

- (1) Denote the loss function of  $\mathbf{G}^{(v)}$  as follows:

$$\ell(\mathbf{G}^{(v)}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S} \odot \mathbf{G}^{(v)}\|_F^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\mathbf{G}^{(v)}\|_F^2$$

According to (Boyd and Vandenberghe 2004), proving (a) is equivalent to prove that the Hessian matrix of  $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{G}^{(v)})$  subjects to

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{G}^{(v)})}{\partial \text{vec}(\mathbf{G}^{(v)}) \partial \text{vec}(\mathbf{G}^{(v)})^\top} \succeq \alpha_1 \mathbf{I}_{N^2}.$$

Let the loss of each element of  $\mathbf{S}$  be:

$$\ell(\mathbf{G}_{ij}^{(v)}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{A}_{ij}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_{ij} \mathbf{G}_{ij}^{(v)} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \mathbf{G}_{ij}^{(v)2}$$

And

$$\ell(\mathbf{G}^{(v)}) = \sum_{i,j} \ell(\mathbf{G}_{ij}^{(v)})$$

We have

$$\mathbf{H} = \text{diag} \left( \text{vec} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{G}_{ij}^{(v)})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{G}_{ij}^{(v)}} \right) \right) \succeq \alpha_1 \mathbf{I}_{N^2}.$$

- (2) Similar to (a), we denote the loss function of  $\mathbf{S}$  as:

$$\ell(\mathbf{S}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_v \|\mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S} \odot \mathbf{G}^{(v)}\|_F^2 + \alpha_2 \langle \mathbf{L}_S, \mathbf{U} \rangle$$

Then the loss of each element of  $\mathbf{S}$  could be written as:

$$\ell(\mathbf{S}_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_v \left( \mathbf{A}_{ij}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_{ij} \mathbf{G}_{ij}^{(v)} \right)^2 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{L}_{S_{ij}} \mathbf{U}_{ij}$$

And

$$\ell(\mathbf{S}) = \sum_{i,j} \ell(\mathbf{S}_{ij})$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\mathbf{S})}{\partial \text{vec}(\mathbf{S}) \partial \text{vec}(\mathbf{S})^\top} = \text{diag} \left( \text{vec} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\mathbf{S}_{ij})}{\partial^2 \mathbf{S}_{ij}} \right) \right) \succeq \alpha_2 V G_{min}^2 \mathbf{I}_{N^2}.$$

Since all the constraints on  $\mathbf{S}$  are linear, (2) is proved.

- (3) See (Yang et al. 2020). □

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_v \|\mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S} \odot \mathbf{G}^{(v)}\|_F^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \sum_v \|\mathbf{G}^{(v)}\|_F^2 + \alpha_2 \langle \mathbf{L}_S, \mathbf{U} \rangle + \frac{\alpha_3}{2} \|\mathbf{U}\|_F^2$ . The objective function could be reformulated as  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}) + \sum_v \mathcal{I}_S(\mathbf{S}) + \sum_v \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}^{(v)}) + \mathcal{I}_U(\mathbf{U})$ , and let  $\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}_t$  be the parameters obtained at iteration  $t$ , the following properties hold for Alg. 1.

- (1) (Sufficient Decrease Condition): The sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_t, \mathbf{U}_t)\}_t$  is non-increasing in the sense that:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \leq \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_t, \mathbf{U}_t) - \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha_1}{2}, \frac{\alpha_2 V G_{min}^2}{2}, \frac{\alpha_3}{2} \right\} \|\Delta \Theta_t\|^2.$$

where  $\Delta \Theta_t = [\text{vec}(\Delta \mathbf{S}_t); \text{vec}(\Delta \mathbf{G}_t^{(1)}); \dots; \text{vec}(\Delta \mathbf{G}_t^{(V)}); \text{vec}(\Delta \mathbf{U}_t)]$ ,  $\Delta \mathbf{S}_t = \mathbf{S}_{t+1} - \mathbf{S}_t$ ,  $\Delta \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)} = \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}$ ,  $\Delta \mathbf{U}_t = \mathbf{U}_{t+1} - \mathbf{U}_t$ .

- (2) (Square Summable):  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\Delta \Theta_t\|_F^2 < \infty$ . Furthermore, we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta \mathbf{S}_t\| = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}\| = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta \mathbf{U}_t\| = 0$ .

(3) (Continuity Condition): There exists a subsequence of  $\{\mathbf{S}_{t_j}, \mathbf{G}_{t_j}, \mathbf{U}_{t_j}\}_j$  with a limit point  $\{\mathbf{S}^*, \mathbf{G}^*, \mathbf{U}^*\}$ , such that

$$\{\mathbf{S}_{t_j}, \mathbf{G}_{t_j}, \mathbf{U}_{t_j}\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{S}^*, \mathbf{G}^*, \mathbf{U}^*\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_{t_j}, \mathbf{G}_{t_j}, \mathbf{U}_{t_j}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}^*, \mathbf{G}^*, \mathbf{U}^*),$$

(4) (KL Property):  $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is a KL function.

(5) (Relative Error Condition): There holds that

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{0}, \partial_{\Theta} \mathcal{F}(\Theta_{t+1}))^2 = \min_{\mathbf{g} \in \partial_{\Theta} \mathcal{F}(\Theta_{t+1})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_F^2 \leq C_{max}^2 \|\Theta_{t+1} - \Theta_t\|_F^2, \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (16)$$

where:

$$C_{max} = \max \left\{ V \left( 2S_{max} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{U}_0) + A_{max}} \right), \alpha_2(\sqrt{N} + 1) \right\}, \quad (17)$$

$$\text{and } A_{max} = \max_{i,j,v} |A_{i,j}^{(v)}|.$$

*Proof.*

(1) In the  $t + 1$ -th iteration, we update the variables sequentially with the values  $\mathbf{G}_t^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{G}_t^{(V)}, \mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{U}_t$ .

First, we update each  $\mathbf{G}^{(v)}$ . According to Lemma 1 and Eq.(7),  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(<v)}, \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}, \mathbf{G}_t^{(>v)}, \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{G}^{(v)})$  is  $\alpha_1$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\mathbf{G}^{(v)}$ . Thus with the solution  $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)}$  we have  $\forall v = 1, 2, \dots, V$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(<v)}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)}, \mathbf{G}_t^{(>v)}, \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)}) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(<v)}, \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}, \mathbf{G}_t^{(>v)}, \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}) - \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}\|_F^2. \quad (18)$$

After updating all  $\mathbf{G}^{(v)}$ s, we start updating  $\mathbf{S}$ . Similarly, we have that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{S})$  is  $\alpha_2 V G_{min}^2$ -strongly convex w.r.t  $\mathbf{S}$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{S}_t) - \frac{\alpha_2 V G_{min}^2}{2} \|\mathbf{S}_{t+1} - \mathbf{S}_t\|_F^2. \quad (19)$$

Finally, for the update of  $\mathbf{U}$ , we have  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{U})$  is  $\alpha_3$ -strongly convex. The

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_{t+1}) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{U}_t) - \frac{\alpha_3}{2} \|\mathbf{U}_{t+1} - \mathbf{U}_t\|_F^2. \quad (20)$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta) = \sum_v \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{G}^{(v)}) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{S}) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{U})$ . Combing Eq. (18)-(20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_{t+1}) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta_{t+1}) &\leq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_t, \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta_t) \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \sum_v \|\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}\|_F^2 - \frac{\alpha_2 V G_{min}^2}{2} \|\mathbf{S}_{t+1} - \mathbf{S}_t\|_F^2 - \frac{\alpha_3}{2} \|\mathbf{U}_{t+1} - \mathbf{U}_t\|_F^2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This ends the proof of (1).

(2) Since  $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{S}} \succeq 0$ , it holds that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_t, \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta_t) \geq 0$ . With feasible initial values  $\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{U}_0$ , by adding up Eq. (21) for all  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ , we then have

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\Delta \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}\|_F^2 + \frac{\alpha_2 V G_{min}^2}{2} \|\Delta \mathbf{S}_t\|_F^2 + \frac{\alpha_3}{2} \|\Delta \mathbf{U}_t\|_F^2 \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{U}_0)$$

By the assumption that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{U}_0) < \infty$ , this implies that when  $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)} \rightarrow 0, \forall v = 1, 2, \dots, V, \quad \mathbf{S}_{t+1} - \mathbf{S}_t \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathbf{U}_{t+1} - \mathbf{U}_t \rightarrow 0.$$

This ends the proof of (2).

(3) To prove (3), we first prove the existence of a limit point of the parameter sequence  $\{\Theta_t\}_t$  generated by our Algorithm 1. It could be seen that the sequences  $\{\mathbf{S}_t\}_t$  and  $\{\mathbf{U}_t\}_t$  are bounded by the construction of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$ . According to (1), the loss sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_t, \mathbf{U}_t)\}_t$  is non-decreasing. Then we could obtain that  $\|\mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}\|_F^2 \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}_0)$  and  $\|\mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_t \odot \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}\|_F^2 \leq 2\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}_0)$ . Namely,  $\{\mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}\}_t$  is also bounded. Based on the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem (Bartle and Sherbert 2000), any bounded sequence must have convergent subsequence. Hence there exists at least one subsequence  $\{\Theta_{t_j}\}_{t_j}$  that converges to a limit point  $\Theta^*$ .

Now we prove the continuity condition of  $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$  by separately considering the continuity of  $\mathcal{F}(\Theta) - \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta)$ . Note that since  $\mathcal{L}(\Theta) = \mathcal{F}(\Theta) - \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta)$  is continuous, we must have  $\lim_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(\Theta_{t_j}) - \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta_{t_j}) = \mathcal{F}(\Theta^*) - \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta^*)$ .

Then we only need to prove that  $\lim_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta_{t_j}) = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Theta^*)$ .

Due to the lower semi-continuity of  $\mathcal{I}_G, \mathcal{I}_S, \mathcal{I}_U$ , we have

$$\liminf_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_T(\Theta_{t_j}) \geq \sum_v \left( \liminf_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}_{t_j}^{(v)}) \right) + \liminf_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_S(\mathbf{S}_{t_j}) + \liminf_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_U(\mathbf{U}_{t_j}) \quad (22)$$

$$\geq \mathcal{I}_T(\Theta^*) \quad (23)$$

It only remains to prove that  $\limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_T(\Theta_{t_j}) \leq \mathcal{I}_T(\Theta^*)$ .

For  $\mathcal{I}_G$ ,

$$\mathbf{G}_{t_j}^{(v)} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{G}^{(v)}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_{t_j-1} \odot \mathbf{G}^{(v)}\|_F^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\mathbf{G}^{(v)}\|_F^2 + \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}^{(v)})$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_{t_j-1} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t_j}^{(v)}\|_F^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\mathbf{G}_{t_j}^{(v)}\|_F^2 + \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}_{t_j}^{(v)}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_{t_j-1} \odot \mathbf{G}^{(v)*}\|_F^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\mathbf{G}^{(v)*}\|_F^2 + \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}^{(v)*})$$

Taking the limits of both sides as  $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ , we then have  $\limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}_{t_j}^{(v)}) \leq \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}^{(v)*})$ .

Likewise, we could prove that  $\limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_S(\mathbf{S}_{t_j}) \leq \mathcal{I}_S(\mathbf{S}^*)$  and  $\limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_U(\mathbf{U}_{t_j}) \leq \mathcal{I}_U(\mathbf{U}^*)$ .

Therefore, we have:

$$\limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_T(\Theta_{t_j}) \leq \sum_v \left( \limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}_{t_j}^{(v)}) \right) + \limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_S(\mathbf{S}_{t_j}) + \limsup_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_U(\mathbf{U}_{t_j}) \leq \mathcal{I}_T(\Theta^*) \quad (24)$$

According to Eq.(22) and Eq.(24), we have:

$$\lim_{t_j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_T(\Theta_{t_j}) = \mathcal{I}_T(\Theta^*)$$

Thus the proof is completed.

(4) The proof follows that of Lemma 1(4) in (Yang et al. 2020).

(5) Due to the optimality of  $\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)}$  for the  $\mathbf{G}^{(v)}$  subproblem in the  $t+1$ -th iteration, we have

$$\mathbf{0} \in \partial_{\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_t) = \mathbf{S}_t \odot (\mathbf{S}_t \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)}) + \alpha_1 \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} + \partial_{\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)}} \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)})$$

There exists a  $\mathbf{q}_{\mathcal{G}}^{t+1} \in \partial_{\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)}} \mathcal{I}_G(\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{S}_t \odot (\mathbf{S}_t \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)}) + \alpha_1 \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathcal{G}}^{t+1} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_t \odot (\mathbf{S}_t \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)}) + \alpha_1 \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathcal{G}}^{t+1} \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{S}_{t+1}^{\odot 2} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_{t+1} \odot \mathbf{A}^{(v)}) - (\mathbf{S}_{t+1}^{\odot 2} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_{t+1} \odot \mathbf{A}^{(v)}) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{G}^{(v)}}^{t+1} = \mathbf{S}_{t+1}^{\odot 2} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_{t+1} \odot \mathbf{A}^{(v)} + \alpha_1 \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} + \mathbf{q}_{\mathcal{G}}^{t+1} \quad (25)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{G}^{(v)}}^{t+1} + \mathbf{S}_t^{\odot 2} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_t \odot \mathbf{A}^{(v)} - \mathbf{S}_{t+1}^{\odot 2} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} + \mathbf{S}_{t+1} \odot \mathbf{A}^{(v)} \\ &= \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{G}^{(v)}}^{t+1} + \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} \odot (\mathbf{S}_t^{\odot 2} - \mathbf{S}_{t+1}^{\odot 2}) - \mathbf{A}^{(v)} \odot (\mathbf{S}_t - \mathbf{S}_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{G}^{(v)}}^{t+1}\| \leq \underbrace{\|\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} \odot (\mathbf{S}_t^{\odot 2} - \mathbf{S}_{t+1}^{\odot 2})\|}_{(a)} + \underbrace{\|\mathbf{A}^{(v)} \odot (\mathbf{S}_t - \mathbf{S}_{t+1})\|}_{(b)}$$

For (a), we have

$$(a) = \|\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} \odot (\mathbf{S}_t + \mathbf{S}_{t+1}) \odot (\mathbf{S}_t - \mathbf{S}_{t+1})\|$$

Furthermore, by (1),  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_t, \mathbf{G}_t^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}_t)$  is non-increasing w.r.t.  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i,j} \mathbf{G}_{t+1,i,j}^{(v)} &\leq \|\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)}\|_F \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}_{t+1})} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}_0)} \\ \max_{i,j} (\mathbf{S}_{t+1,i,j} + \mathbf{S}_{t,i,j}) &\leq 2S_{max} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) &\leq \left( \max_{i,j} \mathbf{G}_{t+1,i,j}^{(v)} \right) \cdot \left( \max_{i,j} (\mathbf{S}_{t+1,i,j} + \mathbf{S}_{t,i,j}) \right) \cdot \|\mathbf{S}_{t+1} - \mathbf{S}_t\| \\
&\leq 2S_{max} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}_0)} \cdot \|\mathbf{S}_{t+1} - \mathbf{S}_t\|
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption we have

$$(b) \leq A_{max} \cdot \|\mathbf{S}_{t+1} - \mathbf{S}_t\|$$

Above all, we have

$$\|\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{G}^{(v)}}^{t+1}\| \leq \left( 2S_{max} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}_0)} + A_{max} \right) \cdot \|\mathbf{S}_{t+1} - \mathbf{S}_t\|$$

From the optimality of  $\mathbf{S}_{t+1}$  for the  $\mathbf{S}$  subproblem in the  $t+1$ -th iteration,

$$\mathbf{0} \in \sum_v \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} \odot (\mathbf{S}_{t+1} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)}) + \alpha_2(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_t) \mathbf{1}^\top - \mathbf{U}_t) + \partial_{\mathbf{S}_{t+1}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1})$$

There exists a  $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1} \in \partial_{\mathbf{S}_{t+1}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} &= \sum_v \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} \odot (\mathbf{S}_{t+1} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)}) + \alpha_2(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_t) \mathbf{1}^\top - \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1} \\
&= \sum_v \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} \odot (\mathbf{S}_{t+1} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)}) + \alpha_2(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_t) \mathbf{1}^\top - \mathbf{U}_t) + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1} \\
&\quad + \alpha_2(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \mathbf{1}^\top - \mathbf{U}_{t+1}) - \alpha_2(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \mathbf{1}^\top - \mathbf{U}_{t+1})
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1} = \sum_v \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} \odot (\mathbf{S}_{t+1} \odot \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(v)} - \mathbf{A}^{(v)}) + \alpha_2(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \mathbf{1}^\top - \mathbf{U}_{t+1}) + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1}. \quad (26)$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1} + \alpha_2(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_t) \mathbf{1}^\top - \mathbf{U}_t) - \alpha_2(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \mathbf{1}^\top - \mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \\
&= \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1} + \alpha_2(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_t) - \text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_{t+1})) \mathbf{1}^\top - \alpha_2(\mathbf{U}_t - \mathbf{U}_{t+1})
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1}\| &\leq \alpha_2 \left( \|(\text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_{t+1}) - \text{diag}(\mathbf{U}_t)) \mathbf{1}^\top\| + \|\mathbf{U}_t - \mathbf{U}_{t+1}\| \right) \\
&\leq \alpha_2 \cdot (\sqrt{N} + 1) \cdot \|\mathbf{U}_t - \mathbf{U}_{t+1}\|
\end{aligned}$$

There exists a

$$\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{U}}^{t+1} \in \partial_{\mathbf{U}_{t+1}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_{t+1}), \quad (27)$$

such that

$$\|\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{U}}^{t+1}\| = 0 \leq C_{max} \cdot \|\mathbf{G}_t - \mathbf{G}_{t+1}\|$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{max} &= \max \left\{ V \left( 2S_{max} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha_1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{G}_0^{(v)}, \mathbf{U}_0)} + A_{max} \right), \alpha_2(\sqrt{N} + 1) \right\}, \\
\mathbf{G}_t &= [\mathbf{G}_t^{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{G}_t^{(V)}], \\
\mathbf{G}_{t+1} &= [\mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(1)}; \dots; \mathbf{G}_{t+1}^{(V)}].
\end{aligned}$$

According to Eq.(25), Eq.(26), and Eq.(27), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{G}^{(v)}}^{t+1} &\in \partial_{\mathbf{G}^{(v)}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \\ \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1} &\in \partial_{\mathbf{S}_{t+1}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \\ \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{U}}^{t+1} &\in \partial_{\mathbf{U}_{t+1}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$[\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{G}^{(1)}}^{t+1}; \dots; \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{G}^{(V)}}^{t+1}; \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1}; \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{U}}^{t+1}] \in \partial_{\Theta_{t+1}} \mathcal{F}(\Theta_{t+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(\mathbf{0}, \partial_{\Theta} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S}_{t+1}, \mathbf{G}_{t+1}, \mathbf{U}_{t+1}))^2 &= \min_{\mathbf{g} \in \partial_{\Theta} \mathcal{F}(\Theta_{t+1})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_F^2 \\ &\leq \sum_v \|\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{G}^{(v)}}^{t+1}\|_F^2 + \|\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{S}}^{t+1}\|_F^2 + \|\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{U}}^{t+1}\|_F^2 \\ &\leq C_{max}^2 \|\Theta_{t+1} - \Theta_t\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is then finished. □

Based on Lemma 2, the proof of Theorem 2 then follows that of Theorem 4 in (Yang et al. 2020).

### Proof of Theorem 3

This proof follows that of Theorem 5 in (Yang et al. 2020).

### References

- Bartle, R. G.; and Sherbert, D. R. 2000. *Introduction to real analysis*, volume 2. Wiley New York.
- Boyd, S.; and Vandenberghe, L. 2004. *Convex optimization*. Cambridge university press.
- Yang, Z.; Xu, Q.; Cao, X.; and Huang, Q. 2020. Task-Feature Collaborative Learning with Application to Personalized Attribute Prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.13930*.