FROM SEX TO MONEY TO FOOD: Taming our primal instincts

MEAN GENES

"MYAN CENZY IN ARRESTANT" - E.O. WILLOW

TERRY BURNHAM & JAY PHELAN

MEAN GENES

From sex to money to food **Taming our**primal instincts

Terry Burnham and Jay Phelan

Copyright © 2000 by Terry Burnham and Jay Phelan

Penguin (Non-Classics) 1st edition

ISBN: 0-7382-0230-4

Introduction Our toughest battles are with ourselves 1

THIN WALLETS AND FAT BODIES

Contents

Debt Laughing all the way to the Darwinian bank 15 bankruptcy ■ savings ■ big business

Fat Please don't feed the humans 35

dieting ■ laziness • liposuction

CONSTANT CRAVINGS

Drugs Hijacking the pleasure pathway 59 caffeine • alcohol • prozac • addiction • hope

Risk Thrill-seeking genes taking us for a ride 83

casinos ■ jalapeno peppers • roller-coasters • rewards

105 money • happiness • materialism ■

progress ■ joy

ROMANCE AND REPRODUCTION

Greed Running fast on the happiness treadmill

Gender Girls against the boys 131

mars & venus • hormones ■ homosexuality • culture

Beauty It's more than skin deep 153 attraction ■ desire ■ fads

Infidelity Our cheating hearts 173

FAMILY, FRIENDS, **AND FOES**

marriage • cheaters ■ love ■ lust • promise

Family The ties that bind 199

Friends and Foes Keep friends close and enemies closer 213 warfare • race ■ gossip ■

blood ■ siblings ■ conflict • motherhood

road rage • loyalty

Conclusion Surviving desire 245

Acknowledgments 255 Index 257

ourselves

for your brain.

Most of us would feel cheated if we bought a car or a microwave and it came without instructions. But our most important possessions — body and mind — come with no such guide, leaving us searching haphazardly for satisfaction: a dollop of exercise, thirteen minutes of sex, a "Happy Meal," a cocktail, and a sport-utility

vehicle. Mean Genes offers the missing

Introduction Our toughest battles are with

Consider this book an owner's manual

control of our lives.

When we drive a car or operate a

information that can help us take better

exactly as we command. The machine doesn't talk back or have an agenda of its own — at least not yet. On the other hand, if we tell our brain, as part of a New Year's resolution, to cut down on fatty foods, it most likely will let out a hearty laugh and continue to set off bells and

hearty laugh and continue to set off bells and whistles of approval when the dessert cart rolls around.

obedient servant. It has a mind of its own.

Our brain, for better or worse, is not an

Imagine that you are actually two things:

a personality who has likes, dislikes,

desires, and dreams. But inside your body there is also a "machine," your brain, that processes commands and acts on those likes, dislikes, desires, and dreams. It fights you all the time. And it usually wins.

eye? Why do we have battles over controlling our own behavior? And why are these battles so hard to win? Are cats and dogs obsessed with fighting addictions, controlling their weight, and remaining

Why can't the two of you see eve to

regularly resolve to be less selfish?

In a creepy campfire legend, a
babysitter alone in a house receives

increasingly menacing phone calls. Terrified,

her phone. After the boogieman calls again, the cops frantically phone her, screaming, "We've traced the call. It's coming from inside the house! Get out!"

she contacts the police, who put a tap on

we can't get out or leave them behind.

Manipulative media, greedy businesses,

and even our friends and family play roles

Similarly, the source of our self-control

in nurturing our demons. Still, most of our self-control problems stem from our impulses to do things that are bad for us or

A visit to any bookstore reveals the nature of our struggles. Glancing at the

for those whom we love.

bestsellers, we can see what's on people's minds. There are dozens of books on finding love, losing weight, and creating wealth. Conspicuously absent are a host of other topics. Where are the books entitled *How to Build a Bigger Beer Gut, Ten Steps to*

naturally while others require so much effort? It's because our genes predispose us

to certain failings.

Frivolous Spending, or Nurturing the Infidel

Within? Why do some behaviors come so

Every day we see headlines heralding discoveries of "the gene for alcoholism" or "the genes of aging." These reports make

disease are influenced in important ways by

an obvious point: human biology and

every stretch of DNA in the human body, is a revolution in the making. With each passing week, scientists unearth the genetic roots of more and more diseases, and the

promise of future cures grows stronger.

But genetic effects are far more

our genes. The Human Genome Project,

which will soon determine the sequence of

pervasive than these articles suggest. Even in areas where we feel that we act purely of

our own free will, our dramas are played out on a genetic stage. Over the last few decades, scientists have learned a great deal about the structure of this stage, and our learning will accelerate with the forthcoming genomic advances. Throughout *Mean Genes*, we

explore what we know about these genetic influences and what they mean for our daily lives. Let us entice you with one example:

What is beauty and who sets the standards? It's a complex question, and

many before us have tried to answer it.

mysterious or divine, incapable of comprehension by mere mortals.

Some have suggested that beauty is

by the society we live in: whatever the fashion industry deems attractive is considered beautiful by the public at large.

But if this were true — if beauty were truly

governed by fads or trends — wouldn't every culture have its own definition of beauty? This

is not the case.

Others believe that beauty is defined

Careful observation of human

symmetry shows us why. The two halves of our body mirror each other. Our right hand,

for example, is structured exactly like our

left hand. The mirroring

is not perfect, though, and each of us is aware of our minor deviations from complete symmetry — one ear may be a bit lower, one breast slightly larger, etc.

even if they are not "classically" attractive.

We find symmetrical people beautiful,

In scientific studies, both women and men show a clear and dramatic preference for symmetrical partners over more lopsided lovers. Furthermore, women who recorded details of their sex lives revealed an interesting pattern: they were much more likely to reach orgasm and more likely to become pregnant during intercourse with symmetrical men.

a tantalizing tale: across the animal kingdom, symmetry is a sign of healthy, disease-free bodies, likely to have been

built by a good set of genes. Although most

of us cannot assess someone's symmetry, it

The symmetry data are more than just

guides our mating decisions unconsciously.

So there is a logic to our aesthetic

penchant for symmetrical people — logic that

understood only by looking at ourselves in the

only a gene could love, logic that can be

brain *does* have an agenda, but this agenda need not remain a mystery.

broader context of evolution and animal

behavior. Doing so reveals that our

genetic evolution. Once we understand that design, it is no longer surprising that we

Our brains have been designed by

experience tensions in our marriages, that our waistlines are bigger than we'd like, and that Big Macs are tastier than brown rice.

To understand ourselves and our world, we

Like it or not, we are each engaged in a

need to look not to Sigmund Freud but

rather to Charles Darwin.

battle against our own set of mean genes.

They are wily opponents, too. Masters of

the visceral, they control through satisfaction, pain, and pleasure.

Even the most successful people succumb. Look at Oprah Winfrey, for

instance. She runs a powerful media empire and is reportedly closing in on billionaire status. Her long list of accomplishments includes seven Emmy awards, an Oscar nomination, and a beauty queen crown. Rich and influential, this exceptional person is also very ordinary in one respect. Along with the rest of us, Oprah struggles for

self-control.

her weight and other personal issues,
Oprah has helped millions. Furthermore,
because her journey has been so successful

Because she has been so honest about

in spite of powerful urges, she demonstrates an important point: we are not lumbering robots doomed to carry out our genetic programming. In daily life, two paths beckon. One

In daily life, two paths beckon. One tempts us simply to live as our urges and

passions direct. This can be called the "pet path" since it is followed by all animals, including the family dog. Eat when hungry.

faithfulness now If semething feels good

faithfulness pay. If something feels good, do it again. If something hurts, avoid it.

Less clearly marked is the alternative,

the path of most resistance. On this path we take charge, calling our own shots.

willpower and the ability to control

behavior consciously. With these uniquely

human abilities, we can rise above our

Along with passions, genes have created

animal instincts.

Mean Genes is a guide to doing just

that. Step 1 is to understand our animal nature, particularly those desires that get us into

trouble and can lead to unhappiness.

Step 2 is to harness this knowledge so that we can tame our primal instincts.

As you read this book, you'll see that

we, your authors Terry and Jay, take this all very personally. Over the years that we've researched and taught this material, *Mean Genes* has become much more than a book to us. Throughout, you'll find tales from our personal lives. *Mean Genes* is not some

stuffy academic tome; understanding the

theory and taking the practical steps we

and our friends.

We would all like to make progress

instantly, but there are no shortcuts. In auto

racing, for example, designers struggle to

make lighter and lighter cars. Perhaps

suggest can improve your life. We are sure

it can help you because it has helped us

surprisingly, the best way to trim 100
pounds of weight from a car is to find 1,000
places to trim a tenth of a pound. No grand

relentless striving for incremental improvement. Similarly, for most of us, the best way to improve our lives is to find numerous small ways to change for the better.

redesigns, no massive overhauls, just

The *Mean Genes* approach is not going to solve all of our problems in a few days.

Rather, we think of it as a pair of glasses, enabling us to see the world more clearly.

and nicer and have more friends, for example. So while the world we live in

Corrective lenses don't change the basic

viewed through our *Mean Genes* spectacles.

This more accurate view of the world

remains constant, it makes more sense

can help in concrete ways. Consider a recent conversation between Terry and one

graduate student who, along

of his friends. Karen is a 32-year-old

with her husband, is thinking of starting a family. Karen wanted to lose a little weight before getting pregnant. Terry cautioned against this approach. When a woman wants to get pregnant, the best advice is actually to *gain* a few pounds. Why?

the environment. In particular, our distant ancestors lived in a world where food was

Our bodies are built to be sensitive to

so scarce that raising a baby was difficult, so it was important to become pregnant when times were relatively good. The solution, built into women's bodies, is that fertility is modulated by weight changes. Even minor weight loss caused by short-term dieting or exercise dramatically decreases fertility and can easily delay

pregnancy for months.

woman wants to get pregnant, she should eat normally and avoid losing weight. This

is true for all women, regardless of their

weight. In our quest for happier lives, this

fertility tip is like one little improvement to

This translates to practical advice. If a

our racecar.

Another incremental bit of progress

comes from Jay's money-saving techniques.

Each month, Jay completely drains his

give him money, he will spend it. It's not that Jay is particularly weak. He's human

and shares our natural tendency to spend

checking account. If the bank machine will

too much. Why?

Think back, once again, to that long period of our evolutionary history we spent as hunter-gatherers. We evolved in a world where wealth existed primarily in the form of food and could not be stored for very long — any surplus would rapidly spoil. So our brains were designed in an era when the best way to

Jay's natural tendency is to deplete his surplus each month?

save was to consume. Is it any wonder that

spied a solution. He has instructed his employer to withhold a big chunk of his pay

Through the *Mean Genes* specs, Jay has

each month. The deducted funds are still
Jay's, but now he doesn't have easy access
to them and therefore doesn't feel he's

sitting on a surplus that will spoil. (The

funds are squirreled away and cannot be squandered without at least a phone call and a few days' wait.) By hiding part of his paycheck from the overcon-suming monster within, Jay lets his slightly inaccessible cash pile up for a rainy day.

Mean Genes seeks to foster a deep understanding of human existence, drawing from diverse disciplines and hundreds of sources. We garner insights,

cultures, including many that are worlds apart from our own. We also learn about

humans by studying animals ranging from

for example, by looking at a range of

our close genetic cousins, the chimpanzees, to mice and even fruit flies. But the foundation of the book is evolutionary

Ever since Darwin published *The Origin of* Species in 1859, people have debated the

biology.

role of biology in human affairs. Still, as the idea of evolution has itself evolved, one provocative facet has become clearer and clearer: the human brain has been shaped by evolution. From its tremendous size right down to the mechanisms by which individual neurons talk to each other, our brain — like our eyes,

arms, legs, and kidneys — is a product of natural selection. We know this is true.

Does it follow that our psyches, too, have been shaped by evolution?

We think so, but not everyone agrees.

Some mock the idea; others are troubled or

even angered by it. But volumes of research

have begun to quiet the critics. The more progress that is made in unraveling

the genes we carry, the more clear it becomes that our evolutionary inheritance

plays a central role in our lives.

Are genes the whole story? Obviously not. Other factors are important in

determining every human characteristic.

We know, for example, that physical or emotional abuse can scar children,

regardless of their genetic endowment.

Similarly, while we each inherit a particular

genetic risk for heart disease, lifestyle decisions affect our health dramatically.

In this book, we focus primarily on the genetic role. Many other books describe the cultural influences on the behaviors we address, and we encourage you to study the ways that genetic and environmental factors interact to shape our lives.

Being full-time academics, we read hundreds of obscure research articles each year. We attend conferences and eagerly line up for talks with such titles as "The

Phylogeny of New World Monkeys" and "DNA Damage-Induced Activation of p53 by

the Checkpoint Kinase Chk2." We debate with other scientists on the front lines, discussing breaking studies long before the information hits the *New York Times* and other media.

Most people don't spend their lives

similarly immersed in scientific detail. But everyone can benefit from the knowledge about human nature that has incrementally — and relentlessly — piled up during the last forty years, a period known as the second Darwinian revolution. This knowledge has changed our lives. And we think it can help

self-destruction. Think of us as your translators, bringing the crucial information from the frontiers right into your living

room.

you live a rich and passionate life without

We take our responsibilities as your translators of science very seriously. The chapters ahead are filled with many stories and data. Although they are free of scientific jargon, every fact has been

than a thousand citations, verifying every aspect of the book. We haven't included them here — they would fill more pages than the text itself— but if you are curious or just want to learn more, a set of notes is available

at www.meangenes.org.

assiduously researched. There are more

Mean Genes is the first book that

converts the modern Darwinian revolution into
practical steps for better living. Some of our
advice appears to be common sense. Often,
though, viewing life through our Mean

and seemingly bizarre steps. Consider:

Genes glasses means taking unexpected

bagels as he heads to a gourmet meal at a friend's house? And what does he gain by

brownie delivered with his lunch on every

quickly smearing mayonnaise on the

flight from Los Angeles to Boston?

Why does Jay fill up on day-old, dry

Terry. It doesn't seem to help when Terry explains that the package contains the short cable that connects his computer to

the Internet.

The FedEx driver invariably rubs his

head in confusion when Terry hands him a

package for overnight delivery . . . back to

gifts, Jay claims that birthdays and holidays are absolutely the worst time to shower our

Despite his love of giving and receiving

friends and Lisa, his wife. Why?

We no longer try to shield our friends

loved ones with gifts. Amazingly, he still has

from our unorthodox code of behavior. We regularly urge them, for instance, to re-

spect the Big Four. That is, to be

unusually kind and attentive to their spouses for four days every month. Not just any four days, but a specific set of days

more important than payday. Can you imagine which days they are? (Peek ahead to the discussion in "Romance and

All of these behaviors come from seeing the world through the *Mean Genes* lenses that

allow us to predict when we will be weak

Reproduction" if you must.)

and why we are vulnerable. The twig of human nature is indeed bent from the start. It must be seduced, not bullied, into

defects of personality, nor can they be won in the sense that the foe is vanquished. To

take control of our lives, we need perpetual

behaving. Battles for self-control are not

vigilance and an understanding of the enemy within.

We invite you to read on and construct

your own set of *Mean Genes* spectacles. Every person will have a slightly different prescription, but the overall aim is the same — to see our world more accurately so that we can control our instincts before they control us. In this

way, we can lead more satisfying lives. Lives with integrity.

THIN WALLETS AND FAT BODIES

Debt Laughing all the way to the

Darwinian bank

saving money? Take the following quiz: First, how much money would you like to save each month? Write down your answer as a percentage of your income. Second, how much money are you saving? Look at the last few months of your actual savings behavior, not

Why do we have such a hard time

your credit card debt. Write down your actual savings as a percentage of income.

Now compare the two figures. The

your dreams about next year after you pay off

unpleasant reality is that most of us save far less than we want to.

Average Americans want to save 10% of their income and claim to save about 3%.

If only that were true. We set a record low

in February 2000, with a 0.8% savings rate.

after taxes and you saved like an average

In other words, if you took home \$2,000

American, you spent every cent except a measly sixteen bucks.

The result is that Americans have little or no cash to spare. Enticed to spend by urgings everywhere we turn — from the Internet to billboards to crafty product placements on TV and in

movies — we are a nation of spenders, rushing to deposit paychecks into minuscule

written.

To understand our spending behavior,

let's visit some of the world's most

accomplished savers by taking a trip to

bank accounts to cover the checks we have

northern Europe. There we find forests
where autumn arrives much as it does
throughout the temperate parts of the
world. Leaves change their color,
temperatures plummet, and winds pick up.

forest and you'll see a feverish
acknowledgment of the oncoming winter.
Red squirrels shift into overdrive each
September, forsaking their summer life of

Look down as you walk through the

leisure. In the course of two months, each squirrel will hide more than three thousand acorns, pinecones, and beechnuts throughout the several acres of their home range. It's hard being a squirrel.

off. With little food to be found on the bare trees, some squirrels are still living large.

Each day they methodically move from one storage spot to the next as they ultimately

recover more than 80% of their stashed

snacks, enough to keep them alive until

Come winter, however, diligence pays

spring.

Hoarding for the future isn't restricted to rodents with big cheeks. It's a common

species also store food in the fall.

Nutcrackers, for example, bury seeds from pine trees and, like squirrels, show remarkable memory in finding their

response throughout the animal kingdom

when lean times are ahead. Many bird

If there were a Savings Hall of Fame, it would contain dozens of animal species but

savings.

certainly not the average American. How can

humans (at least most Americans) be

so much worse at preparing for lean times than squirrels, birds, and an ark full of other

dim-witted creatures?

As described in the fable of the grasshopper and the ant, there are two

strategies for dealing with abundance. The

the ant works relentlessly to store food.

When winter comes, the ant survives and

the grasshopper dies.

grasshopper plays all summer long while

Similarly, squirrels that work hard to store nuts survive the winter to have babies

in the spring. When those babies grow up, they have the genes of their parents, genes that tell them to start burying nuts when fall comes. Animals are accomplished

savers because natural selection favors the appropriately thrifty. Shouldn't the same forces have produced frugal humans? To understand the answer, we can learn by observing the behavior of people who live as foragers, as our ancestors did until recently.

The !Kung San live in the deserts of southern Africa. Until the 1960s they lived off this harsh land as nomads, gathering

plants and hunting animals much as their ancestors had for ten thousand years or more. Because some San were still hunting and gathering into the 1960s, we have detailed records of their behavior in circumstances similar to those of our ancestors.

The !Kung San perpetually faced uncertain supplies of water and food.

Building up reserves for the future would

certainly help buffer those risks. Did the !Kung San save? Absolutely. The best opportunity for this saving came in times of windfall, usually after the killing of a large animal like a giraffe. With hundreds of pounds of edible giraffe meat, a hunter with a good savings system could live for months.

But !Kung San hunters had no meat lockers or freezers. Even if they preserved

days. Imagine your own "popularity" if you won the lottery, and you've got a pretty good picture of a !Kung San hunter with a dead giraffe outside his hut.

The !Kung San's behavior provides the

the extra meat, neighbors would descend

and devour even the largest kill in a few

strong evolutionary pressure to prepare for

clue to resolving the paradox between

Americans' chronic undersaving and the

or banks, preparing for hard times means eating enough food to store some fat on your body.

lean times. In a world without refrigerators

Although many animals, including squirrels and birds, do store food in the environment, most animals save by storing

fat. Consider the interesting species called the elephant seal. A fully grown male of this species, at thirteen feet long and over two loaded Cadillac. Females weigh in at a more demure half ton.

tons, is frighteningly similar in size to a fully

Each year, as the mating season approaches, elephant seals bulk up, with

extra pounds of body fat. Then, in an act of stunning single-mindedness that makes

spring break in Miami look like Bible camp,

males loading on as much as two thousand

the seals head for shore, and for three

entire months they forgo all food, looking instead for love.

How do they survive? They draw from their substantial savings account. Before the ordeal winds down, they will lose more than a third of their weight. A male may

shed more than a ton of blubber (and

father up to a hundred babies).

mating season by storing extra energy on their body as fat. Humans, unfortunately,

So elephant seals save up for the

also save in this way. If you are a man, look down at your waist and grab the

flesh that covers your stomach. If you

are a woman, look at your thighs and buttocks. What do you see?

From one perspective you see hated fat, but from an evolutionary perspective you are looking at a savings account with a

substantial (and possibly growing) balance.

Evolution has produced a world of accomplished savers; humans, like most animals, simply save in the currency of body fat.

you? In 1981 Bobby Sands, a member of

How good an evolutionary saver are

the Irish Republican Army, went on a hunger strike to protest British policy. He was not a fat man to begin with, yet it still took him sixty-six days to starve himself to death. Many of us would survive, albeit unpleasantly, for more than two months without a single morsel of food. That's a pretty impressive savings account! Perhaps we deserve a place in that Savings Hall of Fame after all.

just won a prehistoric lottery. He or she has, for example, just killed a wild pig or found a

Consider an ancestral human who had

tree bursting with juicy mongongo nuts (not too different from macadamia nuts).

With today's markets and financial

instruments, this winner could sell the surplus and put the resulting cash in a bank.

For our ancestors, however, saving through markets and money was not an

option. Successful people would ram as much as possible into their own stomachs and those of their genetic relatives. They might also share with non-relatives who would repay them on their good days. In such an environment, the best way to save is, paradoxically, to consume. Rather than leave some precious energy lying around to

mold or be stolen, put it in your stomach

and have your body convert the food into an energy savings account.

When you're a mammal, food is the coin of the realm. Genetic mechanisms prod squirrels to mind their nuts and elephant seals to pad their flanks. As we struggle to save money, our mammalian heritage lurks in the

background. We know we ought to put some money in the bank, but consuming

just feels so good.

may be of our hardy forebears and their genetic legacy, most of us would be

Don't eat the nest egg. Proud as we

happier if we could act less like victorious cavewomen and more like Scrooge. To

prosper in the industrialized world with its

refrigerators and government-insured bank accounts, we need to trick our ancient genes.

Because we evolved to consume
everything in sight, many of the most
successful savings techniques involve
hiding money from ourselves. By making

ourselves feel poor, we can induce our

overconsuming instincts into living more frugally. One well-known technique is carrying less cash. By doing this we fool our genes, at least a bit, into thinking there is less surplus to be consumed.

In the movie *The Border,* Jack Nicholson comes home to find his house filled with expensive new furniture. When he asks how

have to worry 'bout payin' ... I opened up a charge account!" A danger of using credit is

much it cost, his wife replies, "We don't

that we do not hand over anything that

feels valuable (such as cold, hard cash), so charging doesn't feel like spending money.

In the quest for restraint, a credit card is

than paying with cash, and paying with cash worse than not spending.

As a variation on this ruse, many

worse than a debit card, a debit card worse

people find multiple bank accounts useful.

One account is untouchable and can
accumulate savings, while the more
commonly used account, usually the
checking account, gets fixed transfers each

month. The savings account should be as

another state with no associated ATM or debit card. Or at least in a bank with ATMs located only in distant locations.

Easy access is our enemy. Ironic as it is,

hidden as possible. For example, it can be in

the best bank for our savings may be the one that makes withdrawals as difficult as possible. We can, for example, choose an account that pays a high interest rate but has outrageous fees for every transaction.

Most of us need to learn, and this learning frequently involves some painful mistakes.

We (Terry and Jay) have been there, so we

know.

instincts for appropriate financial behavior.

People don't come into the world with

the joy of credit cards. Freed from the tiresome need to have actual cash for purchases, he enjoyed an extended spending spree. But he soon learned that credit-fueled

Early in his financial life, Jay discovered

dents in the balances. Each purchase felt like a one-time event — a necessity — but he guickly dug himself into a deep financial hole. (Fortunately, further digging was prevented by the financial companies canceling all of his

feasts end with maxed-out accounts and monster monthly payments that barely make

Jay's first solution was to switch to a

credit lines.)

card that required him to pay the entire balance each month. This led to some

tough months, including last-minute

scrambling to raise cash by sell-

charging down to a manageable amount.

ing CDs and books. It also brought his

just enough to stay out of debtor's prison,

Jay never had a cent left over for the

savings account that might someday

Still, although he always scraped together

become a down payment on the beach
house he dreamed about.

That's when Jay's credit card company

stepped in: it offered a new plan that would

bill an extra amount to your credit card each month. This seems like the wrong kind of progress. How did having even more to pay help Jay save money? The trick was that the extra amount billed was invested in a mutual fund. This made the monthly adventure of paying off the card even more harrowing, but it worked. He always figured out a way (and little by little it came from

accumulated \$250 every month in savings.

charging less), and by doing so he

One of the most effective savings mechanisms for us is to hide money. Who are we hiding it from? From ourselves or, more precisely, from that more impulsive part of ourselves. Jay was able to begin saving only by setting up a separate account that he never saw and that was extremely difficult to access.

hiding some money from yourself in the form of social security. Although it is not technically a savings plan, social security helps us save for retirement. Essentially, the more we earn, the more the government will pay us each year when we are retired. For all of its well-documented flaws, social

security has worked to ease poverty among

older Americans. When the program was

If you have a job, you are already

segment of the American population. Now they are the richest.

Another proven way to save, successful

enacted, people over 65 were the poorest

precisely because it doesn't feel like saving, is to buy property. Although the average sixty-year-old American has only \$8,300 in financial assets, retirees have over \$35,000

in the form of home equity. Failing to keep

up the mortgage payments can result in

turn out to be surprisingly successful at scraping together enough to avoid default.

In the 1980s, Brooke Shields made a

losing the property, so even terrible savers

series of racy advertisements for Calvin Klein jeans. In one she says, "When I get money, I buy Calvin Klein. If I have anything left over, I pay the rent." Successful savings

techniques share a bit of this seemingly

warped set of priorities.

money, I lock some up as savings. With the money that's left over, I purchase food and shelter." People save when the money

Effective savers can say, "When I get

As long as the amount of savings is fixed and required in the form of a mortgage payment or payroll deduction, most people

find a way to make ends meet. If savings

are simply whatever money is left over after

comes out of income before other needs.

buying, the result is usually no savings at all.

Setting up mechanisms for automatic savings can be incredibly painful, but nearly

their new income. Mortgages and secret

everyone gets over the pain and adjusts to

mutual funds are just peachy for rich folks, but what about those of us who are

hanging by a financial thread?

The trick is to pick the right time to increase the amount of hidden money. For

example, when we get a raise, we can increase the contribution to our retirement account so that our take-home

pay remains constant. We can whine all we want, but we know it's possible to live on the old salary because we actually did.

With growing government surpluses, it's also likely that we'll get a tax cut in the next few years. If so, that will be another excellent time to ratchet up the savings.

Similarly, any windfalls such as tax rebates and gifts are best invested immediately.

The book *The Millionaire Next*Door describes the behavior of average folks who became wealthy. The surprising conclusion is that most people get rich

earn more than the average. Millionaires, for instance, hold off an extra year or two before trading in their decidedly non-exotic

because they spend less, not because they

cars and are more likely to sport a Timex on their wrist than a Rolex.

Saving more. Why do we need so much help saving money while other behaviors come so easily? The answer is that we need

little help learning behaviors that have

reproduction for thousands of generations.

We instinctively solve ancient problems,

and it's only when our instincts fail us that

been critical to human survival and

we've got to buckle down and learn. For a dramatic example, consider how babies react to dangerous objects.

Place a loaded pistol in a playpen and

the babies will play with it just like any

other toy, giggle, and perhaps even place

the gun in their mouth. In contrast, put a plastic snake into the playpen; the babies will cower in fear. Show a person of any age

a snake — or even a picture of one — and you will elicit a dramatic re-

sponse, including sweaty skin and an increased heart rate. It doesn't matter

Japan, Australia, or Argentina, the response

whether the person is in America, Europe,

which has no native snakes.

is the same. This is true even in Ireland,

Why do we have an instinctive fear of snakes and not of guns? In 1998, guns

killed more than thirty thousand Americans;

snakes killed fewer than two dozen people.

In the United States, you are literally eight

In the United States, you are literally eight times as likely to be struck by lightning as killed by a snake. Nevertheless, snakes

fear responses.

We ought to be very afraid of guns and

produce one of the strongest instinctual

relatively unconcerned by snakes, but we are built in just the opposite way. A bit of reflection resolves this puzzle. The genes that cause instinctual fear, like all genes, have been handed down to us from our

deaths when we lived as hunters and

ancestors. Snakes caused many human

single person until very recently.

Accordingly, we loathe our ancient enemy,

gatherers. In contrast, guns didn't kill a

the snake, and have no instinctual response to novel threats regardless of how deadly.

Other primates are also killed by snakes and have the same genetic hatred. Even adult chimpanzees and monkeys that have spent their whole lives in zoos and have

never seen a snake share our instinctual

and agitated immediately on seeing their first snake.

In contrast to our long evolutionary

herpetological fear. They become terrified

history with snakes and other animals, try
to imagine the following conversation
between your great-great-great-...
great-grandparents as they sat around the
campfire ten thousand years ago:

putting 25% of our savings into
floating-rate Japanese bonds with an
option to swap into Eurodollars. What do
you think?"

Husband: "Honey, I'm thinking of

Wife: "That's crazy. Over many thousands of generations, we've all learned that stocks are better investments because of their higher long-term return and more

favorable tax treatment. All humans know

Fidelity has a new fund for investing in companies that can make fire."

Ridiculous. Our ancestors knew

to invest in technology firms. I hear that

nothing of financial instruments.

instincts to make arcane financial decisions than we are to fear guns. Our instincts for

Accordingly, we are no more likely to have

saving for the future simply aren't wired for modern financial choices. Maybe in a

fiscal year.

thousand generations but certainly not this

confused by many modern financial choices.

Our ancestors would obviously be

They'd even be ignorant of money, another modern invention. Let's consider how

recently humans developed money.

The first ways to borrow and save used food as currency. In Lapland, all the way

winter with payments of cheese. Now,
using cheese to pay the bills is not much of
an improvement over Mother Nature.
Elephant seals save via fat on their brisket
while these Laplanders used fat-filled

through the nineteenth century, people

settled debts and secured housing for the

More recently, we have learned to amass more easily exchanged currencies.

cheese in their baskets.

the proto-currency known as wampum, purple and white beads made

North American natives and settlers used

were long preferred in Central America.

Although they wouldn't last forever, they

from shells. Moving south, cocoa beans

were easy to count, pleasant to handle, and you could always eat them in a pinch. Try doing that with a quarter. did we move beyond all of these essentially animal-like methods of storing value?

And speaking of quarters, when exactly

When did we finally envision our modern concept of money?

The first minted coins appeared in the kingdom of Lydia, a center of international trade around modern Turkey and Greece, at

the beginning of the seventh century b.c.

The idea didn't exactly catch on like wildfire,

though. As a Japanese proverb of the time states: "Wise rulers in all ages have valued cereals and despised money. No matter

one cannot live for a single day on these.

Rice is the one thing needful for life."

how much gold and silver one may possess,

The difficulties of money are compounded at the intersection of cultures.

Imagine the plight of the French singer

Mademoiselle Zelie. In the course of a

the Society Islands and received in payment
her standard one-third of the box office.

Much to her chagrin, however, this

amounted to three pigs, twenty-three

Pacific Ocean tour she played a concert in

turkeys, forty-four chickens, five thousand coconuts, and considerable quantities of bananas, lemons, and oranges — literally a third of what the box office collected. This would have been worth a considerable sum in Paris, but without an ark to get it home, it was virtually useless.

has been possible to stockpile wealth in the form of coins, people have resisted. Old

habits die hard, especially when they're

For the two and a half millennia that it

in our genes. The chief drawback to money, of course, is that coins have value only to the extent that you can trust other people.

Unlike the cocoa beans or rice, coins have no intrinsic value. As a consequence, right

on up to the last century, we've got

landlords that "the cheese is in the mail."

Laplanders assuring their skeptical

Our brains are built by genes that excelled in a world without money. When it

comes to padding our bodies with a bit of fat, we have powerful instincts. Similar instincts for minding our cash haven't had

time to evolve.

If we take our snake experiment to the highlands of New Guinea, we have a hard time finding the same fear of snakes.

Showing snakes or pictures of snakes

frighten them. This seems a bit odd. Why
the difference from nearly every other
society tested? In New Guinea, unlike New

York City, snakes abound and still kill many

people. There is even one recorded case of

amuses adult New Gui-neans. It doesn't

consuming a fourteen-year-old boy on a nearby Indonesian island.

If anyone should be terrified of snakes

a massive python killing and completely

it would seem to be the New Guineans, who are still killed by them. Instead they laugh at our naive, generalized fear. Experience and learning are the explanation. From the time New Guineans are small children, they encounter snakes — only a third of which are poisonous — with great regularity. In the

process, they learn to identify the nasty and

non-poisonous ones for eating.

the harmless snakes, often capturing the

learned to modify our innate fear of snakes, capitalizing our big brains' ability to alter

The New Guinean naturalists have

the program. Similarly, while babies show no innate fear of guns, people guickly

learn the appropriate response. From these victories in modifying our instincts, we can

gain inspiration for transforming our relationship with money.

entrenched behaviors? Absolutely. The truth is, they're not even that firmly

Can people really change such firmly

debt forever. In fact, the number of people going bankrupt in the United States has

entrenched. It only feels as if we've been in

changed by 300% since 1980. Although the change has been in the wrong direction, it

American savings behavior, which has moved steadily toward more spending in

the last two decades.

shows we can change. The same applies to

Further evidence of our ability to save comes from other cultures with more frugal

ways. Ironically, as hard as it is for

Americans to boost their savings rate, the

Japanese economy has stagnated from

exactly the opposite problem: too little

malleable enough to allow good savings behavior. Our real problem is that we are too flexible in our savings behavior.

consumer spending. So while our instincts

prime us to consume too much, lapanese

frugality proves that those instincts are

Companies know this weakness and

money the old-fashioned way, they charge

Buy me on credit! Financial firms make

attempt to manipulate us for their profit.

high interest rates when they lend us money and pay low interest rates when we park our savings with them. In search of profits, the firms prey on our poorly honed

financial instincts and exploit some of the quirks in our genetic

legacy. Knowing their tricks can help us navigate the modern financial jungle.

virtually every product that is advertised on TV. He sees someone with a shapely

Take Homer Simpson. He orders

physique and immediately orders the twelve-cassette package that will teach him to lose weight. The cassettes are shipped immediately, while the money will not be due for ninety days or more. Homer's impulsive buying is funny because it is only slightly more impulsive than our own.

It's as though our brain can't quite

grasp that money doesn't lose its value over time. As a matter of fact, that's exactly the problem; our brains were built for a world in which the currency of the day *did* lose value

over time. Put simply: food rots. In that world, the savvy investor ought to devalue future payments severely. Unfortunately, our brain plays by yesterday's rules, so we are an easy mark.

Sure enough, companies do exploit our built-in impatience and often succeed best when appealing to our desire to have it all

now. The ability to take home a fabulous

washer-dryer set today, with no payments

for sixty days, tickles the fancy of that little hunter-gatherer deep inside us. Never mind that in the end we will pay far more than we think is fair. At the time of

purchase, our outdated instincts guide us in

costs to be paid in the future.

the proper balancing of value today against

good intentions. We often waste money when we expect to change for the better

The road to Hell, it is said, is paved with

but instead continue our impulsive behavior. In an investigation of good intentions, researchers studied people's willingness to watch serious movies. In one group, subjects were asked to choose a movie to watch for that night. In the other group, subjects were asked to

choose movies that they would watch on

each of the next three nights. For this group, the movies would be viewed over the three days, but the choices were all made on the first day.

An interesting pattern emerged. When choosing for tonight, people in both

groups selected lighthearted romances, comedies, and action films. When choosing for future evenings — tomorrow or the next night — people selected more serious films, such as *Schindler's List*, which portrays Nazi

concentration camps, as well as films in

foreign languages.

possible.

On day one people said, "I'll watch something fun tonight, but tomorrow I'll watch a film I ought to see." When tomorrow came, however, they again wanted to have fun and would have switched to *Groundhog Day* if

Companies know that we are overly optimistic about our future behavior and use this knowledge to make money. For example, they offer us credit cards with a low introductory interest rate. The catch is that the interest rate will increase substantially after six months. There's nothing illegal about this. The banks don't even have to hide these terms in the fine

print (though they always seem to). They

could put them in neon lights on a

billboard: you'll pay super-high interest

rates, but not for

SIX MONTHS.

Because of our relentless optimism that

the future will be different — and better —

than the past, we flock to these sorts of deals. (If aliens ever conquer Earth and keep humans as pets, they'll probably view this irrepressible optimism as our most endearing feature.)

When we sign up for such plans, we look forward to a new and improved us and expect to take the firms for a ride. We don't really care if they're going to charge us exorbitant interest rates in six months because we plan to be debt-free (and thinner) soon. When the six months end,

debts. One result is that the average

American torches a fifth of his or her

though, we are usually still saddled with our

mostly just interest.

income on credit card payments that are

can we prosper among the loan sharks and a sea of tempting offers designed to

Taking control of our finances. How

can't rely on our instincts. Instead, we need to continuously hone our financial training.

stimulate and exploit our desires? Well, we

We have to turn the tables on banks and businesses by doing to them exactly what

money by charging high interest rates on the money we borrow and paying low interest rates on the money we save.

they do to us. Remember, they make

Step 1 in turning the tables is to think
of everything in the same currency, namely
after-tax interest rates. Regardless of
whether something is called a loan
application fee, an interest charge, or a

balloon payment, all that matters is the

interest rate. A variety of excellent books and software programs exist to help us convert costs of all types into an effective interest rate. With a concerted effort, anyone can do the required calculations. As obvious as this may seem, many of us don't even know what interest rates we are

currently being charged.

house. Whatever debt we have should be at the lowest possible interest rate — and

Step 2 is organizing your financial

tax deductible if possible. Similarly,
whatever savings we can accumulate
should produce the highest possible

For example, if we owe \$3,000 on our credit cards and have \$2,000 in a savings account, we are giving money away.

after-tax return.

Savings accounts pay paltry interest rates, in the 2%-3% range at best, while credit cards charge a rate closer to 20%. We can act like Wall Street bankers by using some of the savings to decrease the credit balance. Money that was earning us 2% instead will now save us the 20% we are being charged.

At one level this need to organize and rationalize our finances is obvious, but at a

instinctual response to tempting offers.

This is all the more difficult because firms are always designing, consciously or otherwise, programs to fool our intuitive

concepts of value.

deeper level it requires us to suspend our

Step 3 is to be realistic about our own behavior. This may be the hardest thing of all when it comes to managing money. Even though we think we'll do better tomorrow, the best predictor of our ability to rein in future

Similarly, we shouldn't accept financial offers that will save us money only if we become completely different people.

desires is our past behavior. We shouldn't

expect to sit through three hours of an obscure

documentary when Austin Powers is on cable.

Finally, take advantage of firms.

Although each company is trying to gouge

us as much as possible, they are competing

for our business and may be forced to offer great deals. For example, a phone company

may have an introductory low-price deal or some sort of signing bonus. It's betting that we'll stick with it when the offer ends. However, we can take the freebie and move on to another

offer before the deal gets worse for us.

Companies hate consumers who jump from freebie to freebie, but it's legal and profitable.

solutions to many problems. If we stumble physically, our bodies' systems react

Evolution has produced elegant

the fall. There are no instinctual protections in the financial area. With every major

instantly to catch us or minimize the pain of

response and use our learned financial tools to make the best choices.

Fat Please don't feed the humans

decision, we must suppress our gut

Fat Please don't feed the humans

Furry love handles. Chantek is a smart, lovable orangutan who lives at the Atlanta

zoo. Trained in sign language, he has a vocabulary of more than 150 words and is

considered a decent artist. Now in his

twenties, he was born at the Yerkes Primate

Center in Atlanta and then spent nine years

Growing up in this human setting, Chantek

became *really fat*, weighing in at five

being raised as a human — complete with

hundred pounds, roughly three times his ideal size. Afraid that the massive bulk would collapse his lungs, scientists placed him on a strict diet. Formerly five hundred pounds of fun, he became four hundred pounds of anger. During the diet, his

favorite sign language symbol became

the crayons given for his artistic use.

"candy." He refused to draw and instead ate

While on his diet, Chantek even pulled off an escape. He threatened and could

have easily killed a janitor, but chose instead to attack a 55-gallon drum of food.

He was eventually found sit-

ting next to the up-ended food barrel, using all four limbs to stuff monkey chow into his mouth.

Chantek is unique, not only for his human contact and his linguistic and

see, there are no fat orangutans outside zoos and research centers. Wild orangutans,

despite sharing Chantek's genetic zest for a

artistic abilities but also for his weight. You

fine meal, maintain a svelte 160 pounds or so because food is relatively scarce and difficult to obtain in the jungles of Borneo. staying skinny and healthy. As we'll see,
easy living with plentiful food is the source
of weight control problems for humans and

captive orangutans alike. Our appetites

Like Chantek, many of us have trouble

were built in a world where plentiful food
was inconceivable.

Really poor people are still baffled by

On a trip to the East African country of

the idea that overeating can be a problem.

Uganda, Terry attempted to explain bulimia to a group of women. He started by saying that bulimic people purposely vomit after eating. "What is wrong with the food?" the Ugandan women asked. Nothing, said Terry. Bulimics just want to get rid of it. The

women stared blankly at him, clearly having trouble processing this information.

After several more attempts to

comprehend the disorder, the Ugandan

witnessed either a translation error or a

Westerner's odd joke. How do you convey

women left, convinced they had just

the problem of too much food to people who are chronically hungry?

In many poor countries, fat is still a sign of wealth and the word "prosperous" is used to describe heavy people. Nigerian brides

eat and relax in "fat rooms" to put on weight before their weddings. Plumper wives are more pleasing to their husbands, and their energy reserves are useful for pregnancy.

Outside the industrialized countries, famine and malnutrition are still common, with half of the developing nations experiencing food shortages in a typical year. Under these conditions, it pays to

season that often lurks ahead. Indeed, our nearly insatiable appetite was once a survival feature of human biology. A

build up some reserve against the hunger

profound love of food helps people to pack on a few extra pounds and thereby survive periods when food is scarce.

Those thrifty genes still drive our behavior. Holdovers from the uncertain times of our ancestors, they function as

In our zoo-like environment we have continual access to food, and a suburban famine seems to occur when dinner is

delayed for an hour or two.

though our world has not changed. It has.

hunting animals and gathering plants. To understand how different our world is, consider the life of people who forage for survival even today To acquire food, they expend hundreds of calories each day walking and then spend hours preparing meals. Just staying alive

Our ancestors lived off the land by

For those of us in industrialized societies, a few taps on the accelerator take

us to supermarkets brimming with food

requires lots of energy — energy that can be

found only in food.

only steps from the kitchen, and the supermarket has a parking lot that brings us to within fifty feet of the food. If driving

ready to be cooked or eaten. The garage is

Our lives are filled with machines — remote controls, phones, refrigerators, electric can openers. The computers and cars — all

to a market is too taxing, we can telephone

for pizza or Chinese food.

can openers, TVs, computers, and cars — all of which help us get our fill of calories, social contact, and entertainment with minimal effort.

How many steps did you actually walk today?

Sitting on our couches, sitting in our cars, sitting at our desks, we are not

For most of us, the answer is "very few."

experiencing any sort of energy crisis. Most of us already have too much stored energy

saddlebags, beer bellies, and other unwanted lumps of flesh.

on our bodies in the form of love handles.

ancestors going in a tough, energetically demanding world. Imagine a time when the individuals of a population vary in their appetites. One gluttonous type thinks of

food day and night. Another type becomes

satiated once their daily needs are met. Of

Powerful, instinctual hunger kept our

energy stored in their thighs and buttocks when food is scarce? Who weathers the

these types, who has the biggest surplus of

reproducing? Who is most likely to be your ancestor? Fatties, fatties, and fatties again.

famine with calories left over for

This hunger was a survival-enhancing feature in our genetic programming. Now it

consequence of our perpetual hunger is

is a bug in that programming. The

not news: one of every four Americans is obese. In terms of size, plumpness gets labeled obesity when our body mass index (weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) hits 30. (Have they purposely defined it in a way that will be

meaningless to most Americans?) This

5T0" and 180 pounds if you are 5'5".

translates to about 209 pounds if you are

age individually. Most of us would reduce our risk of heart disease, stroke, and diabetes if we lost even as little as ten

pounds. We know this. That's why so many

of us are trying to lose weight — and the rest

Predictably, we keep gaining weight,

both as we get richer as a society and as we

are eating scared.

The caged Chantek is fat because his genes are adapted for the wild, where food

is scarce and life hard. Our human ancestors lived in conditions more similar to the Indonesian jungles where wild orangutans roam than to modern industrialized circumstances. Presumably obesity was as rare for ancestral humans as it is for wild primates today. Just as dogs and cats often get chubby around the house, zoos are populated with animals that have weight problems. We would be

Hunger pains. Whatever our genetic endowment, any one of us will lose weight

if we expend more calories than we

better off if we wore signs that read, please

don't feed the humans.

consume. The equation holds, whether the calories are consumed in hamburgers or in fresh vegetables. Similarly, it doesn't matter whether energy is expended in the weight room or the bedroom. We can't get off the

genes are making us fat.

hook by simply pointing out that our thrifty

"weight change = calories in minus calories

An important dieting concept is

challenge lies in making lasting behavioral changes that reduce input and increase

output. Almost anyone can torture themself

out," but this is only part of the story. The

with food deprivation for a while.

Short-term starvation is even the fastest

way to take off a few pounds before that dreaded

high school reunion. Permanent weight loss, however, is much more elusive.

Living in a perpetual state of hunger,

though, may be the worst plan for

permanent weight loss. At least eight human guinea pigs can attest to this. In the

Biosphere 2 project, eight people entered a

plants and animals. This Biodome project was designed to explore sustainable living with minimal impact on the environment, but it may have ended up telling us more about human nature.

self-contained 3.2-acre world filled with

be difficult to live in the Biodome's fishbowl world, the greatest hardship they endured came as a surprise. One of the key Biodome

Although the scientists knew it would

findings? Hungry people are grumpy. The Biodomers lost weight because they had very little food. This shortage was partly a planned experiment on the effects of a low-calorie diet and partly caused by crop failures. As predicted, the weight loss caused health improvements, including a

On their sparse diets, the Biodomers also argued constantly, got into ugly food

reduced risk of heart attacks.

dubbed "the hunger dome," one of the eight said, "If we ever all start talking to each other, that would be a major accomplishment." When the participants resumed their normal lives, they all returned to their previous weights.

You don't need to spend \$100 million

on a Biodome to learn this lesson. Common

spats, and frequently squabbled over

dinner portions. After leaving what they

pain associated with hunger. In one study, people were kept hungry for six months.

Over time, increasing hours were filled

by fatty food fantasies. Recipes even

sense and scientific experiments reveal the

displaced sex as the favorite topic of discussion.

So we can't simply stay hungry. But are

there other ways to change our eating

habits to achieve permanent weight loss? To find out, researchers put a group of monkeys on a very low calorie diet. The monkeys shed pounds initially, then stabilized at a low weight for a full two years. Although their simian minds may have been filled with monkey chow fantasies, their behavior seemed normal to human observers.

were given unlimited access to food. Did they stay skinny? Absolutely not. After spending close to 10% of their lives at a constant, low weight, they quickly returned

After two years these lean monkeys

A variety of human and animal studies point to the idea of a "set point" for weight.

to their original weights.

the set point, the body sets out in search of

Just like a thermostat, when weight is below

point, the mind and body are free to pursue other goals.

calories, and when weight is above the set

One method is by changing the metabolic rate. Dieters often complain that their

If the set point is true, how does it work?

metabolism slows down and they gain

weight "just by looking at a piece of pie." Recent experiments validate this folk

wisdom.

In one study, people were put on diets where they gained or lost 10% of their body weight. After several months at this new weight, doctors measured their metabolic rates. People who had lost weight did indeed have lower metabolic rates. In

ficient in maintaining each of those pounds. Conversely, the metabolic rates

addition to having fewer pounds, their

bodies had become more ef-

rose in those participants who gained weight.

the body combats dieting by releasing chemicals to induce eating. In particular, a

In addition to changing metabolism,

causes carbohydrate cravings to go
through the roof. NPY production increases
with weight loss, and it gets pumped out at

spectacularly high levels when people

component known as neuropeptide Y (NPY)

words, starvation-like behavior sends an alarm throughout the body saying, "We're in trouble. Eat anything and everything in sight."

severely restrict their caloric intake. In other

These metabolic response systems
frustrate our dieting efforts, but they are
crucial to people without secure food
sources. Along the way to begetting us, our

ancestors lived through famines and

repeated bouts of hunger. They sought food aggressively, and they sought it more aggressively in times of scarcity. They stored as much of that food energy as possible to prepare for lean times, and without refrigerators, the best way to store it was in body fat. Simultaneously, they evolved mechanisms to cut back metabolism during the hungry times.

it may also be bad for our health. As we saw,

Severe dieting may not just be fruitless,

dieting slashes the metabolic rate, damaging crucial functions. Just as a family

facing a financial crunch may defer important work — such as fixing the car's brakes — a starving body slows down a variety of systems or shuts them off completely. Hungry lab animals almost completely lose their sex drive and may be less adept at fighting infection.

For all we have learned, important questions remain. Can the set point be

the weight loss battle?

changed or will our mean genes always win

Losing weight. Pharmaceutical companies have been looking for a magic

pill, and along the way, they've made one almost absurd discovery. In clinical studies, researchers testing new diet drugs always have a placebo group. Some patients get the test drug, others get pills without any

active ingredients, placebos. Because the

patients are getting the drug candidate.

The goal is to separate the effects of the new drug from the testing process.

placebos look exactly like the real pills, no

one, not even the doctors, knows which

As expected, some of the new drugs work and others fail, but here's the strangest finding: people in the placebo groups always lose weight. In a study on

the effectiveness of the new diet pill Xenical,

for instance, more than 25% in the placebo group lost at least ten pounds. How can I

something magical about it? Of course not.

But here's the trick: while those who take the

score some of that placebo? Is there

placebo aren't using drugs, they *are* keeping track of their weight and are more aware of what they eat than usual.

So perhaps it's just an awareness of

eating and the keeping of records that

behind the success of some crazy,
unscientific diets that advocate, for
example, eating only food of a certain color
(but "as much as you want") on a certain
day. Careful monitoring is a crucial

helps those in the placebo group lose

weight. This may also be the "secret"

A similar result comes from another line of research. While recognizing that

component of gaining control.

most dieters fail to keep weight off, one study interviewed people who had succeeded in long-term weight loss. One behavior that these success stories had in common was monitoring eating habits without severe dieting. These winners don't

starve themselves, but like the placebo

group in drug studies, they are continually

vigilant about what goes in their mouths.

Beyond keeping track, another simple precaution can help. Consider this: On the way to a summer barbecue, Jay forced himself to eat three plain bagels. He knew there would be all sorts of tasty but super high-calorie foods at the dinner. He especially feared the cheeseburgers and nachos he knew he'd want. By eating the bagels as a sort of preemptive strike, he decreased his appetite with a minimum of when tempted by dangerous delicacies.

fat calories and had much more willpower

Successful weight loss requires

the *number* of calories we eat, our genetic systems fight us every step of the way. We can, however, much more easily win the battle over the *type* of calories we consume. By eating

boring bagels, Jay followed his plan to eat

only low-fat foods. This ability to choose

planning what sorts of food you want to eat

and following that plan. When we try to reduce

the type of calories we consume may seem

minor, but it can be central to controlling just how many calories we actually eat.

So we've got at least two steps — albeit low-tech steps — that can work for us. The

first is to decide on what sorts of foods to eat. Whether we want a low-fat.

high-carbohydrate diet or the Atkins

low-carbohydrate diet, it's crucial to decide in advance. The second is to keep records of our goals and honestly document our

consumption. Having to admit to three or four

chocolate chip cookies — even on a list that no one else will see — proves to be enough to help many of us resist the temptation.

A few people will overcome their evolutionary systems and rapidly starve

themselves to Ally McBeal-dom. For the

rest of us, our relentless food-seeking genes will, sooner or later, induce us to eat

about as many calories as we have eaten for

most of our adult lives. Knowing this, we

enjoy our food more.

can plan our next meal, be realistic, and

familiar? You wake up in the middle of the

night thinking about those cookies stashed

Does any of the following sound

in the cupboard. You get up and eat them all. Or you go to the supermarket resolved

all. Or you go to the supermarket resolved to buy only healthful foods, then buy a chocolate bar and eat it in the parking lot. If

human and you have normal genes.

Socrates used to say that he was the

you have had these problems, you are

smartest man in Athens because he knew he was really dumb. When it comes to controlling our diets, strength requires knowing that we will be weak. Recognizing our future weakness allows us to minimize how frequently we will fail and to limit the

damage done when we are feeling weakest.

Let's return to that late-night bingeing.

Each one of us knows which foods prompt our nocturnal munchings. Maybe it's Twix

candy bars. Maybe it's bowl after bowl of breakfast cereal. But there's usually a

period, after dinner perhaps, when those

Twix bars or Ding-Dongs have no appeal at

all. In fact, we feel so satisfied that we can't

imagine ever craving another Ding-Dong.

But the "you" that wakes up in the middle of the night has seriously different

ideas about those treats. Defeat that

monster within by preemptively building a

fence. Throw out the Ding-Dongs right after dinner — or better yet, don't buy them.

Leave a note in the empty cupboard: "Dear meaney geney monster, Ha! There are no Ding-Dongs. Eat a rice cake and thank me in the morning."

Each of us has fairly predictable periods of strength and weakness, so we should

take preemptive steps when we are strong.

While the exact problem and solution will

be different for each person, this theme is constant. Here are some problems and solutions that work for some people.

Problem: I like to indulge my passions for certain junk foods, but I overeat. For example, I decide to eat some potato chips and buy a big bag, planning to eat just half, but then eat the whole bag.

Solution: Open the bag of chips and divide them into two piles. One you will eat

and the other you won't. Destroy the chips you don't want to eat. The destruction must be performed before beginning to eat. It's

not that hard when you know you're about

to eat the entire other tasty pile. This is your moment of strength. When you throw them away, be sure to make them inedible so that the monster within you won't be

morning.

Problem: I plan to eat nothing between

picking through the trash at four in the

lunch and dinner. But in the afternoon I

often become very hungry and eat chocolate.

Solution: From your overall dietary plan, choose an appropriate afternoon snack.

Make sure to take it with you every

already have the correct food. It's unrealistic to believe that you will be able to stay hungry all the time. You have to eat

afternoon. When hunger strikes, you

and are going to eat. Accept this fact but make it easier to eat the foods you choose.

Problem: I buy the wrong food at the supermarket. As soon as I enter the store, my cart moves, almost of its own free will, into the aisle with the soda and chips in

buy only healthful food.

spite of my vow, just moments before, to

shop only after eating. If this works for you,

Solution: One well-known option is to

be sure to eat before each trip. If not, take more drastic steps. For example, make a list and send someone else to shop. This is

becoming easier nowadays with Internet

punch out the delivery person for bringing brown rice instead of brownies.

Problem: When I host social events, I

grocery shopping services; just don't

stock foods outside my planned diet. I don't mind eating off my plan a bit during the party, but afterward I often gorge myself on the leftovers.

Solution: As soon as the party ends, get rid of the "dangerous" items. Send them

home with guests or give them to your neighbors. If all else fails, bury them in the backyard. Ignore your inner voice

Of course, it is always preferable to avoid buying it in the first place or to give it away.

screaming that it is wrong to destroy food.

When these options aren't available,

something you'll wish you hadn't.

however, nothing is gained by eating

serve me a meal that includes a tasty brownie for dessert. I'm a prisoner on the plane and bored and tired and hungry, so I

usually eat it.

Problem: When I'm on a plane, they

Solution: Fortunately, with your sandwich and brownie they usually include

a small packet of mayonnaise. When Jay receives his airline meal, he promptly opens the mayonnaise and smears it all over the brownie. This way, he's not tempted anymore. He is actually repulsed by it. Who knows? In the future, we may carry a bottle of Mean Genes Anti-Condiment Spray in

flavors such as Mildew, Rotten Eggs, and

Fish Entrails.

insight. Knowing we will be weak allows us to be strong.

All these stories reflect Socrates's

Survival of the laziest? In 1984 Peter Maher weighed more than 250 pounds and

smoked three packs of cigarettes a day.

Although he had no athletic history, he wagered some of his mates (presumably while hefting a pint or two) that he could

and won his bet.

Along the way he discovered that he

complete a marathon. He began running

possessed a tremendous natural talent. He became a full-time runner and has clocked

a 2:11 marathon, just 6 minutes slower than the world record. And in the process he

dropped to a gaunt 140 pounds on his 6-foot, 4-inch frame. He still worries about his weight, but now he fears becoming too skinny.

Even if we can't run marathons,
exercise has obvious benefits in our battle
to become and remain thin. Physical
activity takes energy, increases metabolic

Peter Maher and a lucky bunch of people love exercise. For most of us, however, slapping on the Nikes and pounding out a

rate, and makes bodies more muscular.

the dentist. Why do we have so much trouble doing something with such obvious benefits?

Most animals are lazy. Take mice, for

few miles ranks right up there with a visit to

example. Scientists investigating the effects of extreme exercise naturally want mice that are long-distance runners. The trouble is, mice don't want to run marathons any

more than most humans.

treadmills, many of them will simply go on

strike. They will even sit on the moving belt

When mice are placed on tiny

to the point that the skin on their butts
begins to get scratched and scraped. The
mice are ingenious in their ability to avoid
exercise, positioning themselves against
walls, splaying their feet at extreme angles —

of us who has made elaborate excuses to escape a workout can empathize.

anything to avoid jogging. Any one

the Yerkes Center has a large number of chimpanzees. Predictably, this population of zoo primates is also overweight and lazy.

One of the matriarchs is a female called

Natasha, known because of her bulk as

In addition to orangutans like Chantek,

Na-"tank"-a.

Even though the chimps are very well

fed, most of them still excitedly yell and run

around if a person shows up with a box of

oranges. Natanka is not so easily moved. She plants herself directly below the fruit platform and begs with subtle arm movements that require no more energy than changing the TV channel with a remote control. She will move only about six inches to snatch a ripe fruit; getting her to travel across the compound requires dozens of oranges, each artfully thrown a few inches ahead of her.

not to let your kids find out about this.) To

Laziness is good for most animals. (Try

understand this, we have to leave our couches and think like wild primates.

Energy in the form of food is hard to obtain, and once gotten, not to be squandered.

This is why lions sleep most of the day, mice squat on treadmills, and people avoid the gym like the plague. The only people who

exercise in poor countries are the privileged — the rich, the tourist, or the full-time athlete.

Similarly, modern foragers lead active lives, but the concept of unnecessary exercise puzzles them. Evolution favors the frugal and casts a

hard, wary glance on an organism that frivolously wastes energy. What happens to

animals that expend energy needlessly? They die, and their genes die with them. We

descended from humans who were frugal with their physical activity, and we carry

their energy-conserving genes.

laziness. Our genes still think there is a famine around every corner and hoard

every calorie by inducing lethargy

whenever they can. Can we ever get

While it's hard to get them to jog

for us, but evolution has built us to love

Getting off the couch. Exercise is good

ourselves off the couch?

Let's rejoin our mice who hate running.

frivolously, they do love a good run under

the right conditions. For example, if they are hungry, they spend a good part of the day running. Why? Well, among other things they are looking for food. Of course they don't make any progress turning a wheel in the lab, but they think they are covering territory looking for food. In one study, hungry mice ran about three miles every day, roughly twice the distance of well-fed mice.

In a related and slightly diabolical study, a scientist rigged up a mouse

food-for-exercise incentive scheme. The

mice could only obtain food if they turned an exercise wheel: they were rewarded with

a small pellet of food each time they ran a certain number of revolutions (anywhere

from 75 to 275 revolutions per pellet).

The more revolutions they were required to run for a food pellet, the more

running for an average of 10 hours a day.

Not surprisingly, these animals

they ran, until the most active mice were

ended up weighing far less than those that didn't have to work for their food. (Perhaps Richard Simmons should design a *Mean*

Genes television or refrigerator that can be powered only by an exercise bike.)

In our quest to be more active, we can perpetually fight against our genes or we

can outsmart them. If we can set up

meet a worthy goal, the mental energy and willpower required can be very low. The type of goals that our genes consider worthy varies from person to person, but they have some common themes.

situations in which activity is required to

Anita, a twenty-six-year-old woman who lives in Boston, has a walking partner for her brisk daily 6:00 a.m. stroll along the Charles River. On mornings when Anita is

particularly tired, she naturally wants to call her friend to cancel, but she is thwarted. Her walking partner has roommates and, with only a centrally located phone, any call will disturb them. For Anita, the combination of not wanting to awaken her friend's roommates or miss a planned appointment is highly effective. For many people, a workout partner or a team sport makes exercise much more palatable.

study, psychologists approached a group of people in line to buy season tickets to the

Money can motivate others. In one

theater. They gave half the theater buffs a reduced rate on tickets while the others paid full price. At the end of the season, it turned out that the people who had paid more for their tickets attended significantly more plays. Similarly, some people find paying for a health club membership

pushes them to more frequent exercise.

The desire not to waste money can be stronger than the drive of sloth.

There is a related message in the latest episode about Chantek the orangutan.

angry, and dreaming of candy. After his escape and the monkey chow episode, he was moved to an new area with a much larger domain — several acres — and he must walk a bit to get his food.

When we met him, he was dieting, hungry,

Furthermore, because wild male orangutans are territorial and spend much of their time patrolling their part of the jungle, Chantek likes (or feels compelled) to

walk around to make sure that no males are intruding on his turf. Of course in the zoo there will never be intruders, but his genes don't know that. As a result, Chantek is much more active and, even though he is no longer on a strict diet, he has lost fully half of his 500 pounds.

Nature abhors wasteful energy
expenditure but will induce us to work
under many conditions. Chantek has

become more active because he has to work for his food and he likes patrolling his territory. Those frequently sleepy lions will sprint if there is a gazelle to be chased or a hyena to attack. If we can set up situations with appropriate rewards, we can similarly shed the cloak of laziness. By structuring

we can reduce our weight a bit and can be healthy without starving ourselves.

our lives so that we must be more active,

pills. We live in a zoo-like environment with

Food substitutes, surgery, and

excess food, surrounded by labor-saving machines that ease our every task and ensure that no desire is more than a button push away. Our genes have built us to love food and hate exercise; accordingly, the

genes now live in a tame world. Those
genes aren't going to
change anytime soon, and there is no

root of our weight problem is that our wild

Technology has gotten us into these problems by making us rich. Can it also inspire inventions to keep us thin?

imminent return to food scarcity.

One technological effort is development of food substitutes. To understand them, let's

moment. We love fatty foods because our tongues have thousands of specific detectors — taste buds — that stimulate our brains when we eat foods like nuts, avocados, cheese, and

focus on the fat substitute Olestra for a

red meat. With this system, a fatty meal produces a bit of a brain buzz. This

structure evolved because fat has the most

calories per serving. Our ancestral genes reward us whenever we find calories; in this

quest for energy, fat deserves — and

receives — the biggest reward.

with cheese and qua-camole, most of us hate the calories. Olestra is an attempt to give us pleasure without the cost. Specifically, it turns on those fat detectors but yields no calories at all. One part of Olestra has a chemical structure just like fat,

so the detectors tell the brain to party, but

the molecule is designed to avoid digestion.

The mouths says "ahhh," but the gut fails to

While we love our deep-fried nachos

substance that deceives our bodies into feeling as though they have just had a satisfying meal.

extract any calories. The result is a

Food companies use a variety of other substances, including Nutrasweet, that are similarly designed to fool our bodies. Fat, sugar, and salt all taste great but can be bad for us. The artificial molecules, on the other hand, hold the promise of toll-free

foods to be tasty and low in calories. It sounds simple. Does it work?

satisfaction. In particular, these compounds

and many others under development allow

To find out, researchers secretly gave one group of people sugar cookies. A second group got cookies that looked the same, and tasted pretty good but were made with Nutrasweet. The researchers then noted how many cookies were eaten. *Voila!* Both groups

those with real sugar consumed many more

ate the same number of cookies, therefore

calories. A victory for technology? Maybe not.

The subjects were also asked to keep

diaries of all their eating on the days around the cookie-fest. Those in the Nutrasweet group ate more than those who ate the sugar cookies. So much more, in

fact, that the total caloric intake of the two

the Nutrasweet group preferentially ate

groups was identical. Moreover, those in

only some had also ingested a wad of

Nutrasweet.

Current food substitutes aren't going

to save the day, so some people are taking

more sugar. In the end, the people in both

groups ate a lot of sugar and calories, but

more drastic measures, such as a variety of surgical procedures. One option for people who have significant health risks from their weight is a process called stomach banding, full and stop eating much more quickly
than before the surgery. Patients in one
group with banded stomachs each lost —
and kept off for at least two years — about
seventy pounds.

Operations that remove part or all of

the small intestine also reduce weight. This

shorter digestive system causes food to

pass through before all of the calories can

in which a surgeon makes the stomach

smaller. Patients with stomach bands get

eating Olestra: the mouth is tricked into happiness by tastes that promise calories while the gut is shortchanged.

Somewhat less extreme is liposuction,

be absorbed. The overall effect is similar to

the removal of fat cells. It is fast becoming one of the most popular operations in this country, with one hundred seventy thousand performed in 1998 alone.

Unfortunately, over time patients tend to

"body contouring."

If food substitutes and surgery can't guarantee permanent weight loss, what

about prescription drugs? While there have

been no unqualified breakthroughs in this

modify the body's weight system is sound.

area, the notion of building drugs that

liposuction may better be thought of as

regain all their lost weight, albeit in

different places. Given this result,

During the two decades before it was shown to seriously damage the heart valves, for instance, the diet drug combination

Fen-Phen was used by as many as five million American women. It combined an

appetite suppressant with an

weight-loss drugs, it interfered with our instinctual systems that are always seeking

amphetamine-like drug; like all successful

reserves.

to acquire and convert food into fat

genetic machinery is simply to turn up the metabolic rate. A variety of products including Metabolife claim to increase the

Another strategy for tinkering with the

expenditure of energy without an offsetting increase in appetite. This approach is theoretically sound, but there are limited data on the safety or efficacy of these

however, show that the stimulants ephedrine and caffeine can produce weight

unregulated products. Clinical trials do,

loss in the range of five to ten pounds.

The latest drug to hit the market is

Xenical. Marketed under the name Orlistat, this pricey drug interferes with fat digestion by causing some of the fat in, say, salad

dressing or an olive to pass through the

body (sometimes distressingly quickly)
without
absorption. In clinical studies, Xenical
has succeeded in helping people lose about

ten pounds over the course of a year. The

second year, these people regained some

lighter.

of their weight but still ended up somewhat

Current diet drugs can thus help us lose some weight, and the future is getting

brighter. The ten pounds that Xenical melts

from the average person may not make it a wonder drug, but it is a significant start.

And for many people, those ten pounds are

all they need to shed. As long as we live in our zoo-like environment of plenty, we're going to struggle with natural systems that seek out and store calories. But as we and keeps us fat, the prospects grow for more effective drugs with fewer side effects to start tipping the scales our way.

dissect the genetic machinery that makes

CONSTANT CRAVINGS

The lure of drugs. John Daly says he's

finally given up his efforts to guit drinking.

Drugs Hijacking the pleasure pathway

Once one of the most promising young

athletes in America, the professional golfer recently chose to return a three-million-dollar endorsement from the leading golf club manufacturer because it required him to abstain from alcohol. Daly said that trying to stay sober "had taken over my life and I was miserable." He blames a strong genetic desire for alcohol, and although he's sad about the many costs of drinking, he says, "It's great to be free."

John Daly is not alone. Rock stars fall with such regularity that it's practically

front-page news when one of them doesn't have a drug problem. The allure of these

little chemicals is tremendous, and although tabloid tragedy coverage is

limited to the likes of Janis Joplin, John
Belushi, and River Phoenix, millions of us face

— and frequently lose — self-control battles with drugs.

Drug use is an everyday feature of

a result, tens of millions of us endure every-

modern life. Alcohol is omnipresent, and as

thing from decreased job performance to liver damage to fullblown alcoholism.

Alcohol is involved in 75% of spousal abuse cases. More than fifty million Americans smoke cigarettes, contributing to almost half a million deaths per year — more than a dozen times

the annual number killed in traffic accidents.

The litany of drug damage goes on and on

The litany of drug damage goes on and on.

Tiny chemicals have equally powerful effects on animals. When an ovulating female boar is exposed to a pheromone from a male boar's saliva, for example, she becomes immediately and completely paralyzed in a spread-legged mating posture. If you put rats in a cage with unlimited access to both food and cocaine, what happens? The rats consume the cocaine ravenously, ignore the food

death.

This widespread love affair with drugs

prompts a perplexing question: Shouldn't

completely, and in short order starve to

evolution produce industrious organisms, not drug addicts? To understand, we need to step back and think about the evolution of feelings. Why do our bodies have the capacity for pain and pleasure? Once we answer this question, we will see why we are so strongly drawn to dangerous substances

like alcohol and cocaine. But first, let's begin the way we begin most journeys — with a cup of coffee.

Why is caffeine so damn good? David Letterman says, "If it weren't for coffee, I'd

have no discernible personality at all."

Indeed, caffeine is perhaps the most

frequently used drug of all. Worldwide, more tea is consumed every day than any beverage other than water. Close behind is

coffee. In the United States, 90% of the

average American drinks about a hundred gallons a year of these three beverages.

soda we drink contains caffeine. The

From philosophers and writers to scientists and musicians, coffee has been revered as a necessity for stimulating the creative juices. In his *Coffee Cantata* of 1732

revered as a necessity for stimulating the creative juices. In his *Coffee Cantata* of 1732, J. S. Bach wrote, "Ah! How sweet coffee tastes! Lovelier than a thousand kisses, sweeter far than muscatel wine!" Two

autobiography was made into the movie *Out* of *Africa*, wrote, "Coffee ... is to the body what the word of the Lord is to the soul."

The centuries of strong praise are well

hundred years later, coffee's appeal had, if

anything, grown. Isak Dinesen, whose

founded. Caffeine has powerful effects on nearly every animal species. Take rats, for instance. While all rats can eventually be trained to race through mazes, some learn maze-running classes. Still, what they all have in common is that when they are given a caffeine pick-me-up before their

maze lessons, they learn the solutions

faster and remember them better.

quickly while others languish in remedial

Competitive bicycle racers have taken these results to heart. They have discovered

that they can pedal 20% longer if they

consume caffeine an hour before racing.

Given caffeine's ability to rev up minds and bodies, it's no surprise that sperm, too,

do a little caffeine dance. Following

faster and wiggle more vigorously,

exposure to extreme doses, sperm swim

increasing their ability to ford even the

to enjoy a time-released kick in the ass.

Perhaps blurring the line between savvy

training and competition gone mad, some

insert caffeine suppositories prior to races

most viscous of cervical streams in search of a fertile egg.

for most people. Despite considerable searching for ill effects, there is no evidence that moderate consumption of caffeine

Amazingly, caffeine seems to be safe

thing beyond occasional jitters. For healthy people, there appears to be no

increases our risk of any-

increased risk of heart, lung, or kidney disease or even cancer.

long as we are awake, our brains are working hard. Our senses soak up data

How does caffeine work its magic? As

from the world around us: our sweater feels scratchy on our skin, the sun shining in the window is awfully bright, the kids are demanding attention, and our boss is

yelling about some overdue report. And so

brain by special cells called neurons.

process, millions of neurons are active. The

With each bit of information we

on. All of this information is reported to the

problem is, much as a running motor
generates exhaust fumes, all of this neural
activity leads to a serious buildup of cellular

waste products. Eventually our cells need a

nap. Neuron "exhaust" takes the form of

molecules, including one called adenosine.

batteries need recharging.

Anyone who has struggled to stay

awake while driving knows the relentless

pressure adenosine exerts on us to stop

Prompted by the adenosine buildup, our

bodies nudge us into bed when our

and sleep. Adenosine itself doesn't cause the sleepiness; it's a messenger that simply

signals the surrounding cells to settle down.

how.

Our brain cells communicate by passing

Caffeine blocks this sleepy message. Here's

chemical messages like adenosine to one another. Messenger molecules are teamed up with specific listeners — called receptors — on other cells. Adenosine and its receptors are exquisitely matched, like tiny locks that open only with the proper miniature key When a cell releases adenosine, it fills adenosine receptors on nearby cells, passing along the message to sleep.

continues throughout our day, more and

As the production of adenosine

more receptors are filled. Increasingly our brain cells become sluggish, regardless of how strongly they are stimulated. We become tired. As we sleep, the night shift

sweeps the adenosine away. When we wake, we feel better because we literally are more clear-headed.

But let's say we don't have the luxury of climbing into bed when we feel tired.

Instead, we reach for a soda or a double

because of a chance similarity in shape, the caffeine slips into some of those receptors intended for adenosine.

espresso. The caffeine we ingest makes a

beeline for our brains, and once there, it

bubbles around between the cells. Here,

doing its job. So perhaps we've been up for hours, working like crazy, and our brains are

Once nestled in these slots, caffeine

just camps out, blocking adenosine from

awash in adenosine. We should be dead tired. But with many of the receptors blocked by caffeine, the adenosine can't pass on the message that we ought to go to bed. Instead, we feel surprisingly alert and still ready to take on the world.

Caffeine keeps us awake because it interrupts the normal sleep signaling system. Some drugs obstruct the body's natural signals while others amplify

messages. In every case, however, drugs masquerade as naturally occurring

compounds, tricking our brains. Let's look a

bit closer at just how dramatically all of these chemical messengers can influence

how we see, feel, and experience the world.

If it feels good, do it again. Can the actions of a few brain cells really influence our mood or behavior? In the 1950s, a

psychologist

surgically implanted electrodes in rats' brains and stimulated them. Usually, sending a tiny current of electricity elicited little response. Positioning the buzzing

electrodes near a part of the brain called the hypothalamus, however, seemed to

make the rats happy. Actually that's the understatement of the year. Stimulating the hypothalamus made them ecstatic.

Subsequent experiments have shown

when the rat accomplishes something — learns to navigate part of a maze, for example — the rat works at the task eagerly until it is mastered, craving the reward. As long as the rewards keep coming, the little rodents keep working, even to the point of mastering

complex mazes that humans would find nearly impossible.

It's not the learning that they love.

When the same rats are allowed to

self-administer this brain stimulation they forget about the mazes, forget about their friends, and forget about pretty much everything else. They sit, pressing the lever a hundred times a minute for hours on end. They won't even take meal breaks, choosing to press the lever when they are famished and continuing until they die of starvation.

stimulate a similarly intense pleasure center

What would we do if we could

in our own brains? This question isn't hypothetical, of course, because we can.

Consider the mother of all pleasure

pathways: the orgasm. The positive sensation we feel is the release of chemicals that stimulate the same part of the brain

"do-it-again" centers, when activated,

associate pleasure with whatever behavior caused the brain stimulation.

Think of a do-it-again center as a

square slot in your brain. Having sex is like discovering the proper square peg to fit the

slot. It makes us happy. The reward is an orgasm and this creates the desire to repeat the behavior, sex in this case. Having

discovered the square peg to the magic

again. And again.)

While we are busy enjoying our

kingdom, we want to do it again. (And

orgasms, our genes are laughing all the way to the Darwinian bank. From their

perspective, the result is (or was for our ancestors before birth control) having

babies, which means the genes have successfully made it into the next

generation.

genes want us to perform a variety of behaviors and accordingly have built many

In their quest for immortality, our

do-it-again centers: imagine round and oval and star-shaped slots permeating your brain. Genetically favorable behaviors have

been linked to these slots.

Eating a bit of strawberry shortcake, we

are rewarded with happiness as a round peg fills the round, calorie-seeking slot.

Achieving victory over a rival, we feel the euphoria that reliably accompanies a star-shaped peg filling the star-shaped status slot. In actuality, the variously shaped pegs are brain chemicals that stimulate the

do-it-again centers.

In creating such a pleasure system, our genes have built a reward system in which our pursuit of happiness accomplishes their

goals. No one has a baby because they

seeking pleasure and avoiding pain we unconsciously further our genes' goals. We needn't be aware of genes at all; merely engaging in certain behaviors makes us feel

want to replicate genes, but by merely

good and want to do them again.

Drugs hijack and short-circuit this
evolutionary reward system. Our ancestors
got their chemical kicks the old-fashioned

way:

behavior. With drugs, our pleasure centers can be stirred without the essential behaviors at all. How do drugs hijack the

they earned them through good

pain and pleasure routes in our brains?

We need to recognize that our only
true erogenous zones are in our brains. In
some completely paralyzed men, for
instance, it is possible to stimulate the

genitals to produce erections and even

ejaculations. These patients, however, find no satisfaction because their brains never get the message. The same patients can, however, experience sensations like orgasms if the pleasure centers of their brains are stimulated. The trouble is, the brain has to be signaled about our behavior through the nervous system, and any signaling system can be manipulated.

Consider, for example, how predators lethally exploit the signaling system of the firefly. If you sit in a field on a summer night,

you may be treated to a whirl of fireflies flashing in the dark. This dance is not for our pleasure; they are performing a mating

ritual. It's pitch black in the field, and many

different species are flying around. The flies need to find members of their own species in order to mate successfully, so they use a special Morse code signaling system that says, "Hey, I'm your type and I'm ready for action."

The fireflies don't actually see their potential lovers but instead communicate with belly lights. One species may beckon with two long flashes and a short while another may use four shorts followed by a long. When a sexually charged fly detects

the right series of flashes, he or she swoops in, ready to begin a family.

Some of these flying Romeos and

Juliets receive a rude shock. Arriving at the

signaler, tiny loins aflame, they find jaws of death, not arms of love. Devious

predators take advantage of the signaling system by producing the exact sequence of

flashes sent by a willing mate. When a fly

dinnertime for the talented predator.

comes a-courtin' at the wrong home, it's

Our brain's signaling system can be similarly tricked — with disastrous consequences. When we do something good, our pleasure is caused by chemicals called neurotransmitters that stimulate our brains' do-it-again centers. Drugs — whether recreational or therapeutic, whether found in nature or made in the laboratory — mimic

"look" just like our natural chemical signals.

neurotransmitters. Just as firefly predators

duplicate the flashes of a real mate, drugs

Remember, caffeine works because it is so similar to adenosine.

When we take a pleasure-causing drug,

our brain acts as if appropriately released neurotransmitters were flooding the system. The brain thinks we have done something great, such as finding food or warmth, when in fact we may be crouched over a filthy toilet with a hypodermic of

heroin in our arm. Our pleasure centers

set of chemical signals that induce bliss.

know only that they are bathed in a precise

From alcohol to Prozac. Remember those rats that starved themselves to death as

they pressed a lever to tickle their brains? They were stimulating one of the brain's "do-it-again" centers to release dopamine, one of the body's chief "happiness neurotransmitters." If we receive the dopamine message, the pleasure center in our brain makes us feel very, very

As long as dopamine is bathing cells,

good — so good, in fact, that we want to repeat

our behavior.

those cells cause the intense pleasure we

however, because almost as soon as the message is sent, the dopamine is recycled back into the cell that released it.

crave. Such pleasure is usually short-lived,

When someone snorts a bit of cocaine, it heads straight for the brain's "do-it-again" centers. Once there, as with caffeine, everything hinges on a case of molecular mistaken identity. The cocaine fits snugly into the sites where dopamine is

normally absorbed by the cells that originally released it. As long as these reuptake sites are blocked, the brain is bathed in higher levels of dopamine than usual; the cocaine user knows only one thing: let the good times roll.

Antidepressants work by an almost identical mechanism. In addition to dopamine, our body's other big happiness neurotransmitter is serotonin. The

instance, block serotonin from being recycled by the cells that released it. People are happier because of serotonin's prolonged stay in the synapse, lighting up

our do-it-again centers like a pinball

machine.

antidepressants Prozac and Zoloft, for

Some chemical messengers we ought to be especially thankful for are the endorphins, our body's natural painkillers.

pain messages arriving from throughout
the body. Under a variety of situations of
extreme stress — say we've just been
seriously injured in a fight or we're in mile

Produced by our brains, endorphins block

twelve of a half-marathon — our body responds by releasing endorphins. These chemicals also trigger the release of dopamine in the pleasure center.

The popular opiates morphine and heroin mimic endorphins, fitting snugly

enough

into their receptor sites. With a large

an "endorphin rush" far more intense than anything possible with their own natural supply of these pleasure compounds.

dose, opiate users can give themselves

One of our favorite drugs is tobacco's best friend, nicotine. Shortly after entering the bloodstream, nicotine begins

mimicking one of the body's most common and important neurotransmitters, acetylcholine. Fooled by nicotine, acetylcholine receptors cause the release of adrenaline, other stimulating chemicals, and more of that pleasure-causing workhorse, dopamine. Nicotine causes rapid surges, then rapid depletions, of

these chemicals, leaving the smoker happy

for a short while but soon yearning for another cigarette.

Rats given daily infusions of nicotine bump up their acetylcholine receptors by 40% in less than a week. The human response is almost identical. It's odd that the body would build more of these receptors; regardless, their functioning diminishes with increased exposure to nicotine. After prolonged nicotine use,

inhaling more and more. However, with so many more receptors and with nicotine cleared from all the synapses as we sleep, the stage is set for maximal nicotine impact with the first cigarette of the morning.

we're responding less and less despite

So far, we've seen that drugs generally mimic chemicals used by our body during normal functioning. Their specific effects are quite predictable as long as we know

work is like a surgical strike, altering our neuro-chemistry in a specific way.

the molecule that the drug mimics. Their

an everyman, looking enough like many different neurotransmitters to impersonate

But what happens if the drug is more of

them all? Meet our friend, the cocktail.

Alcohol is the great impersonator, fooling at least four different receptor molecules. In

1. It slows us down, "relaxing" our neurons. By blocking receptors for our brains' chief excitatory neurotransmitters,

alcohol coats the brain in a bit of molasses,

slowing reaction times and slurring speech.

a guick survey of the functions of these

victims, we can see exactly how alcohol

works its magic.

2. It gives us a pleasant buzz. Acting like cocaine — but much weaker — alcohol blocks

We could probably do without this effect.

dopamine reuptake, increasing the concentration of the happy neurotransmitter in the key parts of our brains.

3. It blocks pain. By stimulating the release of endorphins, alcohol lets us sample the "runner's high" without even putting on our running shoes. Resembling morphine and heroin in this respect, but again at a greatly reduced magnitude,

opiate-like high.

4. Alcohol makes us happier, at least while

alcohol spurs our body to produce a little

Prozac kit," alcohol modifies and increases the efficiency of our serotonin receptors.

it's in our system. Like a "do-it-yourself

For all these reasons, many of us enjoy a glass of wine with dinner or the

occasional cocktail after work. But what happens when one Cabernet becomes

to remember?

When the novelty fades. In American high schools, about half a million students — one third of them female — take muscle-building steroids. Consider what happens when one of them (let's call him Captain America) goes "on the juice," injecting

three, when one martini becomes too many

himself with massive doses of testosterone.

With all that extra steroid circulating in his

veins, Captain America becomes bigger and stronger. As his muscles grow, however, the

wonder, "Where did all this juice come from?"

systems that regulate testosterone levels

Ever the adaptable machine, Captain America's body responds by cutting back its production of testosterone. The Captain continues injecting artificial testosterone, bulking up his physique even as his body scales back its natural production. Finally, he is confronted by a grim reality: his

testicles, have all but disappeared.

testosterone production sites, a.k.a. his

bodies don't like change. They work only within tightly set parameters. While a car can be ice cold or burning hot and still run beautifully, we die if our body's temperature changes a few degrees. We face similar rules when it comes to internal

chemicals. Because we have so little

Here's the shrinking testicle lesson: our

systems that fight change. Go on a diet, for example, and we're foiled by a slowing metabolism.

latitude, we have evolved an array of

herself into UCLA's hospital. Although her blood alcohol level was high enough to kill most people, she hadn't had a drink for almost three days. Her alcohol tolerance was so high that she needed enormous

An alcoholic woman recently checked

later her blood was still about the consistency of a gin and tonic.

quantities to get a buzz, and three days

Like Captain America and

many drugs develop tolerances. Many of us need a cup of coffee in the morning just to

hard-drinking alcoholics, regular users of

feel normal, and the average American consumes 225 milligrams of caffeine a day (the amount in five cans of Coca-Cola).

One study investigated caffeine tolerance by paying people to consume exactly 900 milligrams of caffeine a day for three weeks. Initially the caffeine users felt as wired as you'd imagine. Their high-flying

as wired as you'd imagine. Their high-flying ways did not last, though. Within three weeks, our caffeine freaks were indistinguishable from "clean" control counterparts. Whether rated for energy or alertness, tension or anxiety, caffeine

exhibited no measurable influence.

Complete tolerance had set in.

consequences vary by the type of drug. In another study, volunteers were injected with a uniform daily dose of heroin and

Tolerance is inevitable, but its costs and

(College students: pay attention to the fine print in campus newspaper ads.) Initially ecstatic, their bodies reacted by reducing

monitored for their level of euphoria.

With fewer and fewer receptors, the heroin effects dropped almost to zero in just three

weeks.

the number of receptors that bind heroin.

In the real world, heroin addicts do a little fighting back of their own. Over the course of an addiction, they may increase

tolerance grew equivalently, we'd need to consume a bathtub full of coffee just to get

their dose ten-thousand-fold. If caffeine

caffeine tolerance rarely exceeds ten or fifteen times the original effective dose.

out of bed. Fortunately for our bladders,

give up on the drugs? If only we could. The flip side of drug tolerance is unavoidable

withdrawal pains. Our bodies will adjust

If we build up tolerances, why not just

to the absence of drugs, but repair takes time. When Captain America goes off the

to full size.

It's the same story for those using caffeine,

juice, his testicles need weeks to grow back

nicotine, or alcohol. The costs range from headaches to the life-threatening *delirium* tremens of alcohol withdrawal. While we must all pay a withdrawal fee if we reduce

are more easily hooked than others.

our caffeine and other drug use, some of us

One person's recreation, another's addiction. Isabella joins her friends in sipping wine during a dinner party. As the meal progresses, her companions become tipsy.

Their conversations turn racy, their moods relaxed. They refill their glasses, reveling in a little buzz. Not so for Isabella. Before her first glass is empty, she experiences a "fast-flush" response: her face turns crimson, her heart begins to race, and her head starts to pound. Worse still, she soon feels the need to vomit.

How can people respond so differently to booze? Fast-flushers like Isabella have a

genetic difference that causes the buildup of a poisonous chemical called acetaldehyde. When we splash a bit of alcohol down the hatch, our bodies do a little two-step dance in which they manhandle the alcohol molecules, converting them from their intoxicating form into innocuous atoms.

Isabella's body adeptly starts the normal breakdown of alcohol, but she was

born with defective genetic instructions for making an enzyme that disposes of the poisonous compound. One by one, the alcohol molecules are processed, but without the cor-

acetaldehyde accumulates. Hence the

rect machinery, poisonous

"fast-flush" reaction.

aldehyde dehydrogenase, and fully half of
Asian people have the same genetic
mistake. But hold on. Perhaps we ought to
call this mistake a molecular godsend. In a

Isabella's messed-up enzyme is called

fast-flushers? *Not one.* Although half the Japanese are fast-flushers, there was not a

single one among these alcoholics. A minor

study of thirteen hundred alcoholics in

Japan, guess how many were

resist the lure of alcohol.

So certain inborn genetic differences

result in a decreased desire for drink. Is the

change in their genetic code helps them

opposite true as well? Do some individuals have genetic endowments that give them

an unhealthy passion for the stuff? Animal

studies suggest that this may be true.

Generally, mammals — from wild primates

to household pets — avoid alcohol; given a

set out to breed rats with a taste for liquor.

Each generation, only those animals with

choice, they choose water. Some scientists

the least aversion for alcohol were selected as breeders. The rest, allowed no babies, remained heirless. The scientists soon had a

rat population that loved to drink.

Interestingly, these alcohol-loving rats

produced abnormally small amounts of the happy neurotransmitter serotonin in their

brain. Their preference for alcohol may be an attempt to bump their serotonin levels back up to those maintained by normal rats.

This finding opens a messy can of worms.

Do human drug addictions and

dependencies reflect differences in our genes? Recent data suggest that they

might. One

during autopsies found that the alcoholics had fewer dopamine receptors than the non-alcoholics.

group of scientists examining brains

Genes are implicated in other addictions, too. In one study of 283 individuals, a third of the people who smoked had an unusual copy of an important gene whereas almost none of the non-smokers carried it. This gene,

our brains to light up when tickled by dopamine. Smokers with the unusual form of the D2 gene produce a third fewer

labeled D2, enables the pleasure centers of

Given dopamine's central role in orchestrating the pleasure centers of the brain, any alteration in this system wreaks

dopamine receptors than normal.

regulate and achieve happiness. Many

havoc on the body's natural ability to

themselves in an attempt to stimulate their dopamine systems more aggressively. By

smokers can be viewed as medicating

smoking they can light up their pleasure centers to the level naturally enjoyed by the non-smokers.

As we saw earlier, other drugs, too — notably cocaine — prime the body's dopamine system. The same renegade D2 gene that predisposes people to smoking is also

linked with other drug addictions and even to overeating.

In 1997 a man in North Carolina.

Thomas Richard Jones, was tried for

causing the death of two women in a car accident while he was under the influence of alcohol, painkillers, and antidepressants.

Noting a long history of addiction, Jones's

defense attorney pleaded that "the devil

lurking in this alcohol and in these pills

devil's aliases may be dopamine.

This is the danger in having a brain that

would not turn loose of him." One of the

uses chemical signals like dopamine and serotonin to regulate pleasure and

happiness. Genetic glitches in the production of these chemicals may sentence some people to lives spent in search of a chemical high.

While genes have thus been shown to play a role in smoking, drinking, and the use of other drugs, we have clear evidence that genetic factors are not the whole story.

Identical twins show similar — but not identical — propensities for drug use. If a person has a

— propensities for drug use. If a person has a problem with alcohol, an identical twin is

25%-40% more likely than a fraternal twin to exhibit the same behavior. If genes were the whole story, identical twins would have identical behaviors.

understanding our brain's signaling systems. A complete understanding of addiction must incorporate both genes and

These are the early days of

the large set of non-genetic forces pushing people into or away from drug use.

Willpower to the rescue? "Just say no" to drugs is the simplest way to kick a habit.

Unfortunately, this obvious and low-cost approach is also the route most likely to fail.

For example, in any given year only one person quits smoking for every twenty who attempt to just say no. Raw willpower seems like a great solution right up until weakness strikes and we light up a cigarette or mix a margarita

or mix a margarita.

Alcoholics Anonymous and similar
programs can be viewed as super-duper,
augmented willpower. They provide

members with a complex support system,

individual restraint. Even twelve-step willpower doesn't work

but at their core, success relies on

members stay sober for a year. Supporters of AA dispute the 95% failure rate, but whatever the exact figures, willpower provides no magic solution.

very well. Critics say just 5% of AA

Our willpower failures are demoralizing.

Surely, we feel, if we get tougher, we can stay clean. Furthermore, we are often

surrounded by people who do not

understand addiction. Fourteen percent of Americans will, at some point, have a

serious problem with alcohol. As stunning as this number is, it also means that 86% of Americans will never have such a

dependency. This disapproving majority

a New Year's resolution and a bit of moral courage.

appears to say that clean living requires just

The inability to control drug passions lies not in personality defects, but in the strength of our urges. For some the desire is overwhelming. The golfer John Daly was

drink. Thomas Covington, a crack addict who was arrested thirty-one times, said he

willing to pay three million dollars for a

fines because "once that compulsion is there, it doesn't matter what the penalty or the threat is."

Far from being an act of pure volition,

addiction has powerful evolutionary and

biological roots. Subtle differences in our

used drugs in spite of imprisonment and

brain wiring make us more or less susceptible to chemical manipulation.

Although our weaknesses vary and most of

and Thomas Covington, every person has strong, instinctual cravings for destructive substances.

us are spared the extremes of John Daly

Because drugs hijack our genetic

pleasure pathways, the substance abuse

battle we fight is with ourselves. When our
neurons experience the euphoria of a

dopamine bath following the inhalation of

a dopamine reuptake inhibitor like cocaine, our

know we shouldn't be doing drugs or that

brain is in heaven. Never mind that we

rewarding a puppy with petting and a big

bone each time she urinates on the couch.

part of us doesn't want to do drugs. It's like

Do you think she's going to learn to pee outdoors?

Quitting drugs can be similarly challenging. Like instructing ourselves to stop wanting food or love, our brains just can't take such a command seriously — to stop the

behavior that generates our brain's highest reward. So we can't possibly be surprised that willpower alone is rarely sufficient; we

shouldn't just try to "handle" an addiction

that is taking over our lives.

For those who have never tried drugs,

abstinence may indeed be the best strategy.

This is particularly true for people who have

who are addicted, "just say no" just won't cut it. Fortunately for them, science is

looking for ways to help.

a family history of addiction. It's easier

never to start than to guit. But for those

Technocures. Thousands of years before the birth of Jesus, people who lived

in Sumeria (part of modern Iraq) drank beer.

pictograph for beer is commonly found in

They loved alcohol so much that the

ruins. Similarly, the Maya, native Central

Americans, reportedly used the
hallucinogenic compounds found on toads

long before the arrival of the conquistadors.

The Maya drug concoction was introduced

into the colon as a "toad enema." Many other ancestral peoples also ingested drugs that occur naturally.

This casual drug use apparently caused few problems. These naturally occurring

drugs, however, can be made much more potent by modern chemistry. Many people chew coca leaves, for

chew coca leaves, for

instance, and get a caffeine-like buzz.

While coca leaves contain less than 1%

cocaine, the concentration and lure are

magnified when it is refined to 60% or

magnified when it is refined to 60% or higher levels of purity. Crack cocaine reportedly produces a more intense sensation of pleasure than any natural act,

their lives and betray their families to gain this high.

including orgasm. No wonder people ruin

So modern technology takes a relatively innocuous product and purifies it into a destroyer. At least fourteen million chemicals exist; over the centuries, drug producers have honed in on the ten or twenty that just happen to tickle our neuro-fancy. It's no coincidence that

compounds that most effectively mess with the pleasure circuits in our brains. Like the

recreational drugs are exactly those

ultimate double agent, however,
technology may also be our most powerful
ally in the drug battle.

Think back to A Clockwork

Orange, directed by the late, great Stanley
Kubrick. In the film, a futuristic society is
filled with young thugs whose chief source

of pleasure is mayhem and violence.

Traditional efforts to restrain them result in large, expensive police forces and

overflowing prisons. (Sound familiar?)

Rather than restraining the youths, the authorities alight on a "reform" strategy. The police reeducate the lead thug, Alex, by torturing him as he watches violence on film, and eventually he becomes physically ill at the mere thought of violence. The *Clockwork*Orange plan is repressive and ultimately

fails. Nevertheless, it highlights an

than restrain destructive passions, can we nip these powerful desires in the bud?

alternative strategy for drug control. Rather

Recall those fast-flushers, who have trouble processing alcohol. While some

people love nothing more than a good martini buzz, fast-flushers get sick from drinking alcohol. Consequently,

they become alcoholics at much lower rates; they just aren't that interested in drinking. For fast-flushers, sobriety doesn't require any willpower. Can we harness this information to help others?

Imagine that you've got a magic pill that, once taken, makes a patient into a fast-flusher. Such a pill has been available for about fifty years. Called Antabuse, it deactivates the body's alcohol-processing

poisons to build up and causes the nausea of the fast-flush response.

Antabuse seems perfectly designed to

machinery. With Antabuse, drinking causes

foil alcoholism. Most studies conclude, however, that it is of minimal help in treating alcoholism. How can that be? Take a look in the user's bedroom or garbage or toilet. Stories abound of alcoholics who flush their daily pill down the toilet or "cheek" it, only to dispose of it later. Users are adept at fooling their loved ones — one alcoholic's wife

lined up above the kitchen doorframe.

Although Antabuse is on the right track,

discovered a month's supply of Antabuse

its effect disappears too quickly. An alcoholic discards a pill so he can get a pleasant buzz sometime within the next

several days. To be effective, new
anti-addiction chemicals must require only
a moment of willpower while conferring
longer lasting self-control. Imagine how

much more effective such a remedy would be if it could be taken once a year or even

There are a host of such passion-killing

given as a childhood vaccine.

a nicotine vaccine recently showed a lasting

remedies under development. For example,

Another drug, BP 897, is a two-faced

ability to reduce the pleasure from smoking.

cocaine-fighting chemical. When someone

is clean, it minimizes cocaine cravings and stimulates the

dopamine system just a bit. As soon as a line of cocaine is snorted, BP 897 turns on

the user, blocking the drug's effect.

These products and others being developed promise to dramatically augment the options. Increasingly the dilemmas will

Orange. Can the government require

become like those of A Clockwork

people to take these drugs as punishment for certain transgressions? Should we "immunize" our children even if it deadens some of their passions? Creating nicotine vaccines and a long-lasting version of Antabuse could go a long way toward helping us deal with drugs. But there is another way that technology can reduce the costs of drug use.

pleasing, addictive nicotine. Prison is said to be unpleasant, not so much because of the loss of freedom but rather because of

the company one must keep. Similarly,

The cigarette that we smoke contains

not from the nicotine but from the other products in tobacco. Science now allows us to get our nicotine buzz through a patch or

much of the damage from smoking comes

cancer-causing parts of tobacco.

chewing gum, without any of the

are much more likely to quit smoking. As noted, only 5% of people who try to quit smoking on their own succeed. In one

study of four thousand smokers, more than

By using nicotine patches or gum we

using a regimen that included nicotine supplements. These people aren't free of

40% remained smoke-free for a year by

Methadone is another example of a drug designed to hijack the hijackers. Like

heroin, methadone triggers our natural

euphoria systems. In the United States,

there are more than a hundred

their nicotine addiction; technology allows

them, however, to tickle that fancy with

fewer side effects.

thousand former heroin users who now use methadone and can live relatively normal

lives. Like those who wear a nicotine patch, methadone users have not shed their desires; they just satisfy their cravings with fewer consequences. A character in *Annie*Hall summarized this nicely: "I used to be a

heroin addict, now I'm a methadone

For the foreseeable future, we will live in a world where tobacco companies, spirit manufacturers, and drug cartels peddle all manner of destructive yet desirable

chemicals. Although the current solutions

promises two paths to longer-term success.

are limited and imperfect, technology

Increasingly, we will be able to dampen our passions or consume novel chemicals that

let us have our pleasure cake and eat it, too.

Risk Thrill-seeking genes take us for a ride

Taking risks is costly but fun. Have you

heard about the new state lottery game?

It's called "Take a dollar and throw it in the trash." Actually, that's not quite fair. State lotteries return about 50% of the amount wagered, so the game is more aptly called "Take fifty cents and throw it in the trash." Given these odds, it may seem crazy that Americans wagered an average of \$150

each on state lotteries last year, losing

more than twenty billion dollars.

back alleys, gambling has gone mainstream.

Once confined to sailors' quarters and

Las Vegas and Atlantic City still draw millions but now compete with Native

American casinos, river-boat gambling,

ubiquitous state lotteries, and a nascent

Internet gambling community. Soon we'll never be more than nanoseconds away from satisfying the urge to impoverish

ourselves and our families.

Furthermore, two and a half million

Americans suffer from severe gambling

problems. And bets come

disproportionately from those who can

least afford the losses: lottery players with household incomes of less than \$10,000 spend three times as

much on tickets as those with incomes of more than \$50,000. Cumulatively,

Americans lose more than fifty billion dollars a year on legal gambling.

Why do we derive pleasure from making terrible bets? Are we simply the victims of advertising campaigns, greedy casino owners, and state governments looking for easy cash? Unfortunately,

finding a scapegoat isn't so easy.

Looking across human cultures gives us a sense of what we're up against and shows us that gambling is universal. Indeed,

casinos and other forms of gambling
prosper from Vegas to Monte Carlo to
Hong Kong. This taste for gaming extends

Hadza, for example, are an African people who still live by hunting game and gathering plants. Despite never having seen

even to non-industrialized societies. The

men spend so much time gambling that they are said to prefer games of chance to chances of game.

a TV advertisement for the lotto, Hadza

This universal love of gambling is just a small part of our general tendency to derive pleasure from taking risks. Anyone who has

the rush of a little danger. We watch movies about rebels without a cause, not about

enjoyed driving a car a bit too fast knows

people buying insurance. Advertisements are filled with rock climbers and bungee

of cautious people in their living rooms

jumpers, but rarely with favorable images

wearing helmets and safety goggles.

We are so fascinated by danger that we

take risks even when we must pay a price.

Why?

terrible mathematicians. We can't seem to calculate odds correctly. Take the big drawing

Part of the explanation is that we are

example, where a bet consists of picking six numbers between 1 and 51. To win, these six numbers must match, in any order, the six randomly drawn numbers. Given these

in the California state lottery, for

down an approximate answer.

Here's another brainteaser. Chinese

rules, what is the chance of winning? Write

families place a high value on sons, yet the Chinese government exerts extreme

pressure, to limit family size. Let's assume that the chance of having a girl is exactly

50%, but every couple stops having babies

once they have a son. So half of the families have just a single boy, a quarter of the

babies will be male? (The answer is below.)

One more. Imagine that you are a

doctor and one of your patients asks to

take an HIV test. You assure her that the

test is unnecessary as only one woman out

families have one boy and one girl, an

eighth have one boy and two girls, etc. In

this scenario, what percentage of Chinese

of a thousand with her age and sexual history is infected. She insists, and sadly the

HIV test is 95% accurate, what is the chance that your patient is actually sick?

test result indicates viral infection. If the

Here are the answers. Let's start with the HIV test. When doctors and staff at

Harvard Medical School were asked this

question, the most common answer was a

95% chance that the patient was sick. They missed the mark by a mile; the correct

answer is less than 2%. (We will explain later in the chapter.)

girls even with the "stop at the first boy" rule in place. Finally, the chance of winning

The Chinese population remains 50%

the California lottery is one in eighteen million: a person is nine times more likely to die by falling out of bed.

Don't feel bad if your answers were way off; so were ours, and that's exactly the

point. Human statistical abilities are simply terrible for these sorts of questions. Our

risk analysis troubles go on and on. We fear

plane crashes more than car crashes although the risk of dying in an automobile is much, much higher. After a coin toss

comes up heads five times in a row, we

toss. And so on.

We are left with two puzzles. Why do

believe that tails is more likely on the next

people derive pleasure from taking risks?

And why are we so bad at calculating the odds for those risks?

Cautious Lassie? Animals often appear to be averse to risk. For example, when two four-hundred-pound red deer stags

compete for a female, they rarely fight. First the two males stand next to each other and roar. If one's roar is more impressive, the other retreats with his proverbial tail between his legs. If the roars are equal, the males conduct a "parallel walk," where they

check each other out while strutting their

stuff. If one is significantly smaller, he

withdraws.

Both roaring and the "rut strut" are risk-free mechanisms to determine which male would win in a fight. Larger, healthier animals can make more noise, and the inspection phase reveals an animal's size and musculature. Only when the two tests reveal an even match do competitions escalate to physical confrontation. And even then, fatalities are rare.

favor of simple sizing-up strategies is prevalent in many animals, from mammals to birds to insects, and suggests that

This avoidance of lethal combat in

closer inspection, however, we find that animals often *do* take life-threatening risks.

animals shy away from risk. Upon

Some spider species' behavior resembles that of the roaring, parading stags. When two male spiders spot a female,

one leaves. In one devilishly cruel but clever experiment, a researcher allowed a really

the males assess each other and the smaller

small male, let's call him Mini, to begin having sex with a female.

In these spiders, it isn't until several hours into the act that the male begins fertilizing the female. Exactly when Mini's

sperm was beginning to work its genetic

magic, the researcher introduced a much larger male, Hulkster.

What do you think happened? Mini is

about to get a huge genetic payoff, so he's opposed to early withdrawal and ready to brawl. Hulkster notes the size difference and wades into battle, confident that Mini will flee. With two willing fighters, 90% of confrontations result in death or serious disfigurement. The smaller male loses 80%

from his injuries, but 20% of the time the little guy wins and scores a rich genetic prize.

The message is that animals take risks

of these battles and almost always dies

when it pays. A little spider that slinks away will live, but he is unlikely to find another equally fertile female, so his risk-averse genes will die with him. Mothers in many species will risk death to protect their babies for the same genetic reason — they want to win the evolutionary competition. The winners pass

their genes — and their instincts for risk — on to their offspring.

Human risk-taking is no different. We

know, for example, that humans first arose in East Africa and then spread out to cover

types of humans, those who cowered in their caves and those who explored new

areas. While many of the risk-takers died,

the rest of the world. Imagine two

those who gambled and won populated the entire globe.

Across diverse cultures today there

remain some clear, genetic benefits to taking risk. The Yanomamo, for example, are native South Americans who survive by hunting and small-scale farming. They are a fierce people; more than a quarter of the

men die from violence. A man who has

killed at least one other man is called an

Why do Yanomamo men risk killing another? Those who do and survive end up

with more wives and more babies. In one

extensive, long-term study, 137 men were

Unokais and 243 were not. The Unokais had,

Unokai, and they are often murdered by

relatives of their victims.

on average, 1.63 wives (polygamy is legal) and 4.91 children. The non-Unokais averaged only 0.63 wives and 1.59 children.

pleasure from taking risks. In their natural settings, humans and other animals take a risk when it's the smart thing to do. We are descended from the humans who left their caves, who took risks and won.

So we now see why people derive

Built for risk. Our genes cajole us into taking risks by making danger exciting. We all receive a jolt when we ride a roller-coaster or a motorcycle. Risk triggers

brain produces dopamine, a chemical that makes us feel very good.

Rod, an acquaintance of Terry's, thrives

a biochemical reward system in which our

and also makes huge wagers. Hearing about some of Rod's risky exploits, Terry

asked, "Does Rod like spicy food?"

Absolutely. Rod not only loves a good

on dangerous adventures around the world

sauce with him at all times.

jala-peno but also carries a bottle of hot

Rod entered a chili-eating contest in which

Taking his love for spices to an extreme,

contestants paired off eating hotter and hotter peppers. In the finals, Rod won by

eating a pepper so hot that half his face went numb (and stayed that way for nearly

a week). His opponent gracefully backed

out rather than trying to match the feat.

What's the connection?

Risky behavior stimulates the

born with systems that muffle the buzz they get from taking risks. People born with these unusual dopamine receptors — and hence reduced stimulation of this pleasure pathway — will go to extreme lengths in pursuit of the

dopamine high. They are risk freaks: bungee

dopamine reward systems. Some people are

Impulsive and extravagant, they are the

jumpers, racecar drivers, and explorers.

also tend to prefer spicier foods than others.

The press calls this a "novelty-seeking"

highest of rollers in Vegas. Like Rod, they

gene. Recent evidence even shows a strong link between the prevalence of this single gene in a population and how far that group has migrated. Recall our observation that humans arose first in Africa, then migrated around the world. The longest

Africa, these people went through Asia,

migration of all was to South America. From

and then all the way south.

Indigenous South Americans are the

descendants of people who moved over

across the land bridge to North America,

and over again for thousands of years.

More than two thirds of these people have the novelty-seeking gene — the highest prevalence of any group, and far higher than for modern Africans and Europeans, only a quarter of whom have the gene.

monoamine oxidase (a chemical regulator in the brain), the more likely a person is to crave excitement and take risks. Over time,

we are likely to find more genes that cause

such behavior, and we will also decipher the

risky choices. For example, the less

Other genetic differences influence our

Molecular methods used by these genes.

Some of us love a good bungee jump
more than others. Even those of us with the

standard dopamine receptors and ordinary levels of risk molecules are not immune to the rush of a risk, hence the broad appeal of amusement parks and casinos. Still searching for that scapegoat? Look within; our genes have made us risk junkies. Just as we are chemically seduced by the high of

illegal drugs, we are drawn toward danger

and the chemical cocktail it stirs.

Our genes even go a step further. They have built into our nature an unwarranted

optimism, which in turn tricks us into overestimating our odds of winning. On the radio show *A Prairie Home*

Companion, Garrison Keillor discusses the fictional town of Lake Wobegon, "where all

the children are above average."

group, we must be exactly average. When asked, however, we confidently state that

It is a mathematical fact that, as a

we will live longer than others, get sick less frequently, and even pick stocks that will outperform the market.

In one study, 94% of men ranked themselves in the top half of male athletic

ability. Our overconfidence even allows
people to believe they might win the lottery

(and some, of course, do win). By creating such unrealistic beliefs, our genes goad us

otherwise choose.

into taking greater risks than we might

Part of the risk puzzle has been solved.

Humans take risks because we are the great-great-grandchildren of humans who

bodies and brains include systems that sometimes prod us down uncertain paths.

placed risky bets. Like other animals, our

Why are people so bad at making risky

who take the right risks, not just those who

decisions? Evolution should favor those

are reckless gamblers. If tiny spiders can

The second question remains, however.

dice and take on Goliath-sized opponents, why can't we?

figure out when it's a good time to roll the

Animal geniuses. Some animals are surprisingly able statisticians. Consider that woodpeckers must decide which trees to peck others are relatively barren. Humans
attempting to solve this problem must
resort to complicated mathematics. How

on. Some trees are filled with tasty bugs while

In a laboratory, woodpeckers were

presented with two sorts of artificial trees.

do the woodpeckers make the right choice?

Both had twenty-four holes in them. In one group they were all empty. In the other, six of the twenty-four holes contained food.

move on if the holes keep coming up empty.

Like an oil wildcatter, a woodpecker should

woodpecker should leave one tree and search for another? Leave too soon, and it deserts a rich area just because the first tests were unlucky. Leave too late, and the

bird misses out on opportunities elsewhere.

But how many empties mean that the

answer. In order to eat the most food, the

Advanced mathematics yields the

six empty holes. What do the woodpeckers do? They look into 6.3 holes on average, almost perfect and far better than an untrained person would do with the same problem. When the experimenters

increased or decreased the number of

Woodpeckers aren't alone. Spiders, fish, stags, and many other animals solve

empty holes, the woodpeckers changed

their sampling accordingly.

problems that would require the

can their tiny brains do the job?

Woodpeckers have faced similar problems
for tens of thousands of years. The

woodpeckers alive today descended from

mathematical prowess of an MIT Ph.D. How

animals instinctually solve problems
common in their natural environment.

Prehistoric fears. When it comes to

predicting causes of death, our statistical

reasoning would embarrass even a worm.

For example, in an average year do more

people in the United States die from natural

birds whose instincts solved the problem of

finding food effectively. The saying "like a

duck to water" reflects this very idea:

disasters (tornado, flood, lightning) or diabetes? Americans believe that natural disasters are the bigger risk, yet in 1997, 62,636 Americans died from diabetes and just 227 from tornados, floods, and lightning.

Similarly, women fear death due to pregnancy, even though almost no one dies from birth-related complications. In 1997, 291 U.S. deaths were attributed to

pregnancy (including those suffered by mothers during abortions) while 159,791 people died from stroke.

Beyond pregnancy, our fears and statistical estimates of death rates are wildly out of whack with reality. We most overestimate the risk of death from accidents, homicide, and venomous bites, and we most underestimate deaths from a wide variety of diseases and vaccinations.

good risky decisions, it would be helpful to have accurate estimates of risk. But wait. All

Are people statistical birdbrains? To make

of the figures cited above are from modern America.

What risks would our ancestors have faced, before the advent of modern medicine and easy living? While we can't know for sure, we can examine what kills

modern peoples who still live in non-industrialized conditions.

A long-term study of the Ache, a group of South American foragers, revealed that

among 87 documented men's deaths, 12 were from snakebites, 7 from jaguar attacks,

2 from lightning, and 6 from club fights.

Thirty-nine women's deaths included 3 from snakebites, 1 from a jaquar attack, and

birth, almost 10% of the women's deaths.

1 from lightning. Three women died giving

woman today stands a 1 out of 3,700 chance that she will die from

Over the course of her life, an American

pregnancy-related complications. In Africa, more pregnancies and a lower standard of medical care combine to cause 1 of every

16 women to

presumably had death rates similar to those in modern Africa and among the Ache. In

other words, pregnancy and its

die from pregnancy. Ancestral humans

causes of death for ancestral women.

Before modern medicine, humans did
not die quietly of strokes while resting in

nursing homes. Furthermore, there was no

treatment for most diseases. If a person

complications were one of the leading

steps to save themselves. Fearing cancer in a world without hospitals has no similar

function.

fears a homicidal killer, she or he can take

modern world, but for that of our ancestors.

They were bitten by snakes, killed by

Our fears are indeed rational, not for a

animals (including humans), killed in accidents, and died during childbirth. We have inherited a set of instinctual fears

judgment errors in human risk-taking stem from a common source: we live in a very different world from that of our ancestors.

appropriate to their world. As we continue

our investigation, we will see that many

Case in point: Publisher's

Clearinghouse struggled for many years

until it decided that instead of rewarding many entrants with medium-sized prizes, it would offer huge prizes with tiny odds. As

about the odds, only the prizes." Although the value of the prizes increased, the chance of winning decreased even more.

one executive recounts, "People don't care

Amazingly, people flocked to the games.

Why? It turns out that we are bad at

estimating extremely unlikely events.

fooled in these situations. One possibility is that our ancestors evolved in a world with

No one knows why we are so easily

very few people. Our hunter-gatherer groups had about a hun-

genetic timescale, there were only eighteen thousand humans in the entire world.

dred people and, not so long ago on a

When we see lottery winners on TV, we are unable to wrap our brains around the enormous size of modern populations.

They're unprecedented in our genes'

we should emulate the winners. The reality is that we are much much less likely to win than we think we are. In the words of Buddy Roogow, the commissioner of the Maryland lottery, "It could be you... but it probably won't." Let's return to the puzzle of the HIV

test. We started by assuming that in each

experiences. Our instincts tell us that our

chances must be pretty good, so perhaps

group of a thousand people, one person is sick and the rest are healthy. Now run the test on everyone. How many get a test result that says they are sick? Let's count. First, the one person who actually is sick will test positive. But among the healthy people, the 95% accuracy rate means that fifty (5%) will have test results that falsely report they are sick. So fifty-one people get bad news,

but only one (2%) of them is actually sick.

In test after test, people botch problems involving percentages. Most

people also get the Chinese baby problem wrong. Half of the families have a single boy, a quarter of the families have a boy

and a girl, and smaller percentages have one boy and more girls. Seems like a lot of boys. In truth, since every birth has a 50%

chance of being a girl, it doesn't matter

families.

If our brilliant woodpeckers or daring

how those births are distributed among

spiders took standard IQ tests, they would score a big fat zero. Animals look really

when they solve problems that have been important to them and their ancestors

throughout their evolutionary history. It's

them in new situations, the proverbial "fish out of water."

easy to make them look foolish by putting

The same is true for humans. An important difference, however, is that while many animals still live in their ancestral environments, humans do not. So animals

many animals still live in their ancestral environments, humans do not. So animals usually look pretty smart, while people often look silly.

likes an occasional wager, and with his wife,

Lisa, he hosts a periodic poker game. The

Risky business. Like most people, Jay

game is most fun when big pots are on the line with numerous people upping the ante.

Jay has discovered that the surest way to create these high-stakes situations is to

People bet more with all the wild cards

designate a large number of wild cards.

because they fail to recalibrate the

house is invincible until confronted with four of a kind that includes two wild cards.

With several players confident of victory,

betting is more likely to escalate and pots

grow large.

increased likelihood of a strong hand. They

are, for example, convinced that their full

Lottery officials have figured out the equivalent of wild cards and have created games that entice our gambler within while

fooling our more calculating side. In fact, most of us have no idea what odds we face.

As noted, winning the big drawing in

California requires matching six numbers

between 1 and 51. Why these rules?

Precisely to hide the terrible odds. On mathematical problems of exactly this sort, people overestimate the

thousand percent. That's why lotteries use them.

Let's face it, if a friend said, "I'm

odds of winning by more than one

thinking of a number between one and eighteen million. See if you can guess it," you probably wouldn't have much hope of winning nor would you wager the family's grocery money.

Businesses take advantage of our instincts in other ways. In one experiment, researchers ran a lottery with a twist. Half the people were allowed to pick their entries. The others were assigned entries at random. Just before the drawing, the researchers offered to buy the tickets back from the subjects.

What did they find? People who had been assigned tickets were willing to sell

bucks, while those who picked their entry demanded more than eight dollars. This enormous difference seems silly. The

lottery was run purely by chance, so every

them for an average of just under two

ticket, chosen or assigned, had the same value.

A related study had people play a game

of chance against an opponent. The game was simply to have each person draw a

playing card, with the higher card winning.

Half the bettors played against a

confident manner. The other half's opponents were instructed to act in a

well-dressed opponent who acted in a

bumbling manner and wear clothes that did not fit.

game against the cool, powerful opponent?

What is the chance of winning this

Exactly half, and exactly the same as the

Remember, this is a game of total chance.

In the experiment, however, bettors

odds of winning against the bumbling fool.

wagered 47% more when they faced meek, poorly dressed opponents.

Once again we have evidence of human irrationality. We dramatically alter

our behavior based on irrelevant factors. In a game of pure chance, it doesn't matter

whether we are playing against Albert Einstein or Forrest Gump.

for a moment. In most real situations, are you more likely to defeat a competent whiz

Now put on your anthropologist's hat

or a bumbling fool? Obviously, we almost always need to be aware of the competition.

Would you bet on yourself in a tennis
match against Venus Williams? Our behavior
in games of pure chance looks silly, but as with

our other foibles, our instincts work well in

social interactions — more natural environments. Our genes tell us to assess our opponent

purveyors of gambles take advantage of every one of our wagering instincts. We go

Predictably, casinos and other

people who look competent; many lotteries let us choose our favorite numbers. If

to the craps table and place bigger bets on

casinos were smart, they would make all

blackjack dealers wear silly clothes. Oh, they do.

Can we have our thrills without the spills? Saturday Night Live has a tradition of showing fake advertisements for silly businesses. One was for the First Citiwide Change Bank, a financial institution that only makes change. Their motto: "You give us a

You give us a quarter, we give you two dimes and a nickel. How do we make money? Volume."

ten dollar bill and we give you two fives.

bank does, clearly the Change Bank can't make money if every transaction is a wash.

Regardless of how much volume the

Similarly, firms that buy and sell risk only make money by tak-

ing our cash. They can't turn a profit buying and selling risk at cost. For example, every single insurance policy costs more to buy than the average payout to a

venture for customers, does that mean we should not have it? Of course not. When we

firms can survive.

buy any product — whether it's an automobile from Ford or a pizza from Wolfgang Puck the seller prices it above cost. And we ought to buy insurance in many cases. Unfortunately, we are often fooled by products that have been artfully designed to exploit our risky instincts.

policyholder. That's the only way insurance

Just because insurance is a money-losing

To make the right risky moves, the first step is to analyze the situation clearly.

When a salesperson offers us home

we need to recognize that we are being offered a deal that, on average, will cost us money. As with any product, we should buy these risk-reducing products only after making sure that we understand them and that they are worth more to us than the price. Similarly, every bet offered by a state lottery or a casino is a money-losing offer.

insurance or a warranty on a refrigerator,

but as with insurance, we should know the score before laying down our cash.

Many of us find these offers worth the cost,

For example, each and every dollar bet on a standard roulette wheel returns ninety-five cents. There are no better or

worse wagers in roulette; you can think of each play as the purchase of a dollar's worth of thrill for five cents. In contrast, the

best bets in craps can cost as little as half a

bets cost almost ten cents per dollar. So

feel free to play roulette if the thrill is worth

cent per dollar bet, while the worst craps

five cents per dollar wagered.

know the good bets and the bad ones.

The point here is not that we all need to

Don't play craps, though, unless you

learn the details of casino games (although that can be fun). It's that we needn't be

these uncertain arenas, we must rely on mathematical analysis. While most of us can't do the required calculations, plenty of books and online resources can help us. We must use these resources and trust our instincts.

suckers in games of risk. To triumph in

Here's a little secret. Of all the

problems we discuss in *Mean Genes*, love of risk is Terry's primary personal weakness.

This was revealed early in Terry's life when his family played a game called Stocks &

Bonds. Just like the actual stock market,

pulling cards from a deck that lists the change in price for each stock.

The game has some conservative

players invest their paper money in various

companies. A trading day is simulated by

stocks that move by a quarter point a day or less. There is also an oil company stock, Striker Drilling, that more closely resembles

Drilling's stock price went up by twenty

an Internet company. Some days Striker

Striker Drilling, reveling in the ups and downs (and eating spicy foods while

playing).

dollars As a kid, Terry invested exclusively in

dollars, other times down by seventeen

In 1998 those same risk-loving
attitudes had Terry knee-deep in day
trading, making more than two thousand
trades, buying and selling a quarter of a

billion dollars worth of securities. Although

activity was making him unhappy and boring. What to do? The allure of trading was almost overwhelming, however, and he broke numerous promises to himself about quitting

it was profitable, he decided all this trading

quitting.

The solution was twofold. First, Terry
moved his accounts from a low-cost
Internet broker to a traditional broker with

higher fees and a slower response.

Terry was just clicks away from a trade.

With the new broker, it requires a phone

Previously, when the urge to trade struck,

call, two minutes of banter about golf scores, and a hefty commission.

the stock prices moment by moment. With an Internet connection, anyone can monitor their investments just like a stockbroker. This was an incredible time

The second step was to stop watching

offline by giving his Internet access cable to friends for a day at a time. He even took to mailing it to himself when he felt overpowered by the urge. Terry has now reduced his trading

sink for Terry. He began to force himself

toward zero — and consequently has room for other things in his life.

When passion strikes, it is usually too

frequently lapses in the face of strong desire. The key to control is taking steps in

advance that limit the gambler within. With

late to control ourselves. Willpower all too

into never, ever taking a credit card into a casino. Leave it locked up in the hotel safe, or better yet, at home.

respect to gambling, this insight translates

Nowadays casinos will extend credit with a driver's license or less. Some ATM machines in casinos dispense cash but don't take deposits. To win, we need to decide in advance the maximum we can

lose, then ensure we absolutely cannot exceed that limit.

When eating out, it is fun to play a

game called credit card roulette. Everyone at the table puts a credit card into a hat or dinner napkin. The waiter is then asked to pick one card, and the person whose card is selected pays for the entire dinner. This is gambling, but unlike lotteries and casino

games, it costs

is switch around who picks up the check.

nothing. If played repeatedly, all it does

And although it's free, it's surprisingly exciting.

at a low cost or even to be paid. All manner of inventions exist that make us think we

are about to die when we are actually safe.

There are other ways to get our thrills

miles an hour and include plunges

Some roller-coasters now travel over 80

moment of terror, we hop off and have a candy bar. Similarly, horror movies, parachuting, bungee jumping, and a variety

of video games all mix a chemical cocktail

engineered to rev up our instincts. After a

designed to give us a buzz with no hangover.

Historically, the mother of all free

market. Buying U.S. stocks has proved to be

lunches, in terms of risk, has been the stock

a wonderful investment with thrilling ups and downs. Since the early nineteenth century, long-term investors who bought U.S. stocks made far more than those who owned bonds or gold. In the financial arena,

we can take risks and get paid for them.

Investing, however, is an area where our instincts often get us in trouble.

Remember that humans are overconfident

in many areas, including finance. Money

managers go through years of training, yet most of them fail to beat the proverbial

dart. The story is far worse for individual

investors. Studies show that the more

actively we trade, the worse we do. So

we have to shackle the overconfident day trader who lurks within each of us.

is. Some years ago, John O'Connor was feeling bold while on a date with a woman

Go out on a limb. That's where the fruit

stocks can be both risky and profitable, but

out for a second

date, he went gung-ho and asked her

named Sandra. Rather than simply ask her

for more than a dozen dates. What are they doing these days? Still happily married.

(She is now U.S. Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor.)

We've been looking at situations in which people like risk too much. In many

social arena is one important area in which
we ought to take more risks.

For ancestral humans, social failures

were presumably much more costly than

cases, however, we have exactly the

opposite problem: we are too timid. The

they are for us. Remember that, until recently, people lived with the same group from early adulthood until death. In such an environment, people were doomed to hear

about their social mistakes for years as the same group gathered around the campfire to joke about Johnny the Overeager.

Far beyond a never-ending series of jokes, social mistakes could have had fatal consequences for ancestral humans. Single humans did not fare well in the dangerous world of our ancestors. Offend the wrong

rapidly turn into a very bad day. Among the

group of people, and a social risk could

villages could sometimes join neighboring groups, but they also risked being killed.

So our ancestors were right to be timid

Yanomamo, individuals thrown out of their

in a variety of situations. In contrast, imagine what would have happened if John O'Connor had been turned down. No big deal, there are lots of people in the world, and he would have moved on. While we joke that everyone is connected by six

no degrees of separation.

A similar change has occurred in many

other domains. Our ancestors got their

degrees of separation, our ancestors had

thrills the old-fashioned way: they took risks. Consider the human known as the Iceman. About five thou-

sand years ago, he set out on a

European adventure and ended up frozen

now be visited in a museum.

For the Iceman, a career mistake had fatal

in a glacier. Completely preserved, he can

consequences. If we make a risky move — say, by taking a job with some poorly financed Internet company — and it fails, we will not

be killed. More likely than not, we will find a new job with a higher salary. If the failure is

spectacular enough, we can write a series of books, like Donald Trump. If we just rely on our instincts, we are likely to be too timid in our professional lives.

Sir Edmund Hillary, who led the first

group to reach the peak of Mount Everest, said, "I get frightened to death on many,

many occasions, but fear can also be a stimulating factor ... you can often extend yourself far more than you ever believed

possible." The good news is that we don't

need to climb mountains to enjoy the intense thrill of risk.

Greed Running fast on the happiness treadmill

money can buy happiness, you don't have enough money," reads a help-wanted ad.

Happy (pay) days. "If you don't think

Extra money, particularly when it comes as a surprise, is certain to brighten anyone's

money, we could live happily ever after.

day. It seems obvious that with enough

Indeed, when Americans were asked to

name the single change that would most improve their life, the most common answer was "more money."

advancement, Americans are working harder than ever. And while the rich used to

be famous for their idling, these days even

In search of both money and career

more time at the office and taking shorter vacations. What has all of this hard work brought us? The short answer: mirthless materialism.

the prosperous among us are spending

The average income in the United

States (adjusted for inflation) has risen

more than 40% since 1972. Every year,

researchers have asked, "How happy are

you with your life?" In spite of having more

money, safer cars, and homes that have doubled in

size, our answers reveal no change in satisfaction over this period. Similarly, the average person in Japan has become more than three times richer since 1958, and the

Japanese too report no increase in happiness. So we are much richer, yet we are no happier.

also puzzling. Deep, long-term happiness does not come from material circumstances.

The conclusion appears obvious but

Although *acquiring* money, TVs, and cars makes us happy, *having* them does not.

have surprisingly little effect on happiness.

In addition to cash, many other factors

overwhelmingly cite cold winters as a source of displeasure and imagine that

For example, Midwesterners

report themselves no happier than Midwesterners.

Skeptical? Perhaps some people are

Californians are happier. While Californians

do love their sunny climate, however, they

lying, saying they are happy when they are actually miserable or vice versa. (Maybe the Californians want to ensure that others

don't move there.) Should we trust these

self-reported levels of happiness? Because

outside, there are few facts available beyond simply asking people how they feel.

One of these admittedly imperfect measures of happiness — or, more accurately, unhappiness

happiness is difficult to judge from the

If money made us happy, people in poor countries might be expected to kill

is suicide.

themselves more than people in rich countries. They don't. Take Japan, for example, one of the richest countries in the

world, where gleaming bullet trains whisk
people to high-tech jobs. In 1998 Japan had
one of the highest suicide

rate in the world, slightly behind that of
Finland, another rich country.

In the affluent United States, more than thirty thousand people killed themselves last year, and five hundred thousand more were treated at hospitals for suicide

attempts. More young people in the United States die of suicide than from AIDS, cancer,

and heart disease combined.

A materialistic view of happiness might also predict that in any country, the poor kill themselves more often. Wrong again.

Suicide is the third leading cause of death for American teens, but among the richer kids who go to college, suicide is the number two killer. Similarly,

commit suicide at significantly lower rates than other Americans.

African-Americans, who are poorer overall,

Suicide is only the tip of the depression iceberg. Twenty-five million Americans suffer a major depression every year. With tens of millions more suffering severe bouts of unhappiness, we are justly labeled the

Prozac nation. Rich people and rich countries have never had more material

before are depressed and suicidal.

abundance. Yet, more of us than ever

for our greed and unhappiness?

Advertisements surely play a role in stoking

Built to want more, Who can we blame

our desires, but poor people around the world join American yuppies in striving for material goals. When technologically simple societies are exposed to Western

goods, the people immediately want

refrigerators, antibiotics, and Michael

Jordan T-shirts,

sensing that smiles are just an acquisition away. The lure of filthy lucre is strong and natural.

Americans, live in the tropical forest near the border of Venezuela and Brazil. In 1964, when the anthropologist Napoleon Chagnon went to live with them, the tribe had no TVs or other

media to influence their desires. Their way of

The Yanomamo, native South

like a window into our evolutionary past.

With only the crudest tools and

life — with little technology or industry — was

weapons, the Yanomamo obtained a wide variety of foods. They harvested honey

(which they love), tended gardens of

plantains (sort of a cross between a banana and a potato), and hunted wild pigs, monkeys, birds, and even a few snakes.

They also ate palm grubs, squirming,

These people lived without a single TV commercial and not a single corporation

sold them products, yet they shared our thirst for worldly goods. Soon after he arrived among

the Yanomamo, Chagnon was robbed of nearly every item he had brought — clothes.

tools, drugs, and food.

maggot-like morsels as large as a mouse

(and, when sauteed, reportedly taste like

bacon).

In fact, over the course of his more than five years among the Yanomamo, Chagnon

for everything from matches to flashlights and axes. He became "bitterly distressed" that even his friends among the Yanomamo

lamented that he was constantly badgered

to his locked hut so they could steal.

Chagnon's experience mirrors that of other anthropologists. One of the most

common stories from those living in

non-in-

wanted nothing more than to gain access

drugs, or weapons. The drive to acquire material possessions is a human universal.

Aggressive ad campaigns may stimulate

the tent with a request for food, water,

dustrialized cultures is the "knock" on

that monster prowls within us all.

We conclude that permanent changes in life circumstances do not produce

and aggravate the acquisitive beast, but

permanent changes in happiness. Although

people soon after they had experienced life-changing events. The lucky ones had won fat lottery prizes; the unlucky ones had

suffered accidents that left them unable to

walk (or worse) for the rest of their lives.

At the time of these events, as

the data we just read are powerful, perhaps

even more striking support comes from a

study in which researchers interviewed

expected, the winners became ecstatic and

the victims despaired. Over time, however, both groups moved back toward the average level of happiness reported by people who had neither won a lottery nor been in an accident. Before even a year had passed after receiving their windfall, the lottery winners reported an average satisfaction level no higher than that of the general population.

through a similar experience. In a typical series, seven young people get to live for

free in a multimillion-dollar house with

Participants in MTV's The Real World go

lavish furnishings. They are initially blown away and thrilled with their new digs, but soon fall into angst and unhappiness.

So people quickly adjust to an

improvement in their life. What about those

people in the study who had suffered

accidents and become paralyzed? Within a year of their accident, the victims reported an average happiness level of 3.0 on a 5-point

scale, lower than the overall average of 4 but far above despair.

because the changes in happiness were less severe, and went away faster, than most of

These results have become famous

us would expect. Christopher Reeve's story describes the emotional path typically

like the accident victims in this study.

In the spring of 1995, Christopher

taken by people who experience tragedies

Reeve became a quadriplegic after he was thrown from his horse during a competition.

As a famous actor who was literally

Superman, Reeve saw his privileged life

among the stars reduced to wheelchairs,

recounts in his autobiography, he felt that he had ruined his life and wondered, "Why

not die and save everyone a lot of trouble?"

physical therapy, and sponge baths. As he

In a few years, Reeve returned to the public arena, becoming an active crusader to increase funding for spinal cord research. He spoke at the 1996 Academy Awards and starred, with Daryl Hannah, in a 1998 remake of *Rear Window*. He remains confined to a

wheelchair, with no ability to control his body below the neck, yet his "optimism

"When I look to the future, I see more possibilities than limitations."

Reeve's story parallels those of many

remains intact" and, he confidently states,

people who survive tragedies. There are dark days after the incident, but hope reappears. This sequence of emotions is so common that it is the rule rather than the exception. Still, people do not predict they will get beyond their negative moods.

would recover emotionally and he says simply, "I couldn't believe it."

So if you want to predict how happy

Indeed, Reeve recalls being told that he

someone is today, don't ask about their career, their income, their love life, or even if they can walk. Surprisingly, the most useful piece of data is simply a description of how happy he or she was at age twenty (or even age six).

personality; some people are just blessed with a positive outlook. Seemingly important information such as age, sex, race, or financial status provides almost no predictive improvement over simply assuming that there are happy people and there are unhappy people.

Mood is substantially a function of

Knowing this, consider again how you would feel if a juicy, tax-free million fell into

fade even as you tanned on some tropical beach counting stacks of crisp bills? In fact, you would be no happier a year after winning a million dollars than you are today. Impossible as it seems, our happiness, though influenced by ephemeral events, is not controlled by them. This contrast between the powerful short-term effect of life changes and the

your lap? Would the ecstasy completely

central paradoxes of being human. To unravel this mystery, we must learn why our genes benefit from building people who have trouble finding happiness yet remain

confident that it is within reach.

minimal long-term effect is one of the

Chase the money rabbit. Owners of dog racing facilities have learned how to create exciting contests by using an artificial rabbit. The dogs think they'll soon

catch their prey. To entertain the customers, the racetrack keeps the rabbit just ahead of

be feasting on rabbit flesh, but they'll never

the dogs.

Happiness is a tool that our genes use

to induce us toward behaviors that benefit them. The rabbit moves to further the

interests of the racetrack owner, not the dog. Similarly, we strive towards elusive

further the interests of our genes.

goals, not for our own happiness, but to

built to feel that permanent satisfaction is possible if we could just get a little bit

While we will never be finished, we are

ahead. Maybe catch a lucky break at work or in the lottery. Once the current crises pass, all will be better and our problems will

dissipate. Because our dreams are always

just a step ahead, we work inexorably to better our situation.

One consequence of this relentless

system is that we adjust quickly to good fortune. In 1976, for example, Elvis Presley earned several million dollars.

Unfortunately, he lapsed further into debt

as his spending went up faster than his income. That year, he spent at a prodigious rate, including \$35,000 on a single meal of peanut butter and banana sandwiches. (Elvis took some friends from Memphis to Denver

and back on his private jet, the *Lisa Marie*, for the meal.)

increase spending rapidly in good times.

While we hope to live comfortably within

Elvis was not alone in his ability to

our means, our instincts propel us to change our behavior so we are always living on the edge. We share this attribute with

many other animals. Among opossums, for example, those with the biggest families

spend the most time scurrying around to feed and protect them.

have fewer babies, and enjoy more free

time? Well, natural selection is a relentless

Why don't these furry parents cut back,

taskmaster and favors those with large

families, even if the animals have to run ragged tending their bulging brood.

newfound riches on opossum family size.

One study looked at the effects of

Researchers randomly selected animals to be the equivalent of lottery winners, giving

the lucky ones huge amounts of good food.

With this windfall, the recipients could have

maintained their existing family size and gained leisure time. What happened? Like

Elvis, our well-fed opossums adjusted immediately: they "invested" their extra

resources in making bigger babies and soon were just as busy as before.

Surely there must be some limit. As we

get richer and richer as a society and individuals, won't we reach a point of bliss? If each of us pays off our mortgage, acquires our dream car, and can afford good health care for our families, won't our greedy drives be satisfied? Sadly, our

happiness racetrack has no speed limit and no exit.

who became one of the richest men in the world by starting Silicon Graphics,

Consider the shifting goals of Jim Clark,

Netscape, and several other companies.

Before he was bitten by the business bug, Clark was a Stanford professor earning low

pay and dreaming of striking it rich.

He told his friends that if somehow he could make a hundred million dollars he would be eternally satisfied. When he passed that lofty goal, he reset his sights on

a billion. Now, with billions in his pocket, he

is working just as hard as ever and hopes to seize the title of world's richest human from Bill Gates. Having founded three different companies, each worth more than a billion dollars, Clark still toils.

Lottery winners, accident victims, Jim Clark, and even Elvis's spirit chase elusive

goals and run from fleeting fears. We all do.

We've been built in such a way that the

satisfaction game cannot be won by

accomplishing goals nor lost by any setback. Allowing us to rest on our laurels or weep over spilt milk would be a genetic mistake. Our genes don't care about our

past achievements, only about continually

enough ahead to keep us panting and working to accomplish their goals.

Catching elusive goals. Imagine that

positioning our emotional rabbits just far

you work in sales for a demanding boss, who sets challenging goals but offers exorbitant rewards. For example, if you sell a thousand encyclopedias this month, the company will pay for a luxurious vacation.

You work with the single-minded purpose

of tasting that fine life. In the second week, you convince a university to buy an encyclopedia for every student, and you've

hit your quota. Fat city, here we come!

You rush into the boss's office wearing

a Hawaiian shirt and carrying your golf clubs. She says, "Wow, that was great, but

start toward a new quota of eleven hundred.

there will be no vacation. Tomorrow, we

you a fancy mansion."

If you make this higher quota, we'll give

incentive system would not produce sales.

Once deceived, only a fool would earnestly

In addition to being illegal, such an

work toward the new quota. We are wise to people who renege on their promises.

Unfortunately, we are less savvy when it comes to our own internal promises.

In *Peanuts*, Charlie Brown gets fooled by Lucy over and over again. She puts a football down and exhorts Charlie to kick with all his might. He reminds Lucy that in previous attempts, she has tricked him by

previous attempts, she has tricked him by moving the ball. Assured that this time she is being honest, Charlie runs full tilt and makes a vigorous kick. Lucy removes the ball at the last second and Charlie hits the ground with a thud.

In real life, Charlie would soon catch on to the duplicity, just like our encyclopedia salesperson. But the fact that the game is played over and over illuminates something

deep in human nature. In our pursuit of happiness, we act very much like Charlie Brown, repeatedly running toward moving targets. We constantly think, "If I can just

get through this week, everything will be okay from now on." Or, "Once I pay these

credit cards off, I'll never get into this situation again."

Lurking inside our hopes are genes that want us to work hard all the time. They

prosper most when we run full tilt. Once we

approach the point of promised bliss, the

emotional football is moved again. In this manner, we are motivated to do our best at every minute.

This biological drive also explains why we recover from catastrophes. Our genes help us avoid accidents by building us to

fear certain situations and using pain to teach us to avoid repeating damaging behaviors. When tragedy strikes, however,

hardness becomes compassion. Regardless of how devastating our calamity or how stupid our behavior, they forgive us.

the toughest and the nicest of bosses. They constantly ride us for more, not caring

Our instinctual systems are thus both

what we accomplished yesterday but asking for maximal effort today.

Our emotions are thus designed to be less permanent than they feel. For example, women report that they have trouble

remembering the pain of giving birth. The

evolutionary advantages of this convenient amnesia are obvious, and all of us who are not the first-born in our families should be thankful. For similar reasons, we cannot recognize the changing nature of our goals. The genetic boss makes us forget that last week's promises were not kept. Now that we are on to this game, how can we

capitalize?

We can begin by trying to take our goals less seriously. We shouldn't buy things in the hope that they will make us happier. At the time of purchase, we trade off the joys of a faster computer or a bigger house with the costs of payment. The joy will fade — more quickly than

computer or a bigger house with the costs of payment. The joy will fade — more quickly than we are built to anticipate — but the bills will remain. We need to learn that long after our new computer stops seeming fast, we'll still be paying it off.

A silver lining is that pain goes away

overestimate how depressed we'll feel

faster, and hurts less, than we expect. We

when bad outcomes occur. Any sports fan

knows that the hurt of losing fades and excitement rebuilds for the next game or the next season. More seriously, patients

awaiting the results of HIV tests expect to

be devastated if they learn they are infected, but afterward are much less depressed than they predicted.

Because we recover faster than we expect, we should take more chances. Many scary choices have limited downsides

(frequently just humiliation). Social failures such as asking someone out or trying a new hair style can be emotionally crushing. Similarly, we may

not change to our dream career because we fear a temporary downward move in responsibility, prestige, and salary. Learning that the pain will go away sooner than we predict, however, can help us become more courageous.

prison suicides take place on the first day of imprisonment. While we are mired in depression or bursting with joy, we have

trouble believing that these strong feelings

will dissipate.

soon after dramatic life changes. Half of

We should avoid making big decisions

take concrete steps to prevent impetuous decisions. We should not kill ourselves after

We need to restrain our actions and

get an unexpected financial windfall, we ought to lock it up immediately in a savings account that cannot be touched for six

months.

a car wreck or give away our millions just

after we earn them. Wait six months. If we

We can also use our knowledge to predict the behavior of others. When Elizabeth Taylor divorced Richard Burton for the first time, was he surprised? What

someone's future behavior is to examine their past. Burton was Taylor's fifth and sixth husband on the way to a total of eight. He should have expected their marriages to

end just as her previous ones had.

about when she divorced him after their

second marriage? The best way to predict

When it comes to happiness, the most common story is that happy children grow

People change far less than they think.

people in the future is to be friends with happy people now.

Finally, we should start our own

changes today. We are built to feel that

tomorrow will be different from today.

Unless we take steps to change, our state of

affairs today is a much clearer predictor of

up to be happy adolescents who then

become happy adults. The best way to

ensure that we'll be surrounded by upbeat

to live today as though it were every day. It is.

the future than we imagine. We should try

become ecstatic when extra money drops

Too much is never enough. We

in our lap, yet cash has almost no long-term role in creating happiness. This seems impossible; how can every extra dollar

make us happier, but thousands of dollars have no long-term effect? It's as though we

forward but the "ground" moves backward, so that after considerable effort we have not moved forward even one inch.

are on a treadmill. We march steadily

We gain insight into the origin of this treadmill by asking, "How much is enough?" When it comes from our genes,

the answer is "as much as possible."

Evolution is a competitive game in which victory comes not from achieving some

outscoring the opposition. We are descended from the humans who had the most children, not from those with

fixed number of points but by simply

"enough" children.

Imagine two kinds of people: the
"reasonable" and the "greedy." Reasonable
people are satisfied after amassing some
wealth and then spend the rest of the day

playing the harp and tickling their kids.

They work whenever the benefit exceeds the cost and amass as much as possible.

They see no finish line and set no absolute

Greedy people know no such satisfaction.

goals. They seek only relative victory, and their desires are simple: get more than everyone else. Don't keep up with the

When the inevitable bad times come in

Joneses, bury them.

the form of starvation, drought, or disease,

who is more likely to survive? Who were our ancestors? Who are we? As long as extra

resources improve the chances of survival,

people with materialistic drives and behavior will dominate. We run on the happiness treadmill because we are their great-great-greedy-grandchildren.

Of course this begs the question: Do rich folks really have more kids? Well, Bill and Melinda Gates, the world's richest

representative of a world where poor

however, in trying to understand the relationship between resources and reproduction by looking at the *current* relationship between these traits

people have more children. There's a problem,

countries.

The genetic evolution of greed, just like

among people in modern industrialized

that of other behaviors, depends on

Bombay, Berlin, or New York City in 2000. In other words, the more meaningful question is: Did "rich" humans have more children than "poor" ones in our evolutionary past?

ancestral circumstances, not on those of

in hand?

Without a time machine we can't know

Historically, did babies and riches go hand

for sure, but we can observe people throughout the world living in conditions

similar to those of our ancestors. In many cultures, wealth does indeed translate to babies. In one study in the African country of Gambia, for example, women who were given extra resources had more babies. These women, like many people, were hungry. Extra food allowed them to feed their children and still have enough energy to become pregnant.

We find more support for the biological roots of greed by looking at the modern peoples most like our ancestors — living by

hunting game and gathering plants. In these foraging societies, people are

chronically hungry and their wants are real.

Those who attain more wealth, especially in

the form of food, have more children. So

their desire for more has dramatic and obvious evolutionary consequences.

For our ancestors in a harsh world, greed

paid off in the only currencies that matter to

genes — survival and the ability to have offspring. From them, we have inherited a greediness that manifests itself today as a desire to accumulate money and possessions. So even though wealth may not relate to babies in an industrialized world, our instincts come from a time when concerns over material possessions were

crucial.

It is, of course, possible to rein in these desires. The Indian leader Mahatma Gandhi,

goods, including his clothes, a pocket watch, a pair of glasses, and a walking stick.

for example, survived with only a handful of

Few of us are as strong, so we run on the happiness treadmill with literally thousands of possessions.

Progress. Imagine going to the post office to mail a package during the holiday

season. As you enter the crowded scene, you must choose one of two lines. The first choice is an hour's wait in a short line that moves slowly. Each customer takes forever, as the clerk keeps leaving to find a supervisor for help with complex details.

The second choice is an hour's wait in a

long line that moves quickly. People ahead

of you zip past the clerk, and, as you wait,

the pace picks up so that you practically jog up to the counter.

people, the second line is much better even though the waiting time is identical. Most

Which line would you prefer? For most

of us are pleased by two features of the longer line: it moves faster, and the rate

the premium we put on such progress.

increases over time. Several studies show

In one study, volunteers were paid to place their hands in icy water. One hand was held in bitterly cold water for sixty

seconds. After a break, the second hand was put in exactly the same condition, plus an additional thirty seconds of pain was tacked on. During the additional thirty seconds, the temperature gradually rose from really cold to just darned cold.

The subjects were then asked to choose one of the two experiences for a third session. Which do you think they preferred, sixty seconds of pain or ninety seconds of pain? Overwhelmingly, they chose more pain. This seems puzzling because the longer version is exactly the shorter version plus added pain. However, the longer version ends with a positive trend.

A related study observed men

undergoing a colonoscopy. In this

unpleasant medical procedure, a relatively

thick, inflexible metal tube is inserted into the

rectum to view the intestines. For half of the

patients, the exam ended the standard way — as soon as possible, the doctor ended the pain by removing the scope. In the other patients, the tube was left in place for some time after

the exam. This stationary insertion is painful,

but less so than the active examination.

Afterward patients rated their experience. Those with the longer procedure rated it as less painful overall, so the doctors predicted these patients would come back at higher rates for remaining

come back at higher rates for remaining treatments. As with the ice water study, colonoscopy patients preferred additional minutes of discomfort in order to have a better ending. We prefer experiences that conclude on a positive note.

In addition to progress, expectations are crucial to our happiness. How often have you gone to a movie filled with anticipation, only to be disappointed?

Conversely, when you give a gift, do you ever try to increase the impact by

downplaying it, saying that it really is nothing much? The saying "Satisfaction equals performance minus expectations" captures the central role expectations play

derive from the difference between what we predict and what we get. This is true of

in our emotions. Happiness and sadness

experiences from movies all the way to

One Day in the Life of Ivan

Denisovich follows a Soviet prisoner

life-threatening situations.

consigned to a Siberian labor camp.

Although the book is officially fiction, the Nobel laureate Alexander Solzhenitsyn

Ivan, has a truly awful day. He is starving

wrote from personal experience. Our hero,

and only gets a small portion of thin soup with bread. His clothes are threadbare, yet he must perform hours of manual labor in freezing weather.

As he goes to sleep, Ivan is "fully content" and concludes, it has been "a day without a cloud. Almost a happy day." Why

is he happy instead of depressed? He's

expected the bad food, the hard work, and the cold. Because these horrible features have been fully anticipated, they caused

been in the camp for some time, so he fully

him little pain.

Ivan's joy comes from several minor
but unexpected breaks. He successfully

steals a bit of extra food, smuggles part of a hacksaw blade back to camp, and acquires

some scarce tobacco. For us, this day would

high enough above his low expectations to make it nearly perfect.

Positive surprises make us happy, even

be miserable. For Ivan, however, it soared

when they are small. In one study,
researchers gave some people a tiny gift
and measured its effect. Specifically, half of the

ured its effect. Specifically, half of the people using a photocopier found a dime that had been planted in the coin return. After copying, the individuals rated how happy they

were with their *entire lives* on a 7-point scale. So how much do you think a dime would

increase a person's lifetime satisfaction?

Ten cents, if unexpected, buys us an enormous feeling of well-being. Those people who found a dime rated themselves a nearly perfect 6.5 — almost a full point higher than the 5.6 average of those who had not found any money.

Happiness and unhappiness are tools created by our genes to further their goals.

Regardless of our circumstances, our

instincts squeeze the most out of us. We are therefore very attentive to small changes that indicate progress and almost completely unmoved by anything that we expect. This efficient system makes us robust workers. With it controlling our moods, we can be set back, but we cannot be stopped. We dust ourselves off after defeat and look for ways to move forward.

Engineering happiness. In a folk tale, a troubled farmer seeks advice from a

philosopher. He laments, "My house is too

small, we are too poor to afford a larger

Our genes reward us with happiness

whenever we make progress.

from the close quarters." "Yes, I understand," says the philosopher. "I want you to go home and move your goats from

one, and my family is at each other's throat

their corral and into your house. Come see me in a week."

One week later the farmer returns

house is filthy and more crowded than ever.

looking even more haggard. He says, "My

My daughter nearly killed my son, but fortunately was stopped when she tripped

over a kid." "Yes, I understand," says the

move your cows into the house." This cycle of complaint and odd response continues

osopher. "I want you to go home and

for weeks until all the family's livestock is in the house.

Finally the philosopher says, "Put all the

animals back outside and see me in a week." The farmer returns and says, "Yes, I understand. Our house is huge. We don't

know what to do with all the space."

human happiness. First, change for the

better produces joy, regardless of absolute

This fable highlights two truths about

levels. The farmer's house is exactly as crowded as it was before, but it feels much larger. Second, we can consciously structure our lives to make ourselves happier without any change in material

circumstances.

We can start by recognizing the quirky ways our brain creates happiness and then capitalize on that knowledge. There are three important features of our genetic system. First, absolute levels have little

effect on happiness. Second, we love making progress. Third, expectations play a central role. To be happy, we should therefore structure our lives to be on the upslope as much as possible. We should

that surprises will be positive.

create situations and expectations such

fantastic way to capitalize on our instinctual happiness systems. Giving ourselves an

Giving gifts to friends and lovers is a

extra meal or fine bauble is fun, but it's tough to surprise ourselves. In contrast, we can easily surprise our friends and make them much happier.

should give smaller gifts more frequently and tie them less directly to holidays and birth-

To create maximum happiness, we

days, when they are expected. Suppose we are planning to spend \$100 on a birthday gift for our spouse or friend. We

can create more joy if we divide that \$100 into a \$80 gift on the birthday and two unexpected gifts costing \$10 each. As with

positive surprises as possible.

finances, the key is to create as many

fitness. Many of us begin exercise programs, then quit after a few months. We are

These lessons also apply to physical

motivated by rapid gains in the early weeks, then get tired as our ability plateaus.

To maximize our pleasure from physical activity, we should spend as much

time as possible in the zone of rapid progress. This can be aided if we create our own "seasons," when we pursue one sport for several months, then switch to a new one. Just as we plateau in one activity, we move to another and again enjoy the early increase in ability. Similarly, taking some time off from our routines will help us stay "hungry" for more. Combining these two features, we can almost always be working

benefits of maximum improvement.

on the steep part of the curve, enjoying the

progress, we need to divide big jobs into digestible chunks. During his doctoral

To take advantage of our thirst for

program, Terry worked on a series of daily goals he put down on a list. While he was admirably successful in many areas, his

thesis languished.

along with trivial tasks, such as "buy pants," he had listed "write Ph.D. thesis." While he

Success came after Terry realized that

tasks except one (write thesis), his progress toward his most important goal was

was feeling good by accomplishing all his

slow. Working on his thesis did not feel like progress because the "write thesis" task could not be crossed off his list after just a

few hours. With a closet full of new pants,

manageable subtasks so he could exploit his instinctual love for progress.

Ernest Hemingway was a keen student

Terry learned to divide the big tasks into

of human nature, and he manipulated his goals to increase his performance. Like many writers, Hemingway found that the toughest part of writing was getting started each day. He developed a practice of stopping each day with a chapter almost,

reward we all get from progress, so he eagerly sat down to finish the chapter.

Once immersed in work and feeling good,

he usually continued writing.

but not quite, complete. The next morning

he would want to get the little emotional

The maxim of "under-promise and over-deliver" highlights the critical role played by expectations. Whenever we initiate a relationship or project, we should

under-promise recipe is also useful when we fall behind schedule. An almost trivial example will illustrate.

set appropriate expectations. The

If we are running ten minutes late to meet a friend and call to warn her, we should overestimate our delay, saying that we will be twenty minutes late. When we arrive only eleven minutes late, we are now

early. Our friend will have a pleasant

we give the initial bad news we take a hit, but we gain overall because people value positive surprises so much. We should

surprise to go along with a negative. When

always manage expectations so that we can exceed them.

Happiness requires continual effort.

When we dream of our perfect world, we fill those images with laziness and indulgence.

We imagine an endless stream of margaritas on the beach, lim-

itless shopping trips, and days of watching football broken only by the

occasional pizza delivery or beer run.

Perhaps surprisingly, researchers have
discovered that these sorts of activities do
not make people the happiest.

project determined what *does* makes them happy. Many times a day, the people in the study were beeped and asked to record

exactly what they were doing and their level

of happiness, answering a host of other

questions in the process.

would make them happy, an ingenious

Rather than ask people to imagine what

Success, not indolence, makes people happy. Specifically, the term *flow* was coined

experience flow when they are in control of their environment and using their skills to achieve a challenging and clear goal. In

to summarize enjoyable situations. People

striving for these goals, we lose ourselves in the moment, become less self-conscious, and even have a sense that time has slowed down.

When do we achieve flow? Positive

moments come in various domains,

including sex and sports (as long as we feel proficient). One of the paradoxes is that people are more likely to experience flow

work, people imagine that they would be happier if they were not working.

So we think we'd prefer sipping

gigantic beverages, yet we're happier

progressing skillfully toward achievable

while working than during leisure time.

Oddly, even when experiencing flow at

to encourage us to work. To further their

ends, our genes have built us with

goals. Our emotional systems are designed

relentless appetites for improvement and achievement.

In a memorable *Twilight Zone* episode, the main character starts in a hospital bed, then awakes to find himself in a hotel

room. Whenever he wishes for anything, a bellhop appears instantly to

days of this, the man tires of his effortless existence and says to the bellhop, "I sort of wish I'd gone to the other place." "What place?" asks the bellhop. "Well, I assume

provide the object of his desire. After some

I've died and gone to heaven, but I'm so bored, perhaps hell would be better." The bellhop responds, "This is hell."

ROMANCE AND **REPRODUCTION**

Gender Girls against the boys

All animals play the mating game. In the movie *EDtv*, Woody Har-relson is caught on camera cheating on his girlfriend. When

woman's offer of sex, he says, "I'm a guy, I

asked why he didn't turn down the

We're the gas, they're the brakes." What is

don't stop. That's the woman's job....

about human sex stereotypes by examining an obscure little insect called the bush cricket.

the origin of our stereotypes for men and

women?

Although bush crickets don't have bars or dating services, they do congregate, check each other out, and — just like humans — ponder whether they should perhaps mate with a new acquaintance.

When the pair decides to mate and the male

Ah, but there is one small difference.

When the pair decides to mate and the male

his body weight — contributing a massive ejaculate that the female uses for energy. For an average human male, this would be

cricket ejaculates, he loses about a quarter of

about fifty pounds of semen! If this were the rule in men, rather than the "lovin'

spoonful" it actually is, would human males behave differently? The answer is yes, and

the ramifications extend far beyond sexual tactics.

the form of food and sperm, the more baby crickets she produces. While we humans eat hundreds of times our weight in food over a lifetime, insects get by with much

For a female cricket this sexy meal is

important. The more nutrition she gets, in

A single ejaculation of a male cricket might, therefore, provide more than a tenth

less. Their total lifetime food intake can be

as little as two times their body weight.

of the food that a female eats in her lifetime.

Needless to say, this is a precious

commodity, which she is careful not to waste. She efficiently converts nearly all of it into fertilized eggs.

choosy when it comes to selecting a mate (wouldn't you be if it involved a fifty-pound ejaculation?). In particular, males reject

small females that would produce few

As we might predict, male crickets are

babies. With a maximum of a few bouts of love in his short life, a male cricket is intent on finding the mate that will best further his reproductive goals. Females, on the other hand, are looking for sex. After all, they can look forward to a nutritious sperm meal. Just as we'd suspect, females court males. If they could talk, we can imagine that the females would make all sorts of promises of fidelity and love.

In contrast to discerning male crickets, data do confirm that men are easy. Human males show little hesitancy to engage in

casual sex. In a study of college students,

sex when propositioned by a female experimenter of average attractiveness.

75% of men expressed a willingness to have

apologized.) How many women said yes to

(Many of the men who declined actually

experimenter? Not one.

the same question asked by a male

The gender question. Should women drive tanks in the Marine Corps? Does it

matter if a child's Cub Scout master or softball coach is gay? Why are most

politicians and racecar drivers men? Why

Have men and women been conditioned by

are nearly all grade-school teachers women?

society to behave differently or is something else going on?

Our culture has unquestionably had an effect on relations between the sexes.

American women did not secure the right

to vote until 1920. Until Ronald Reagan

appointed Sandra Day O'Connor to the U.S. Supreme Court in 1981, one might have mistakenly claimed that it was "natural" for men

mistakenly claimed that it was "natural" for mer — and only men — to be justices.

We know, of course, that the

composition of the courts and many other male sanctuaries is completely a function of

cultural influences. Until 1984, men believed that women were too frail to run an Olympic marathon. Similarly, many eighteenth-and nineteenth-century female norms — including a complete absence from the legal and medical professions as well as from any leadership roles in religious organizations — ought to be attributed to patriarchal restraints rather than

Because women have been barred

innate differences between women and men.

elucidate the genetic influences on gender

from so many activities, it can be difficult to

roles. Beyond basic plumbing, are there any aspects of male and

female bodies and behavior that we

can be certain are caused primarily by

genes? The answer is a definite yes.

We sure do look different. For one thing, men weigh 20% more on average than women, with the bulk of their extra

weight in muscle mass. Consequently, men

strength. They are also an average of five inches taller. This does not prove that genes instruct male bodies to grow bigger, though; a lot can happen over the course of a life — independent of genes — to influence their height and weight.

outperform women in most measures of

we can filter out progressively more of the influences of our environment and

If we look at younger children, however,

and girls to throw balls as far as they can,

upbringing. Ask some twelve-year-old boys

the top girls throw only as far as the least skilled boys.

Of course, by the age of twelve, partly

for instance, and there is almost no overlap;

due to the urgings of their parents, most boys have played organized sports for years. So let's gather instead a group of two- and three-year-olds and see how far they can throw. Granted, these little tykes can't throw a ball very far, but even at this socialization is too overwhelming, 90% of the boys can throw farther than the average girl.

early age, before the influence of

With ultrasound equipment it is now possible to extend these studies right into the womb. Fortunately for pregnant women,

scientists haven't asked fetuses how far they can throw a ball. But they can make estimates. Male fetuses have larger arm bones — both the radius and the ulna — than females relative

encouraged to start launching projectiles around the house — before Mom even knows whether she's carrying a boy or a girl — the boys have an advantage over their

to their body sizes. In other words, long before

boys have been

sisters.

Beyond these physical differences in

weight, height, and muscle mass, we can't be sure about the biology of gender.

Nevertheless, there are some striking regularities across all modern and historical

almost every society, women live longer than men; the average difference is seven

cultures that are worth discussing. In

years. Is this difference just a cultural artifact
— a non-biological consequence of some
feature unique to women's lives? Probably not,
considering how universal this finding is.

Among the native South American tribe of the Yanomamo, for example, life is short and violent: even with an average

longevity of only twenty years, girls still

Russians, the grim reaper works a little less

outlive boys by almost a year. Among

efficiently

— life expectancy is sixty-five — but with a similar penchant for males, who can expect thirteen years fewer than women.

Overall, 96% of the nations of the world report longer lifespans for women.

Interestingly, those few areas where men live longer are mostly cultures that treat

women terribly. In India, for instance, boys

are fifty times more likely to be taken to hospitals than girls, and girls are already four times as likely to be malnourished as boys. So in India, at least, men live longer because women are underfed and denied adequate medical care.

Just how big a role does biology play in the way men and women age? A final bit of intriguing evidence comes from studies of

the male hormone testosterone. In the

man's testicles reduces his testosterone
levels to almost zero.

In perhaps the most gruesome example

of the quantity versus quality of life trade-off, these castrated men — like neutered pets —

lived much longer than similar,

early 1900s, men committed to sanitariums

frequently were castrated. Removing a

testosterone-laden men. How much longer? Well, it seems the cost of keeping your testicles runs about fifteen years! The same is true of all animals: one of the only sure-fire ways to

is to remove its source of testosterone. We can conclude that, just as men are built

increase a male cat's lifespan, for example,

taller and heavier, women are built to live longer.

In searching for other human universals,

we're confronted with the obvious and frightening fact that, throughout the world, men commit the vast majority of crimes. In

the United States, for example, there are

prison; 93% are men.

to only 30% of females.

With the invention and proliferation of guns — the great equalizers of crime — physical limitations no longer restrict women from lives of crime. Yet there hasn't been any dramatic increase in crime by women or in the use of guns by women. Among robbers, for instance, 50% of males use guns compared

currently about two million people in

There is also evidence that male and female brains function somewhat

quickly than men. Now, brain-imaging techniques are starting to explain this

differently. After suffering strokes, women

recover their language abilities more

ability, revealing more balanced brain

activity in women's brains than in men's.

Behavioral tests have also long
documented that men and women use and

interpret language differently. Women can,

words more quickly than

men. If asked whether two nonsense

words rhyme (i.e., "gooz" and "rews"),

women again outperform men.

for instance, name objects and articulate

In another study, men and women were asked to reflect on the saddest images in their lives. As they did, scientists used brain scans to monitor which parts lit up — a reflection of increased mental activity. In both sexes the limbic system — that part of the

emotion — glowed brightly. In the women, though, the activity covered an area eight times larger than that in the men.

brain associated with expressing and feeling

different bodies, and our brains have some subtle differences. Does this mean that

Genes build men and women with

genes build us to have different brains? Not necessarily. Take language. It could be that brain differences cause men and women to

process language differently. But it could

themselves verbally and that brain
differences develop because of differential
language use. In either case, though, the
differences are real and intriguing.

also be that girls are encouraged to express

In summary, physical differences and the strong cross-cultural regularities in certain behaviors suggest some biological foundation to our gender roles. Because of the long-standing and pervasive

many unresolved questions. Freed from gender discrimination, for instance, would female crime rates approach those of males in a manner similar to the hoped-for

oppression of women, however, there are

convergence in salaries?

Let's return to our animal friends to see if their behavior, in a world free from TV

and other cultural influences, can help us understand our own lives.

They settle down in the desert close to good food sources and don't travel much.

Gender roles in animals. Female

Saharan gerbils have got it pretty easy.

Once they find a nice spot, they build a home with multiple exits so they can elude predators.

They spend their time running across the dangerous desert sands, calling on as many

The life of male gerbils is less idyllic.

and inquires whether she's interested in sex.

If her answer is yes, a brief bout ensues. If

not, the male leaves unsatisfied. In either

case, the male is back on the trail again

within minutes.

females as possible. Arriving at a female's

home, a male dispenses with pleasantries

females can just set up house in prime, safe locations and concentrate on bulking up on

It's tough to be a male gerbil. Whereas

Consequently, a male gerbil's life expectancy is much lower than a female's.

Equal numbers of both sexes are born, but

you'll find at least twice as many females in

the desert.

they eat less and are eaten more frequently.

food, males are on the road all the time;

Well, you can't make sales if you don't make calls. Imagine what would happen to

Why do males live such high-risk lives?

mating game. He might live to a ripe old age but would leave no offspring. Sons inherit genes from fathers who find the dangerous treks across hot desert sands worth the effort.

a male who decided to forgo this perilous

worth the effort.

Female gerbils, it turns out, don't live completely carefree lives either. After

giving birth, they get no help from males. In fact, that's another way of understanding

the work of raising babies, a male who happens on a willing female gets a genetic reward with no further effort. It's this payoff that

the male's behavior. Because females do all

The same theme of male risk-taking to secure female child care occurs throughout

the animal kingdom. In Natterjack toads,

motivates such dangerous travels.

large males and size determines volume, females push their way through murky swamps until at last they reach the loudest croaker. They are drawn by calls that can be heard a mile away and are often louder than the legal limit for a car engine.

What do males get for their calls? Well,

sex, of course. Quiet males are completely

for example, males produce booming calls

to attract females. Because females desire

noise are also at high risk to be eaten by bats that hone in on the calls. Male toads that want sex must take risks.

ignored by females. But toads that make

So in gerbils, toads, and thousands of other species, we find a common theme: females do the bulk of the work when it comes to offspring while the male contribution is just a bit of sperm.

Accordingly, females hold the keys to the

compete for their favor. With such a grand genetic prize at stake, the males take deadly risks to win.

bedroom, and males have evolved to

we've seen males compete for females, but that's not the only game in town. Among some tiny birds called phalaropes, for

example, everything about the sex roles is

reversed. Females are 25% bigger than

Mr. Moms of the animal world. So far

The females court males and will even kill another female's chicks in cold blood if it

helps them gain access to a male.

males, and it's the females that maintain

territories containing one or more males.

What causes this behavior? In this species, the males are the ones that care for the babies. A male can take care of a

an egg factory, laying up to four times her

maximum of four eggs, but a female can be

find a guy, mate with him, lay four eggs, and then hit the road in search of more males.

The sex roles are reversed, but the

message is the same: one sex provides

own body weight in just forty days. Females

most of the parental care and the other gets a free ride on the investing parent.

Accordingly, members of the freeload-ing

one another for genetic prizes.

The "Mr. Moms" of the animal world

uniformly demonstrate these behaviors. In

sex, that don't invest much, compete with

one gender-bender case study, we meet the moorhen. One sex is big, aggressive, and nasty — they're the females. The other sex is soft-spoken and diffident. These are the males. The much larger females aggressively squabble over mates who will incubate their eggs.

Furthermore, the moorhen females are particularly picky about male body

aesthetics. The ideal father must have large energy reserves on his body but still have

the small frame optimal for incubating eggs; in other words, he's a squat, pudgy

Females get all worked up over these boys

machine perfect for sitting on eggs.

and mix it up in vicious fights for them.

As we continue our tour of animal mating behavior, we return to the bizarre

and frightening elephant seal. During the breeding season, these animals appear on

islands off the coast of northern California.

The females hang out in large groups on just a few prime beaches. Because they

stick close together, the biggest males can dominate others in a competition for sexual

five highest-ranking males fathered 85% of the offspring.

access. In one study with 115 males, the

short and filled with violence. With rich genetic rewards at stake, males are built for battle: more ferocious than a charging bull

Among elephant seals, a male's life is

the three-month mating season, these powerful animals guard parts of the beach

and three times the size of a female. During

and do not take even a moment to eat.

Even if a male manages to attain top rank

for a season, he is often so exhausted from lack of food and constant battles that he is never seen again after his reign of glory.

The vast majority of males die without ever having sex.

Body size is an important clue to behavior. In contrast to the enormous difference in body size of elephant seals, the same size. In these species, even expert bird-watchers often cannot distinguish

males and females of most bird species are

between the sexes except during pregnancy. Why do species differ in this way?

One rule of thumb for predicting the size differences is the level of competition.

then members of that sex will bulk up to

If one sex has a winner-take-all tournament,

competitive nature of a particular species, therefore, is counting babies.

win the competition. One clue to the

In elephant seals, a male may have over 100 offspring, compared to the female record of 8. In contrast, male and female kittiwake gulls have almost identical numbers of offspring. The documented lifetime record for a female gull is 28, for a male, 26.

a species hinges on how helpless the babies are. In many bird species, it takes two

Frequently, the level of competition in

parents to raise a brood, so a pair may stay together for a season or

longer. If each female can only pair up with one male, there really can't be much

competition for mates.

In turn, we can predict a great deal about the parental practices of a species just by looking at a picture of a male and a female. Simply examine the ratio of body sizes. If the two are dramatically different, like moorhens and elephant seals, you can be confident that the smaller sex is doing most of the childcare.

So far, our discussion has focused on the ardent sex. But the story is more

nuanced. Male moorhens do not accept any female. They don't have to. Besides,

possible. Since males will bring up the family, they want the best genes in those eggs.

they are interested in getting the best mate

Learning about ourselves by watching animals. Can our knowledge about the sex lives of animals help us find meaning for our own lives? In many respects, we are simply average mammals. A woman carries the physical burden of pregnancy and, until

recently, provided several years of milk for the baby.

pregnancy is estimated to be 80,000 calories, or the equivalent of more than 300

The total investment of a woman in one

think of it as the amount of energy needed to run 800 miles. (Are you really willing to run from New York to Florida for a night of

sex?) In contrast, a man's investment may

hamburgers at McDonald's. Better still,

commercial or involve more than five milliliters of fluid.

not even last as long as a Super Bowl

The 1975 movie *A Boy and His*Dog illustrated an even more dramatic

difference between men and women. It is
the year

2024, and nuclear war has turned the earth into a barren desert. One survivor is played by a young Don Johnson, who

canine pal. In a key scene, he is kidnapped by a group of survivors living underground.

Years of inbreeding in this society of

struggles to make a living with the help of a

about 100,000 people has "thinned their seed." The kidnappers reveal that they have captured our young star so that he can impregnate the women and rejuvenate

their tired genetic stock. Envisioning a future even brighter than starring on *Miami*

Vice. Don is instead soon horrified to find himself strapped into a sexual milking machine. At his expense we are reminded that a tablespoon of human semen contains enough sperm to fertilize every woman in North America.

They couldn't have made A Girl and Her Dog because women are limited to one pregnancy every year or so at most. The women's record holder is Mrs. Feodor

pregnancies); Emperor Moulay Ismail's harem produced 888 children. It seems a fitting reminder of the pervasive suppression of women that no records even exist of the first name of the female reproductive champ. She is doomed to be remembered simply as Feodor's wife. Our animal evidence leads us to predict

that human males would be larger than

Vassiley, with 69 children (in 27

die from a range of behaviors, and that males would take part in risky competitions

females, that females would be less likely to

How do these predictions fare? Well, as

we noted earlier, human males are 20%

designed to attract females.

larger than females and men do die at younger ages. For every mile driven on

American highways, men are much more

likely than women to die in an accident. The biggest divergence comes in the teenage

years, when young men die at more than two and a half times the rate for women.

Other animals show a similar risky
pattern. Among a species of primates called
macaques, for example, male mortality is

higher during the years that rank is being

access to females. Other animals show seasonal variations in risky behaviors. In one group of rhesus monkeys, for example, male injuries from fights soared by more

established. But it's a risk worth taking:

high-ranking males have preferential

than 600% in the mating season.

differences in human behavior. There is a huge difference, for example, in the market

We can see other evidence of these

per ejaculation. Human eggs, on the other hand, fetch from \$5,000 to more than \$80,000.

In addition to a difference in

willingness to have casual sex, there are

price for male and female gametes. For a

sperm "donor" the going rate is about \$100

other regularities in the commercial sex trade. With the exception of a few

Chippendale men, exotic dancers are women. Pornography is also used primarily by men.

that men were the ones looking at the nude male centerfolds.) Myths of the American

gigolo notwithstanding, male and female

prostitutes share one feature: their

(Even a survey conducted by *Playgirl* found

customers are male.

Are there genes for

biology deal with a behavior that seems at odds with reproduction? Natural selection

homosexuality? How does evolutionary

having babies, and exclusive homosexuality appears an unlikely route

certainly seems to place a premium on

answer is that no one has a satisfactory explanation for the prevalence of

to reproductive success. The short

homosexuality in humans and other species.

Still, there are some interesting hints.

strong genetic component. Scientists often examine twins to try to untangle the role of genes. Identical twins have the exact same set of genes, whereas fraternal twins share only about half of their genes. Traits like

First, we know that homosexuality has a

only about half of their genes. Traits like eye color are determined by genes and are always shared by identical twins. Other traits like height are influenced, but not completely determined, by genes. So

One study looked at sexual orientation in 55 pairs of identical male twins and 55 pairs of fraternal male twins. In each pair,

one of the twins was known to be gay. The

researchers sent a questionnaire to the

identical twins are closer to each other in

height than are fraternal twins.

brother, asking about his sexual orientation.

Among the identical twins, in 52% of the

cases both brothers were gay. Among the

were both gay.

Second, human homosexuality appears

fraternal twins, in only 22% of the pairs

to be affected very little by childhood influences. One researcher looked at a

sample of families where sons had "girlish"

distraught enough that they enlisted the

habits. A quarter of the parents were

help of psychologists trained to discourage homosexual tendencies. Did it work? Not

themselves as gay or bisexual, actually a

slightly higher percentage than those boys

one bit. As adults, three-quarters identified

who received no counseling.

Further evidence of the relative

unimportance of a child's environment comes from some societies in New Guinea.

In an area

demands that all young males engage in homosexual acts, teaching that the consumption of semen is required for a boy's growth to manhood.

called the "semen belt," tradition

The best studied of these societies, the Sambia, are among the most warlike of all cultures. After their adolescent years of exclusive homosexuality, most adult men marry women and become exclusively

indoctrination, the prevalence of adult homosexuality among the Sambia is lower than that in the United States.

Finally, if we look beyond humans, we

heterosexual. In fact, despite the childhood

find that same-sex stimulation takes place in many species. Our close genetic neighbors the chimpanzee-like bonobos are particularly enthusiastic participants in all sorts of sex.

Females pair up frequently for what is called g-g rubbing, in which they face each other and grind their clitorises together with 2.2 side-to-side moves per second, the same timing as a male during intercourse.

Sometimes a panting and ecstatic female

bonobo will even fall out of a tree and crash to the ground, stunned, when she becomes so caught up in her little pleasure session that she forgets to hold on to something. In addition to same-sex contact,

humans, apes, and some other

large-brained species have separated sex

from procreation. For example, bonobo

adolescents — male and female alike — frequently perform oral sex on young males, and it is common for adult males to masturbate

heterosexual couples engage in sex that could not result in babies, such as sex during most of the woman's reproductive cycle, sex during

pregnancy, and oral, anal, and masturbatory sex.

adolescent males. In humans, many

many other behaviors. What may be unique, however, is that many humans retain an exclusive homosexual orientation throughout their life. In contrast, many

So the non-reproductive nature of

human homosexual contact is shared with

specific situations.

Among gelada baboons, for example,
the biggest adult males maintain harems of

animals have homosexual contact only in

any females, meanwhile, travel together in male groups with frequent homosexual

females. Smaller males that are left without

attains a female, however, he engages exclusively in heterosexual behavior.

stimulation. Once one of these males

Even these switch-hitting baboons lead boring lives compared with members of some species who can naturally change sex.

For example, the blue-headed wrasse is a

begin life as females and continue to pump out eggs as they age and grow larger. Every reef section has one extremely large,

batches of eggs a day. When the male dies,

the largest female spontaneously changes

territorial male that fertilizes up to forty

fish that lives on coral reefs. All wrasses

sex and starts producing sperm.

Wrasses have simply pushed the concept of gender to its logical conclusion.

As we have seen across the animal kingdom, one sex inevitably invests more in the production of offspring and the other sex competes for access to these choosy, committed parents. The greater the disparity of investment, the more dramatic the differences — physical and behavioral — between the sexes.

Beyond the question of why we see gender differences, there is the practical question of how these differences are actually brought about. Genes that underlie a behavior — say, mate

choosiness or territoriality — can find

themselves in a male or female body.

Depending on which it is, the genes must

ways. Let's take a look at how they can do this.

often be expressed in dramatically different

gender-specific behaviors. Young males are said to suffer from "testosterone poisoning." The label is appropriate:

Hormones induce many

testosterone is a proven carcinogen, and, as we saw earlier, males without testicles live much longer than intact males. While all

humans make testosterone, the level in

one of the primary fuels generating male behavior.

men is about ten times that in women; it is

testosterone for bodybuilding and other athletic purposes because testosterone and a few of its chemical variants are so widely

used. Look at some of the behaviors of men

on steroids.

We can learn a lot from people taking

Gary had a friend videotape him while he drove his new Corvette into a tree at thirty-five miles per hour. On three separate occasions. Steve chased down the drivers of cars that cut him off in traffic. He then terrorized the drivers, smashing their windows with a tire iron. Chris rammed his head through a wooden door in a fit of anger. And Donny beat and almost killed his dog.

of steroid-induced "glory" — besides being true — makes a case for testosterone as an important component of male madness. You see, none of these men had any history of violence.

Their names have been changed to protect the stupid, but each of these moments

Women, too, are powerfully affected by testosterone. On average, women with

hairier and have had more sexual partners.

Among female prisoners, those with high

naturally higher levels of testosterone are

testosterone levels are more violent. When

they report increased confidence, added sexual desire and satisfaction, and are happier overall. Except for the minor fact that it can kill us and can cause violence, testosterone is a wonder drug that makes us powerful, confident, and happy.

women take testosterone supplements,

levels of testosterone. The result: they are larger than males and socially dominant.

Female hyenas have spectacularly high

you're another hyena — looks just like the real deal. Nevertheless, female hyenas surpass the males when it comes time to care for the young.

Testosterone may be the hormone with

They also sport a pseudo-penis that — unless

the most dramatic effects on behavior, but it's not the only one. Estrogen, too, is a powerful force that can induce typical gender-specific behaviors. This was humorously — and perhaps a bit cruelly — demonstrated in experiments with rats.

First, some males were castrated at birth.

Then, at puberty, they were injected with a little dose of estrogen. How did they respond? The confused male rodents immediately assumed

behavior — called lordosis — the male's front paws are lowered and his hind legs are raised, his back is arched, and his tail is

the female mating position. In this stereotypical

The obliteration of gender roles was

females had their ovaries removed and were injected with a bit of testosterone.

made complete in this study when some

Sure enough, these females

moved to one side.

through all of the thrusting motions of copulation.

mounted other females and went

The researchers, of course, couldn't resist bringing the experiments to their logical conclusion. Putting together a "male" that had been treated with estrogen and a "female" treated with testosterone, they created a bumbling — but apparently satisfied pair of feverishly aroused animals in which an eager male in lordosis was mounted and "mated" by a female.

commercial an Enjoli woman sang, "I can bring home the bacon, fry it up in a pan,

The road ahead. In a 1970s perfume

and never ever let you forget you're a man, cuz I'm a woman." The advertisement reflected the gender politics of its time.

Women, freed from historical shackles, could take on traditional male roles. If gender differences were entirely cultural,

there should be no barrier to the complete

universities even went so far as to have coed bathrooms.

homogenization of men and women. Some

Today the picture is much less clear.

Many traditional barriers to women's

advancement have been torn down, but emulating males seems unlikely to emerge as the road to female happiness. Part of the reason is that so many of the things that make women and men happy are simply different.

With advanced technology, women no longer need to breastfeed infants. It's not much of a stretch to imagine a world in which pregnancy is accomplished by some

men and women would be different. Our genes come from an earlier era; our brains

technological feat, but even in that world,

and emotional structures reflect that period and will not change anytime soon.

These biological differences complicate

the push for equal rights. If men and women were identical, we might expect, or even require, equal representation in all

our different tastes, however, it seems unwise to mandate equal outcomes. For example, the majority of young

jobs and in all majors at college. In light of

pediatricians and gynecologists are women.

Does this mean male medical students are

being discriminated against? Not necessarily.

Similarly, college enrollments are becoming increasingly dominated by women despite no apparent bias in admissions. Women get better grades in high school and appear to be more interested in getting college degrees.

however, that by combining equal rights with a deeper understanding of human nature, we can all be happier.

A polling firm asked, "If you were to be

So women are still from Venus and

men from Mars. There is no simple path to

ensuring gender equality. The hope is,

women wanted to come back as men and

born again, would you rather return as a

male or female?" Fifty years ago, most

woman. In 1996, men still liked being men but women said they'd prefer to be reborn as a woman.

not a single man wanted to come back as a

Beauty It's more than skin deep

is beauty? At first glance the answer appears to depend on where and whom

Beauty is in the eye of the gene. What

you ask. Among the Yanomamo of South

makeup to advertise scars on their heads.

Members of some cultures spend years

America, for example, men use bright red

enlarging their lips or stretching their necks.

In many cultures, women never cover their breasts, while in others men spend inordinate amounts of time and money inducing women to uncover them.

Even within a culture the definition of

"beauty" changes dramatically over time. In

nineteenth-century America, feminine beauty involved pale skin and rounded bodies; current tastes lean in exactly the opposite direction. In the absence of any obvious common themes, we're left to conclude that beauty fads, like those in fashion and music, are controlled by the magazine editors, advertisers, and cosmetics companies of Madison Avenue. Right?

Wrong. The winds and whims of culture certainly play an important role, but beauty

fads and fashions still rest squarely on a biological foundation. Consider the

connection between beauty and health.

Who would you rather kiss, a person with

obvious, and practically invisible,

clear, healthy skin or someone showing multiple symptoms of disease? A graceful

sluggard? Does a runny nose turn you on?

The answers seem like common sense,

athlete like Michael Jordan or a clumsy

but where does that common sense come from? Was it necessary for your mother and father to teach you to be disgusted by open sores? Healthy, physically robust individuals

accident. Better genes are more likely to live in better bodies. We are descended

are universally attractive, and this isn't an

partners, and we have inherited their genetic standards of beauty.

from people who chose healthy, agile

We're no different from other animals in this respect. Female rabbits of some species chase males at high speed for long periods of time. Only after successfully running a mini-marathon is a male accepted into the role of father. Similarly, many snakes engage in vigorous

The meek may inherit the earth, but they

male-female wrestling matches before sex.

will not be the descendants of slow rabbits or weak snakes.

Other sexual rituals have a health checkup built right in. Among the Ugandan

kob (a relative of the antelope), all mating

takes place while literally hopping across
the African savanna. Males and females too

clumsy or unhealthy to hop and hump at

the same time are left behind in this mating game. Among many monkey species,

intercourse requires males to balance themselves acrobatically on the hind legs of females. Not a feat to be attempted by the sick, feeble, or tired.

Humans across the world favor clear skin. As with the running rabbits, wrestling snakes, and hopping antelopes, there is a

health reason. Parasitic infection, disease,

and other illnesses often manifest themselves in the skin. A clear complexion advertises health among humans, just as vigor and strength do for animals. One study found that cultures where parasitic infections are common put an even higher value than others do on clear skin.

we're not limited to the skin. Good genes reveal themselves in other, subtler ways as

If we are interested in genetic quality,

one of these ways is physical symmetry — a feature that humans subconsciously find attractive.

well. As we mentioned in the introduction.

If we were perfectly constructed, we would be exactly symmetrical. Why is that?

Humans have a single genetic blueprint that specifies how to build both the left and

genes," "breast genes," and "eye placement genes." Individual genes do the work for

right sides of the body in the form of "hand

conditions during development and a set of genes unable to cope with them.

No one, not even a supermodel, is built

both sides of our bodies. Departures from

complete symmetry can be thought of as

scars reflecting rough environmental

foot width, breast size, everything.

Generally they are quite minor, almost

deviations from left to right in arm length,

perfectly, though. We each have our

fingers, for instance, varied by 2% -4%.

Marilyn Monroe was aware of her

imperceptible. In one study, left and right index

asymmetries. Of her two sides, she felt her right side was better. Consequently, it is

very hard to find a picture of her taken straight on.

Across the natural world, there is a close relationship between an organism's

symmetry and overall health and status. For

symmetrical individuals run faster than their more lopsided competitors. Flowers that are more symmetrical produce more nectar, and bees preferentially home in on them.

example, among thoroughbred horses,

Symmetry is also a near universal aphrodisiac. In a study of forty-one species, symmetrical animals were found more attractive and sexually desirable in over

asymmetric animal is a drag. Such creatures

grow more slowly, die at younger ages, and

75% of the cases. Life as a relatively

have significantly less sex. With animals so keen to key in on this obvious barometer of health, should we be surprised if humans

join them?

Of course not. Like other animals,
humans care about symmetry, even if only

subconsciously. One study presented

people with a choice between a normal photo of a person and a photo of the same person manipulated so that the left and right sides were identical mirror images. The evaluators professed to be unable to distinguish any difference between the photos, yet when pushed to rate one more attractive, they overwhelmingly chose the perfectly symmetrical face.

symmetry with the naked eye. Most of the time, however, our guesses of who is symmetrical and who is not are wildly inaccurate. To really know requires precise

In extreme cases, we can assess human

Amazingly, we may actually be better at sniffing out symmetry. In one truly bizarre experiment, a group of men were measured for symmetry and given plain

scientific measuring devices.

were not allowed to use scented soaps or colognes

white T-shirts to wear for several days. They

were good and stinky, the men placed them in anonymously coded bags.

during this period. When the shirts

A group of women were then enlisted to open the bags, stick their noses in, and take a deep inhale of the aroma. When they

much they liked each shirt. What happened?

recovered, they were asked to rate how

Across the board, the more attractive the smelly shirt's scent, the more symmetrical

the man it had come from.

We're never really sure why — consciously, that is — but there is just something irresistibly desirable about symmetrical people. And it goes way beyond simply producing award-winning dirty laundry.

Men with symmetrical bodies have sex

three to four years earlier than other men and have more than twice as many lovers.

One study looked at elections and found, cross-culturally, that people can predict with uncanny accuracy politicians' electabil-ity by looking only at still photos and video clips of the candidates. Bill Clinton scores so high on symmetry that the computer rates him in the male model category.

Under the heading "More Information

Than We Wanted to Know" comes a study

that makes us wonder whether the men and women in lab coats with clipboards have gone too far. Over several months, the sex lives of eighty-six heterosexual couples were put under a magnifying glass. Both partners were measured for symmetry, then they were asked to record every intimate detail of their lovemaking.

symmetrical a man is, the more likely his
partner will reach orgasm. Nothing else
predicted the
likelihood of females experiencing orgasm

- not the man's attractiveness, not his

height, not his potential earnings or his

The stunning result? The more

sexual experience, not even the couple's ratings of their feeling of love. (Right about now, we're all wondering where we can buy

the equipment necessary for measuring our potential partners'symmetry.)

be influenced by male symmetry? The answer appears to be that this is yet

Why on earth would a female orgasm

another chance for a woman to exercise choice over who fertilizes her valuable eggs.

When a woman has an orgasm during intercourse, more sperm is retained in her reproductive tract, and consequently she is

differential orgasm rates improve a woman's chances for having a symmetrical child.

more likely to become pregnant. Thus,

child.

Cross-cultural studies offer more
evidence for the biological basis of
attraction. In many experiments, people are
asked to compare photographs of people

from other cultures and their own, rating them for attractiveness. For example, when

photographs of Chinese women, the rankings of beauty match.

American and Chinese men rate

between numerous cultures, including India

Similar findings have been shown

and England, South Africa and America,
Russia and Brazil, and between black and

white Americans. We agree on who is beautiful.

beauty because we watch the same TV

Do we all have the same conception of

shows and movies? To find out,
anthropologists traveled far off the beaten
track to visit the Ache and Hiwi, two
isolated, modern foraging groups without
any media contact.

When asked to rate photos for attractiveness, they find the same people attractive as every other culture does.

stare longer at pictures of attractive people than unattractive people. So underlying the vast variation in styles and beauty, we find biology and more biology. Beauty is as

much in the gene of the beholder as the

Finally, even three-month-old babies

who do we find attractive? Recently a
Spanish couple about to get married made

news when they discovered they were

brother and sister. The general revulsion at their love reveals perhaps the most common feature underlying our relationships: our partners are not our siblings. There are few letdowns more stinging than to "be loved like a sister,"

meaning no romantic feelings. This feature of attractiveness is interesting because its biological roots are so clear.

babies. From mice to monkeys, animals are reluctant to have offspring with siblings. If there are no other options, fruit flies will

mate with a brother or sister, but they then

show a noticeable delay in copulation as

compared to mating with other flies.

Almost all animals avoid mating with

close relatives because it makes for bad

The Russian royal family is a famous example of the costs of breeding with close

including a prevalence of hemophiliacs.

Babies who are born through incestuous

relatives. It was filled with genetic disorders,

unions die at twice the rate of others; those who survive show dramatically higher rates of many diseases, including mental

How do we avoid falling in love with our relatives? For most of us it seems

obvious: we just aren't interested in our

retardation and heart deformities.

aversion develops. In some Asian cultures, marriages are arranged and future husbands and wives are brought up under

the same roof from childhood. This is when

things go wrong.

brothers and sisters. A study of marital

discord, though, reveals exactly how this

There appears to be a crucial period — from birth to two and a half years of age — during which couples that cohabit indelibly identify each other as sibling rather than spousal material. If they marry later, things

the rate of other couples, for instance, and have many other problems, including an

increased rate of infidelity by women.

rarely work out. The Asian couples brought

together as infants divorce at three times

Human incest avoidance thus has a clear purpose and mechanism. We avoid our siblings for the genetic health of our offspring. We have an instinctual, unconscious romantic aversion to anyone

we grow up with at a very young age.

Returning to our Spanish couple, it should be no surprise that this brother and sister had never met until adulthood; otherwise they'd have never fallen in love.

The desire for genetic diversity in our partners extends beyond simply avoiding our siblings. At a party a few years ago,

Jean, a friend of ours with a Western

to Ali, a man of Middle Eastern descent.

That's putting it mildly. Jean describes

European heritage, was powerfully drawn

visceral need, completely at odds with her conscious evaluation that she loved her current boyfriend and

rational side thought he wasn't a good

Ali lived in a different city. Although her

her attraction to him as an animalistic and

immediately began an affair with Ali and broke off her long-term relationship that had been heading for marriage.

match, Jean's animal side won: she

had been heading for marriage. Jean's experience accords with studies showing that we are most attracted to people who have different immune system markers than our own. This biological feature, called MHC or HLA, varies among

people and is more likely to be different in

When we mate with people very different from ourselves, we bring together

people from different parts of the world.

combinations of genes that make for vigorous, healthy offspring.

Our discussion of the mating game up

to this point highlights the tremendous amount that men and women have in common when finding partners. Both are looking for good genes in vigorous,

clear-skinned, symmetrical partners who are not their relatives. Furthermore, as humans we are unique among the great apes in having both men and women contribute to child-care. We need each other and, accordingly, both men and women seek partners who are likely to be good parents.

This common ground is reassuring. But, as you're no doubt aware, the biological

differences between men and women have some pretty big ramifications for behavior. A woman will produce about four hundred potentially fertile eggs in her lifetime. In contrast, a man launches three hundred million sperm per ejaculation. Because humans evolved in a world with few fertile eggs and a galaxy of sperm, men and women have a handful of different mating behaviors and aesthetic criteria.

What do men want? Look at the current

of feminine beauty. Look back to the

winner from twenty years ago and you will

Miss America and you will find one example

find another, quite different — perhaps thinner — example. Go back to Miss America from the roaring twenties for yet another, this one a tad plumper.

Americas reveals them to be a surprisingly diverse lot — in every category, that is, but one. Although the bodies of the winners are

Indeed, a close inspection of Miss

sometimes larger and sometimes smaller

measurement is divided by the hip
measurement for more than sixty Miss
Americas from the 1920s to the '80s, the
calculation never deviates from the tight
range of 0.69-0.72.

over the decades, their hourglass shape

never varies. In particular, when the waist

sort of woman can we conjure up with such a ratio? Well, one year it might be a 26-inch

What does this 0.7 ratio mean? What

might be a 22-inch waist and 31-inch hips.

Our perception of a woman's beauty, it

waist and 37-inch hips. A few years later it

turns out, depends more on shape than on size.

This desire guides more than just the

Miss America judges. Consider this: Audrey
Hepburn checked in with a waist-to-hip of

0.7 (31.5-22-31). So did Marilyn Monroe, at

36-24-34. This ratio holds for fashion

Macpherson, both coming in at 0.7. In fact, men from almost all cultures find women with the 0.7 ratio most attractive. When

models as well, from tiny Twiggy to Elle

asked to rate photos or even drawings of women, this preference guides their choices.

Although subconscious, there is

something special about that 0.7 that only a gene could love. Scientists studying

ratios were the most fertile. A

different study of women using artificial insemination found that women

with ratios under 0.8 were twice as likely to get pregnant as women with ratios over 0.8.

So men are attracted to a particular hourglass shape because it indicates fertility.

show a preference for younger women and for traits associated with youth — full lips, big eyes, and radiant hair. There's nothing specifically desirable about youth per se: it's simply that fertility tends to decrease as we age. Other cultural icons track these desires faithfully.

In addition, men throughout the world

With more than ten million units sold,
"Totally Hair" Barbie, with a thick and
flowing youthful coiffure, is the sales leader

in this long line of dolls.

In a related line of research, computers are used to manipulate the images of

female faces. The modified pictures are

then rated for attractiveness. Oddly, an average face, a composite of a large set of photographs, is rated more attractive than

almost any individual face. In part, this is

because the merged photograph is more

symmetrical than the individual pictures,

but there also seems to be some beauty in the average.

The most beautiful women's pictures have some common features. They are more feminine, in the sense that they have thinner jaws, larger eyes, and a shorter distance between the mouth and chin. Cover girls share all of these features, as well as having plumper lips and smaller noses, all of which enhance the

simply have exaggerated features of

youth-fulness of a face. So fashion models

Many animals show this same

average women.

behavioral preference. Consider herring gulls, for instance. Normally, when the baby

gulls, for instance. Normally, when the baby birds peck at the red spot on their parents'

beaks, they are given food.

parents by crafting wooden sticks with an even larger red spot than that on most birds, the chicks preferred the sticks over their parents.

When researchers made artificial

Another researcher made fake fish that he called "sex bombs." They had grossly exaggerated fertility features compared to those of normal females, far beyond what would ever be natural. Male fish vigorously

healthy, real females. Our genes know what to look for, but if they're tuning into simple

pursued the fake sex bombs and ignored

Makeup and cosmetic surgery are attempts to enhance desirable features.

features they can be fooled.

Lipstick and collagen injections both make lips look larger and more youthful. Skin products seek to mimic the clear-skinned

(remember, our genes read this as

"parasite-free") look of healthy people.

Because these preferences are innate,

humans have used cosmetics since at least

the time of the Egyptian pharaohs, and perhaps for as long as forty thousand years.

couple of weeks I have seen advertisements for the Wonder Bra. Is that really a problem in this country? Men not paying enough

attention to women's breasts?" His point is

Jay Leno once commented, "In the last

a good one. Americans, among others, are obsessed with the female breast.

Interesting theories abound, including the

emphasis in shows such as *Baywatch*. To be sure, the media play a role, but this can't explain why, compared to those of other primates, human breasts are enormous.

Presumably there is a reason for this uniquely human feature, but what it is remains a mystery. There is no known

Women with larger breasts do not produce more milk or have healthier children.

reproductive advantage to large breasts.

Neverthe-

than a hundred thousand American women undergo breast augmentation each year.

less, we remain obsessed, and more

The male concern for physical beauty is not limited to women. Many studies

document the high premium placed on looks and youth in the gay community.

Compared to heterosexuals, homosexual men in personal ads disproportionately indicate a desire for physical beauty and

advertise their own. Ads by and for lesbian

women, in contrast, more frequently

mention friendship and money.

One final attribute of attraction reminds us how simple desire can be: men

sign. When a woman is genuinely excited by a man, her pupils tend to dilate,

sometimes becoming enormous.

like women who are interested in them. But

how does a man recognize this interest? He

may be tipped off by a seemingly trivial

In several studies, psychologists toyed with men's emotions by manipulating this usually honest signal. Men were

approached and asked to participate in a

already were participating in one). Half of the female recruiters, it turns out, had their pupils enlarged with eye drops before approaching any men. The other half were working with their normal-sized pupils.

psychology study (not realizing that they

The result? Men volunteered in droves when the recruiters' pupils had been enlarged. Similarly, when photographs are altered to enlarge the pupils, men

Significant benefits accrue to beautiful women in non-sexual domains. In a study

significantly increase their rating of the

subject's beauty.

of women in business, every point of beauty on the researcher's rating scale was worth \$2,000 a year in salary. But there are costs to beauty

as well. According to several studies, more

women (even other beautiful women) and have a tougher time maintaining

friendships.

attractive women are liked less by other

What do women want? Marilyn Monroe reportedly dated John F. Kennedy for a

while, but didn't have sex with him until he was elected president. In many species, females only mate with the highest-status males. Black grouse, for example, are

part in a zany mating ritual. The males
(cocks) congregate and vigorously fight for
control of a patch of land while females
(hens) sit on the sidelines and check out all
the posturing and posing.

plump, ground-dwelling birds that take

the posturing and posing.

The territory that the males fight over has no resources, and neither males nor females feed there. It is simply a place for

the males to show their wares. Because

sperm, it would seem that they are free to choose any cock that tickles their fancy. Yet

hens get nothing from the males other than

they uniformly mate with the most vigorous male on his worthless territory.

Status pays for humans as well. In one recent study of almost two thousand marriages, women who married

better-educated men had more success than others. Specifically, those with

were less likely to get divorced, and were happier in their marriages.

high-status husbands had more children,

in their quest for successful reproduction.

Features that help in this struggle will be

All animals seek mates that help them

attractive, and women advance their interests by joining forces

had sex with her young male intern?

Neither have we. Great power, when held
by women, is not an aphrodisiac.

about the powerful female senator who

with high-status men. Have you heard

In addition to status, money plays a central role in male attractiveness. In personal ads, women mention money more than ten times as often as men do. Women also advertise for love and commitment,

the majority of ads placed by men. In psychology experiments, women strongly prefer ugly men wearing Rolexes to handsome men wearing Burger King uniforms.

characteristics conspicuously absent from

When Jay goes to work some days, he wears what we call "the double Burger King." In fact, both of us divide our outfits into three gradations: "normal," "Burger

"double-BK." Although baggy sweatpants and a frayed T-shirt are the most

King," and the aforementioned

comfortable, we remind ourselves that guys in low-status clothes are ugly.

Why, then, does Jay sometimes wear a

torn T-shirt, shorts, and sandals? When he wants to work extra hard, he knows that he will be so embarrassed by the double-BK

look that he won't leave his office.

socialize or even go to any campus restaurants.

Consequently, he is not tempted to

Women value resources in relationships for the same reason they value status — they further their own agendas with the food, clothing, shelter, and other goods that

money buys. Interestingly, women with the

importance on a potential spouse's financial position than do other women.

best earning prospects place even higher

These female preferences show up in marriage data. Since it takes time to acquire resources, male attractiveness increases

with age. In the average twentieth-century

American marriage, husbands are three

same as in seventeenth-century Holland. Hundreds of cultures have a similar age

years older than their wives, exactly the

gap.

Women are looking for financially secure guys with status, but they are not

looking for old men. In the United States, however, forty-year-old males make, on average, \$21,000 a year more than

twenty-year-old males. So women seeking good mates end up with older men, but age itself is no turn-on. This explains why

American men spend over a billion dollars a

year to mitigate baldness, one of the most obvious signs of aging.

Women have a good genetic reason to avoid old men. Whereas a woman makes her full complement of eggs even before she is born, a man makes sperm

throughout his life. As a man ages, his sperm increasingly become copies of copies of copies of copies, with a consequent multiplication of DNA errors.

One night Kristi, a friend of Terry's, was unenthusiastically discussing her date to

of her displeasure, Terry asked a series of questions. Was her date smart? Yes,

the college prom. Curious about the source

Einstein-like. Athletic? Muscles a-bulging.
Witty? Razor sharp. "This guy seems like a

great date. What's the problem?" asked

Terry. "He's really short, like five feet tall,"

answered Kristi. Puzzle solved.

So in addition to status and money, a man looking for a woman should consider elevator shoes. Society grants all sorts of benefits to tall men, and not surprisingly,

on a man's height. In business, an inch of height is worth more than \$1,000 a year.

women place a high value

Thirty-nine of forty-one American presidents have been above average in

defeated McGovern. Because there are so many benefits to being tall, we all posture a bit, and almost three-quarters of people

exaggerate their height when asked.

height, and the taller candidate has won

almost every campaign. One notable

exception was when the shorter Nixon

beauty help? Aesthetic values are derived, in part, from our animal heritage. They

How does our understanding of

generally can't make ourselves taller or choose the genetic components of our sweat, does this knowledge help or hinder?
We think it helps in two ways.

color our perceptions of mates, friends,

co-workers, and politicians. Since we

searched for magic clues to desirability. Did we need to become skinny, drug-addicted

makes people attractive. As adolescents, we

First, there's no guessing about what

rules of the dating game? Now the answers are clear, and the good news is that many of these universal aphrodisiacs — which we'll elaborate on below — can be attained by everyone. Second, by becoming aware of our beauty biases, we have more control over our

rock stars or emulate TV idols to learn the

Let's address these biases. Every
human comes equipped with an

unconscious preference for certain traits. In one study, for example, four hundred

fifth-grade student on a variety of scales.

teachers were each asked to evaluate one

The teachers

received the exact same written

description and a photo of either an

attractive or unattractive child. They rated
the good-looking child more sociable,
more popular, and even more intelligent.

Some people learn to use their looks to manipulate others. During a fifth-grade

lunch, Terry was very surprised when Nancy,

one of the cutest girls in his elementary school, came and sat with him. She said,

"That brownie is stale. You're not going to

eat it, are you?" Still stunned at his good fortune of having cute Nancy talking to him,

Terry replied, "Of course not." "Good, then

brownie and never spoke to Terry again.

you won't mind if I eat it." Nancy took the

attractive women is known more generally as "the cute girl discount," and it's universal.

The phenomenon of granting favors to

In a slightly more scientific version of
Terry's brownie experiment, dimes were
planted in phone booths. When someone
started using the phone, a woman would

approach and ask, "Did I leave my dime

got their dime back compared with only six out of ten plain women.

there?" Nine out of ten attractive women

Lest we think that only bad people are biased in their behavior, recall that even babies stare longer at beautiful faces. We all have a preconscious beauty filter that influences our behavior toward people. If this favoritism seems as wrong to you as it does to us, we must try to adjust.

If we don't want to be biased by beauty, we have to set up preventive systems. One minor example will illustrate. Both of us require any students who seek a grade

change to make their argument in writing.

tions, we, like those fifth-grade
teachers, would be influenced by our

We know that if we allowed verbal

subconscious systems.

Returning to the basic question, isn't it unfair that we are judged by factors like symmetry that are beyond our control? Yes.

But, happily, symmetry is only one of many

features by which prospective mates will judge us. Fortunately, we have control over many of the other factors that will go into any mating calculation.

For example, one study asked men and women to rank thirteen attributes they

one answer for both men and women was "kindness and understanding." Who among

would find attractive in a mate. The number

us can't improve on this characteristic every day?

Furthermore, while some
commentators focus on the differences
between men and women, we think the

similarities are more striking. In the same ranking study, men and women both

personality, adaptability, and creativity.
Furthermore, except for men's placing a

sought the same seven traits, including

higher value on looks, men and women ranked these seven features in exactly the same order.

A final piece of good news comes from an unexpected source. As anyone who goes to a sperm bank will find out, women choose their sperm by reading about the the man, but there is a lot of information.

donors. Usually there is no photograph of

This is one area where we might expect people to be particularly ruthless in seeking

qualities carved in genetic stone.

A group of Norwegian and Canadian

women recently told researchers how they selected their sperm donors. They did in-

most included honesty, dependability, and consideration. As with kindness, these are

all features that we can improve on daily.

So we choose to see the beauty glass

deed select donors for their physical

attributes and good health. However, the

features that influenced these women the

the road to attractiveness need not be a mystery. The behaviors of a good mate are

as almost full rather than partly empty. First,

almost exactly those that our mothers tried to teach us. (We would have listened more if we knew it would get us more than Cub Scout merit badges.) Second, anyone can become significantly more desirable by

become significantly more desirable by being considerate, staying physically fit, and being fiscally responsible. What could be fairer?

Infidelity Our cheating hearts

us. Imagine for a moment your spouse or partner forming a deep emotional attachment with someone else — laughing together, confiding intimate thoughts, sharing long afternoons of companionship. Now imagine instead your partner having a brief sexual fling with someone else — impersonal but sweaty, loud, and visceral. Neither is a pleasant thought, but which scenario distresses you

The specter of infidelity looms over

Psychologists described these two scenarios to women and men and

more?

measured the resulting physiological stress.

As expected, both are unpleasant for all people, but the thought of their partners having sex makes men more crazy, creating pounding hearts and sweaty brows. Women are calmer in both conditions but are relatively more threatened by romance. Let's investigate the deep biological roots of this gender difference, first by exploring marriage.

Finding a mate does not signal the end of tough battles. Far from it. Marriage and

monogamy are not the Holy Grail for

our genes. Among Americans, more than a quarter of women and nearly half of men admit to sex outside marriage. (Curiously, more than half of the women who read *Cosmopolitan* report infidelities.)

the marriage than they did a century ago, the proportions haven't changed much.

Furthermore, while affairs begin earlier in

Who are these infidels and why is their resolve broken down?

hold may not be your own. When cheating leads to husbands who are not fathers, it is

A warning to husbands: the baby you

called cuckoldry A British study found a cuckoldry rate of almost 10%. Estimates for other societies range from 1 to 30 percent.

Using DNA fingerprinting, researchers examining 1,607 Swiss children found 11

the truth when they volunteer to donate a kidney or other organ to their sick child.

cases of cuckoldry. Sadly, some men learn

During tissue-typing before the surgery, it is sometimes determined that the man could not possibly have fathered the baby.

quayle to murphy brown: you tramp was the headline of the *New York Daily News* when the vice-president blamed TV for a decline in family values. From Jerry

Springer to Ricki Lake, the airwaves are

impaired. Before attributing the infidelity figures to a breakdown in morality fueled by pop culture, however, let's check in with our animal friends outside the influences of

indeed filled with stories on the ethically

movies and rock & roll.

In the absence of diamond rings and monogrammed towels, it's not always possible to tell whether animals are

"married," but it is clear that most animals

and leave 'em one-night stands are the

norm. Monogamy among mammals, in

never form marriage-like bonds. Love 'em

particular, is rare.

dung beetles, plenty of faithful species have

sprouted up around the world. Had Murphy

Still, from Nile crocodiles to Australian

Brown been a bird, she wouldn't have been a single mom. Male birds reliably play the

treats for the brood.

good dad, bringing home worms and other

Appearances can be deceiving, though.

In crafty experiments, red-winged

blackbirds have been caught in the act.

Scientists with tiny scalpels and slightly crazed psyches can perform vasectomies on birds. The result is the same for birds as

it is for humans: males can have sex and ejaculate, but they produce no sperm.

immaculate conception, a pregnant spouse has got some 'splaining to do.

The blackbirds in question spend the

winter in the South and the summer in New

England. Biologists captured birds

Consequently, a vasectomized male (bird or

human) has a right to be upset if his partner

gets pregnant; in the absence of

migrating north, vasectomized some unlucky males, then released the birds and

season. The vasectomized males fought and defended their territories as vigorously

as the others. They also acquired partners

for the season, mating enthusiastically.

observed them throughout a breeding

Everything appeared to be in order:
each female was observed eating, sleeping,
and breeding with one male. Soon, eggs

were laid and baby birds born. To the surprise of the observers, most of the females living with vasectomized males had babies. The existence of monogamy, it seems — and not

just among humans — is less pure than we had imagined.

Faithful or not in life, human bodies are

designed for infidelity. Begin with the observation that gorillas have golf ball-size testi-

cles while chimpanzees' look more like baseballs. As a percentage of body weight, gorilla testicles measure 0.02 while chimps

devote fifteen times as much energy to

Intrepid primatologists have investigated the amount of sperm needed for

attain 0.30. Why the huge difference?

fertilization. The tiny testicles of the gorilla are perfectly adequate, but chimp males produce far more sperm than necessary.

Why do chimps waste the extra energy making big testicles and surplus sperm?

Shouldn't evolution select for the least costly testicle that gets the job done?

where all females mate only with one male, the dominant silverback. Fertile

Efficiency is indeed the rule for gorillas,

dozens of times a day with many males.

Because chimps are promiscuous, the

chimpanzee females, however, have sex

sperm of several males battle inside each female's womb for fertilization. The chimps who want to win these sperm wars must

baseballs between their legs.

bring a lot of troops to the battle, hence the

species, we start by examining the testicles.

What's the answer for humans? We

To learn the mating practices of a

definitely aren't built for monogamy, but we aren't chimp-like tramps either. Human testicles average 0.08% of body weight, four times that of gorillas' but only about one-fourth the figure for chimps. Like chimps, humans produce more sperm than is required for

testicle have little difficulty reproducing.

Furthermore, in humans about 99% of

fertilization — enough that men with only one

the sperm in an ejaculation are not fertile at all. Many of the non-fertile sperm are

"seek-and-destroy" sperm that actively search for the sperm of

other men and annihilate them, while

others function as blockers, denying other men's sperm access to the uterus.

Would our bodies go to the trouble of building tens of millions of "anti-sperm" if

there were no other sperm to battle? Moreover, after couples have been separated (and presumably both have had

greater than usual opportunity to be

unfaithful), men produce sperm with a higher percentage of the anti-sperm blockers.

infidelity persists in spite of harsh social sanctions. Afghanistan, for example, has returned to Islamic law: a recent news report described a young man casting the first stone in the execution of his adulterous sister. In addition to stoning, cultures have

Far from being a cultural creation,

tried "disincentives" that include whipping, permanent bodily mutilation, and public humiliation. Nevertheless, adultery occurs in all societies — rich or poor, Christian or Muslim, democracy or dictatorship.

Big balls and anti-sperm indicate that the prevalence and universality of human infidelity have deep biological roots. The question remains: Why? Newlyweds don't generally plan to cheat and often express regret after infidelities, yet in many marriages, and throughout the animal world, promises are made and broken.

Marriage is an exchange. To understand infidelity, we have to

understand marriage. Recall that women and men pursue their similar genetic goals through different means and different sorts of mates. In the romantic negotiation, men bring the promise of many things: time, commitment, caring, and cash. Women bring time, commitment, caring, and the promise of fertility. Both sides want what the other side's offering. Put starkly, marriage is an exchange.

Even in the toughest negotiations, two sides can usually find common ground. In the mating game, agreements lead to churches and wedding receptions. Many societies make the negotiated terms explicit, labeling marriage as the man's exclusive access to sex in return for his

But genes never sleep. Instead of a blissful "they got married and lived happily

investment in his wife and children.

and more offspring — any way the genes can get them. As surely as they drive couples down the wedding aisle in the first place, our genes will push us toward betrayal whenever infidelity

ever after," gene fairy tales end with offspring

Infidelity is an attempt, often

is in their interest.

her deal in the marriage exchange.

Unfaithful women are seeking better genes

subconscious, by one side to improve his or

for their babies and/or better partners.

fertility and/or better partners.

Unfaithful men are seeking additional

To investigate marriage further, we ask why people get divorced. The four F's — fertility, fidelity, funds, and sex — are the answer. Take infertility. Across all societies, couples with children are much less likely to divorce, and the more kids they have the less likely they'll split up. Many societies

don't even recognize a marriage until

children are produced.

Ring doves have a mating system
based on fertility. Pairs form and remain
together during a breeding season, but any
relationship that doesn't produce baby
doves is doomed. In a form

barren couples match up with different partners for the next season. Pairs that successfully produce babies stay together year after year.

of no-fault divorce, 100% of doves in

Even more important than fertility is fidelity. Although an empty nest can drive couples apart over the course of years, unfaithfulness can cause separation in just one night. In a study of 160 human

societies, infidelity was overwhelmingly

cited as the top reason for divorce. Other

divorce patterns also reflect sexual issues.

Contrary to the popular idea of a seven-year-itch, people are most likely to

four-year-itch is scratched across more than sixty radically different cultures.

divorce in the fourth year of marriage. This

Divorcing at four years allows wives and husbands to have their genetic cake and eat it, too. Both are likely to be in their prime reproductive years; they are still hot properties in the mating game. Not surprisingly, more than three-quarters of

the people — women and men almost equally

 remarry following divorce. Even as divorce rates have risen recently in the United States, our desire to be married has not waned.

Factors beyond fertility and fidelity also

play into the divorce debate, especially money. After five years of marriage, a

woman is three times as likely as a man to complain that her spouse is too stingy.

Specifically, women complain about their husbands' lack of interest in buying gifts.

acquaintance, Russell. Practically yawning,

Jay mentioned this fact to an

Russell said "I don't need a couple of

Harvard guys to tell me to buy more gifts

for my wife." So Jay asked whether Russell

actually gave his wife many gifts. "No. But I don't need you guys to tell me that."

Russell, start giving your wife more gifts. Today.

Divorce rates may also reflect female opportunity rather than a breakdown of family values. When women earn more than their husbands, divorce rates increase by fifty percent. Among the !Kung San hunter-gatherers, women gather the food, providing the majority of the calories. For these women, food translates to power and influence. It also translates into a higher divorce rate.

Navajos and nearly all other societies with relative equality between the sexes. It may be that women all over the world have a

The same is true of North American

be that women all over the world have a relatively constant desire for divorce, but only the more independent can afford to act on it.

Males cheat, females fight back. The genetic influence on male infidelity is clear, well known, and can be summarized as

increases with sex outside marriage, so the cost-benefit analysis is simple: males are tempted to cheat when they can get away

"men are dogs." Male reproductive output

with it. Most adulterous men aren't actually looking for a way out of their marriages:

more than half of the cheaters even describe their marriages as "happy."

When are men most likely to stray?

That depends on when they can find willing

increased by wealth, men cheat most between the ages of forty and sixty, when they finally have the opportunity. These are their peak years of income. More than a third of the sex they have during these two

partners. Because male attractiveness is

their spouses. The men remain quite fertile and can continue to increase in status and power throughout these years.

decades comes with women other than

Women are advised to "stand by your man." This is usually interpreted as a

statement about loyalty, but it is also a good way to prevent him from cheating.

Let's examine other behaviors that guard

against this genetic disaster by returning to

our original thought experiment.

What will disturb a woman most: her man's sweaty sex or his deep emotional bond with another woman? Is her

husband spends a few hours, during a business trip, having sex with a woman he will never see again? Not necessarily. Deep emotional attachment to another woman, however, is more threatening, as it often signals the imminent end of the relationship. In a world of wandering males, how can

a female look after her interests? There is

reproductive success lowered if her

certain of getting resources from a mate in exchange for access to her valuable eggs:

payment prior to delivery.

only one way a female can be absolutely

system works just fine. Males and females alike prefer to dine on cuisines such as aphids and houseflies. They love to eat, but finding and catching these nuggets of nutrition can be tiresome and risky. The

If you are a female hangingfly, this

unless her suitor brings her a big tasty treat.

When a male hangingfly — let's call him

females solve this problem by linking food

and sex. To put it simply, she won't mate

Hal — captures something worth eating, he may snack on it a bit, but then he holds it up and releases an airborne signal to all of the females in his

vicinity. It's much like the irresistible

smell of a turkey dinner on Thanksgiving. It proclaims: "I'm Hal, a great hunter. I've got

female, maybe Miriam, shows up.

Hal presents the bug to Miriam (while

good food ... for a price." Not much later, a

pulls her closer to him. (Life with six legs has its advantages; with the other two legs

he may be simultaneously reading a book.)

retaining a tight hold on the bug) and then

Hal then begins mating with his new friend and she agrees, continuing the mating for the twenty minutes fertilization requires.

Miriam is eating that juicy bug as fast as she

While Hal is getting his tiny rocks off,

can.

When the twenty minutes have passed,
Hal gets a little testy and takes the remainder
of the bug back — he'll use it to attract another

mate or eat it himself. Miriam, meanwhile, flies off sated and lays eggs. The exact number depends on how hearty a meal Hal

gave her. If we're interested in romance, we'll have to look elsewhere. Nothing but a

fertilization. The more food given, the more babies produced.

simple transaction here: food for

abound throughout the animal world.

Hummingbird males, for instance, guard

flowers from other males. A female needing

Similar examples of "nuptial gifts"

nectar for fuel willingly accedes to a hangingfly-like deal: if the male lets her

with him after she has filled her tank.

feed on nectar for a while, she will mate

payments up front, however, has to go to praying mantis females. They completely

consume their mates (often eating the head

The award for collecting impressive

during intercourse) and are perhaps unique in their realistic assessment of a male's promise to provide food for the family.

sex meant babies, and a woman who had a baby without a caring partner was in

For our ancestors without birth control,

a longer-term investment. Let's look at the courtship between our friends Kevin, a Wall

Street trader, and Kate, an international

serious trouble. That's why women demand

model.

Although Kate politely declined Kevin's initial romantic overtures, his ardor

would say, "I'm going home, but I'll send the car back in case you want to come

Kevin's dropping Kate off by limousine. He

persisted. Repeated dates ended with

over." Wary of his intentions, however, Kate slept alone and would wave to the limo driver as she left for work each morning.

In some bird species, females demand that a male perform an elaborate and time-consuming courtship dance before

she'll mate with him. A female demands that her beau court her long and hard before she turns over the goods. This courtship dance involves fancy dives into water, graceful hovering, flamboyant twists and turns, and generally his making a fool of himself for days on end. But after passing the grueling audition he can generally be counted on to stick around in order to see a brood through hatching and weaning.

commitment. But even as females demand promises, evolution demands that they be skeptical. Talk is, after all, cheap, and believing is risky. Accordingly, women seek

proof when it comes to statements of love.

Roses are nice, diamonds even better.

The next best thing to an on-the-spot

exchange is thus a believable pledge of

What happened to Kate and Kevin?

After many months and numerous dinners,

spent so much time and money conveying his interest that he

Kate was won over. After a point, Kevin had

had to be telling the truth. He was.

They are now married with three kids,

homes in four states, and a private island in the Caribbean.

Females cheat, too. Why do women cheat? Returning to the basics, access to

more sperm is not the answer. After a few sexual bouts in a fertility cycle, additional

sex will not increase the size of a woman's brood. More than one pregnancy per nine months just isn't possible.

Women have access to plenty of sperm; they needn't ever worry about shortages.

Should it follow, then, that they be

uninterested in opportunities for infidelity?

Absolutely not. In the marriage contract,

commitment. By cheating, they may be able to upgrade one or both of these

women are looking for good genes and

is that women will be considerably more discriminating than men in their infidelities.

contractual terms. What this means, though,

We ought to expect women to have propensities for two different kinds of cheating: one that improves the genes in their offspring, and a second that helps

them get more committed mates. They won't necessarily find both features in the same guy either. Zebra finches commit similar gender-biased infidelities; a gene-shopping female finch will only have sex with a male that is healthier or has a better territory than her partner, while a gene-depositing male will stray with any female.

Think back to the introduction.

Remember our noting that there are four days a month when husbands ought to be

especially attentive to their wives? Here's why: women who cheat on a spouse are

most likely to do so during the four days sur-

rounding their ovulation — their days of highest fertility! If you're shopping for genes, do it when you have room in your cart.

Though married women have only a small percentage of their sex outside marriage, their infidelities are concentrated in the fertile period. Furthermore, women are significantly less likely to use contraception with their lovers than with their husbands. This explains why many

But how do women know when they are fertile? Consciously, at least, they may

babies don't belong to "Dad."

influencing their behavior subconsciously.

Nearly all female primates advertise their

not. But perhaps their hormones are

days of fertility with large and colorful

genital swellings. A male chimp can spot an ovulating female from more than a quarter mile away.

Not so for humans. A man lying next to his wife in bed has no clue. Much to the dismay of couples trying to have babies

we have been built with a rare system — concealed ovulation — in which the reproductive state of women is unclear. This system enables women to strategize against men, in part by allowing fertile wives to slip out and track down some better genes.

So some women cheat simply to get

(and teenagers trying not to have babies),

better genes for their children. Others use infidelity to secure better partners. Most adulterous women, in fact, describe their marriages as "unhappy," and

long-term commitment in their affairs.

Do children more closely resemble

three-quarters say they are seeking

their mothers or their fathers?

Psychologists have investigated this

question by showing a group of photos to

strangers, who try to match parent

strangers are equally likely to guess a

and child. For ten-year-olds, the

however, people are much more likely to correctly pick the father. Babies resemble fathers because this induces males to care

for them; confident dads change diapers.

child's father correctly as they are to

identify the mother. For one-year-olds,

Females use sex to help their

babies. Women seek good genes and

commitment from men. But that's not the whole story. Females sometimes use sex to

many species kill offspring fathered by another. There is a clear genetic benefit to this infanticide: by killing a young animal, a male

may induce its mother to become sexually receptive sooner than she would otherwise.

modify male behavior toward that female's

offspring. Why would they do this? Males in

She is then in a position to have his offspring.

Scientists have studied male infanticide extensively among lemmings. In one experiment, males that had sex with a

female were observed to almost never kill

her pups. In fact, they often helped care for the young. In contrast, when a male was

introduced that had never had sex with the

mother, he killed, on average, 42% of her

The exact mechanism for this infanticide was revealed by clever manipulation.
Scientists isolated odor-causing chemicals from a young mother — call her Michelle —

from a young mother — call her Michelle — and rubbed them all over a female that had no babies — call her Nicole. Male lemmings were then allowed to mate with Nicole. When the males were later introduced to Mom Michelle and her offspring, they felt no compunction to kill the pups. The chemicals tricked

they had mated with, so they acted just like loving dads toward her babies.

In lemmings we have a clear story. A

them into believing it was Michelle

male lemming uses chemical clues to
determine which females he has had sex
with, and he refrains from killing the babies
of these females. A males acts aggressively,
on the other hand, toward babies he knows

are fathered by competitors.

The infanticide story is less clear in primates but shows some similarities.

troop usually has a dominant male that has

Among the langur monkeys of India, each

primary sexual access to all of the females.

Once every two years or so, however, this

The new male begins his reign by seeking out and killing the infants sired by his

male is physically defeated by an outsider.

any babies born soon after his reign began.

predecessor. He also has a tendency to kill

What does a female langur do when confronted with a new male? She has sex

with him. Why? To further her own genetic

interests, of course. If the male has killed her baby, it's time to start a new family, and he's the only guy in town. If she is already

pregnant with the previous male's offspring, though, it's less obvious why she still seeks

the female uses this tactic to fool the new male into thinking her soon-to-be-born babies are his — and therefore leaving them

out the new male for sex. It's possible that

Females in a variety of primate species appear to use sex similarly to manipulate males. Among Barbary macaques, for example, when females are maximally

fertile, they have sex once every seventeen minutes for days on end. They aggressively

pursue males and initiate sex at least once with every adult

male in the group. Again, no one

knows for sure what the females gain by all this sex, but one theory is that the females

are altering male behavior. Males do not kill

Women in some cultures use a related

babies born to their sex partners.

strategy to help their offspring. Among the

openly have sex with multiple partners, and a child may have more than one man

native South American Ache, women

designated as the father. If so, one man is the "primary" father and plays the role of a traditional dad, living with the mother and providing for the baby.

What do women and men gain from

this system? First, let's consider the payoff to women. Among the Ache, death often dies, one of her other husbands will step in

and help both her and the child. In this

harsh and energetically demanding world,

babies without fathers die at much higher

comes early. If a woman's primary husband

rates than those with a dad to help out.

Now take the male perspective. There are both costs and benefits to sharing a woman with other men. By sharing, a man decreases his chance of fathering a child. The benefit,

however, is that the children he does sire — because they have a backup dad — enjoy a

greater chance of survival.

During the most comprehensive study of the Ache, 63% of children had at least two fathers. This "insurance policy" holds significantly less appeal to males, of course, when their death rates are lower — as in most

when their death rates are lower — as in most industrialized societies. Indeed, those males spend a great deal of time trying to ensure

man's children.

Males fear cuckoldry. When Nicole

that they don't end up raising another

Brown Simpson was found murdered, O. J. would have been the prime suspect even

shoe prints. When a young woman is murdered, the savvy detective in any society starts by looking for an estranged male.

without a bloody glove and Bruno Magli

In an effort to retain female faithfulness, males use tactics ranging from passionate promises to surveillance, threats, and

violence. Around the world and throughout history, homicide is one sad outcome of

Return to our thought experiment at

these male strategies. In 1998, one-third of

the 3,419 women murdered in the United

States were killed by men with whom

they'd been romantically involved.

the beginning of this chapter. What

disturbs men the most? The male fear is

that the exclusive sexual access he has bargained for will be given to another.

Unlike a woman, he can never be sure that

genes. If cuckolded, he may pay to educate another man's child or perhaps even donate a kidney to this child. While

the baby produced by his wife carries his

emotional attachments between his partner and another man are threatening because they may lead to sex, nothing is worse than actual sexual infidelity.

Just as surely as genes play offense,

they are prepared to mount defensive

strategies. Because the blade of

assume that other males will try to put the

moves on his partner. He must stop this.

promiscuity is double-edged, a male must

But how?

The contrivances by which males keep their partners faithful — or at least try to — run the gamut from simple to extreme. At the simple end we find the reliable old standby of mate guarding. A high school girl is encouraged by her boyfriend to wear his

varsity jacket or to display his school ring
on a chain around
her neck. Or he may brag to his friends

about his relationship. These are simple signs of affection, to be sure, but read

between the lines for the message from his genes to those of other males: "keep away."

Judith, a twenty-six-year-old graduate student, could be counted on to leave every

party at 10:45 p.m. When pressed to explain, she admitted that her boyfriend on the other side of the country liked to call and chat with her every night at eleven. Like clockwork, fertile Judith would remove herself from rooms brimming with potential suitors. Was Mr. Boyfriend's goal

simply love talk? Perhaps. But his scheming

mate-guarding could scarcely have been

apartment and sat on Judith every night.

more effective had he traveled to her

Say, that's not a bad idea. In some species, males sit on females for prolonged periods after sex. Dispensing with jealousy, late-night phone calls, and varsity jackets, these males that don't want to raise some other guy's offspring do what they can to ensure a Father's Day gift that is genuinely theirs.

At its core, mate guarding, and the jealousy that incites it, are about insecurity and uncertainty. As long as the offspring emerge from the female's body, she can be

certain that they contain her genes. In contrast, a male inhabits a "danger zone"

she mates with anyone else during this time, the child she produces may not be his. If he

that lasts as long as the female is fertile. If

is going to help raise the offspring, he had better minimize his risk in the zone.

If a male wants to monopolize a female,

why bother to stop mating at all? In many

reducing risk in the danger zone. Among

species, males take this approach to

houseflies, even though the male

the female in ten minutes of copulation, he doesn't let go of her for a full hour.

Moths put the flies to shame,

months. If people mated for a similar

has transferred his full load of sperm to

continuing their mating for a full
twenty-four hours. But the real champions
are certain frog species that continue
individual bouts of mating for several

intercourse would last almost ten years.

Even if we've got the decade to spare,

percentage of our lives, a single round of

this strategy requires more than stamina and determination. Natural selection makes this all possible by building into the genitals of these males a ghastly collection of hooks, spines, and claspers that prevent decoupling before he is ready.

the elephant seal to the barn swallow seems to have keyed into this. Throughout

a female's fertile period her mate keeps

close, monopolizing her time. As soon as

the season ends, though, this

female when she is fertile. Everyone from

But it is only necessary to guard the

possessiveness wanes and he allows her to fraternize with whomever she pleases.

Anthropological studies on the island of Trinidad describe human mate guarding that

fertile wives — that is, young wives who are neither pregnant nor nursing — spend a huge portion of their time guarding their mates compared to men whose wives are less fertile.

mirrors that of other animal species. Men with

How do Trinidad husbands keep other males away from their spouses? No

simply spend more time around the house.

elaborate, elegant strategies here: they

husbands

They also get into many more fights over her with other men. The easygoing

famously with other men and spend much less time with their wives. Want to know

with less fertile wives get along

measure and see how far her hubby is standing from her. (And get ready to back

off when he asks what you're doing.)

how fertile a woman currently is? Get a tape

In the absence of fertility data, if we want to predict how aggressively a man will

guard his wife, we need look no further

thirties are freer — by any measure — to do what they want than wives in their twenties. The inverse is not true. Women guard their mates equally vigorously whether the man is twenty, thirty, forty, or more. Women are wise to monitor their aging husbands; men in

than age. Newlyweds or not, wives in their

Unless you've got a weak stomach,

their forties are fertile, wealthy, and

unfaithful.

read on. In the world of anti-cuckoldry schemes, strategies from the drawing

and grisly than those found in the creepiest horror movies.

board of natural selection are more devious

Consider the weird ways of the black widow spider. Shunning the more traditional chastity belt, the male breaks off

preventing her from ever mating again.

When the act is completed, the female kills

and eats the male. Femme fatale, indeed. A

his sexual organ inside the female,

his mate's reproductive tract, a male assures himself of fathering the spiderlings.

rough justice prevails, however. In sealing

In snacking on her lover's nutrient-filled body, a female gets the resources to

There's no such fairness among the

produce those baby spiders.

thorny-headed worms. With the development of "cement glands," males of

art form. Following copulation, they do not sit on their mates or even spend time

this common parasite have elevated their

protective tactics into a near

guarding them. They don't have to. They simply seal the female's vagina with a plug of cement.

Among thorny-headed worms, males also seek out and force themselves on rival

tubes. More devious still, in some other
worm species, a male will inject sperm
directly into his rival's body, whereupon the
sperm moves to the victim's testicles. After

his victim recovers and subsequently mates

with a female, he impregnates her with the

males, cementing shut their rivals' sperm

aggressor's sperm.

After sex, the sperm wars continue. Before depositing his own sperm, a male damselfly uses his shovel-shaped penis to scoop out the

female's reproductive tract — removing any

other species inject powerful spermicides — or in the case of some sharks, a simple stream of seawater — before mating, destroying, and washing away their competitors' sperm.

sperm from previous paramours. Males in

Toxins in fruit fly semen, designed to destroy sperm from competing males, also

decrease female lifespan by 10%. Nasty and brutish, males battling in these sperm wars

care little about females. If nothing else,

though, they give us reason for hope and celebration at the relatively benign nature of the battle of the sexes in our own species.

How can we strengthen our romantic relationships? Humans commonly profess

a desire to be monogamous, and the good news is that so many succeed. For all the benefits of marriage, the promise to be faithful necessitates self-restraint. Each partner gives up some freedom in return for a satisfying relationship built on trust.

Infidelity is a wanton disregard for the

terms of this marriage "contract." To avoid strife, we need to focus on making our marriage a sweet deal.

Step 1 in a mutually satisfying deal is to do what we've promised to do. Choosing to spend time with someone is a strong signal of desire and commitment. A

gifts cements the marriage vows. Women should also give gifts to their husbands, but

husband who provides a constant flow of

In the early days of courtship, what

characterizes new lovers' behavior? They

the best gift may be enthusiastic sex.

long to spend time with each other: no effort is too great to orchestrate a rendezvous, no activity too silly. They give

imagine will have meaning for the other.

New lovers listen to each other and

gifts: flowers for no reason or a book they

spend entire weekends in bed. Is it any wonder these people are so desirable to each other? Don't dismiss such behavior as fanciful and impractical. These couples are crafting the terms of a mutually satisfying

deal, and we would do well to emulate

outgrow romance.

Step 2 is *not* to do what we've promised

them. We shouldn't let our relationships

not to do. The surest way to jeopardize a relationship is to renege on the

fundamental deal. Women are promising paternity to the male, so any sex with other men will rock a relationship to its foundation. Men are promising to devote

their energy to the relationship, so the

time and money to other women.

The temptations we all face are deeply

biggest betrayal is a substantial diversion of

ingrained in the genes of our hearts and minds, and both parties should take steps to fight these mean genes. When we have a

close friend of the opposite sex, recognize

that this is inherently threatening to our

partner. We should include both our friend and our lover in joint activities and share

listen to phone messages together.

Building secret relationships increases both

social information freely. For example,

suspicions and temptations.

Finally, we should continue improving

ourselves as a way to improve our relationships. Imagine your partner at a cocktail party, enchanted by the witty, smart, vibrant person across the plate of hors d'oeuvres. Is that charmer you? At

some point it was. And as long as we remain interesting dynamos, there will be no conflict between monogamy and our infidelity-promoting mean genes.

FAMILY, FRIENDS, **AND FOES**

Family The ties that bind

We love our crazy families. Terry's big sister Sue is the oldest of his siblings. She basked in the glow of her parents'

undivided attention in the two years before
Burnham baby number two, Jane, came
along. Almost immediately Sue resented
the attention heaped on baby Jane. This

resentment built and built until one day she "kidnapped" Jane in her baby carriage, abandoning both baby and buggy more than half a mile away.

Though cruel (and somewhat creative, for a two-year-old), Sue's actions aren't

are emotional and tight. The relationships we have with our parents and siblings, in particular, are among the most important in our lives. With so much at stake, it's little wonder that affection and exasperation can

really that unusual, are they? Family bonds

Take Thanksgiving — or any holiday, for that matter. Many of us see our families only a few times a year, and it's generally

coexist so easily.

something we look forward to. We put time and energy into buying the perfect gifts; we anticipate long, deep conversations with a brother we haven't seen in ages or a favorite aunt. But it doesn't take long

for sweet expectations to turn sour. After about five hours at home with crazy uncles, drunk grandmothers, insane cousins, and sulking siblings, we remember why we were so eager to go off to college.

capitalize on this love-hate relationship.

From Leave It to Beaver and The Partridge

The television networks know how to

Family to Family Ties and Home
Improvement, we get great laughs watching
our own sagas played out on the little

screen.

every human culture. In the early 1960s, Irven DeVore, a friend and mentor to both

of us, went to live in the Kalahari Desert

Families and kinship are central to

was ceremoniously given a name, !Nashe !Na, and assigned a mother

with the !Kung San. Soon after he arrived he

had been to give birth to such a big man).

Irv's adoption was more than a simple

(who went on and on about how difficult it

welcoming gesture. The San have strict, if unwritten, rules of behavior — who you share food with, who you travel with — all driven by kinship. When two people come together, even the language they use is driven by kinship.

Never, for example, use baudy words with a

joke with a sibling of the same sex. Without

mother-in-law, but feel free to crack a dirty

system, he would have been adrift.

Irv's incorporation into the San kinship

integral to nearly every aspect of life, even choosing a spouse. Every young Yanomamo is urged to choose their partner from a group of individuals known as their *suaboya*. Literally translated, *suaboya* means "marriageable

partners"; they are an individual's

Among the Yanomamo, too, kinship is

cross-cousins. In English, there are no special words that refer specifically to

person's cross-cousin is either Dad's sister's children or Mom's brother's children.

cross-cousins, but we still have them. A

Although the word *suaboya* is particular to the Yanomamo, many cultures favor

such unions. Charles Darwin, for example, married his cousin Emma. His older sister,

out to be Emma's brother Josiah.

Caroline, also married a cousin, who turned

excellent spouses for several reasons. There

are genetic advantages to producing

Cross-cousins turn out to make

children with someone close enough to share some common ancestry yet distant enough to avoid most of the problems

strengthen alliances, for instance, between

associated with inbreeding. They also

families that are already close due to their common ancestors.

As important as marriage is, for

may be that between a mother and her child. Still, even the most devoted nurturers among us must be impressed by the

humans the pinnacle of unconditional love

birth to about a hundred hungry spiderlings, Mom's body literally liquefies

Australian social spider. Soon after giving

munch on the flesh so they can start their lives with full bellies.

Why has evolution produced a

into a pile of mushy flesh. The babies then

dissolving mom? Isn't it enough to drive the kids to soccer practice and make sure they have brushed their teeth? Well, genes are clever and cold, and they build organisms that succeed through various means. Their idea of success is limited to a

next generation.

All things being equal, the genes living

single goal: increase market share in the

in the mom would prefer to live for another day. All things are not equal, however. The

death of the mom, although costly, is more than compensated for by the head start it gives the many babies, each carrying copies of Mom's genes. Genes have built parents that

"selflessly" give everything for their offspring, but this isn't the only way to

show family devotion. Organisms share

genes with cousins, aunts, siblings, uncles, and more, too. We ought to see animals that also make sacrifices for these relatives, and we do — all the time.

turkey-like Tasmanian hens, many females

We go to bat for our relatives. Among

live with just one male, but there is also a

keep a pair of males on hand instead. This female literally rules the roost: she allows

both males to mate with her and requires

large number of polygamous females that

both to provide food for her babies. This is a pretty sweet arrangement for the females.

One study found that hens with two males have an average of 9.6 babies, while those with just one guy managed only 6.6 babies.

Do the males protest? To the contrary. Beyond merely tolerating it, they seem

Company arrangement. Even some of the biggest males welcome small competitors

into their nest with little objection. Given

that a male with a live-in competitor has 4.8

babies on average (half of the 9.6 that are

completely unruffled by their *Three's*

born), why wouldn't he shoo away the second male and reap the full 6.6 offspring?

Brotherly love is the answer. Males

sharing a nuptial nest are usually brothers.

And because both males' genes come from the same parents, each shares half of the other's genes. So the open-

plus genetic credit for half of his brother's babies, bringing his total for the season to

minded male gets 4.8 babies of his own,

7.2. Suddenly the female's exploitation doesn't feel quite so bad. It's just a sly way for brothers to look out for each other.

In a handful of human societies as well, women simultaneously have more than one husband. As with the Tasmanian hens,

these marriages only work when the co-husbands are brothers. In one Tibetan society where this form of marriage is

travel on long trips to sell harvested crops.

Naturally, this is when the arrangement works best. When the two men are both

common, one of the brothers must often

their wife, although the close genetic ties reduce the tension somewhat.

home, they tend to compete for sex with

squirrel families under attack from eagles.

Fortunately, these wily creatures have an

Tension of a different sort rises high in

effective neighborhood watch program.

They scream their heads off when they spot
a predator on the horizon, telling everyone

to run for cover. All that yelling draws

that the screamer will be eaten. One out often times that a predator appears, a squirrel is killed. And half the squirrels that get eaten are the loudmouths sounding the

attention to the caller, making it more likely

alarm.

Who would risk calling attention to themselves in order to identify the threat?

percent of the warning calls are made by

Why not lay low and live? More than ninety

females. But it's not exactly out of the goodness of their hearts. Once again, it's about family values.

Female squirrels don't move far from home when they grow up. Males, on the other hand, move far away and continue moving

each year. As a result, males never live near their parents or siblings. Ever the

own offspring. Without any relatives to protect, they have little genetic reason to stick their necks out by making risky

warnings.

drifters, these loners rarely live near their

Females, on the other hand,
surrounded by members of their extended
family, have tremendous incentive for
taking the risk. And their genes' shrewdness

goes a step further. Almost as if they're

more relatives a female has in the neighborhood, the more likely she is to sing the "we are family" alarm call.

maintaining mental lists of their kin, the

From a gene's perspective, even a minor nuisance like death needn't be an impediment to looking out for your

leave the bulk of their money to relatives.

Moreover, when people die without wills,

relatives. When people write wills, they

governments tend to divvy up assets in a way that mirrors genetic interests.

of money. In 1997, for example, over four thousand living Americans donated kidneys.

Kinship drives more than just the flow

One of these donors actually gave a kidney to a non-relative. Her act was so rare that

she received a flood of media attention.

People even stopped and congratulated her in public. Each year, over two thousand

anyone with two kidneys could save a life.

Americans die waiting for kidneys and

Virtually no one makes this sacrifice for non-relatives, though.

Animals, too, go out of their way to look after the best interests of their

sweat bees resemble Studio 54 in its prime.

Bees swarm around, seeking permission to

extended families. For example, the hives of

past the

enter from bulky bouncer bees. Getting

on attractiveness or exotic dress. This scene

is more like a family reunion than anything

velvet rope, however, doesn't depend

else, since the guard bees grant access to close kin and exclude non-relatives with uncanny accuracy.

preferring to "school" with their siblings.

Tadpoles are similarly nepotistic,

Even when eggs are separated before birth and dumped into a big pond, the tadpoles track down and hang out predominantly with their brothers and sisters.

How do these animals recognize each other? In both tadpoles and sweat bees, olfactory clues make it possible for individuals to assess everyone's genetic

the red carpet. Humans can be somewhat less sophisticated in distinguishing kin. Jay,

for instance, can still hear his mother's

voice reminding him each Thanksgiving:

similarity. If she smells like family, roll out

"Be nice to Jeffrey, Tammy, Julie, and Karen.

They are your cousins."

Blood in the family. Here's a paradox: if we love our families so much, why is there so much domestic violence?

The *Mean-Genes* perspective helps to clarify

one-quarter of all U.S. murders occur within families. Men kill their wives and women kill their husbands. (Perhaps surprisingly,

this apparent contradiction. Approximately

Men kill their stepchildren, too.

Notice the pattern? In the vast majority

about 30% of murdered spouses are men.)

of family killings, the victim and murderer do not share any genes. One study looked at all 98 family murders in Detroit in 1972. genes with the killer. Twenty-two were

recorded as children, parents, or "other

Seventy-six of the victims had no shared

relatives," and these include some stepfathers.

those of thirteenth-century England and modern Canada, also report very low rates

of murder by genetic relatives. When two

Studies of many societies, including

the perpetrators are frequently blood relatives.

Among the Yanomamo, too, we find

people gang up to kill someone, however,

confirmation that blood is thicker than water. Whenever villages get too big, tensions rise and tempers flare. Eventually the groups break up and go their separate ways. And who goes with whom?

Here's where things get complicated.

It's not always easy to figure out who will

stick together because the Yanomamo have

a large "fictive kin" network. In this system, people refer to others using kinship terms,

much as many American children might call

a family friend "uncle" or members of a sorority consider themselves "sisters." Still, the villages split in a way that closely

reflects only the actual genetic relatedness.

Suddenly they're all able to distinguish between real and fictive brothers.

Child abuse, too, follows a similar pattern, shedding light on the origins of stories such as Cinderella. Researchers reviewed all 87,789 cases of child maltreatment in the U.S. in 1976. They

stepparent than by a genetic parent.

times more likely to be killed by a

found that children were one hundred

Can we learn to treat everyone like family? So we humans, like other animals, are built to be especially nice to our relatives. Indeed.

social dreamers have conjured up

worlds in which humans treat everyone as family. Plato, normally a keen observer of people, felt that in an ideal state the rulers

should be barred from holding private

property. Even after the collapse of the

Soviet Union, Utopian dreams live. Can we

learn to be equally nice to strangers?

Just a few years ago, Portland, Oregon, bought eight hundred bicycles for common use, arguing that this socialistic solution

was infinitely more efficient than having thousands of privately owned bikes sitting in garages mostly unused. The fleet was

soon reduced to a handful of poorly
maintained wrecks. Some of the bright
yellow public bikes were seen being loaded

into a pickup truck with out-of-state license plates.

Our problems extend far beyond bike

theft. During 1998, there was a violent crime

— murder, rape, robbery, or aggravated
assault— reported for every 177 people in the

United States. There was a property crime for every 25 people. You know several of these victims. Every few years you *are* one of these victims. Fortunately for our national morale, the FBI doesn't bother to track how frequently people merely lie or act selfishly.

continue to hope that humans can be selfless. There is a definitive answer to this question about human organization, but we have to look in some unusual places.

Despite these unpleasant facts, we

One fable describes a leadership battle among parts of the human body. The eyes argue for the command position based on the importance of vision for all human endeavors. "Where would we be without

which then reminds the others that it also processes alcohol.

my metabolic work?" counters the liver,

The brain, somewhat haughtily, claims the mantle due to its superior IQ.

Meanwhile, the intestine goes on strike, refusing to process waste. As poisons accumulate, the liver chokes, the eyes tear,

is crowned king.

the brain becomes foggy, and the intestine

This is one area where we are free of conflict — the internal running of our bodies. The fable of the intestine king is humorous precisely because it is false: human eyes, liver, brain, and intestine all work selflessly

toward the good of the whole body. In a communistic ideal, our immune system

cells throw themselves into lethal combat

medals or patriotic speeches.

Why doesn't the liver go on strike to

against invading diseases without battle

get a better deal? Assume for a moment the view of a gene in the liver. Does it gain if the liver wins additional energy flows from the rest of the body and is granted

one and only one road to genetic success: help the body have sex and babies. The

shorter work hours? No. The liver gene has

from exactly the same pool as those in the liver. Genes in all parts of the body further their own interests best by cooperating.

In addition to our bodies, there are

genes in the sperm and eggs are derived

many societies where every single individual works for the public good.
Unfortunately, everyone in these societies has six legs. Ants and bees make perfect communists; every individual puts the

patrol the nest or hive. Ministers need not preach fire and brimstone to keep individuals in line. Their societies resemble

needs of the group first. Police needn't

finely tuned machines.

Stir up a honeybee nest and you'll get a taste of bee altruism. Bees happily make the ultimate sacrifice for the greater good of

their stinger so deep into human skin that the abdomen is ripped apart. Why do the

genes in the suicidal honeybee care so little

the hive. Death occurs when they bury

about their own future?

Individual worker honeybees are sterile;
the genes they carry win the Darwinian

competition only when their queen mother spits out more offspring. Bees willingly perform any act to help the queen,

including dramatic, suicidal stinging death, which protects the hive from honey-seeking intruders. Genetically

speaking, the hive is like our body, and the honeybee, like a cell from the immune

system. All for one and one for all.

Mud daubers look just like honeybees, but they are much more hesitant to attack people. Practicing their own family values,

mud daubers form pairs, have sex, and raise

dauber is fertile, so individual death means genetic loss. While honeybee genes win through brave sacrifice, mud dauber genes

gain through judicious cowardice.

offspring. Unlike our honeybees, each mud

Let's return to the question that Plato grappled with: can selfless human Utopias survive? The answer is a disappointing but definitive no. It's a bit ironic, but after

hundreds of years of Utopian dreams and

this answer by understanding beehives.

The genetic interests within ant

failed social experiments, scientists found

colonies, honeybee hives, and our own bodies are aligned in such a way that there is no conflict. Conflict arises only, and inevitably, between entities with different genes. Ant colonies are communistic within but continuously wage war on their ant

neighbors. Similarly, although we truly love

our genes will always fight to keep our own genetic interests first.

our families, when push comes to shove,

either. Here's a disappointing truth: a mother cannot count on as much devotion

Families are not free of conflict

from her baby as from her own liver. The mother and child's mutual love is tempered by Darwinian reason. Half of the baby's

genes come from Dad. This inevitably

and the fetus she carries and loves.

drives a wedge between a pregnant mother

Specifically, the mother and fetus disagree

about how much food — doled out as nutrients in the blood flow across the placenta — the fetus ought to get. Deep love notwithstanding, there's a point when Mom wants to stop pumping glucose and other treats to the baby within. Why would she withhold the goodies? Because her genes gain if she saves a bit for future fetuses. When setting the support dial, a

mother balances her own needs — in particular, those of her future offspring — against those of

the fetus.

The genetic calculus is different; future

siblings will carry some but not all of the

Now consider the view from the fetus.

fetus's genes. Consequently, the fetus is not as keen about sacrificing for their benefit,

and screams for greater support than is ideal for the mother's genes. Sibling rivalry

This conflict results in silent strife

throughout pregnancy. The fetus pumps

starts before the sibling is even conceived!

out hormones that dilate the mother's blood vessels. This increases the amount of

sugar in the blood — and, consequently, the size of the fetus's meals. Mom retaliates by producing insulin, which has exactly the opposite effect. In some mothers this conflict causes diabetes — which disappears as soon as the baby is born — and in all pregnancies it escalates until mom is producing a thousand times the normal amount of insulin.

with birth. A study of all births in the United

The mother-child discord doesn't end

that 2,776 babies were killed by their

States between 1983 and 1991 concluded

infanticide rose during the study period.

We began with mothers who die for their

mothers. It further revealed that the rate of

offspring, and now we're discussing mothers killing their babies. What's going on here?

happens because the mother and child have shared but not identical interests. A

mother loves her children, but she can have

Infanticide, like maternal diabetes,

baby: there's a genetic calculation at work.

other babies. Mothers don't kill just any

The most comprehensive survey of human infanticide, covering dozens of societies, found that mothers kill their own infants when the baby arrives at a time when it can't be supported.

In many cultures, the question of whether to keep a baby is entirely the mother's, and everyone respects her

decision. Among the !Kung San, for example, women typically give birth with the help of a close female relative. If Mom brings the child back to the group, it is recognized as a person. If no child returns with the woman, it is assumed that the child

What have we learned about families?

Genes play a central role in fostering

was stillborn, regardless of the

circumstances.

cooperation. At one extreme are socialistic collectives, where everyone pulls for the good of the group. For ant colonies,

beehives, and our own bodies, Marx would

have smiled as the motto "From each according to ability, to each according to need" is played out.

Whenever genetic interests do not align perfectly, however, conflict is

presidential farewell

inevitable. George Washington's

concluding that the country has permanent

speech focused on foreign policy,

interests but no permanent friends. People face a similar social world, and as we'll see, all human relationships require continual diplomatic maneuvering between conflict and cooperation.

Friends and Foes Keep friends close

and enemies closer

members is almost universal, yet nothing causes us to put aside our differences more

Is conflict between societies

inevitable? Antagonism among family

from outside the family. An ancient maxim says, "Me against my brothers, me and my

quickly and band together than a threat

brothers against my cousins, me and my

and my clan against the world."

A folk tale tells the following story. A

brothers and cousins against my clan, me

goddess visits a farmer and promises to grant one wish, but with an interesting twist. The farmer would be granted his wish, and

each of his neighbors would receive the

wish in duplicate. After a moment's reflection, the farmer asked that half his crops be destroyed. This tale reminds us of

an unpleasant reality. In a world with finite land, food, and mates, one party can

sometimes gain only by taking from

another. Pain for a competitor can be good, even at a cost of half of your crops.

Our close genetic relatives, the chimpanzees, have instincts that seem to incorporate this sense of scarcity. On

January 7, 1974,

Tanzanian site witnessed a new form of chimpanzee aggression. Eight members of

researchers at Jane Goodall's

one community surprised and killed a young adult male from the neighboring group.

Over the next three years, the
aggressors completely wiped out their
neighbors and took over their territory.
Researchers witnessed a half dozen of

infants. This behavior was particularly shocking because just a few years earlier the two groups had been one. After what appeared to be an amicable split, the groups became antagonistic and the smaller one was eradicated.

these assaults on males, females, and even

Previously chimpanzees had been known to defend their own territory, but this was the first documented case of an

in the death of the victim. Since then,
however, observers have seen similar
behaviors, termed "lethal raiding," in other
wild chimpanzee populations.

In each case there is a common pattern.

Groups of chimpanzees, usually males,
patrol their territories and opportunistically

exploit numerical advantages to enter the

adjacent community to attack their

forest are generally very noisy, because of both their movements and vocalizations. In contrast, those on border patrols and raids

neighbors. Chimpanzees going through the

are eerily quiet.

Human societies frequently display
similar territoriality. Why are some cultures

intent on taking over their neighbors while

others are content to stay at home? Some interesting clues come from examining the

1200 B.C. and a.d. 1000, many of these islands were populated by people of the same genetic and cultural heritage. Some became warlike and others did not. Why?

Polynesian region of the Pacific. Between

In a word: agriculture. Many islands were too cold to support crops, and the inhabitants survived by hunting and gathering. This is a relatively tough way to get calories and, consequently, the

and peaceful outlooks.

To the south, life grew steadily easier.

The inhabitants of these islands were able

to cultivate crops in the warmer territory.

Their more dependable and plentiful food

supply led to bigger families. But their

populations soon became swollen and,

running out of room to grow, they became

populations remained small. These cultures

had loose political structures, no armies,

quantities, developed military skills, and fought one another.

warlike. These cultures stored food in great

population density comes competition for resources, and with competition comes conflict. Across a wide variety of cultures we

The lesson is clear: along with high

example, subsist at low population densities in the Kalahari Desert and have

see a similar pattern. The !Kung San, for

Are humans thus naturally warlike? Not necessarily. It is true that we have a long

aggression that presumably dates from our

history of territoriality and intergroup

chimpanzee-like ancestors. It is only in

the same peaceful organization as that of

the Polynesian foragers.

certain competitive environments, though, that conflict is manifest through warfare.

Unfortunately, certain innate human traits

tend to foster these environments. For one, we tend to form group affiliations very

quickly. Indeed, participants in psychology experiments pick up group identities

In one study, the people were divided into

almost immediately.

groups along arbitrary lines — let's call them "the blues" and "the reds." They spoke within their groups for a few minutes, then played coop-

the groupings were arbitrary and the amount of money they won was not shared

erative games for cash prizes. Although

even within the group, the reds were nicer to other reds and blues nicer to other blues.

Outside the laboratory, our affiliations can endure for years. Paul, a friend of

shouting at his TV, "Die Parcells, Die." Bill

Terry's, recently found himself alone

Parcells, a legendary football coach, had

Normally mild-mannered, Paul worked himself into a frenzy watching his team

battle the hated Jets. His enmity was

Patriots to coach the rival New York Jets.

recently deserted Paul's New England

fanned by seeing the traitorous Parcells strutting his stuff on the opposing sideline.

harmless outlet for our taste for aggression, victory, and crushing rivals. In a variety of

Professional sports are a relatively

sportsmen to have higher levels of testosterone than their vanquished foes.

Pinning an opponent in wrestling, for example, is accompanied by a

physiologically induced winning glow.

competitive settings, victory causes

Recent studies reveal that sports fans share viscerally in this biological victory dance. Fans of winning sports teams have higher testosterone levels than those of having hormonal surges. Exulting as the
Patriots crush the Jets can produce a victory
feeling as profound as that after a battle,
with none of the casualties.

losing teams. We feel powerful emotions

when we watch sports because we are

Similar spectacles accompany sporting victories. When a team wins the World Cup

returned home to outlandish ceremonies.

When Roman armies won battles they

in soccer, the whole country goes wild.

When the Philadelphia Phillies won the 1980

people turned out for the victory parade in a city of 1.7 million. This may be a higher

percentage than at the enormous victory

II.

celebrations marking the end of World War

World Series, five hundred thousand

If humans bond over superficial or arbitrary groups — reds, blues, Patriots, Jets — what about over race?

Race and biology. In a fraction of a

second we are aware of someone's race

(along with other prominent characteristics such as gender, size, and age). Because history is filled with so much racial tragedy and oppression, however, many of us feel awkward discussing it or even mentioning

race.

matches. When the boxers are of different races, commentators say, "Lewis, in the red shorts, is aggressively pursuing Jones, in

the blue shorts." Viewers must constantly

remind themselves that the black man is

One humorous outcome is the

difficulty in following televised boxing

Traveling through Kenya a few years ago, Terry noticed something interesting.

wearing blue shorts and the white man, red.

Each time the little safari group stopped along the road, the Kenyan tour guide would immediately ask any other Africans they encountered, "What tribe are you?" Kenya's people come from more than a dozen different tribes, and historically, members of one tribe could be easily identified by their traditional clothing, locations, and body ornaments.

In modern Kenya, however, many of these visible tribal signs have disappeared and a large percentage of the population

dresses in Western clothing. In their T-shirts and blue jeans, many tribes now look so similar that members cannot identify one another. Nevertheless, tribal affiliation remains a central feature of the interaction between Kenyans.

When two Americans meet these days, a similar ritual involves college affiliation,

expect a "Wolverine" from the University of

Michigan to warmly embrace one of the

with loyalties immediately laid bare. Don't

"Bruins" from UCLA.

Our practically compulsive need to categorize extends to trivial features.

Humans pay inordinate attention, for instance, to subtle differences in clothing,

accents, and myriad other cues. In the fourth grade, Jay was mortified when his mother inadvertently bought him sneakers that had four stripes. This was an unmitigated social disaster. All of the "cool" kids wore Adidas, with their trademark three stripes. Jay was able to save face only by using scissors to carefully remove one of the stripes.

If we can quickly discern the difference between European and American jeans, it

should come as no surprise that we notice race and ethnicity. Psychological studies show, in fact, that people classify race unconsciously and almost instantly. In one set of experiments, for example, racial

information visible on a screen for only an

instant altered the subjects' reaction time.

In the TV show All in the Family, the lead character was Archie Bunker, a stereotypical

white racist. Although the show was

considered a comedy, one of its running themes was a serious exploration of troubled American race relations. In one show. Archie refused to donate blood because he was afraid his fluids would be mixed with those of other races. Focusing

only on

the underlying but overwhelming similarity.

Are blacks and whites genetically

different? The answer is obviously yes;

surface differences, Archie failed to see

black skin genes are different from white skin genes. Moreover, the prevalence of some genetic diseases varies by race and ethnicity. Ashkenazi Jews, for example, are more likely than other people to suffer from

the genetic disease Tay-Sachs. Similarly,

sickle cell anemia is relatively common among Africans and Southeast Asians because the genes that cause it also improve a person's resistance to malaria, a disease prevalent in Africa and Southeast Asia.

Push this analysis a little bit further, however, and our simple categorization of races disappears. The genetic resistance to malaria, and the consequent higher risk of Africans from the south side of the

Mediterranean and Europeans from the

sickle cell anemia, is shared by both

northern side.

People in both groups were bitten by

malaria-infected mosquitoes; consequently, both evolved the same genetic defense. On

southernmost part of the continent have no greater risk of sickle cell anemia than do

the other hand, Africans from the

resemble the Japanese than they do the North Africans.

It's misleading — and can be dangerous — to draw conclusions from the fact that whites and blacks are genetically different in a few genes that happen to be really obvious.

trait, the southern Africans more closely

the Japanese because malaria is similarly

rare in both of their homelands. So for this

The assessment of race from a genetic perspective is fraught with problems at

every level. First, how do we even decide who

is white and who is black (or who is

Basque)? Walking down Main Street, USA,

this may seem easy. But if you travel from tropical Africa up through Egypt and into the Middle East, it is impossible to discern

where one race ends and the next begins.

Race is about as useful a distinction. genetically, as height. At the extremes it's possible to classify people as tall or short. But is the man who is five-foot-nine short or tall? What about five-ten? In a world filled in which people fall at every point along the continuum, grouping becomes arbitrary. Similarity in height between two people is a terrible proxy for genetic similarity.

differences between races — at least with respect to skin color and hair form — and our instincts notice those differences. But more than 100,000 genes make up an individual, and for the majority of them, every single

Nevertheless, there are some genetic

and for the majority of them, every single person on the planet is identical. The ability to swap blood and other organs between individuals with different skin colors reveals

this overwhelming similarity.

Using advanced DNA technology,

measures of genetic variation confirm that

human races are trivially different from one another. For that one-quarter of all our genes in which there is some variability, there is little rhyme or reason to how this variation is divvied up from one person to the next. Africans have huge variation in blood type: some are type O, some AB,

others A or B. But the same goes for Asians

and Turks, Russians and Spaniards.

Beyond visible traits such as skin color,

Europeans also have tremendous variation

in a bunch of naturally produced proteins with tongue-twisting names, such as

dehydrogenase and adenylate kinase.

6-phosphogluconate

Some Europeans produce lots of these compounds, others almost none. But again, the same is true of the Inuit and Navajo.

If an asteroid strikes the earth and kills everyone except for the people now living

diversity would still be present. On average, we'd have slightly darker skin, but we'd

in Africa, 93% of all the human genetic

have pretty much all of the same genes that

we currently have. Put simply: among humans, race gives few clues to which genes an individual carries.

This contrasts sharply with race differences among some of our closely related species. Compared to the other great apes, humans turn out to be an unusually homogeneous group. As a result of a nearly complete lack of migration and interbreeding for millions of years, lowland gorillas, for example, are very different from Dian Fossey's gorillas in the (mountain)

mist.

Unlike gorillas, any two humans — even two with different skin color — are significantly more similar genetically than a lowland gorilla and a mountain gorilla. No human race is completely isolated from all of the others, so human racial groups have not developed genetic differences as profound as those in

movements around the globe and frequent

intermarriage between groups, it is likely

gorillas. Further, with our extensive

between races will shrink.

that the existing genetic differences

When we talk about racial difference. we are concerned with genetic differences between groups of people. Another measure comes from computing the total genetic diversity within groups. Here, too, humans show a remarkable genetic similarity from individual to individual as compared to other apes. In fact, a rediversity in just fifty-five chimpanzees from one community than is found in all six

cent study found more genetic

billion humans.

We are left to deal with a strange combination of features that causes trouble.

Humans have a long history of conflict

between groups, we are very prone to

forming coalitions even along arbitrary

"red" and "blue" lines, and we have surface

Yet underneath, we are practically clones of one another. So race is not a figment of our

differences that are immediately obvious.

imagination, but it is largely a figment of our perceptions.

Bitter enemies sometimes

cooperate. Amid human conflict, again and again we find that cooperation creeps in.

War I, individual units facing each other

Even on the bloody battlefields of World

across the trenches showed an unexpected ability to initiate mini-truces spontaneously

without formal agreements or verbal

exchanges. How did these adversaries find common ground?

This cooperation was always precarious,

and the peace agreements would periodically devolve into fighting. But time and time again, peace broke out. By analyzing reports from both sides,

critical conditions that made peace possible.

First, one side had to make a gesture. In the

researchers have identified some of the

respite. In the trenches, one side might

begin purposely misdirecting artillery fire toward an empty position, for instance.

Alternatively, one side might open fire at a standard time. The British in one sector,

for example, might begin a daily artillery

bombardment at precisely 1:00 p.m.

After several days, the Germans would

enjoying the sunlight until 12:45 p.m. They would then head into their deep

fortifications as the British bombs dropped

begin lounging outside their trenches,

harmlessly around them.

Another essential feature to

maintaining these truces was that punishment, albeit limited, had to be meted

side broke the informal rules and actually tried to kill someone or fired a barrage before the appointed hour, the other side would retaliate.

out in response to any deviations. If one

One regiment even codified this into a rule of thumb: never shoot first, but when fired on, give back exactly twice what had been given. Inherent in this two-for-one punishment is the idea that once it takes

punishment evened the score and allowed the sides to return to their earlier truce.

The last essential condition for

place, there is real forgiveness. The

cooperation in the trenches was the clear identification of the individuals on the opposing side and an ongoing relationship with those individuals. Cooperation takes

time to develop, and the fear of

only if the offender will be punished.

punishment can succeed as a deterrent

Understanding conflict can improve our relationships. Does cooperation during

wartime have any relevance to our lives in

more peaceful settings? Absolutely. The

keys to good relationships in peacetime are exactly the same as in warfare. While we may all dream of unconditional friendship,

we are just as motivated by self-interest as the troops.

Some other animals have also learned to cooperate for similarly selfish reasons. Take vampire bats, for instance. As their name suggests, they live by sucking blood from other animals (though rarely from humans). These flying parasites cooperate by sharing food. If a bat returns from an unsuccessful hunt with an empty belly, it

The value of swapping blood is dramatic; the bats are never far from starvation — just two nights without a meal means death. Thus,

solicits — and often receives — a regurgitated

meal from a roost mate.

two nights without a meal means death. Thus, every bat can gain from a system of swapping excess blood on good days for starvation

insurance on bad days.

Most other animals could make similar

mutually advantageous swaps. On a rainy day, I borrow from you with a promise to

repay when my own sun is shining. We can

recipient more than it costs the donor. For this reason, we might expect all animals to be altruistic in this sense — that is, the selfish sense of giving in times of plenty that is likely to be reciprocated in time of need.

both come out ahead if each gift benefits the

Surprisingly, though, across the animal kingdom we find that vampire bats are almost unique in their friendly disposition

cooperate in other ways, but they do not engage in reciprocal exchanges over time.

toward non-relatives. Many animals

shared territorial defense, but it brings immediate advantages to both parties.

So the reciprocal granting of favors

For example, one cooperative behavior is

between non-relatives rarely happens. Part of the reason is that many animals, including our close relatives the orangutans,

are generally hostile toward other members

of their species except mates and off-

whether over the carcass of a gazelle, a sushi dinner, or the water cooler.

Furthermore, unlike members of most

extremely social. We like to be with others,

spring. Humans, however, are

arrangements requiring future repayment.

Imagine that you forget to bring your

wallet to work one day and need to borrow

money to buy lunch. Will it be difficult for

species, we easily form cooperative

human is that it is easy to get a favor that will be repaid. Such easy credit between individuals who are not family is never seen in non-humans. Why do people cooperate so successfully? Simply put, because we have everything it takes to avoid being duped. Cooperation is rare because it's dangerous.

Accepting the short end of the stick is a sure road to extinction — it may be better to not

you to eat? The answer for almost any

innate skills, answer this: Which of your friends is a little cheap when it comes to paying the dinner tab at restaurants? Did some of the

cooperate at all. As a demonstration of our

guests at your wedding fail to send a present? Have you sent a holiday card to people who didn't send one back?

We may claim not to be so petty, but be honest: could you answer the questions, identifying your cheapskate friends? To

avoid exploitation, we all keep detailed

benefits received and given. Eventually, inevitably, we end relationships with people

mental lists of favors owed as well as

who do not reciprocate.

The instinct that prods a human to

share food with an unrelated Stone Age neighbor, to loan money to a twentieth-century neighbor, or just drive a friend to the airport appears to be

rainy day (or put fat on our thighs for a no-grainy day), we buffer ourselves from the world's uncertainties by storing

refreshing altruism. It isn't. Just as we put

money in the bank for a

goodwill in our neighbors.

subtle forms of selfishness. Cynical?
Perhaps, but as we will see, human brains

Niceness and cooperation are simply

are built to monitor cooperative relationships. Consider two different sources of kindness. The first is the fairy book brand, in which people give of themselves simply to create happiness; they put money in anonymous collection boxes and never care if they are repaid.

The second is the selfish version, in which accounts are maintained and deviations from norms are noted and

examine the mechanisms we all have that ensure that our acts of "altruism" ultimately

punished. Undoubtedly modern human

behavior combines the two, but let's

work to our benefit.

The black hamlet fish of the Caribbean has an interesting cooperative problem.

Each fish has both male and female sexual

organs, and having progeny requires

finding a partner willing to play the other

expensive compared to sperm, so each fish in a pair would rather play the male.

sex. The wrinkle is that eggs are big and

The egg-laying fish is at risk because the sperm releaser gains 50% of the genetic benefits but expends less than 50% of the energy necessary to produce offspring. The only equitable solution — and exactly what we see in nature — is an alternation of roles: "Lyndsey" lays a few eggs that are fertilized by "Jamie," who then must take a turn as egg

laying some costly eggs, then Lyndsey leaves.

Humans have a similar taste for fairness.

producer. If Jamie does not reciprocate by

In laboratory settings, people are willing to pay to enforce fair outcomes in the

squabble over a pot of money. One person gets to propose a split. The other has just

"ultimatum" game. In it, two people

The first offer is an ultimatum because it is

two choices, accept or reject the proposal.

final: either the pot is divided as proposed or it's destroyed.

For example, let's say the pot is \$100

and the proposal is \$90-\$ 10. The person getting the short end of the stick has two choices. Take \$10 and see the other person

What do you think happens when this

which case neither party gets any cash.

walk off with \$90. Or reject the \$10, in

game is played for real money, often high stakes?

To help you answer, imagine that the

"pie" is not money but the evolutionary benefit from cooperation. To give a human example, perhaps one of our ancestors could hunt alone and capture a small amount of food, but joint hunting would capture large, calorie-filled beasts. Human cooperators, like the sharing vampire bats,

human sociability.

From archaeological deposits, we know

could outbreed loners. This fact underlies

that our hunter-gatherer ancestors lived in groups of a few hundred people at most.

Their success depended on joint efforts against predators and prey; being nice paid off when the prospect of hunting alone or sleeping outside the camp meant death.

those who could work well with others.

While cooperators have evolutionary

The loners died, so we are descended from

advantages over loners, however, they are at risk if they get the short end of the stick re-

did all the work for the rest of the group, he would eventually lose the evolutionary race

peatedly. If a master hunter continually

fish know to avoid exploiters, and so do people.

to his more cunning partners. Black hamlet

Returning to the ultimatum game,
would you accept \$10 if your partner
planned to keep \$90? How about \$1 and
\$99? Subjects who play these games for
real cash routinely smash unevenly divided

taste for fairness holds true even as the

pies, choosing nothing over inequality. This

worth of salary in poorer countries. Isn't it pure lunacy to turn down free cash?

stakes are increased to many months'

Perhaps. Nonetheless, most of us feel compelled to punish brazen selfishness,

even if we must forfeit free money. It feels less irrational if we think of the money we

destroy as a down payment for the future. If we reject someone's stingy offer, we're

more likely to be treated fairly in future ventures.

Patricia did an incredibly generous thing.

A few years ago, our good friend

Knowing that her friend Katherine was in

dire financial straits, Patricia loaned her \$10,000. Katherine was overwhelmed. Did

Patricia want a contract of some form? No, she replied, "I trust you." What happened?

The gift ruined the friendship. Even though

Katherine is now married to a millionaire, she never repaid the loan. Not surprisingly, the two no longer speak.

the two no longer speak.

Our relationships rest on a selfish
foundation, so too large a gift can destroy
the balance of a friendship. Our instincts
make us constantly weigh the benefits of

continuing relationships with their costs.

For Katharine, continuing her friendship

with Patricia just wasn't worth \$10,000.

commitments even when it does not pay.

Moral people honor their

One of the hallmarks of humans is our ability to override our impulses that often

push us toward selfishness. Still, there is no

reason to put people in situations where they may be better off reneging. A simple one-paragraph letter from Katherine

acknowledging the debt would have held up in court. If Patricia had let Katherine give

would probably still be friends.

her such a letter at the outset, the two

One of the keys to effective

relationships is to maintain a balance of

favors. If one person's debt becomes too

large, he is likely to end the relationship and skip out on the debt. The black hamlet fish courtship alternates roles to achieve a balanced, cooperative outcome. No fish ever owes another more than one batch of eggs.

In some bird species, the male builds a big nest during courtship and the female reciprocates by laying eggs, followed by their joint feeding of the chicks. Studies show that males and females do occasionally desert their partners, and as expected, they desert at the times

predicted by their investment. So males

between building the nest and having sex with the female. Rather, they leave after fertilizing the eggs and before parenting begins.

never leave in the short period of time

Citicorp and other big banks would have done well to learn this lesson when they loaned money to Latin America during the 1970s. Wise debtors are always weighing the cost of repayment with the

relationships with lenders was less costly to some governments than sending cash to the United States. The result was that most Latin American countries delayed debt

cost of default. In the Latin American case,

there came a point when ruining the

Imbalance ruins relationships, and the riskiest time for partners in trade is always

payments, or defaulted, costing the banks

billions of dollars.

investment and it is time to switch roles.

after someone has just made a big

Managing our relationships. As we've seen, cooperation is a delicate business.

Maintaining friendly relations requires

more than balance, however. Consider that

in their quest for alpha-status, chimpanzees, even "friends," test one another's physical strength regularly. Even a brief illness

weak alphas are discovered and dethroned.

Similarly, one side in a cooperative deal

cannot remain hidden from such tests, and

may deviate from the expected nice behavior by accident, but such a deviation

In these cases, perhaps paradoxically,

punishment is required in a functioning relationship.

When Don Corleone is nearly

assassinated in *The Godfather*, relations between the Corleones and the other families reach a boiling point. The resumption of peace requires retaliation, and reconciliation can occur only after the Corleones kill their enemy's son in cold blood. Cooperation is built on mutual strength; had the Corleones extended the

would have been shot, not shaken.

Our punishing natures require

hand of friendship without punishment, it

accountability. Wrongs must be redressed, but it is important to strike the guilty parties. Fortunately, we have some machinery to help us with this. For example,

are easy and names are hard, because a

about names? For almost everyone, faces

are you good at remembering faces? What

devoted to face recognition.

People who develop tumors in this part of the brain have a disease called prosopagnosia; they cannot recognize

substantial chunk of the human brain is

photographs of themselves. Those of us with normally functioning brains can easily remember people, and it is particularly useful to keep track of those we have helped and those who have cheated us. Vampire bats also

anyone, including their spouses or even

can remember — and punish if necessary — approximately a hundred different individuals.

Evolution has built us to solve problems that

Why is face memory so important?

occurred frequently in our history. The ability to remember faces — especially of those who may have wronged us — has long been important in a world in which only a few saints are wandering around bestowing favors but cheaters are common. As a result, humans

have a finely tuned, instinctual system for detecting cheaters.

warfare cooperation. The units needed a reason to stay friends, in the form of future interactions. We find the same theme in our

Recall the final feature of the trench

Have you ever informed an employer that you were leaving only to find frost immediately descend? What seemed to be

a friendly relationship suddenly turns sour, and you wonder why you didn't just take

later. Friendship often depends as much on future prospects for mutual benefit as it does on personality. As the expected length

your last paycheck and leave a few hours

of a relationship shrinks, so too does the cooperation.

So cooperation needs care and feeding and a future. In the harsh, uncertain world of our ancestors, a person with such

ern humans derive deep pleasure from reciprocal relationships, even to the point

relationships had a real advantage. Not

surprisingly, then, mod-

of some apparently silly customs.

In *The Treasure of the Sierra*

Madre, Humphrey Bogart is part of a doomed mining party returning to town to get medical care for an injured man. They

meet some locals, and before discussing medical help the two groups exchange gifts.

Bogart notices a strange fact, "We give 'em

our tobacco, they give us theirs. I don't get it." Human cooperation is so tied to

reciprocal exchange that even apparently senseless gifts and tiny gestures of good faith can play an important role in building

faith can play an important role in building relationships.

Vervet monkeys practice a limited form of cooperation by forming alliances during fights. In a form of mutual tobacco sharing,

they maintain alliances by grooming each

other. Some of the grooming involves

removing parasites, but most of it has absolutely no effect on health. Nevertheless, grooming increases the chance that the recipient will come to the aid of the

groomer in subsequent dangerous battles.

People in some Pacific islands

participate in the "Kula Ring." The islanders

gifts with certain neighboring groups. As with the vervet monkeys, the flow of gifts predicts future alliances, this time in wars.

form small, warlike groups and exchange

You could interpret these alliances as simple protection of trading partners, even if the trade is called gift exchange. The interesting twist of the Kula Ring is that the

move from group to group and are never worn.
And you wonder why your insurance agent
sends you a birthday card every year.

gifts are completely useless — necklaces that

Taken together, these facts and anecdotes expose a selfish un-derstructure to our friendships, gifts, and cooperative

ventures.

The brain is an extremely pricey organ, consuming 20% of our energy resources,

although it is only 2% of body weight.

Much of this precious territory is used to keep track of gift flows, to store faces, and to detect cheaters.

We estimate the durability of relationships and are nicer to those with whom we have a future. To regulate and earn respect, we punish our enemies and even our loved ones for deviations from friendly behavior.

relationship-controlling instincts is our taste for gossip. Humans are unique

because our language allows us to share

most entertaining aspects of our selfish,

Why is gossip irresistible? One of the

Take the following quiz: What do you know about Julia Roberts's love life? Who is

information rapidly and we love to chatter.

she dating and whom has she married?

Now answer this: Will you ever meet Julia

who will never, ever even see her, know that she was married to Lyle Lovett, then got divorced, and is now dating an attractive actor named Benjamin.

Roberts? An amazing number of people

Gossip filled with juicy tidbits is a human universal, and the amount of time spent nattering away does not go down even if there are just a few people about whom to gossip. Many !Kung San groups

might think they'd get bored talking about one another. They don't, and instead spend

hours each day dissecting and transferring

such information.

ri-

contain fewer than a dozen people and you

Gossip has a function. We share useful information with our allies about food

sources, bargain prices, sickness among our

use words to grease coalitions against our enemies, spreading harmful and malicious stories. All of this is very helpful in gaining a leg up in the struggle to survive, prosper,

vals, and sexual opportunities. We also

But our love of social information has run amok. We devour gossip not only about Julia Roberts and other people we will never meet, but also about fake people.

and mate.

TV soap operas are fictional stories filled with precisely the sort of behavior that dominates the discussions around !Kung fires. Did you know that Kimmy is having sex with John and that she is having his baby? We love this information so much that some magazines' sole purpose is to summarize the social data on this fake world. Why?

fictional characters is junk food for our gossipy instincts. For the !Kung and our

ancestors, gossip was functional. For us,

Social information about strangers and

these tasty nuggets of personal information are "empty calories" — time spent dwelling on people who have nothing to do with us.

Etiquette is no trivial matter. In addition

to gossip, gift giving is a human universal with a deep history. Gift etiquette can be

China. When his host placed a piece of food on his plate, the American ate the gift, then immediately reciprocated with a piece of

his own food. The Chinese were aghast.

guite tricky, though, as Emily Post knows

and a U.S. official found out on a visit to

as a fundamental cultural difference.

Commentators explained the mistake

American friendship, they explained, is quid

pro quo, while Chinese relationships involve less explicit account-

ing. Observers failed to note the common feature: people in both cultures give gifts with an eye to repayment; the only difference is the time frame.

When favors are not repaid immediately, the debt can linger for years. In the movie *Gardens of Stone*, a man calls his buddy and asks for a favor: to woo a new

and when pushed, says, "Watch my lips, kid.

No way, no how." The caller then plays his
trump card. He says, "Goody, I saved your
life in Vietnam. I'm calling in my marker."

woman, he needs the buddy and his wife to

come over for dinner. The buddy begs off,

The most comprehensive anthropological survey of gift giving across

The movie cuts to dinner, where we see

Goody and his wife.

human behavior in one sentence. In all societies, people profess that gifts are selfless and voluntary when, in fact, gifts are

all cultures summarized this universal

selfish and obligatory.

Gift giving can become almost
aggressive. The Native American tribe of
the Kwakiutl, for example, had a ceremony

feast and distributed gifts with the aim of

known as the Potlatch. The host served a

feast in return. So nothing is worse than being a guest at the table of a generous host. Even though the Potlatch itself is no

longer practiced, similar rituals continue.

establishing social dominance. To maintain

honor in the face of a competitor's lavish

ceremony required an even more expensive

spotted some cool art in Jay's office. "Hey, what's that?" he inquired. Learning that it

On a recent trip to California, Terry

was some of Jay's own work, Terry offered to buy it, even offering \$1,000. "It's not for

sale at any price" was Jay's response. On his

next trip to Boston, Jay carefully packed up the images and presented them to Terry as a gift. They now hang over his couch.

The reciprocal gift has not yet been purchased, but Terry knows it will have to cost far more than \$1,000.

Gifts can seal the deal. Last year, Terry decided to renovate his condominium

contractor, Patrick, and they agreed on a plan. The contract discussions took their

completely. He found an excellent

inevitable form, with each side arguing for more advantageous financial terms. After some haggling, Terry and Patrick agreed on a total price in the tens of thousands of dollars.

choice. After fighting ruthlessly for the lowest price, Terry voluntarily gave Patrick an extra \$60. Why?

Well, people evolved in a world without

Along with the first check to start the

work, Terry included an expensive bottle of

Scotch, suspecting it was Patrick's drink of

we now have a legal system to regulate our

contracts, one in which gifts had a central

role in mediating relationships. Although

designed to stimulate a positive feeling in Patrick. Imagine how much more effective this \$60 was, spent on a gift as opposed to being added to the overall bill. We're not

sure of the gift's effect, but the relationship

started on a positive note and never

soured.

interactions, we still have instincts for

giving and receiving gifts. Terry's gift was

Economists are not generally known for their optimistic views of human nature. Adam

Smith's most famous quote, from *The Wealth* of *Nations*, is: "It is not from the benevolence of the butcher, the brewer, or the baker, that we expect our dinner, but

address ourselves, not to their humanity
but to their self-love, and never talk to

from their regard to their own interest. We

them of our necessities but of their advantages." Even hardhearted economists have data supporting the value of gifts.

were paid to simulate bosses and workers.

In an experimental setting, subjects

As in all real employment settings, wages

were the central feature used to hire

workers. After the negotiation was finished, but before any work began, the employers

had a chance to give the new workers a financial gift. This unearned bonus did not change the contractual terms. What

happened?

Employers who gave the bonus made

more money. How? The setting was

designed so that workers could choose to work hard or to slack off a bit without being caught (just like most jobs). Production levels went up — along with profits — if workers volunteered for what was essentially unpaid overtime. Workers who received unexpected gifts worked harder — so much harder that employers who gave gifts made more money.

Real companies play this game as well, giving a variety of perks to employees.

Many Internet start-ups, for example, tout

their fun and generous corporate atmosphere: "Come work for us. We give you food and a gym to work out in." Friday afternoons are filled with company beer bashes. Why are companies generous? It pays. Like the bottle of Scotch, perks manipulate our gift-exchanging instincts. There's nothing wrong with this, and most of us are happier with more than just a guid pro quo.

many areas. One study looked at people's willingness to be interrupted at a photocopier. Researchers camped out near

These instincts have ramifications in

the copy center and sent in accomplices who asked to use the machine immediately.

What happened?

The researchers found a big difference if the interrupter explained the need. "May I

make some copies now?" was much less

"May I make some copies now because my boss is going to fire me otherwise?" Seems

reasonable? Further studies showed that any reason was as good as imminent job loss.

"May I make some copies now because I'd like to make some copies now?" did equally well —

successful than a request along the lines of

and was significantly more effective than —
"May I make some copies now?"

Why are we such suckers? The answer

might lie in the selfish nature of our altruism. Recall that favors are granted for

mutual advantage. Any statement of need indicates a higher likelihood that the

eventual return will be greater.

benefit to the receiver is high and the

Another devious experiment reveals a calculating aspect of our favor-granting

decision process. Students at the Princeton

Theological Seminary were asked a series of questions about their personality and level

of religious commitment. They were then sent across a college campus.

was slumped over, coughing, groaning, and asking for medical assistance. Did

Along the way, they met a person who

self-proclaimed nice people help more?

Absolutely not. Neither did religious

commitment help explain who was naughty

and who was nice.

There was only one robust predictor of altruism. Half the seminarians were manipulated to think that they were late for

an appointment while the others were told that they had plenty of time. Sixty-three percent of those with spare time helped as

compared to only ten percent of those in a hurry. When they were short of time, even those who reported "religion as a quest" did not stop to help.

No. It means that our level of goodness and

Does this mean we are all bad people?

badness depends on the payoffs both to us and to the recipient. In the copier example, an indication of high need elicits altruism,

whereas in the cross-campus trips, the low cost of giving, in the form of having spare time, is the prod.

The implications, minor and major, of these selfish origins pervade our lives. Julia,

a friend of Jay's, recently wanted to thank him for some help. She sees him every day and they exchange numerous e-mails as well. She could have included a verbal or written thanks. Instead, Julia wrote a note and walked past Jay's office to post the letter through the old-fashioned U.S. mail. Knowing that people are sensitive to costs and benefits, Julia knew her thanks would

be more appreciated if she expended some effort.

Perhaps the most practical, if trivial,

finding is that we should smile and wave at others in traffic. Jay's wife, Lisa, is a master at getting people to allow her to merge into their lane. She makes eye contact,

magnanimous as they let her pull in ahead

smiles, and asks for permission using facial

expressions. Other drivers seem happily

and the facial expressions feel like the beginning of a relationship, thus

stimulating our favor-granting instincts.

of them. Why? The eye contact with Lisa

Since favors are granted, at their core, in the expectation of being repaid, Lisa's

acknowledgment of a debt lubricates the

road-

ways. In the enormous city of Los

Angeles, the odds that she'll actually have

the opportunity to return the favor to this particular person are zero, but this doesn't diminish the effect.

We are living with outdated social instincts in the modern world. One interesting twist to our outdated social

instincts is a systematic overestimate of our importance. Pundits lament disappointing

record low turnout of 49% in the 1996 U.S. presidential election. But the real puzzle is why someone would devote an hour to vote in a presidential election at all.

voter participation, commenting on the

It is impossible for any single vote to change a national election, so the selfish, rational thing to do is stay home. This is all true in 2000, but it most definitely was not

true for our ancestors, who lived in small

modern senator. One voice among a handful could be heard.

The genes in our brain still think that it

bands and were each as powerful as a

is completely reasonable to spend some effort swaying the outcome toward their own advantage. They also lead us to care more than we ought to about our multimillion-person society. Because people lived in small groups for so long,

appropriate for a world with a small number of people who interact frequently.

In addition to the voting booth, these
"small world" instincts affect behavior on

our genes have built us with instincts

the highway.

Aggressive and violent driving is so common that in a recent survey Americans rated road rage as a bigger threat than drunk driving. Numerous websites exist

where people can post their stories. One man boasted that after an older woman cut him off in traffic, he followed "the old bag home and bashed her mailbox down." Why do we get so worked up if the guy in the next car gets ahead of us? Is it really

crucial to get to work eight seconds earlier?

assuming that we live in a small world.

Honor and reputation are crucially

The answer may again lie in our instincts

important when we interact repeatedly with the same people. Early in Nelson Mandela's prison term, for example, the guards tried

to get all the inmates to run. Mandela

exhorted his colleagues saying, "Don't

succumb to these threats. Just walk at your normal, steady pace." He explained later

have been running every day.

When we will be seeing the same people over and over, establishing a

that he knew if he had run that day, he'd

reputation can be worth a lot. When we take this attitude onto the highway, however, we are taking risks to punish people whom we will most likely never see again.

fights take place in bars and a surprising number escalate over trivial matters of honor. In fact, over 20,000 Americans will

Even today, a significant number of

die in homicides this year, and tens of thousands more will be injured in stabbings or gun-fights that could have ended in death. A significant percentage of these incidents will occur between strangers who

had they just walked away.

We vote even when we can't alter the

would never have seen each other again

outcome because we expect our vote to register with ancestral impact. Similarly, we are too eager to defend our honor even when honor ought to be forgotten. When we are getting all worked up over some

insult,

interactions with the miscreant. If the answer is zero, we are better off ignoring the slight.

we need to count the number of future

The movie *Full Metal Jacket* chronicles

U.S. Marines undergoing initial military

training, then follows them to Vietnam.

During boot camp, the drill inspector

performs a nightly review of his troops and

their quarters. One evening he is incensed

his gear. In a fury, he scatters Pyle's possessions and says, "If there's one thing in this world that I hate, it's an unlocked footlocker!... if it weren't for dickheads like you, there wouldn't be any thievery."

to find that Private Pyle has not locked up

Definitely. But also a commentary on human nature. Because people might be tempted by our possessions, we can help

An example of blaming the victim?

providing an environment that favors honesty.

others quell the criminal within by

of friendship can, perhaps paradoxically, strengthen our relationships. Each of us has a unique set of genes. Their ruthless

Similarly, recognizing the selfish nature

strangers, friends, and even our families.
Understanding that genetic self-interest

self-interest leads us into conflict with

Friend and foe are fluid categories.

Because cooperation is driven by mutual

interest we shouldn't be completely averse

to seeking and cultivating opportunities

underlies both conflict and cooperation, we

can construct situations to induce

with our antagonists. We should learn to be nicer to our rivals because they may be friends or spouses next week. Similarly, it

Weaknesses we reveal may be used against

us in the (near) future. Finally, we should be

pays to be more guarded with allies.

nicer to ourselves, our only permanent ally.

Conclusion Surviving desire

Herring gulls lay their eggs on the ground in shallow nests. Though they care dearly for their young, they don't build particularly good nests. The problem with

cold. Accordingly, the first thing a gull does when returning home is look for stray eggs and push them back into the nest.

Scientists manipulated the number and

these ramshackle homes is that precious

eggs have a tendency to roll out of the nest,

where they may be eaten or perish in the

discovered a simple behavioral pattern. The gulls roll the eggs back one at a time and

size of eggs outside the nest and

always in the order of biggest to smallest.

The scientists continued to tweak this
system by making artificial eggs that

looked like the natural eggs, but were larger. When they were placed near the nest, the conscientious parents continued to retrieve all the eggs, artificial and natural, from biggest to smallest. In a twist that would make Pamela

Anderson Lee proud, the scientists made

enormous fake eggs. The gulls, it seems,
have no

upper limit to their "bigger is better"
rule. Even when the artificial egg was much
larger than adult birds themselves, they still

tried to save the biggest first. Unable to move an almost football-size artificial egg, the parent nevertheless tried relentlessly and persisted even as its real babies died nearby in untended eggs.

stupid bird or allow it to survive? In fact, the gull's instincts function beautifully in its natural environment. "Bigger is better"

Why would evolution design such a

works flawlessly because in the real world a gull is never going to encounter a gigantic fake egg, and bigger eggs produce healthier offspring. The problem arises only when the birds are placed in an unusual

environment run by meddling scientists.

worked well in our natural environment, but get us in trouble in an industrialized

Like the herring gulls, our instincts

world. A prime example is our love of eating. Ancestral humans were always

hungry, having no reliable food source and

no refrigerator or storage system. Their survival rule was simple: eat as much as possible. When we follow this rule in our

overweight and unhealthy.

rich, modern world, many of us become

Our outdated genes frequently get us into trouble. As with our hearty appetites,

many of our problems are simply wanting (and getting) too much of a good thing.

What is useful in small quantities often becomes destructive in excess, so instinctual desires in a new environment

lead us straight to a problem. In other cases,

the source of our trouble is less direct.

Consider how satisfying the biological

needs of the !Kung San got them in trouble.

Until just a few years ago, the San lived as our ancestors did, hunting wild animals

and gathering plants. Some of the first

Westerners who contacted them in the

1000s a skead what the sec

1960s asked what they

obvious: water. They live in a desert and are perpetually searching for water. Even a slow

wanted. For the San the answer was

drip from one of our faucets would provide enough for a small band of people.

Lo and behold, there is plenty of underground water in the Kalahari. In 1962 the Westerners drilled five boreholes in the area known as !Koi!kom, thereby providing

a stable water supply. Unfortunately, these

San simply traded one nightmare for several others. Normally, they are nomadic, moving from place to place as animal migration or plant seasons dictate. With their boreholes, the San unpacked and settled down nearby. Soon they had depleted all the animals and plants within

practical walking distance.

Furthermore, the San had never needed to develop any sanitation methods,

leaving their garbage and bodily waste just outside their huts and fireplaces and moving on before debris could build up. Mother Nature took care of recycling. Wedded to their water and unwilling to move, however, they found that their waste piled up and began causing illness. Satisfying the San's water dreams guenched their thirst but made them hungry and sick.

The problems of the !Kung San and the herring gulls illustrate the intricate balance between an animal's instincts and the

environment. Today we each face more profound versions of these problems. Our love of possessions, food, and generally easy living has moved us far from our

natural setting, creating a plague of

troubles in the process.

speed. A new computer is outdated by the time it is installed, and a week seems like an

Our world is changing with dizzying

eternity in the Internet world. In contrast, evolution is ploddingly slow, and human genes have not changed very much

in thousands of years. Plato would have been puzzled by e-mail, but he enjoyed the same buzz we do from a fine glass of wine.

genetic pleasure buttons that we have.

His brain contained exactly the same

In fact, our genes are largely

unchanged from a time long before Plato.

Genetically, we are still cavewomen and cavemen despite our living in ultramodern

homes. This mismatch between our genes' natural world and the modern world causes many problems. Drug addiction, obesity,

gambling, and bankruptcy do not, however,

stem simply from innocent discord
between ancient and modern worlds. The
explanation is more sinister.

explanation is more sinister.

People profit from exploiting our outdated instincts. Humans and other primates, for example, love fruits because

they are naturally loaded with sugar. Food manufacturers pander to our sweet tooth.

breakfast cereals have been pumped up to

While an orange is 10% sugar, some

would have let out a whoop of joy to find a naturally-sweet orange, but our children prefer Cap'n Crunch.

Similarly, fast-food pushers did not

more than 50%. So one of our ancestors

create our taste for fatty, salty,
calorie-laden foods, they simply exploit our
existing desire by producing a product with
exaggerated features. Our taste buds go

crazy for a meal that has more, more, and

kept our ancestors going. The list of profit-making, instinct-exploiting products

is long. Pornography takes advantage of

finally even more of the ingredients that

our sexual interests. TV soap operas satisfy our taste for social information. And so on.

"Greed is good. Greed captures the essence of the evolutionary spirit and has marked the upward surge of mankind." Or so

says Gordon Gekko in *Wall Street*. But he is wrong.

Greed is neither good nor bad, it simply seeks profit. This motive gives rise equally

to life-saving vaccines and exploitative

loans that charge interest rates north of 100%. What all products — both helpful and destructive — have in common is that they tap into our instinctual desires. It is precisely these desires that so frequently get us into trouble.

In a somewhat cruel test of the human ability to control ourselves, psychologists placed single marshmallows in front of

would then say, "I am going to leave and return in fifteen minutes. You may eat your

marshmallow now, but if you wait until I

four-year-old children. One of the scientists

return, you can eat two marshmallows."
Hidden observers recorded the kids' initial
struggle to resist their urges to eat.

Nonetheless, most succumbed and ate the lone marshmallow.

The elegance of this experiment is that

the same children were visited more than a decade later. Those who had shown willpower in the marshmallow experiment were more successful than their less

disciplined classmates. They were rated as better able to concentrate, more adept at

coping with stress, and actually scored significantly higher on the SAT.

and bountiful rewards accrue to those of us who can best control our passions. On the

road to our dreams we must drive past

many alluring detours.

All of us face daily marshmallow battles,

This reminds us of the central theme of *Mean Genes*. The enemy that makes us

love fatty foods, look with desire at our

our paychecks in casinos lies in our own genetic desires.

neighbor's spouse, and travel hours to risk

we at least have a fighting chance. Most animals, even intelligent chimpanzees, have no ability whatsoever to override their passions. Here's a trick researchers teach

As tough as our self-control battles are,

something the chimps want. They will only

chimps. In one hand, the researchers hold

Chimps quickly learn this little game and point to the researcher's left hand to get their booty from the right hand, or vice versa.

give the item to the chimp, however, if it

points to the researcher's other hand.

however, if the desired item is food. When the chimps see food (a juicy banana, for example), they go straight for it, forgetting

This ability to learn disappears,

failures they keep pointing, with growing frustration, at the hand with the food they desire and don't get. Chimps simply cannot

the game completely. Even after dozens of

use their intelligence to override their passion for food.

As difficult as willpower is for humans,

our capacity for self-control sets us apart from the rest of the animal kingdom. So, in

addition to genes that get us in trouble, we

It is within our very genes that we find the

have genes for free will and self-discipline.

tools to fight our animalist urges and take control of our lives.

There are multiple routes to reining in

our passions. Let's call one Arnold in honor of the pure discipline shown by Arnold

Schwarzenegger. As a teenager, he set

out to be the world's best bodybuilder.

muscle world and parlayed that success into a movie career and more.

Many self-help strategies are variants

Through iron willpower he ruled over the

of the Arnold approach. They ask us simply to get tougher, to live among temptation but to be strong. There is beauty to this approach. We respect discipline. In the James Bond movie *Moonraker*, the villain has a pair of impressively trained Dobermans that

patiently ignore juicy steaks just under their

so completely is rare.

But beyond its sheer difficulty, the

Arnold approach has the drawback of

requiring continual vigilance. If, after a full

noses until given permission. The scene is

striking because the ability to resist urges

day of resisting gnawing hunger, we break down and eat a chocolate bar that contains sixty grams of fat and five hundred calories, we go to sleep feeling bad and resolving to and fifty-nine minutes of discipline can be undone in a moment of weakness.

Some temptations are better avoided

be tougher tomorrow. Twenty-three hours

than resisted. To be sure, we can all benefit from pure mental toughness. Those of us, however, who will eat the marshmallows and scarf down the juicy steaks can benefit from additional tools.

that the intense pleasure we feel during an orgasm or a hit of crack cocaine is

Recall from our discussion of drugs

molecules of dopamine tickling our brain's "do-it-again" center. Imagine a product

without side effects. No destructive urges, no HIV-infected needles, just a short-term

hit of dopamine free of consequences. We

that could reliably produce this buzz

roller-coaster.

have such a product. It's called a

dopamine the old-fashioned way: they took risks. With a bit of ingenuity, we have invented products that create an illusion of

risk. Horror movies, bungee jumps, and

action video games all give us a risky thrill

Our ancestors got their risk-generated

yet are no more dangerous than taking a nap on the couch. No wonder we like them

untapped. Let's consider a couple of promising approaches, though.

Food substitutes seek to allow us to

have our dietary cake and eat it, too.

so much. For other problematic passions,

we haven't yet produced such effective

products. The potential lies largely

there are dozens more in development)
designed to fool our taste buds. It promises

Nutrasweet is one prominent chemical (and

us "all of the pleasure, none of the costs." There's no barrier to eventually having meals that taste like a four-thousand-calorie steak- and potato-fest but are as healthy as broccoli with brown rice. Similarly, both nicotine

with brown rice. Similarly, both nicotine gum and methadone try to satisfy our drug cravings while minimizing the associated ill effects.

primal instincts. We can make products that stimulate our ancestral instincts but have

Innovation can thus help tame our

whatever effect we choose. Junk food
becomes health food. Danger on a
roller-coaster is a safe thrill. Warfare is
conducted with soccer balls and hockey

pucks. Cigarettes are replaced by nicotine

patches.

A second route to self-control is illustrated in the movie *There's Something About Mary*. In

it, Ben Stiller has a history of bad dates in which he gets so excited around attractive

women that he scares them away. When he secures a date with attractive Cameron Diaz,

he worries that overexcitement will ruin his big chance. A friend advises him to remove

the "baby batter" from

the date and, in his cooled-down state, gets the girl.

his brain. Stiller masturbates just before

Stiller's pre-emptive strike demonstrates another *Mean Genes* tool in

our self-control belt. Before we get into a

situation where our passions are likely to lead us astray, we can take steps to alter

lead us astray, we can take steps to alter those passions. For example, we temper our food passions by eating something

store. Or we consume Antabuse to make drinking alcohol unpleasant.

healthful before going to a party or grocery

Self-control battles have plagued all humans, and many of our oldest legends revolve around this theme. One of the most enduring adventure stories is *The*Odyssey, Homer's description of Odysseus

nymphs, the Sirens, who sang so beautifully that mariners were compelled to approach

returning home to Greece after the sacking

of Troy. Among the perils he faced were sea

surrounding rocks.

and inevitably crashed their ships on the

mast, plugged the ears of his crew with wax, and gave them strict instructions to ignore

Odysseus lashed himself to his ship's

his facial expressions. In most situations, having more freedom and power is a good

thing. While Odysseus was hearing the
Sirens, he could neither move nor order his

crew to take him too dangerously close to

powerlessness that saved him from destruction. He became the first person to

their lair. It was precisely his planned

hear the beauty of the Sirens' singing without perishing.

Odysseus anticipated his weakness and took steps to prevent his predictable passions from wreaking destructive ends.

The

lower-fat foods to stock our pantries or restrict lunch dates with an attractive, flirtatious co-worker to public venues.

drama of the Sirens is played on

smaller stages when we decide to buy

If we had Arnold-like muscles of discipline, we could choose not to eat even in the midst of chocolate bars. Alternatively, we can outsmart our passions by making

sure that only rice cakes are available when

and are not brought there." Similarly, our self-control struggles are frequently decided by the terrain; we should pick a setting in which we will win. Odysseus also teaches us to enjoy life. He could have avoided death simply by

putting wax in his ears, as his crew did. But

the urge to binge strikes. The Chinese

philosopher Sun Tzu said, "Those skilled in

war bring the enemy to the field of battle

he sought to experience the rich intensity
of the Sirens' song and avoid the downside.
Our desires create difficulties, but without
pleasure, what's the point?

even indulge them but prevent them from controlling us. The key to a satisfying life is finding a middle ground that combines

free-flowing pleasure, iron willpower, and

We should enjoy our animal passions and

the crafty manipulation of ourselves and our situations. Our temptations are powerful and persistent, but we are not destined to succumb. Ancient and selfish, our mean genes influence us every day in almost every way. But because we can predict their

influence, self-knowledge plus discipline

to lead satisfying and moral lives.

can provide a winning strategy in the battle