

## Problem 1

now exploring edge(3,5)

the parent is [1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9]

MST now is [(3, 5)]

union structure {1, 2, 3(5), 4, 6, 7, 8, 9}

-----

now exploring edge(4,6)

the parent is [1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6)]

union structure {1, 2, 3(5), 4(6), 7, 8, 9}

-----

now exploring edge(3,4)

the parent is [1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4)]

union structure {1, 2, 3(4(6), 5), 7, 8, 9}

-----

now exploring edge(2,9)

the parent is [1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 7, 8, 2]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9)]

union structure {1, 2(9), 3(4(6), 5), 7, 8}

-----

now exploring edge(4,5)

the parent is [1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 7, 8, 2]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9)]

union structure {1, 2(9), 3(4(6), 5), 7, 8}

-----

now exploring edge(6,9)

the parent is [1, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 7, 8, 2]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9)]

union structure {1, 3( 2(9), 4(6), 5), 7, 8}

-----

now exploring edge(5,9)

the parent is [1, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 7, 8, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9)]

union structure {1, 3( 2(9), 4(6), 5), 7, 8}

-----

now exploring edge(1,6)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 7, 8, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5), 7, 8}

-----

now exploring edge(4,8)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 7, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 8), 7}

-----

now exploring edge(1,5)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 7, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 8), 7}

-----

now exploring edge(1,8)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 7, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 8), 7}

-----

now exploring edge(7,8)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8), (7, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 7, 8)}

-----

now exploring edge(5,7)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8), (7, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 7, 8)}

-----

now exploring edge(5,6)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8), (7, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 7, 8)}

-----

now exploring edge(6,7)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8), (7, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 7, 8)}

-----

now exploring edge(3,7)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8), (7, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 7, 8)}

-----

now exploring edge(2,4)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8), (7, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 7, 8)}

-----

now exploring edge(2,3)

the parent is [3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3]

MST now is [(3, 5), (4, 6), (3, 4), (2, 9), (6, 9), (1, 6), (4, 8), (7, 8)]

union structure {3(1, 2(9), 4(6), 5, 7, 8)}

-----

## Problem 2.

a. Use Inductive method.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume  $G$  be a weighted undirected graph  $(V, E)$ ,  $T$  be a MST of  $G$ , if  $e$  is an edge in  $T$ , then  $T - \{e\}$  has exactly two connected components.

Base: If there is one edge  $e$  in  $T$ ,  $T - \{e\}$  will be empty, i.e., exist two individual nodes, absolutely two connected part.

Maintenance:

hypothesis: if  $e$  is an edge in  $T$ ,  $|T|=k$ ,  $T - \{e\}$  has two connected components.

Let's prove,  $|T|=k+1$ ,  $T - \{e\}$  has two connected components.

As the "cut" defined in textbook, cut on  $T$ , thus  $T = \{x\} + \{T - x\}$ . ( $x$  is a node of  $T$ ). According to textbook,  $\{x\}$ ,  $\{T - x\}$  are two connected components separately. (1) if  $e = x$ , thus  $\{e\}$ ,  $\{T - e\}$  are two separately connected components (2) if  $e \neq x$ , according to our hypothesis,  $\{T - e\}$  and  $\{e\}$  are two connected components, however,  $x$  must connects to one of  $\{T - e\}$ ,  $\{e\}$ , thus there are still two connected components.

b. ~~Assume  $T_1, T_2$  are two connected components of  $T - \{e\}$ . (according to cut in textbook).  $V_1, V_2$  are the node set of  $T_1, T_2$ .~~

Assume  $C$  and  $C'$  are two connected components of  $T - \{e\}$ . (according to what proved in a, there only exist two part).

Because  $e'$  is an edge that crosses the cut  $C$ , which means the other one vertex of  $e'$  must be in  $C'$ , for there are only two connected components of  $T - \{e\}$  exists (conclusion a.). Besides,  $e'$  and  $e$  has the same weight, so  $T - \{e\} \cup \{e'\}$  is also a MST.

### Problem 3

---step 1---

cost is

(1 : inf) (2 : inf) (3 : inf) (4 : inf) (5 : 0.0) (6 : inf) (7 : inf) (8 : inf) (9 : inf)

Heap is

5.cost= 0.0

1.cost= inf

3.cost= inf

4.cost= inf

2.cost= inf

6.cost= inf

7.cost= inf

8.cost= inf

9.cost= inf

1.visited = False

2.visited = False

3.visited = False

4.visited = False

5.visited = True

6.visited = False

7.visited = False

8.visited = False

9.visited = False

---step 2---

cost is

(1 : inf) (2 : 8.0) (3 : inf) (4 : 5.0) (5 : 0.0) (6 : 9.0) (7 : inf) (8 : 5.0) (9 : inf)

Heap is

4.cost= 5.0

8.cost= 5.0

6.cost= 9.0

2.cost= 8.0

9.cost= inf

3.cost= inf

7.cost= inf

1.cost= inf

1.visited = False

2.visited = False

3.visited = False

4.visited = True

5.visited = True

6.visited = False

7.visited = False

8.visited = False

9.visited = False

---step 3---

cost is

(1 : 7.0) (2 : 4.0) (3 : 4.0) (4 : 5.0) (5 : 0.0) (6 : 9.0) (7 : 9.0) (8 : 5.0) (9 : 2.0)

Heap is

9.cost= 2.0

2.cost= 4.0

3.cost= 4.0

1.cost= 7.0

8.cost= 5.0

6.cost= 9.0

7.cost= 9.0

1.visited = False

2.visited = False

3.visited = False

4.visited = True

5.visited = True

6.visited = False

7.visited = False

8.visited = False

9.visited = True

## Problem 4.

General Idea: Sort the tasks according to their required time.  
And the order should be the sorted order (increasingly).  
Considering the time complexity, apply quick-sort to sort.

Algorithm:

Input: tasks with require time of each task

Output: the order that minimize the total time until each task finished.

return quick-sort (tasks, required time).

Algorithm analysis: For it is a quick-sort, time complexity is  $O(n \log n)$ .

Let ~~us~~ then prove. our order  $w_1, w_2 \dots w_n$  is the optimal order.

Assume  $w_i, w_j$  are two <sup>random</sup> tasks in our order, where  $1 \leq i < j < n$ .

Because we have sorted tasks in increasing order, so  $t_i \leq t_j$ .

~~Let~~ Let swap  $w_i, w_j$  to make a new order.

The total time ~~is~~  $T_{\text{original}} = t_1 + (t_1 + t_2) + \dots + (t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_i) + \dots + (t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_j) + (t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n)$

The new time  $T_{\text{new}} = t_1 + (t_1 + t_2) + \dots + (t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_j) + \dots + (t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_i) + (t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n)$

$$T_{\text{new}} - T_{\text{original}} = (j-i)(t_j - t_i) \geq 0.$$

Thus.  $T_{\text{new}} \geq T_{\text{original}}$ . We prove that one change of two tasks will always make the  $T_{\text{new}} \geq T_{\text{original}}$ . Thus no matter how many tasks will change.  $T_{\text{new}}$  will always greater than or equal to  $T_{\text{original}}$ . So the original order is the optimal one.

## Problem 5

Letter	Frequency	Encode
A	20	00
B	29	10
C	10	010
D	2	111110
E	3	111111
F	4	11110
G	9	1110
H	11	011
I	12	110

Total bits:

$$20*2 + 29*2 + 10*3 + 2*6 + 3*6 + 4*5 + 9*4 + 11*3 + 12*3 = 283$$

The result is :

((('A', ('C', 'H')), ('B', ('I', ('G', ('F', ('D', 'E'))))))))