Questions to be discussed: 1, 2 & 4.

- 1. (a) Write down a serializable Snapshot Isolation (SSI) schedule that is not a conflict serializable schedule (CSS).
 - (b) Write down a conflict serializable schedule (CSS) that is not a serializable Snapshot Isolation (SSI) schedule.
 - (c) Write down a serializable Snapshot Isolation (SSI) schedule S (involving transactions T_1 , T_2 , and T_3) such that DSG(S) consists the edges $T_1 \stackrel{rw}{\to} T_2$ and $T_2 \stackrel{rw}{\to} T_3$.
- 2. For each of the following multiversion schedules, state whether it is multiversion view serializable (MVSS). If the schedule is MVSS, write down a serial monoversion schedule that is multiversion view equivalent to it.

- 3. (Exercise 17.3, R&G) For each of the following schedules, state whether it is a Snapshot Isolation schedule under the First-Committer-Wins rule.
 - (a) $R_1(X)$, $R_2(X)$, $W_1(X)$, $W_2(X)$, $Commit_1$, $Commit_2$
 - (b) $W_1(X)$, $R_2(Y)$, $R_1(Y)$, $R_2(X)$, $Commit_1$, $Commit_2$
 - (c) $R_1(X)$, $R_2(Y)$, $W_3(X)$, $R_2(X)$, $R_1(Y)$, $Commit_1$, $Commit_2$, $Commit_3$
 - (d) $R_1(X)$, $R_1(Y)$, $W_1(X)$, $R_2(Y)$, $W_3(Y)$, $W_1(X)$, $R_2(Y)$, $Commit_1$, $Commit_2$, $Commit_3$
 - (e) $R_1(X)$, $W_2(X)$, $W_1(X)$, $Commit_2$, $Commit_1$
 - (f) $W_1(X)$, $R_2(X)$, $W_1(X)$, $Commit_2$, $Commit_1$
 - (g) $R_2(X)$, $W_3(X)$, $Commit_3$, $W_1(Y)$, $Commit_1$, $R_2(Y)$, $W_2(Z)$, $Commit_2$
 - (h) $R_1(X)$, $W_2(X)$, $Commit_2$, $W_1(X)$, $Commit_1$, $R_3(X)$, $Commit_3$
 - (i) $R_1(X)$, $W_2(X)$, $W_1(X)$, $R_3(X)$, $Commit_1$, $Commit_2$, $Commit_3$

4. Consider the following schedule involving transactions T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , and T_4 which are executed in a database system using the Snapshot Isolation protocol with the First Committer Wins Rule.

Assume the following values for the state of the database before the start of the schedule:

$$a_0 = 10, b_0 = 20, c_0 = 30.$$

Timestamp	T_3	T_1	T_2	T_4	Comments
1	$R_3(c)$				
2			$R_2(b)$		
3			$W_2(b)$		T_2 updates b to 45.
4			$Commit_2$		
5	$R_3(b)$				
6	$W_3(b)$				T_3 updates b to 60.
7		$R_1(a)$			
8		$R_1(b)$			
9		$W_1(a)$			T_1 updates a to 100.
10				$R_4(b)$	
11				$R_4(a)$	
12				$W_4(b)$	T_4 updates b to 16.
13	$R_3(a)$				
14	$W_3(c)$				T_3 updates c to 200.
15		$W_1(c)$			T_1 updates c to 40.
16		$Commit_1$			
17				$R_4(b)$	
18				$R_4(c)$	

- (a) Write down the value read by $R_3(b)$ at timestamp 5.
- (b) Write down the value read by $R_1(b)$ at timestamp 8.
- (c) Write down the value read by $R_4(b)$ at timestamp 10.
- (d) Write down the value read by $R_4(a)$ at timestamp 11.
- (e) Write down the value read by $R_3(a)$ at timestamp 13.
- (f) Write down the value read by $R_4(b)$ at timestamp 17.
- (g) Write down the value read by $R_4(c)$ at timestamp 18.