ODI DOD

## Questions to be discussed: 1 & 4

- 1. Consider a database with the following two relations where the key attributes are shown underlined:
  - R (<u>a</u>, b, c, d)
  - T (<u>h</u>, i, j)

Assume the following for this question.

- 1. Relation R contains 10,000 pages with each page containing 30 records.
- 2. Relation T contains 8,000 pages with each page containing 100 records.
- 3. There are three unclustered indexes on relation R:
  - $I_b$ : a B<sup>+</sup>-tree index on (b) with at most 100 entries in each leaf page.
  - $I_c$ : a B<sup>+</sup>-tree index on (c) with at most 100 entries in each leaf page.
  - $I_{bc}$ : a B<sup>+</sup>-tree index on (b, c) with at most 50 entries in each leaf page.
- 4. There are three unclustered indexes on relation T:
  - $I_i$ : a B<sup>+</sup>-tree index on (i) with at most 200 entries in each leaf page.
  - $I_j$ : a B<sup>+</sup>-tree index on (j) with at most 200 entries in each leaf page.
  - $I_{ij}$ : a B<sup>+</sup>-tree index on (i, j) with at most 100 entries in each leaf page.
- 5. Each of the indexes has two levels of internal nodes.
- 6. Only 10% of R records satisfy the condition "b > 20".
- 7. Only 5% of R records satisfy the condition "c = 100".
- 8. Only 1% of R records satisfy both the conditions "b > 20" and "c = 100".
- 9. Only 5% of T records satisfy the condition "i > 50".
- 10. Only 5% of T records satisfy the condition "j > 30".
- 11. Only 4% of T records satisfy both the conditions "i > 50" and "j > 30".
- 12. The cost metric to use is the number of page I/Os. Ignore the cost of writing out the final result.
- 13. There are 25 buffer pages available.
- 14. The database system supports only four join algorithms (Block Nested-loop Join, Indexed Nested-loop Join, Optimized Sort-Merge Join, and Grace Hash Join), and supports only the hash-based algorithm for set intersections.

Consider the following three queries.

O1.	SELECT	*	$\Omega_2$	SELECT	*	Q3:	SELECT	sts.
$\omega_{1}$ .			$\mathbb{Q}^{Z}$ .				FROM	R. T
	FROM	R		FROM	T			R.d = T.h
	WHERE	b > 20		WHERE	i > 50			
	AND	c = 100		AND	i > 30		AND	R.b > 20
	TIND	C = 100		MIND	J > 30		AND	R.c = 100
							AND	T.i > 50
							AND	T.i > 30

Answer the following three questions:

- (a) What is the least cost plan for query Q1? What is its cost?
- (b) What is the least cost plan for query Q2? What is its cost?
- (c) What is the least cost plan for query Q3? What is its cost?

2. Consider a relation  $R(\underline{a}, b, c)$ , where the domains of all the attributes are positive integers. Assume that ||R|| = 10000,  $||\pi_b(R)|| = 100$ , and  $||\pi_c(R)|| = 20$ .

Estimate the result size for each of the following queries.

- (a) SELECT \* FROM R WHERE b = 10
- (b) SELECT \* FROM R WHERE  $(b \ge 20)$  AND (b < 40)
- (c) SELECT \* FROM R WHERE  $b \neq 7$
- (d) SELECT \* FROM R WHERE (b = 20) AND (c = 40)
- (e) SELECT \* FROM R WHERE (b = 20) OR (c = 40)
- 3. Consider a database with the following three relations:
  - R(a,b,c) with ||R|| = 200,  $||\pi_b(R)|| = 20$ , and  $||\pi_c(R)|| = 50$
  - S(d, e, b) with ||S|| = 800 and  $||\pi_b(S)|| = 40$
  - T(f, g, c) with ||T|| = 500 and  $||\pi_c(T)|| = 100$

Estimate the result cardinality for each of the following queries:

- (a)  $Q_1$ : SELECT \* FROM R JOIN S ON R.b = S.b
- (b)  $Q_2$ : SELECT \* FROM R JOIN S ON R.b = S.b JOIN T ON R.c = T.c
- 4. Consider a relation R with ||R|| = 121 and an attribute A with  $||\pi_A(R)|| = 20$ . The actual distribution of attribute A is shown below.

Value of A	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
# of tuples	1	15	6	8	2	3	0	1	20	3	0	10	6	8	12	7	0	8	5	6

- (a) Construct an equidepth histogram  $H_3$  with 3 buckets.
- (b) Estimate the size for each of the following queries using  $H_3$ .
  - i.  $Q_1$ : SELECT \* FROM R WHERE A = 5
  - ii.  $Q_2$ : SELECT \* FROM R WHERE A = 8
  - iii.  $Q_3$ : SELECT \* FROM R WHERE A  $\geq 6$  AND A  $\leq 17$
- (c) Construct an equidepth histogram  $H'_3$  with 3 buckets and top-2 MCV.
- (d) Repeat part (b) using  $H'_3$ .
- (e) Construct an equidepth histogram  $H_5$  with 5 buckets.
- (f) Repeat part (b) using  $H_5$ .

- 5. (Exercise 17.2, R&G) For each of the following schedules, state whether is it view/conflict serializable.
  - (a)  $R_1(X)$ ,  $R_2(X)$ ,  $W_1(X)$ ,  $W_2(X)$ ,  $Commit_1$ ,  $Commit_2$
  - (b)  $W_1(X)$ ,  $R_2(Y)$ ,  $R_1(Y)$ ,  $R_2(X)$ ,  $Commit_1$ ,  $Commit_2$
  - (c)  $R_1(X)$ ,  $R_2(Y)$ ,  $W_3(X)$ ,  $R_2(X)$ ,  $R_1(Y)$ ,  $Commit_1$ ,  $Commit_2$ ,  $Commit_3$
  - (d)  $R_1(X)$ ,  $R_1(Y)$ ,  $W_1(X)$ ,  $R_2(Y)$ ,  $W_3(Y)$ ,  $W_1(X)$ ,  $R_2(Y)$ ,  $Commit_1$ ,  $Commit_2$ ,  $Commit_3$
  - (e)  $R_1(X)$ ,  $W_2(X)$ ,  $W_1(X)$ ,  $Commit_2$ ,  $Commit_1$
  - (f)  $W_1(X)$ ,  $R_2(X)$ ,  $W_1(X)$ ,  $Commit_2$ ,  $Commit_1$
  - (g)  $R_2(X)$ ,  $W_3(X)$ ,  $Commit_3$ ,  $W_1(Y)$ ,  $Commit_1$ ,  $R_2(Y)$ ,  $W_2(Z)$ ,  $Commit_2$
  - (h)  $R_1(X)$ ,  $W_2(X)$ ,  $Commit_2$ ,  $W_1(X)$ ,  $Commit_1$ ,  $R_3(X)$ ,  $Commit_3$
  - (i)  $R_1(X)$ ,  $W_2(X)$ ,  $W_1(X)$ ,  $R_3(X)$ ,  $Commit_1$ ,  $Commit_2$ ,  $Commit_3$
  - (j)  $R_1(X)$ ,  $R_2(Y)$ ,  $W_3(X)$ ,  $W_3(Z)$ ,  $R_2(X)$ ,  $R_1(Y)$ ,  $W_1(Z)$ ,  $W_2(Z)$ ,  $Commit_1$ ,  $Commit_2$ ,  $Commit_3$
- 6. Prove that a conflict serializable schedule is also a view serializable schedule.
- 7. Prove that a schedule is conflict serializable if and only if its conflict serializability graph is acyclic.
- 8. Prove that a view serializable schedule without any blind write is also a conflict serializable schedule.