

## CS3230: Assignment for Week 3 Solutions

Due: Sunday, 6th Feb 2022, 11:59 pm SGT.

---

1. (a) Using the master method,  $a = 3$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $f(n) = n^2$ . We have  $n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 3}$ . Since  $\log_2 3 < 2$ , we are in Case 3 of the master method. The regularity condition is satisfied because  $af(n/b) = 3f(n/2) = 3n^2/4 \leq cf(n)$  for  $c = 3/4$ . So  $T(n) = \Theta(f(n)) = \Theta(n^2)$ .
- (b) Using the recursion tree, the amount of work we have to do at each level is  $\Theta(n)$ , and the number of levels is  $\Theta(\lg n)$  (in particular, it is upper-bounded by  $\log_{4/3} n$  and lower-bounded by  $\log_4 n$ ), so  $T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$ .
- (c) We claim that  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$ . Since  $T(n) \geq n$ , it suffices to show that  $T(n) = O(n)$ . We do so using the substitution method. Choose a sufficiently large constant  $c$  so that  $T(n) \leq cn$  for  $n \leq 4$  and  $\left(\frac{2c}{c-2}\right)^2 \leq 5$ ; since  $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2c}{c-2} = 2$ , this is possible. We prove by strong induction that  $T(n) \leq cn$  for all  $n$ ; the base case  $n \leq 4$  holds by our choice of  $c$ . For the inductive step, assuming that the statement holds up to  $n - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(n/2) + T(\sqrt{n}) + n \\ &\leq \frac{cn}{2} + c\sqrt{n} + n \\ &\leq cn, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last inequality we use the assumption that  $\frac{2c}{c-2} \leq \sqrt{5} \leq \sqrt{n}$ . This completes the induction.

2. When the algorithm merges two sorted subarrays  $A$  and  $B$  of size  $t$  each, the minimum number of comparisons it makes is  $t$ —this happens when  $A_t < B_1$  or  $B_t < A_1$  (e.g., if the first array is  $1, 2, \dots, t$  and the second array is  $t + 1, t + 2, \dots, 2t$ ). The maximum number of comparisons it makes is  $2t - 1$ —this happens when  $A_t > B_{t-1}$  and  $B_t > A_{t-1}$  (e.g., if the first array is  $1, 3, \dots, 2t - 1$  and the second array is  $2, 4, \dots, 2t$ ).

If the original array is  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , then the minimum number of comparisons is achieved for every merge. Merging two subarrays of size  $n/2$  requires  $n/2$  comparisons, merging two subarrays of size  $n/4$  requires  $n/4$  comparisons and this is done twice, and so on. So the total

number of comparisons is

$$(n/2) \cdot 1 + (n/4) \cdot 2 + (n/8) \cdot 4 + \cdots + 1 \cdot (n/2) = \overbrace{n/2 + n/2 + \cdots + n/2}^{\lg n \text{ times}} = \frac{n \lg n}{2}.$$

For the maximum, we claim that there is an original array consisting of  $1, 2, \dots, n$  in some order such that the last number is  $n$  and the maximum number of comparisons is achieved for every merge. To see this, we proceed by induction on  $n$ . For the base case  $n = 2$ , the array  $1, 2$  requires 1 comparison, which is already the maximum. Suppose that  $A$  is such an array of size  $n$ . To construct an array  $B$  of size  $2n$ , we let  $B_t = 2A_t - 1$  and  $B_{n+t} = 2A_t$  for all  $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (For example, the array for  $n = 4$  is  $1, 3, 2, 4$ .) By the induction hypothesis,  $B$  consists of  $1, 2, \dots, 2n$  in some order,  $B_n = 2n - 1$ , and  $B_{2n} = 2n$ . Since the relative size of the numbers within each half of  $B$  is the same as that in  $A$ , by the induction hypothesis, every merging of two subarrays of size less than  $n$  takes the maximum number of comparisons. Moreover, since  $B_n > B_{2n-1}$  and  $B_{2n} > B_{n-1}$ , merging the two subarrays of size  $n$  takes the maximum number of comparisons,  $2n - 1$ . This completes the induction. The total number of comparisons required for sorting this array is

$$\begin{aligned} & (2(n/2) - 1) \cdot 1 + (2(n/4) - 1) \cdot 2 + (2(n/8) - 1) \cdot 4 + \cdots + (2(1) - 1) \cdot (n/2) \\ &= \overbrace{(n + n + \cdots + n)}^{\lg n \text{ times}} - (1 + 2 + 4 + \cdots + (n/2)) \\ &= n \lg n - (n - 1) \\ &= n \lg n - n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this bound matches the claim made in Lecture 1.

3. We are interested in finding a local minimum of the array  $A$ . (Note that a local minimum always exists; for example, a global minimum is also a local minimum.)

We use a divide-and-conquer approach. If  $n = 1$ , return  $A_1$ . If  $n = 2$ , make one comparison and return the smaller number. Assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Consider  $A_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$ , and compare it with both of its neighbors. If it is less than both of its neighbors, return it. Else, suppose it is greater than the neighbor to its left,  $A_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}$  (we proceed similarly if it is greater than the neighbor to its right). We claim that any local minimum in the subarray consisting of the first  $\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1$  elements is also a local minimum in the entire array. The claim is obvious for  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 2}$ , while for  $A_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}$ , it is true because  $A_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} < A_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$ . So we may recurse on this subarray. The number of comparisons made satisfies  $T(n) = T(n/2) + 2$ , which (e.g., by the master method) gives  $T(n) = \Theta(\lg n)$ .