CS3230: Assignment for Week 9 Solutions

Due: Sunday, 3rd Apr 2022, 11:59 pm SGT.

1. (a) We claim that you should order the numbers in B so that $b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_n$. Indeed, suppose you order it in some other way; then there would be a pair (j,k) with $1 \le j < k \le n$ such that $b_j > b_k$. This means that $a_j^{b_j-b_k} < a_k^{b_j-b_k}$, and so $a_j^{b_j} a_k^{b_k} < a_j^{b_k} a_k^{b_j}$. Since all numbers are positive, your score $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{b_i}$ can be improved by switching b_j and b_k :

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{b_i} = \left(\prod_{i \notin \{j,k\}} a_i^{b_i}\right) \cdot a_j^{b_j} a_k^{b_k} < \left(\prod_{i \notin \{j,k\}} a_i^{b_i}\right) \cdot a_j^{b_k} a_k^{b_j},$$

so your ordering was not optimal. Hence, you can obtain an $O(n \lg n)$ algorithm by simply sorting all numbers in B, e.g., using Merge Sort.

- (b) There cannot be a comparison-based algorithm running in time $o(n \lg n)$. Indeed, if there were such an algorithm, from the argument in part (a), we know that this algorithm must reorder the numbers in B in increasing order, so you would be able to sort the numbers in B using $o(n \lg n)$ comparisons, which is impossible.
- 2. (a) Let u be the unique neighbor of v. Suppose S is a maximum independent set of G. The set S must contain either u or v, because otherwise, $S \cup \{v\}$ is an independent set larger than S. Now, suppose S contains u but not v. Then, note that $(S \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$ is also an independent set because v is not a neighbor of any other node besides u. Hence, there is always a maximum independent set containing v.
 - (b) Let N(v) denote the neighbors of a vertex v.

Claim 1 (Optimal Substructure). If v is contained in a maximum independent set S of G, then $S = \{v\} \cup S'$ where S' is a maximum independent set of the graph G' obtained by removing $v \cup N(v)$ from G.

Proof. If v is contained in a maximum independent set S, then N(v) cannot belong to S. The rest is a standard cut-and-paste argument: If there is a larger independent set S'' of G' than S', then $S'' \cup \{v\}$ is a larger independent set of G than S.

Combining the optimal substructure property with the greedy property from (a), we get the following algorithm: find a leaf v and include v in S, remove $\{v\} \cup N(v)$ from G, and repeat until graph is empty. The algorithm runs in O(|V|) time, where V denotes the set of vertices in G.

3. (a) The following two claims establish the greedy property.

Claim 2. In any optimal solution, for each i < k, there must be fewer than c coins of denomination d_i .

Proof. For i < k, c coins of denomination d_i can be replaced by 1 coin of denomination d_{i+1} , reducing the number of coins by c-1, so the solution would not be optimal.

Claim 3. Suppose $i^* = \max\{i : 1 \le i \le k, d_i \le n\}$. Then, any optimal solution must contain a coin of denomination d_{i^*} .

Proof. The solution cannot contain any coin of denomination d_i for $i > i^*$ because $d_i > n$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose an optimal solution contains coins of denomination d_i for $i < i^*$ but not d_{i^*} . By Claim 2, there can be at most c-1 coins of each of the denominations d_1, \ldots, d_{i^*-1} , so their total value is at most

$$(c-1)\cdot(c^0+c^1+\cdots+c^{i^*-2})=c^{i^*-1}-1=d_{i^*}-1,$$

which is a contradiction as $d_{i^*} - 1 < n$.

Thus, by the greedy property above and the optimal substructure discussed in Lecture 8, we get the following algorithm: choose i^* as above, decrease n by d_{i^*} , and repeat until n = 0.

(b) Consider for the example the denominations $\{1,5,8\}$. If n = 10, the optimal solution is of size 2 (two 5-cent coins) while the greedy solution is of size 3 (one 8-cent and two 1-cent coins).