

Part II

Concept Learning 2

(12 points) Structured questions. Answer in the space provided on the script.

- (12 points) Consider the hypothetical task of learning the target concept $MLGrade$ to understand the factors affecting the grades of students enrolled in an ML class and the hypothesis space H that is represented by a conjunction of constraints on input attributes, as previously described on page 7 of the “Concept Learning” lecture slides. Each constraint on an input attribute can be a specific value, don’t care (denoted by ‘?’), and no value allowed (denoted by ‘ \emptyset ’), as previously described on page 5 of the “Concept Learning” lecture slides. Each input instance is represented by the following input attributes:

- $AttendClass$ (with possible values *Always*, *Sometimes*, *Rarely*),
- $FinalsGrade$ (with possible values *Good*, *Average*, *Poor*),
- $ProjectGrade$ (with possible values *Good*, *Average*, *Poor*), and
- $LoveML$ (with possible values *Yes*, *No*).

For example, a typical hypothesis in H is

$$\langle ?, Average, ?, Yes \rangle .$$

Trace the CANDIDATE-ELIMINATION algorithm (reproduced below in Fig. 1) for the hypothesis space H given the sequence of positive ($MLGrade = Pass$) and negative ($MLGrade = Fail$) training examples from Table 1 below (i.e., show the sequence of S and G boundary sets).

- $G \leftarrow$ maximally general hypotheses in H
- $S \leftarrow$ maximally specific hypotheses in H
- For each training example d
 - If d is a positive example
 - Remove from G any hypothesis inconsistent with d
 - For each $s \in S$ not consistent with d
 - * Remove s from S
 - * Add to S all minimal generalizations h of s s.t. h is consistent with d , and some member of G is more general than or equal to h
 - * Remove from S any hypothesis that is more general than another hypothesis in S
 - If d is a negative example
 - Remove from S any hypothesis inconsistent with d
 - For each $g \in G$ not consistent with d
 - * Remove g from G
 - * Add to G all minimal specializations h of g s.t. h is consistent with d , and some member of S is more specific than or equal to h
 - * Remove from G any hypothesis that is more specific than another hypothesis in G

Figure 1: CANDIDATE-ELIMINATION algorithm.

Example Student	Input Instances				Target Concept $MLGrade$
	$AttendClass$	$FinalsGrade$	$ProjectGrade$	$LoveML$	
1. <i>Ryutaro</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>Pass</i>
2. <i>Haibin</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>Pass</i>
3. <i>Jinho</i>	<i>Rarely</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Fail</i>
4. <i>Jingfeng</i>	<i>Sometimes</i>	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Fail</i>

Table 1: Positive ($MLGrade = Pass$) and negative ($MLGrade = Fail$) training examples for target concept $MLGrade$.

Solution:

$$G_0 = \{\langle ?, ?, ?, ? \rangle\}$$

$$S_0 = \{\langle \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle\}$$

$$G_1 =$$

$$S_1 =$$

$$G_2 =$$

$$S_2 =$$

$$S_3 =$$

$$G_3 =$$

$$S_4 =$$

$$G_4 =$$

Suppose that the target concept c is in the hypothesis space H (i.e., $c \in H$) and an active learner has already observed the set D of 4 training examples in Table 1 above. State **every** possible input instance (i.e., assuming such a student exists) that the active learner can query next for the 5-th training example to reduce the version space $VS_{H,D}$ by at least half. Note that the active learner does not know the output label $c(x)$ of any input instance x that it has not yet observed.

Hint: Draw the version space $VS_{H,D}$.

Solution:

Part V

Neural Networks

(20 points) Structured questions. Answer in the space provided on the script.

1. (4 points) Supposing the weights w_1 and w_2 of a perceptron (see page 6 of “Neural Networks” lecture slides) are both set to the value of -1 , derive the largest possible range of the values of w_0 that can be set for the perceptron to represent the NAND gate (i.e., $\text{NAND}(x_1, x_2)$). Assume that the inputs x_1 and x_2 and output $o(x_1, x_2)$ of the perceptron are Boolean with the values of **1 or -1** . Show the steps of your derivation. **No marks will be awarded for not doing so.**

Solution:

2. (8 points) Supposing the weights w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n of a perceptron (see page 6 of “Neural Networks” lecture slides) are all set to the value of **1**, derive the largest possible range of the values of w_0 (in terms of n) that can be set for the perceptron to represent the OR function. That is, the perceptron outputs false if all n Boolean inputs to the perceptron are false, and true otherwise. Assume that the inputs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and output $o(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ of the perceptron are Boolean with the values of **1 (i.e., true) or -1 (i.e., false)**. Show the steps of your derivation. **No marks will be awarded for not doing so.**

Solution:

Solution:

3. (8 points) Construct and draw a network of perceptron units with **only one hidden layer (of four units)** that implements $(x_1 \text{ XOR } x_2) \text{ XOR } x_3$ based on the following rules:

- There should be only one (Boolean) output unit and an input unit for every (Boolean) input.
- A Boolean is **-1** if false, and **1** if true.
- The activation function of every (non-input) unit is a -1 to 1 step function (refer to page 6 of the “Neural Networks” lecture slides), including that of the output unit.
- **Your weights must take on one of the following values: $-1, 0, 1, 3$.**
- You don't have to draw edges with weight 0.

Hint: Observe the truth table of $(x_1 \text{ XOR } x_2) \text{ XOR } x_3$.

Solution:

END OF PAPER
