

Prep: bring ID, water, pen and the handwritten version of this set of notes

**You got this!**

Logic and Function	Graph Theory (Definition)																																
Implies: $P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \neg(P \wedge \neg Q) \equiv \neg P \vee Q$ Converse: $Q \Rightarrow P$ Inverse: $\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q$ Contrapositive: $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ De Morgan's Law: $\neg(P \wedge Q) \equiv (\neg P) \vee (\neg Q)$ $\neg(P \vee Q) \equiv (\neg P) \wedge (\neg Q)$ $\neg(\forall x P) \equiv \exists x (\neg P)$ $\neg(\exists x P) \equiv \forall x (\neg P)$	<b>Path</b> : a sequence of edges, vertices distinct. <b>Cycle</b> : a path (distinct vertices) with $v_1 = v_n$ <b>Walk</b> : a path without distinct vertices condition <b>Tour</b> : a walk with $v_1 = v_n$  A cycle is a walk. A tour is a walk.  <b>Eulerian walk</b> : uses all edge exactly once. <b>Eulerian tour</b> : walk that ends at start vertex <b>Hamiltonian walk/cycle</b> : a walk/cycle that visits all vertices <i>exactly once</i> . <b>Hypercube</b> (dim $N$ ): $2^N$ nodes, $N2^{N-1}$ edges																																
Final Checks	Function																																
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Check if it is <i>Stable Matching or Propose-and-Reject problem</i>.</li><li>Polynomials in <math>GF</math> must mod coefficients</li><li>RSA: write <math>N, e, d</math> explicitly to avoid errors</li><li><math>0 \in \mathbb{N}</math> for this class</li><li>Be careful of the bound in vertex coloring</li><li>Be careful of base cases for graph</li><li>Counting: rotations / inversions included?</li></ul>	$f(X) = \{y \mid \exists x \in X \text{ s.t. } y = f(x)\}$ $f^{-1}(Y) = \{x \mid f(x) \in Y\}$																																
Stable Matching	Graph Theory																																
When a candidate does not immediately reject a job, the job is still assumed to “propose” to the candidate on the next day.  [Improvement Lemma] Candidate’s matching can only improve. (exchange argument)  Job-Propose and Reject always terminate with matching (contradiction), gives job-optimal and candidate-pessimal (contradiction). <table><tr><th>Job</th><th>I</th><th>II</th><th>III</th><th>C</th><th>I</th><th>II</th><th>III</th></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>B</td><td>C</td><td>A</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>C</td><td>A</td><td>B</td></tr><tr><td>C</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>C</td></tr></table> $\{(A, 1), (B, 2), (C, 3)\}, \{(A, 3), (B, 1), (C, 2)\}, \{(A, 2), (B, 3), (C, 1)\}$ are all stable.	Job	I	II	III	C	I	II	III	A	1	2	3	1	B	C	A	B	2	3	1	2	C	A	B	C	3	1	2	3	A	B	C	<b>Lines of Attack</b> : Induction on $ V $ , $ E $ , tree-shaving (removal of leaf node), Eulerian tours, pigeonhole,  <b>Euler’s Theorem</b> : Planar graphs with $v \geq 3$ satisfy $v + f = e + 2$ <b>Corollary</b> : All planar graphs satisfy $e \leq 3v - 6$ <b><math>K_{3,3}</math> Variant</b> : $e \leq 2v - 4$ <b>Kuratowski’s Theorem</b> : A graph is planar iff it doesn’t contain $K_5$ or $K_{3,3}$  <b>Coloring</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>A graph with max degree <math>k</math> is <math>k + 1</math> colorable. (induct on <math> V </math>)</li><li>A connected graph of max degree <math>d \geq 2</math> can be vertex colored with <math>d</math> colors so long as <math>\exists</math> vertex with degree <math>&lt; d</math>. (<math> V </math>)</li><li>Graph with max degree <math>d \geq 1</math> can be edge colored in <math>2d - 1</math> colors. (induct <math> E </math>)</li></ul>
Job	I	II	III	C	I	II	III																										
A	1	2	3	1	B	C	A																										
B	2	3	1	2	C	A	B																										
C	3	1	2	3	A	B	C																										
Stable Matching Trivia	Error Correcting Codes																																
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Always exists a candidate who is not proposed to until the last day.</li><li>Propose-and-reject algorithm must terminate in at most <math>(n - 1)^2 + 1</math> days.</li><li>For even <math>n \geq 2</math>, exists instance of stable matching of <math>n</math> jobs and candidates with at</li></ul>	Message of $n$ packets $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ where $m_i = P(i)$ for some polynomial $P$ of at most degree $n - 1$ .  Bounding of $GF(q)$ , $q$ prime: $q \geq \max(m_i + 1, n + k)$ $q \geq \max(m_i + 1, n + 2k)$																																

<p>least <math>2^{n/2}</math> distinct stable matching. (induct on <math>n</math>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In a job propose algorithm, jobs can't lie to improve their own outcomes, but can to improve others.</li> <li>• If candidate rejects a job in JPA, there is no stable matching in which the candidate and job is paired.</li> <li>• If a candidate misbehaves (rejects falsely), then it is the only candidate that can be in a rogue couple.</li> </ul>	<p>Error Correction:</p> $Q(x) = P(x)E(x)$ $Q(x_i) = r_i E(x_i)$ $E(x) = (x - e_1) \dots (x - e_k)$ <p>Fractional variant:</p> $n'(1 - \alpha) = n \Rightarrow n' = \frac{n}{1 - \alpha}$ $n'(1 - 2\alpha) = n \Rightarrow n' = \frac{n}{1 - 2\alpha}$
<p><b>RSA</b></p>	<p><b>Secret Sharing</b></p>
<p>Key <math>(N, e, d)</math>. <math>(N, e)</math> is public. <math>d</math> is private.  <math>N = pq</math> where <math>p, q</math> are large primes.  <math>p, q</math> must be secret, but if forgotten it's fine. Only requires <math>d</math> to decode.</p> $(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1$ $d^{-1} \equiv e \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$ $E(x) = x^e \pmod{N}$ $D(x) = x^d \pmod{N}$ <p>Security relies on the computational intractability of obtaining <math>x</math> in <math>y = x^e \pmod{N}</math></p>	<p>Bounding of <math>GF(q)</math>, <math>q</math> prime:</p> <p>Secret sharing among <math>m</math> people (the +1 comes from the secret):</p> $q \geq \max(s + 1, m + 1)$ <p>Can delegate sub-polynomials for hierarchy.</p> <p>Spy variants: spies can corrupt messages.</p>