

## MATH 1110 LECTURE 4 NOTES

### Quadratic Forms

Classification of quadratic forms  $ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$

- up to rotations of the coordinate system.  $(AX^2 + CY^2, AZC)$

$$AX^2 + CY^2 = \begin{cases} X^2 + Y^2 \\ X^2 - Y^2 \\ -X^2 - Y^2 \\ X^2, -Y^2, 0 \end{cases}$$

can rotate  
extra 90°.

- up to linear changes of coordinate.

Classification set of objects to classify

Notion of equivalence (up to some transformations)

→ Exhibit one representative in each equivalence class, called their "normal forms" and prove the classification theorem

I. Given  $m$  linear functions in  $n$  variables

$$y_1 = a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n$$

$$y_2 = a_{21}x_1 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n$$

:

$$y_m = a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n$$

$$x_1 = c_{11}y_1 + \dots + c_{1m}y_m$$

$$x_2 = c_{21}y_1 + \dots + c_{2m}y_m$$

:

$$x_n = c_{n1}y_1 + \dots + c_{nm}y_m$$

$$y_1 = b_{11}X_1 + \dots + b_{1m}X_m$$

$$y_2 = b_{21}X_1 + \dots + b_{2m}X_m$$

:

$$y_m = b_{m1}X_1 + \dots + b_{mm}X_m$$

What is the simplest form that this system of linear equations can be reduced to given change of coordinates to both independent & dependent variables.

We should be able to go back from  $X_i$  to  $x_i$  (invertible)

Example:  $y = aX$

Then  $X = CX$

$\Leftrightarrow y = bY$  note  $b \neq 0$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , otherwise cannot go back

$$bY = aCX \Rightarrow Y = b^{-1}aC X$$

Same number of

variables to ensure

that  $C$  is invertible.

It's just a new coordinate system

If  $a=0$ , it's the 0 function

Otherwise choose  $b, c$  s.t.  $b^{-1}ac \neq 1 \Rightarrow Y = X$ .

$$Y = 0$$

$$Y = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \text{ is zero function} \\ X & \text{in the beginning} \\ & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example:  $y = 3X_1 + 5X_2$

$$\text{Let } X_1 = 3X_1 + 5X_2$$

$$X_2 = X_2$$

$$\text{Then } \boxed{Y = X_1}$$

The Rank Theorem

Every system of  $m$  linear functions in  $n$  variables can be transformed to exactly one of the normal form by linear changes of dependent and independent variables:

$$y_1 = x_1$$

$$y_2 = x_2$$

;

$$y_r = x_r$$

$$y_{r+1} = 0$$

;

$$y_m = 0$$

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n$

only those are  
participating in  
the final normal form

there are still coordinates  
but are not participating.

Hence  $0 \leq r \leq \min(m, n)$  is the rank.

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

I Given a quadratic form  $\varrho = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n q_{ij} x_i x_j$  assume symmetric coefficients.

What is the simplest form that  $\varrho$  can be transformed by linear changes of coordinates?

$$X_1 = c_{11} X_1 + \dots + c_{1n} X_n$$

!

$$X_n = c_{n1} X_1 + \dots + c_{nn} X_n$$

This transformation  
is invertible.

The Inertia Theorem

Each quadratic form in  $n$  variables can be transformed by linear changes of coordinates to exactly 1 of the normal forms

$$X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_p^2 - X_{p+1}^2 - \dots - X_{p+q}^2 \quad 0 \leq p+q \leq n$$

$$(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p, \underbrace{X_{p+1}, \dots, X_{p+q}}, \dots, X_n)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & -1 & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

does not depend on these omitted variables

Example's  $n=1$ .  $y=gx^2$   $x=cX$   $c \neq 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow y = g c^2 X^2$$

n	#
1	3
2	6

$c^2$  can change the magnitude but not the sign of it.

$$\Rightarrow \text{choose } c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \Rightarrow [Y = X^2 \text{ or } Y = -X^2 \text{ or } Y = 0.]$$

$$n=2. \quad X^2 + Y^2, \quad X^2 - Y^2, \quad -X^2 - Y^2, \quad X^2, \quad -Y^2, \quad 0$$

(as what we had done for conic sections)

III Given two quadratic forms  $\Omega = \sum Q_{ij} X_i X_j > 0$

$$S = \sum S_{ij} X_i X_j$$

and s.t.  $\Omega$  is positive everywhere other than origin.

Since  $\Omega > 0$  everywhere other than origin, we can transform  $\Omega$  to  $X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_n^2$

$$\text{For } n=2. \quad \Omega = x^2 + y^2 \rightsquigarrow X^2 + Y^2$$

$$S = aX^2 + 2bXY + cY^2 \rightsquigarrow AX^2 + CY^2$$

### Orthogonal Diagonalization Theorem

$\Omega$  and  $S$  can be transformed by a linear change of coordinates at the same time to achieve

$$\Omega = X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_n^2$$

specifym

$$S = \lambda_1 X_1^2 + \lambda_2 X_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_n X_n^2, \quad \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n.$$

continuous equivalence class,  
since  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

the coefficients are unique up to ordering.

I can take a symmetric matrix  $S$ , apply a orthogonal matrix  $U$  to it

$$U^{-1} S U = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ to achieve a diagonal matrix.}$$

Compared to II. I., this is continuous rather than discrete.

IV  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

$$a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n = \dot{X}$$

↓

$$a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n = \dot{X}_n$$

$$\vec{X} = A \vec{x}$$

If we change coordinates s.t.  $\vec{X} = C \vec{x}$

$$\dot{\vec{X}} = C \dot{\vec{x}}$$

$$\therefore C \dot{\vec{X}} = A \vec{x} \Rightarrow \dot{\vec{X}} = C^{-1} A \vec{x}$$

Does not make sense to form if (velocity and position)

What is the simplest form to which the system can be transformed by a linear change of coordinates

$$X_1 = c_{11} X_1 + \dots + c_{1n} X_n$$

$$X_2 = c_{21} X_1 + \dots + c_{2n} X_n$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_n = c_{n1} X_1 + \dots + c_{nn} X_n$$

We need to allow complex numbers.

### Jordan Canonical Form Theorem

Every ODE system with possibly complex coefficients can be transformed by linear (complex) changes of coordinates ~~can't~~ to exactly 1 of the Jordan Canonical normal forms

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{X}_1 \\ \dot{X}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{X}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} & & & 0 \\ m_1 & & & \\ 0 & m_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & m_r \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Each block is made up of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & \lambda_i & 1 & & \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_i & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

"Jordan cell"

Within 2 Jordan cells,

$\lambda$  could be the same  
but not necessarily.

$$n = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_r$$

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^{m_i} y_i(t) = 0$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

$$m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_r = n.$$

Unique up to the permutations of the blocks

The system falls apart into separate systems.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\dot{X} = \lambda_i X_i$$