- 1. (a) V is the space of polynomials of degree up to 3 such that the coefficients of its terms combine to 0; i.e. an arbitrary vector in V would look like $p(x) = ax + bx^2 + cx^3 (a + b + c) = a(x-1) + b(x^2-1) + c(x^3-1)$. Then, $\beta = \{x-1, x^2-1, x^3-1\}$ is a basis of V.
 - (b) T(x-1) = x-1 $T(x^2-1) = x^2 + 2x - 3 = 2(x-1) + (x^2-1)$ $T(x^3-1) = x^3 + 6x^2 - 6x - 1 = -6(x-1) + 6(x^2-1) + (x^3-1)$

$$\implies [T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies P_T(\lambda) = \det(T - \lambda Id) = (1 - \lambda)^3$$

- (c) T has a single eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ with algebraic multiplicity 3.
- (d) T(v) = 1v

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} a+2b-6c \\ b+6c \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c \qquad \int c \qquad c$$

$$\implies b = c = 0$$

Then x-1 is an eigenvector for $\lambda=1$.

(e) T is not diagonalisable as its algebraic multiplicity and geometric multiplicity (nullity(T-Id)=1) does not match for $\lambda=1$.

2. (a) Let $C = \{P, Q, R\}$. We can construct P, Q, R using Gram-Schmidt as follows:

$$\begin{split} P = H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ Q = K - \frac{\langle K, P \rangle}{||P||^2} P = K - \frac{2}{2} P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ R = L - \frac{\langle L, P \rangle}{||P||^2} P - \frac{\langle L, Q \rangle}{||Q||^2} Q = L - \frac{2}{2} P - \frac{0}{1} Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Then $C = \{P, Q, R\}$ is an orthogonal basis for V.

(b)
$$T(P) = EP - PE = -2Q$$

 $T(Q) = EQ - QE = 0$
 $T(R) = ER - RE = P$

$$\implies [T]_C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies [T^*]_C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies T^*(E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then
$$T^*(E) = -2P = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(c)
$$[T]_C[T^*]_C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[T^*]_C[T]_C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $T \circ T^* \neq T^* \circ T$, T is not normal.

3. (a) Since $X^2 = Id$, we have X(X(w)) = w for all $w \in W$, i.e. X is invertible and $X = X^{-1}$. To show that W' is also an X-subspace, it suffices to show that $\langle X(u), w \rangle + \langle X(X(u)), X(w) \rangle = 0$ for $u \in W'$. Since X is invertible, we can choose $X(w) \in W$ to represent all vectors in W. Then verifying the following equivalently shows that W' is an X-subspace: $\langle X(u), X(w) \rangle + \langle X(X(u)), X(X(w)) \rangle = 0$. We can simplify the previous expression as follows:

$$\begin{split} &\langle X(u),X(w)\rangle + \langle X(X(u)),X(X(w))\rangle \\ &= \langle X(u),X(w)\rangle + \langle X^2(u),X^2(w)\rangle \\ &= \langle X(u),X(w)\rangle + \langle u,w\rangle \\ &= \langle u,w\rangle + \langle X(u),X(w)\rangle \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Therefore $X(u) \in W'$ and W' is an X-subspace.

- (b) Let $u, v, w \in V$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Then we can verify the axioms of inner product as follows:
 - $$\begin{split} \text{(i)} & \ \langle u,v\rangle_X \\ &= \langle u,v\rangle + \langle X(u),X(v)\rangle \\ &= \overline{\langle v,u\rangle} + \overline{\langle X(u),X(v)\rangle} \\ &= \overline{\langle v,u\rangle}_X \end{split}$$
 - $$\begin{split} \text{(ii)} & \ \langle au,v\rangle_X \\ &= \langle au,v\rangle + \langle X(au),X(v)\rangle \\ &= a\langle u,v\rangle + a\langle X(u),X(v)\rangle \\ &= a(\langle u,v\rangle + \langle X(u),X(v)\rangle) \\ &= a\langle u,v\rangle_X \end{split}$$
 - $$\begin{split} \text{(iii)} & \ \langle u+v,w\rangle_X\\ &=\langle u+v,w\rangle+\langle X(u+v),X(w)\rangle\\ &=(\langle u,w\rangle+\langle v,w\rangle)+(\langle X(u),X(w)\rangle+\langle X(v),X(w)\rangle)\\ &=(\langle u,w\rangle+\langle X(u),X(w)\rangle)+(\langle v,w\rangle+\langle X(v),X(w)\rangle)\\ &=\langle u,w\rangle_X+\langle v,w\rangle_X \end{split}$$
 - (iv) $\langle v, v \rangle_X = \langle v, v \rangle + \langle X(v), X(v) \rangle$ by definiton. If $v \neq 0$, then $\langle v, v \rangle > 0$. In addition, $\langle X(v), X(v) \rangle \geq 0$ even if X(v) = 0. Then, $\langle v, v \rangle_X > 0$ for nonzero $v \in V$.
- (c) By definition of W', $\langle u, w \rangle + \langle X(u), X(w) \rangle = 0$ for $u \in W'$ and $w \in W$, i.e. $\langle u, w \rangle_X = 0$. Then $W' = W^{\perp}$. Since $W \oplus W^{\perp} = V$, we also have $W \oplus W' = V$.

$$\begin{split} (\mathrm{d}) \ & \langle X(u),w\rangle_X = \langle u,X^*(w)\rangle_X \\ & \Longrightarrow \ \langle X(u),w\rangle + \langle X^2(u),X(w)\rangle = \langle u,X^*(w)\rangle + \langle X(u),X(X^*(w))\rangle \\ & \Longrightarrow \ \langle X(u),w\rangle + \langle u,X(w)\rangle = \langle u,X^*(w)\rangle + \langle X(u),(X\circ X)^*(w))\rangle \\ & \Longrightarrow \ \langle X(u),w\rangle + \langle u,X(w)\rangle = \langle u,X^*(w)\rangle + \langle X(u),w\rangle \\ & \Longrightarrow \ \langle u,X(w)\rangle = \langle u,X^*(w)\rangle \\ & \Longrightarrow \ X(w) = X^*(w) \end{split}$$

Therefore $X^* = X$, i.e. X is self-adjoint as shown above.

- 4. (a) To be proved: T is an isomorphism $\Leftrightarrow T(B)$ is a basis for W:
 - \Rightarrow : Let $B = \{u_0, u_1, \ldots\}, u_i \in V$. Since B is linearly independent, $a_0u_0 + a_1u_0 + \ldots = 0_V, a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ is only true when $a_i = 0$. Applying T to both sides, we have $T(a_0u_0 + a_1u_0 + \ldots) = T(0) \implies a_0T(u_0) + a_1T(u_1) + \ldots = 0_W$. Since $a_i = 0$, $\{T(u_0), T(u_1), \ldots\}$ is a linearly independent set in W. Since T is surjective, $\operatorname{im}(T) = \operatorname{span}(T(B)) = W$. Then T(B) is both linearly independent and spanning in W and is therefore a basis.
 - $\Leftarrow: \text{ Let } B = \{u_0, u_1, \ldots\}, u_i \in V \text{ and } T(B) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}, v_i \in W \text{ such that } T(u_i) = v_i. \text{ Take an arbitrary } p \in V, p \text{ can be written as } p = a_0u_0 + a_1u_1 + \ldots, a_i \in \mathbb{F} \text{ since } B \text{ is a basis. Then } T(p) = a_0T(u_0) + a_1T(u_1) + \ldots = a_0v_0 + a_1v_1 + \ldots \text{ by linearity. Since } T(B) \text{ is a basis for } W, \text{ span}(T(B)) = \text{im}(T) = W. \text{ Therefore } T \text{ is surjective. Additionally, } 0_W = a_0v_0 + a_1v_1 + \ldots \text{ only if } a_i = 0. \text{ Then } T(p) = 0_W \implies T(p) = a_0v_0 + a_1v_1 + \ldots, a_i = 0. \text{ By definition of } T(B) \text{ we can then replace } v_i \text{ with } T(u_i) \text{ as follows: } T(p) = a_0T(u_0) + a_1T(u_1) + \ldots = T(a_0u_0 + a_1u_1 + \ldots), a_i = 0. \text{ Then } p = a_0u_0 + a_1u_1, a_i = 0, \text{ i.e. } p = 0_V. \text{ Therefore ker}(T) = \{0\} \text{ and } T \text{ is injective. Since } T \text{ is both injective and surjective, it is an isomorphism.}$
 - (b) Let $V = \{(a_0, a_1, \ldots) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$, i.e. the vector space of infinite sequences. Define $R: V \to V$ such that $R(a_0, a_1, \ldots) = (0, a_0, a_1, \ldots)$. Then R is injective as $\ker(R) = \{0\}$. However, R is not surjective as sequences with the form $(k, a_0, a_1, \ldots), k \in \mathbb{F}$ is not in $\operatorname{im}(R)$. Therefore R is not an isomorphism.
 - (c) Again let $V = \{(a_0, a_1, \ldots) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Define $S : V \to V$ such that $S(a_0, a_1, \ldots) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots)$. Then S is surjective as (a_1, a_2, \ldots) spans V. However, $\ker(S)$ contains sequences with form $(a_0, 0, 0, \ldots)$. Therefore S is not injective and not an isomorphism.

5. (a) Let λ be an eigenvalue of T, i.e. $T(v) = \lambda v$ for some $v \in V$. Then, $T^2(v) = T(T(v)) = T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v) = \lambda^2 v$. By definition of *projpotent*, $T(v) = T^2(v)$. Then $\lambda v = \lambda^2 v \implies \lambda = \lambda^2$. Therefore 0 and 1 are the only possible eigenvalues.

(b)

(c) Let $n = \dim V$. Since T is diagonalisable, there exists an eigenbasis $\beta = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ for T such that $[T]^{\beta}_{\beta}$ is diagonal with corresponding eigenvalues on the main diagonal. As shown in (a), T can only have eigenvalues of 0 or 1. Now let k be the number of zero entries on the main diagonal, which corresponds to eigenvectors u_1, \ldots, u_k upon renumbering. Then for each $u_i, 1 \geq i \geq k$, $T(u_i) = 0$, i.e. $\operatorname{nullity}(T) = k$ and $\operatorname{rank}(T) = n - k$. In addition, since [T] is $n \times n$ diagonal matrix, the number of nonzero entries on its diagonal is n - k. Again as shown in (a), the only nonzero eigenvalue T can have is 1. Then $\operatorname{tr}(T) = 1(n - k) = n - k = \operatorname{rank}(T)$.