Math 151B Homework 1

Jiaping Zeng

8/3/2020

Q1 (a) Continuity: Since $f(t,y) = \frac{1+y}{t}$ is only undefined at t=0 and the given interval $t \in [1,2]$ does not include 0, f(t, y) is continuous on the given interval.

Lipschitz:
$$\frac{\delta f(t,y)}{\delta y} = \frac{1}{t} \le \frac{1}{1} = 1 = L$$
.
Therefore this IVP is well-posed by Theorem 5.6.

(b) Continuity: $f(t,y) = y \cos(t)$ is continuous on $t \in [0,1]$ because both y and $\cos(t)$ are defined

Lipschitz:
$$\frac{\delta f(t,y)}{\delta y} = \cos(t) \le \cos(0) = 1 = L$$
.
Therefore this IVP is well-posed by Theorem 5.6.

Q2 (a) $y(1.5) \approx 2 + 0.5 \cdot \frac{1+1}{1+2} = \frac{7}{3} \approx 2.333333$ $y(2) \approx \frac{7}{3} + 0.5 \cdot \frac{1+1.5}{1+\frac{7}{3}} = \boxed{\frac{65}{24}} \approx 2.708333$

	h	y(2): Euler
	0.5	2.708333333333333
(b)	0.2	2.729166194327493
	0.1	2.7355407599225927
	0.01	2.741056919124695

def q2b():

$$h_{vals} = [0.5, 0.2, 0.1, 0.01]$$
 # step sizes $t_{0}, t_{f}, y_{0} = 1, 2, 2$ # initial/final values

for h in h_vals:

(c) Exact solution: $y(2) = \sqrt{14} - 1 \approx 2.741657387$; we can see that, as expected, smaller h values resulted in approximations that are closer to the exact y(2) value.

Q3 (a)
$$\frac{df(t,y)}{dt} = \frac{\delta f(t,y)}{\delta t} + \frac{\delta f(t,y)}{\delta y} \cdot \frac{\delta y}{\delta t} = -y^2 e^{-t} + 2y e^{-t} \cdot y^2 e^{-t} = \boxed{-y^2 e^{-t} + 2y^3 e^{-2t}}$$
(b) $y(0.5) \approx 1 + 0.5 \cdot (1^2 \cdot e^0) + 0.125 \cdot (-1^2 \cdot e^0 + 2 \cdot 1^3 \cdot e^0) = 1 + 0.5 + 0.125 = 1.625$

$$y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.5 \cdot (1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5}) + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1}) \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$

$$\frac{h}{0.5} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.5 \cdot (1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5}) + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1}) \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$
(c)
$$\frac{h}{0.5} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.5 \cdot (1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5}) + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1}) \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$

$$\frac{h}{0.1} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.5 \cdot (1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5}) + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1}) \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$
(c)
$$\frac{h}{0.5} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.5 \cdot (1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5}) + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1}) \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$

$$\frac{h}{0.1} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.5 \cdot (1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5}) + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1}) \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$

$$\frac{h}{0.1} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1}) \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$

$$\frac{h}{0.1} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.5 \cdot (1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5}) + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1}) \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$

$$\frac{h}{0.1} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1} \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$

$$\frac{h}{0.1} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.5 \cdot (1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1} \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$

$$\frac{h}{0.1} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 2 \cdot 1.625^2 \cdot e^{-1} \approx \boxed{2.620252}$$

$$\frac{h}{0.1} \quad y(1) \approx 1.625 + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 0.125 \cdot (-1.625^2 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 0.125 \cdot e^{-0.5} + 0.$$

(d)	h	Error: Euler	Error: Taylor-O2
	0.5	0.5359348362823324	0.0980302121744363
	0.1	0.18639477943884852	0.006821760664067256
	0.01	0.022762370594480608	7.687252052468452e-05

print(f"h={h}, y={y}")

As shown in the table above, the error for both methods decreases as h decreases. In addition, Taylor method of order 2 converges much faster than Euler's method as expected.