Math 131A Homework 2

Jiaping Zeng

8/12/2020

- 8.1 Prove the following:
 - (a) $\lim \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = 0$

Answer: Proof: Take $N = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, then $n > N = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \implies \epsilon > \frac{1}{n} = |s_n - 0|$. Therefore $\lim \frac{(-1)^n}{n} = 0$ by definition of limit.

(c) $\lim \frac{2n-1}{3n+2} = \frac{2}{3}$

Answer: Scratch: $\left| \frac{2n-1}{3n+2} - \frac{2}{3} \right| < \epsilon \implies \left| \frac{6n-3-2\cdot(3n+2)}{3\cdot(3n+2)} \right| < \epsilon \implies \left| \frac{-7}{3\cdot(3n+2)} \right| < \epsilon \implies \left| \frac{7}{3\cdot(3n+2)} \right| < \epsilon \implies \frac{7}{3\epsilon} < 3n+2 \implies \frac{7}{9\epsilon} - \frac{2}{3} \le n.$

Proof: Let $\epsilon > 0$, define $N = \frac{7}{9\epsilon} - \frac{2}{3}$. Then, $n > N = \frac{7}{9\epsilon} - \frac{2}{3} \implies 3n + 2 > \frac{7}{3\epsilon} \implies 3\epsilon > \frac{7}{3n+2} \implies \epsilon > \frac{7}{3\cdot(3n+2)} = \left|s_n - \frac{2}{3}\right|$. Therefore $\lim \frac{2n-1}{3n+2} = \frac{2}{3}$ by definition.

8.4 Let (t_n) be a bounded sequence, i.e., there exists M such that $|t_n| \leq M$ for all n, and let (s_n) be a sequence such that $\lim s_n = 0$. Prove $\lim (s_n t_n) = 0$.

Answer: Since $\lim s_n = 0$, there exists an N such that $n > N \implies |s_n| < \epsilon$. Then, $|s_n| \cdot |t_n| < \epsilon \cdot |t_n| \implies |s_n t_n| < M\epsilon$ (multiply by $|t_n|$; assuming that $|t_n| \neq 0$, since clearly $\lim s_n t_n = 0$ when $|t_n| = 0$). Since ϵ is an arbitrary constant, we can take another $\epsilon_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{M} > 0$, which exists since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} . Then $|s_n t_n| < \epsilon_2$ and $\lim (s_n t_n) = 0$ by definition of limit.

8.5 (a) Consider three sequences (a_n) , (b_n) and (s_n) such that $a_n \leq s_n \leq b_n$ for all n and $\lim a_n = \lim b_n = s$. Prove $\lim s_n = s$. This is called the "squeeze lemma."

Answer: Since $\lim a_n = s$, there exists an N_a such that $n > N_a \implies |a_n - s| < \epsilon \implies -\epsilon < a_n - s < \epsilon \implies s - \epsilon < a_n < s + \epsilon$. Similarly, since $\lim b_n = s$, there exists an N_b such that $n > N_b \implies |b_n - s| < \epsilon \implies s - \epsilon < b_n < s + \epsilon$. Then, since $a_n \le s_n \le b_n$ for all n, we also have $s - \epsilon < a_n \le s_n \le b_n < s + \epsilon$. Therefore $s - \epsilon < s_n < s + \epsilon$, which implies that $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$. Then $\lim s_n = s$ by definition of limit.

- (b) Suppose (s_n) and (t_n) are sequences such that $|s_n| \le t_n$ for all n and $\lim t_n = 0$. Prove $\lim s_n = 0$. Answer: Since $\lim t_n = 0$, there exists an N such that $n > N \implies |t_n| < \epsilon$. Then we also know that $\lim -t_n = 0$ because $|-t_n| = |t_n| < \epsilon$. Then, since $|s_n| \le t_n$, we have $-t_n \le s_n \le t_n$. Therefore $\lim s_n = 0$ by squeeze lemma.
- 8.6 Let (s_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} .

(a) Prove $\lim s_n = 0$ if and only if $\lim |s_n| = 0$.

Answer:

 \Rightarrow : Since $\lim s_n = 0$, there exists an N such that $n > N \implies |s_n| < \epsilon$. Then $\lim |s_n| = 0$ by definition because $|(|s_n|)| = |s_n| < \epsilon$.

 \Leftarrow : Since $\lim |s_n| = 0$, there exists an N such that $n > N \implies |(|s_n|)| < \epsilon$. Since $|(|s_n|)| = |s_n|$, we also have $|s_n| < \epsilon$. Then $\lim s_n = 0$ by definition.

(b) Observe that if $s_n = (-1)^n$, then $\lim |s_n|$ exists, but $\lim s_n$ does not exist.

Answer: $\lim |s_n| = \lim |(-1)^n| = \lim 1$. We will show that $\lim s_n$ does not exist by contradiction. Assume $\lim s_n = s$ exists, then there exists an N such that $n > N \implies |(-1)^n - s| < \epsilon$. We know that $|(-1)^n - s| = |-1 - s|$ for odd n, |1 - s| for even n, and $|-1 - s| \neq |1 - n|$, then one of them must be nonzero. Then there would exist an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\max\{|-1 - s|, |1 - s|\} \ge \epsilon$, which contradicts with $\lim s_n$ exists. Therefore $\lim s_n$ does not exist by contradiction.

8.9 Let (s_n) be a sequence that converges.

(a) Show that if $s_n \geq a$ for all but finitely many n, then $\lim s_n \geq a$.

Answer:

(b) Show that if $s_n \leq b$ for all but finitely many n, then $\lim s_n \leq b$.

Answer:

(c) Conclude that if all but finitely many s_n belong to [a,b], then $\lim s_n$ belongs to [a,b].

Answer:

8.10 Let (s_n) be a convergent sequence, and suppose $\lim s_n > a$. Prove there exists a number N such that n > N implies $s_n > a$.

Answer: Let $\lim s_n = s$. Then there exists an N such that $n > N \implies |s_n - s| < \epsilon$. By expanding the absolute value we have $-\epsilon < s_n - s < \epsilon$, which is equivalent to $s - \epsilon < s_n < s + \epsilon$.

9.1 Prove the following:

(a)
$$\lim \frac{n+1}{n} = 1$$

Answer: $\lim \frac{n+1}{n} = \lim (1+\frac{1}{n})$ by multiplying $\frac{1}{n}$ to both the numerator and the denominator. Then, $\lim (1+\frac{1}{n}) = \lim 1 + \lim \frac{1}{n} = 1 + 0 = 1$ by sum limit law.

(b) $\lim \frac{3n+7}{6n-5} = \frac{1}{2}$

Answer: $\lim \frac{3n+7}{6n-5} = \lim \frac{3+\frac{7}{n}}{6-\frac{5}{n}}$ (multiply by $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{n}}$). Then, in the numerator, we have $\lim (3+\frac{7}{n}) = \lim 3 + \lim \frac{7}{n} = 3 + 0 = 3$ by sum limit law. Similarly, in the denominator, we have $\lim (6-\frac{5}{n}) = \lim 6 - \lim \frac{5}{n} = 6 - 0 = 6$. Then $\lim \frac{3n+7}{6n-5} = \lim \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$.

9.3 Suppose $\lim a_n = a$, $\lim b_n = b$, and $s_n = \frac{a_n^3 + 4a_n}{b_n^2 + 1}$. Prove $\lim s_n = \frac{a^3 + 4a}{b^2 + 1}$, using the limit theorems.

Answer: $\lim s_n = \lim \frac{a_n^3 + 4a_n}{b_n^2 + 1}$

$$= \lim (a_n^3 + 4a_n) \cdot \lim \frac{1}{b_n^2 + 1} \text{ (Product limit law)}$$

$$= \frac{\lim (a_n^3 + 4a_n)}{\lim (b_n^2 + 1)} \text{ (Lemma 22)}$$

$$= \frac{\lim a_n^3 + \lim 4a_n}{\lim b_n^2 + \lim 1} \text{ (Sum limit law)}$$

$$= \frac{(\lim a_n)^3 + \lim 4a_n}{(\lim b_n)^2 + \lim 1} \text{ (Product limit law, applied to exponents)}$$

$$= \frac{(\lim a_n)^3 + 4 \cdot \lim a_n}{(\lim b_n)^2 + 1} \text{ (Theorem 19)}$$

$$= \frac{a^3 + 4a}{b^2 + 1} \text{ (Substitution)}$$

- 9.9 Suppose there exists N_0 such that $s_n \leq t_n$ for all $n > N_0$.
 - (a) Prove that if $\lim s_n = +\infty$, then $\lim t_n = +\infty$.

Answer: Since $\lim s_n = +\infty$, there exists an N such that $n > N \implies s_n > M$ for all M > 0. Then, since $t_n \ge s_n$ for $n > N_0$, we have $n > \max\{N, N_0\} \implies t_n \ge s_n > M \implies t_n > M$. Therefore $\lim t_n = +\infty$ by definition of divergence.

(b) Prove that if $\lim t_n = -\infty$, then $\lim s_n = -\infty$.

Answer: Similarly, since $\lim t_n = -\infty$, there exists an N such that $n > N \implies t_n < M$ for all M < 0. Then, since $s_n \le t_n$ for $n > N_0$, we have $n > \max\{N, N_0\} \implies s_n \le t_n < M \implies s_n < M$. Therefore $\lim s_n = -\infty$ by definition of divergence.

(c) Prove that if $\lim s_n$ and $\lim t_n$ exist, then $\lim s_n \leq \lim t_n$.

Answer: Since we have $s_n \leq t_n$ for $n > N_0$, $t_n - s_n \geq 0$. Then $\lim (t_n - s_n) \geq 0$ by 8.9(a). Furthermore, $\lim t_n - \lim s_n \geq 0$ by sum limit law; therefore $\lim s_n \leq \lim t_n$ upon rearranging the inequality.

9.10 (a) Show that if $\lim s_n = +\infty$ and k > 0, then $\lim(ks_n) = +\infty$.

Answer: Since $\lim s_n = +\infty$, there exists an N such that $n > N \implies s_n > M$ for all M > 0. Then we also have $n > N \implies ks_n > kM$ since k > 0. We can then take $M_1 = kM > 0$ and $n > N \implies ks_n > M_1$ for all $M_1 > 0$, then $\lim(ks_n) = +\infty$ by definition of divergence.

(b) Show $\lim s_n = +\infty$ if and only if $\lim (-s_n) = -\infty$.

Answer:

- \Rightarrow : Since $\lim s_n = +\infty$, there exists an N such that $n > N \implies s_n > M$ for all M > 0. By multiplying -1 to both sides of the inequality (and flipping the inequality), we have $n > N \implies -s_n < -M$. Then let $M_1 = -M$; we know $M_1 < 0$ since M > 0. Then $n > N \implies -s_n < M_1$ for $M_1 < 0$, therefore $\lim (-s_n) = -\infty$ by definition of divergence.
- \Leftarrow : Similarly, since $\lim(-s_n) = -\infty$, there exists an N such that $n > N \implies -s_n < M$ for all M < 0. Then we also have $s_n > -M$ by multiplying -1. Let $M_1 = -M > 0$, we have $n > N \implies s_n > M_1$, therefore $\lim s_n = +\infty$.
- 9.11 (a) Show that if $\lim s_n = +\infty$ and $\inf\{t_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} > -\infty$, then $\lim(s_n + t_n) = +\infty$.
 - (b) Show that if $\lim s_n = +\infty$ and $\lim t_n > -\infty$, then $\lim (s_n + t_n) = +\infty$.

- (c) Show that if $\lim s_n = +\infty$ and if (t_n) is a bounded sequence, then $\lim (s_n + t_n) = +\infty$.
- 9.12 Assume all $s_n \neq 0$ and that the limit $L = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} \right|$ exists.
 - (a) Show that if L < 1, then $\lim s_n = 0$.

 Answer: $\lim \left| \frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} \right| = \lim \frac{|s_{n+1}|}{|s_n|} = \frac{\lim |s_{n+1}|}{\lim |s_n|} = L < 1$, which implies that $\lim |s_n| > \lim |s_{n+1}|$.
 - (b) Show that if L > 1, then $\lim |s_n| = +\infty$.
- 10.5 Prove Theorem 10.4(ii).

Answer: Let (s_n) be an unbounded decreasing sequence and let M < 0. Then, since (s_n) is decreasing, it must be bounded above by s_1 ; since it is also unbounded, it must be unbounded below, i.e. $s_N < M$ for some N. Then we have $n > N \implies s_n \le s_N < M$, therefore $\lim s_n = -\infty$.

10.6 (a) Let (s_n) be a sequence such that

$$|s_{n+1} - s_n| < 2^{-n}$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Prove (s_n) is a Cauchy sequence and hence a convergent sequence.

- (b) Is the result in (a) true if we only assume $|s_{n+1} s_n| < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$?
- 10.7 Let S be a bounded nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} such that sup S is not in S. Prove there is a sequence (s_n) of points in S such that $\lim s_n = \sup S$.

Answer: By definition of supremum, $\sup S$ is the least upper bound; then we can take $m_n = \sup S - \frac{1}{n}$ which cannot be an upper bound since $m < \sup S$. Then, there must exist $s \in S$ such that $\sup S > s_n > m_n$. Since $\sup S$ is constant, $\limsup S = \sup S$. In addition, $\liminf m_n = \limsup S - \lim \frac{1}{n} = \sup S - 0 = \sup S$. Then we have $m < \sup S$ with $\liminf m_n = \limsup S = \sup S$; therefore $\liminf s_n = \sup S$ by squeeze lemma.

P1 Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of real numbers. Assume that $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent and that the set

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \neq y_n\}$$

is finite. Prove that $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is also convergent and $\lim_{n\to\infty}y_n=\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n$.

Answer: Let $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=s$ and $\epsilon>0$. Since (x_n) is convergent, there exists an N_x such that $n>N_x\implies |x_n-s|<\epsilon$. In addition, since the set $\{n\in\mathbb{N}:x_n\neq y_n\}$ is finite, there exists an N_0 such that $n>N_0\implies x_n=y_n$. Take $N=\max\{N_0,N_x\}$ so that both are true, then $n>N\implies |y_n-s|=|x_n-s|<\epsilon$. Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty}y_n=s=\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n$.