## Math 131A Homework 5

## Jiaping Zeng

## 9/3/2020

- 19.1 Which of the following continuous functions are uniformly continuous on the specified set? Justify your answer.
  - (a)  $f(x) = x^{17} \sin x e^x \cos 3x$  on  $[0, \pi]$ : Uniformly continuous

**Proof:** Since f(x) is continuous on  $[0, \pi]$  (by applying Theorem 17.4 to known continuous functions), it must also be uniformly continuous on  $[0, \pi]$  by Theorem 19.2.

(c)  $f(x) = x^3$  on (0,1): Uniformly continuous

**Proof:** Since  $x^3$  is continuous on the closed interval [0,1], it must be uniformly continuous on (0,1) by Theorem 19.5.

(d)  $f(x) = x^3$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ : Not uniformly continuous

**Proof:** By contradiction. Suppose  $x^3$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $\epsilon=1$  and  $\delta>0$ , then for  $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$  we should have  $|x-y|<\delta\implies \left|x^3-y^3\right|=|x-y|\cdot\left|x^2+xy+y^2\right|<1$ . However, if we choose  $x=\frac{2}{\delta}$  and  $y=\frac{2}{\delta}+\frac{\delta}{2}$ , we have  $|x-y|=\frac{\delta}{2}<\delta$  and  $|x^2+xy+y^2|=\frac{\delta^2}{4}+\frac{12}{\delta^2}+3$  which is greater than  $\epsilon=1$ . Therefore  $x^3$  is not uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(e)  $f(x) = \sin \frac{1}{x^2}$  on (0,1]: Not uniformly continuous

**Proof:** Let  $(s_n) = \frac{1}{n}$ , which is a Cauchy sequence on (0,1], then  $(f(s_n)) = \sin n^2$  which is not convergent on (0,1] and therefore is not a Cauchy sequence. Therefore f(x) is not uniformly continuous by Theorem 19.4.

- 19.2 Prove each of the following functions is uniformly continuous on the indicated set by directly verifying the  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  property in Definition 19.1.
  - (a) f(x) = 3x + 11 on  $\mathbb{R}$

**Proof:** |f(x) - f(y)| = |3x + 11 - 3y - 11| = 3|x - y|; Then if we take  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  we have  $|x - y| < \delta \implies 3|x - y| < 3\delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ .

(b)  $f(x) = x^2$  on [0,3]

**Proof:**  $|f(x) - f(y)| = |x^2 - y^2| = |x + y| \cdot |x - y|$ ; since  $x, y \in [0, 3], |x + y| \le 6$ . Then if we take  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{6}$  we have  $|x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| \le 6|x - y| < \epsilon$ .

19.4 (a) **Proof:** Assume f is not a bounded function on S. Since S is a bounded set, there exists a bounded sequence  $(s_n)$  in S with a Cauchy subsequence  $(s_{n_k})$  by Theorem 11.5. Then, since f is uniformly continuous,  $(f(s_{n_k}))$  is also a Cauchy sequence. However this contradicts with f not being a bounded function on S; therefore the assumption is false and f is a bounded function.

1

- (b) Since  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1}{x^2} = +\infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{x^2}$  is not a bounded function on the bounded set (0,1). Then by the contrapositive of part (a),  $\frac{1}{x^2}$  is not uniformly continuous on (0,1).
- 19.7 (a) Since f is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , it is continuous on [0, k]. Then f is also uniformly continuous on [0, k] by Theorem 19.2. Then since it is given that f is uniformly continuous on  $[k, \infty]$ , combining the two gives us that f is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty]$ .
- 20.14 Prove  $\lim_{n\to 0^+} \frac{1}{x} = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to 0^-} \frac{1}{x} = -\infty$ .
- $x \to 0^+$ : **Proof:** Let M > 0 and choose  $\delta = \frac{1}{M} > 0$ , then  $0 < x < \delta \implies f(x) = \frac{1}{x} > \frac{1}{\delta} = M$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \to 0^+} \frac{1}{x} = +\infty$  by definition.
- $x \to 0^-$ : **Proof:** Let M < 0 and choose  $\delta = -\frac{1}{M} > 0$ , then  $-\delta < x < 0 \implies f(x) = \frac{1}{x} < -\frac{1}{\delta} = M$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \to 0^-} \frac{1}{x} = -\infty$  by definition.
- 20.16 Suppose the limits  $L_1 = \lim_{x \to a^+} f_1(x)$  and  $L_2 = \lim_{x \to a^+} f_2(x)$  exists.
  - (a) Show if  $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x)$  for all x in some interval (a,b), then  $L_1 \leq L_2$ . **Proof:** By definition, we can take a sequence  $(x_n)$  in (a,b) with limit a such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_1(x_n) = L_1$ . Similarly,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_2(x_n) = L_2$ . Then since  $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x)$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_1(x_n) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} f_2(x_n)$  by Exercise 9.9(c). Therefore  $L_1 \leq L_2$ .
  - (b) Suppose that, in fact,  $f_1(x) < f_2(x)$  for all x in some interval (a, b). Can you conclude  $L_1 < L_2$ ? **Proof:** No; by counter example:  $f_1(x) = x$  and  $f_2(x) = x^2$  on (0, 1). Clearly  $f_1(x) < f_2(x)$  for x > 0, yet  $\lim_{n \to 0^+} f_1(x) = \lim_{n \to 0^+} f_2(x) = 0$ .
- 28.2 Use the *definition* of derivative to calculate the derivatives of the following functions at the indicated points.
  - (a)  $f(x)x^3$  at  $x = 2 \implies f'(2) = \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{x^3 2^3}{x 2} = \lim_{x \to 2} (x^2 + 2x + 4) = 12$
  - (b) g(x) = x + 2 at  $x = a \implies f'(a) = \lim_{x \to a} \frac{x + 2 a 2}{x a} = \lim_{x \to a} \frac{x + 2 a 2}$
- 28.11 Suppose f is differentiable at a, g is differentiable at f(a), and h is differentiable at  $g \circ f(a)$ . State and prove the chain rule for  $(h \circ g \circ f)'(a)$ .

 $(h \circ g \circ f)'(a) = h'(g \circ f(a)) \cdot g'(f(a) \cdot f'(a)),$  **Proof:** Let  $y(x) = (g \circ f)(x)$ , then by substitution and Chain Rule we have  $y(a) = (g \circ f)(a)$  and  $y'(a) = g'(f(a)) \cdot f'(a)$ . Again by substitution and Chain Rule,  $(h \circ g \circ f)'(a) = (h \circ y)'(a) = h'(y(a)) \cdot y'(a) = h'(g \circ f(a)) \cdot g'(f(a)) \cdot f'(a)$ .

- 28.14 Suppose f is differentiable at a. Prove
  - (a)  $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(a+h)-f(a)}{h} = f'(a)$

**Proof:** Since f is differentiable at a, we have  $f'(a) = \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a}$  by definition. Then we can substitute in  $h = x - a \implies x = a + h$ , which gives us  $f'(a) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h}$ .

(b)  $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(a+h)-f(a-h)}{2h} = f'(a)$ 

**Proof:** By algebra as follows, using part (a):

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{h\rightarrow 0}\frac{f(a+h)-f(a-h)}{2h}\\ &=\lim_{h\rightarrow 0}\frac{f(a+h)-f(a)-f(a-h)+f(a)}{2h} \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h} + \frac{1}{2} \lim_{(-h) \to 0} \frac{f(a+(-h)) - f(a)}{(-h)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} f'(a) + \frac{1}{2} f'(a)$$
$$= f'(a)$$

- 29.3 Suppose f is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$  and f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1 and f(2) = 1.
  - (a) Show  $f'(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  for some  $x \in (0, 2)$ .

**Proof:** Let a=0 and b=2, then by Mean Value Theorem there exists at least one x in (0,2) such that  $f'(x) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{1 - 0}{2 - 0} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

29.7 (a) Suppose f is twice differentiable on an open interval I and f''(x) = 0 for all  $x \in I$ . Show f has the form f(x) = ax + b for suitable constants a and b.

**Proof:** Let g(x) = f'(x), then g is a constant function on (a, b) by Corollary 29.4, i.e. g(x) = f'(x) = a for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now let h(x) = f(x) - ax, then we have h'(x) = f'(x) - a = 0; again by Corollary 29.4, h(x) is also a constant function, i.e. h(x) = f(x) - ax = b for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore by rearranging the last equation we have f(x) = ax + b.

(b) Suppose f is three times differentiable on an open interval I and f''' = 0 on I. What form does f have? Prove your claim.

**Proof:** Let g(x) = f'(x), then g''(x) = f'''(x) = 0 and therefore g(x) = f'(x) = ax + b for  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  by part (a). Now let  $h(x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{2}ax^2 - bx$ , then using Power Rule we have h'(x) = f'(x) - ax - b = 0. Then h(x) is a constant function, i.e.  $h(x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{2}ax^2 - bx = c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . By rearranging the last equation we have  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}ax^2 + bx + c$ ; note that  $\frac{1}{2}a$  is simply another arbitrary constant in  $\mathbb{R}$ , therefore upon renaming we have  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ .

29.13 Prove that if f and g are differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ , if f(0) = g(0) and if  $f'(x) \leq g'(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for  $x \geq 0$ .

**Proof:** Let h(x) = g(x) - f(x), then h is differentiable by Theorem 28.3(ii) and h'(x) = g'(x) - f'(x). Since  $f'(x) \leq g'(x)$ , we have  $h'(x) \geq 0$ , then h is increasing by Corollary 29.7(iii). Then  $x_1 < x_2 \implies h(x_1) \leq h(x_2)$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  by definition of increasing function. If we take  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2$  to be an arbitrary  $x \geq 0$ , we have  $x \geq 0 \implies h(x) \geq h(0) \implies g(x) - f(x) \geq g(0) - f(0) = 0 \implies f(x) \leq g(x)$ .

- P1 Let f be a differentiable function on an interval (a, b). Prove that if f'(x) < 0 for all  $x \in (a, b)$ , then f is strictly increasing on (a, b).
- P2 Let a < b be reals. Let f be a function defined on (a, b), and let  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . Prove that if f is differentiable at  $x_0$  and  $f'(x_0) > 0$ , then there is some  $x > x_0$  such that  $f(x) > f(x_0)$ .
- P3 Suppose that f and f' are differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and there are  $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$  so that  $f(x_1) > f(x_2)$  and  $f(x_3) > f(x_2)$ . Prove that there is a point  $x_0$  such that  $f''(x_0) > 0$ .