## 1. Exercise 1.13

(a) two types of error, false reject (f(x) = +1, h(x) = -1) and false accept (f(x) = -1, h(x) = 1), when correctly defined, no errors.

False reject  $f = y, h \neq y = \lambda(\mu)$ 

False accept  $f \neq y$ ,  $h = y = (1 - \lambda)(1 - \mu)$ 

(b) 0.5, then P(y|x) = 0.5 regardless of y = f(x) or  $y \neq f(x)$ , it becomes completely random.

# 2. Exercise 2.1

- (a)  $m_H(N) = N + 1$ , try k = 2,  $m_H(2) = 3$ ,  $2^k = 4$ ,  $m_H(k) < 2^k$ , breakpoint is at k = 2.
- (b)  $m_H(N) = \frac{1}{2}N^2 + \frac{1}{2}N + 1$ , try k = 3,  $m_H(3) = \frac{9}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + 1 = 7$ ,  $2^3 = 8$ ,  $m_H(3) < 2^3$ , so breakpoint is at k = 3.
- (c) no breakpoint for convex sets as  $m_H(N) = 2^N$ .

### 3. Exercise 2.2

- (a)  $N+1 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {N \choose i}$ , let N=2 using our previous breakpoint, then  $3 \leq {2 \choose 0} + {2 \choose 1}$ , and  $3 \leq 3$ , which is true. We can try again for N=3, where we get the result of  $4 \leq 7$ , which is also true.
- (b)  $\frac{1}{2}N^2 + \frac{1}{2}N + 1 \le \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {N \choose i}$ , let N=3 and solving, from (2b), we know it's equal to 7, solving  $\sum_{i=0}^{2} {3 \choose i} = 7$ , if we solve for N=4, we will get the result of  $11 \le 15$ , which is also true.
- (c) Theorem doesn't apply since  $m_H(N) = 2^N$ , and theorem requires that  $m_H(N) < 2^N$

# 4. Exercise 2.3

- (a) From 2.1, we know k = 1 is the largest value of N where  $m_H(N) = 2^N$ , therefore  $d_{vc} = 1$  and breakpoint k = 1 + 1 = 2.
- (b) From 2.1 we know k=2 is the largest value of N where  $m_H(N)=2^N$ , therefore  $d_{vc}=2$  and breakpoint k=2+1=3.
- (c)  $d_{vc} = \infty$  since  $m_H(N) = 2^N$  for all N and there is no breakpoint.

#### 5. Exercise 2.6

(a) We can use formula

$$E_{out} \le E_{in} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2N}ln(\frac{2M}{\delta})}$$

$$E_{out} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(400)}ln(\frac{2(1000)}{0.05})} = 0.115$$

$$E_{test} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(200)}ln(\frac{2(1)}{0.05})} = 0.096$$

 $E_{out}$  has the higher error bar

(b) Samples used for testing cannot be used in  $E_{out}$ , this will improve  $E_{test}$ , but worsen  $E_{out}$ , which is meaningless in the end.

#### 6. Problem 1.11

We can multiply the result by their weights corresponding in the form of

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_n \times [h(x_n) \neq f(x_n)]$$

Supermarket

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (1 \times [h(x_n) = +1, f(x_n) = -1] + 10 \times [h(x_n) = -1, f(x_n) = +1])$$

CIA

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (1000 \times [h(x_n) = +1, f(x_n) = -1] + 1 \times [h(x_n) = -1, f(x_n) = +1])$$

## 7. Problem 1.12

(a) We want to minimize  $E_{in}(h)$ , so we can consider taking its derivative, and when it equals 0, it is the minimal value.

$$E_{in}(h) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (h - y_n)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial E_{in}(h)}{\partial h} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} (h - y_n) \frac{\partial (h - y_n)}{\partial h} \text{ chain rule}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} (h - y_n)$$

$$= 2 (\sum_{n=1}^{N} h - \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n)$$

$$= 2 (Nh - \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n)$$

$$= 2[N(h - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n)]$$

When  $h = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n$ , the equation is minimized since it equals 0. since 2[N(0)] = 0

# (b) **TO DO**

(c)  $h_{mean}$  becomes  $\infty$  since mean is calculated by the average, when there exists an infinitely large number, the mean gets pulled up to infinity.

 $h_{med}$  will likely not change because median is calculated by the middle "ordered" value, when there exists an infinitely large number, the median will not change.