









## Evaluating replicability of laboratory experiments in economics

Colin F. Camerer, Anna Dreber, Eskil Forsell, Teck-Hua Ho, Jürgen Huber, Magnus Johannesson, Michael Kirchler, Johan Almenberg, Adam Altmeld, Taizan Chan, Emma Heikensten, Felix Holzmeister, Taisuke Imai, Siri Isaksson, Gideon Nave, Thomas Pfeiffer, Michael Razen and Hang Wu

*Science* **351** (6280), 1433-1436.

DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf0918 originally published online March 3, 2016

### Another social science looks at itself

Experimental economists have joined the reproducibility discussion by replicating selected published experiments from two top-tier journals in economics. Camerer *et al.* found that two-thirds of the 18 studies examined yielded replicable estimates of effect size and direction. This proportion is somewhat lower than unaffiliated experts were willing to bet in an associated prediction market, but roughly in line with expectations from sample sizes and P values.

*Science*, this issue p. 1433

#### ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/351/6280/1433>

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2016/03/02/science.aaf0918.DC1>

#### REFERENCES

This article cites 62 articles, 8 of which you can access for free  
<http://science.sciencemag.org/content/351/6280/1433#BIBL>

#### PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

---

*Science* (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2016, American Association for the Advancement of Science