

Revisit of Adaptivity analysis

1 Attempt 1: linear-type based

Types	$\tau ::= b \mid \tau \multimap \tau' \mid !_n \tau \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \forall i :: \mathbb{N}. \tau \mid Q$
Term	$t ::= c \mid \text{fix } f(x).t \mid t \ t \mid !t \mid (t_1, t_2) \mid \text{let } !x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \mid \Lambda.t \mid t[] \mid \lambda x.t \mid M(t) \mid x \mid q \mid$ $\text{case } t \text{ of } \{c_i \Rightarrow t_i\}_{c_i \in b} \mid \text{let } (x_1, x_2) = t_1 \text{ in } t_2$
Normal Form	$v ::= c \mid \text{fix } f(x).t \mid !t \mid (v_1, v_2) \mid \Lambda.t \mid \lambda x.t \mid x \mid q \mid \text{case } v \text{ of } \{c_i \Rightarrow v_i\}_{c_i \in b_i} \mid$ $\text{nil} \mid \text{cons}(v_1, v_2)$
Mechanisms	$M ::= \text{gauss} \mid \text{thdt}$
Tree	$T_b ::= c \mid M(T_{\text{query}}) \mid \text{case } T_b \text{ of } \{c_i \Rightarrow T_{b_i}\}_{c_i \in b}$ $T_{\text{query}} ::= q \mid \text{case } T_b \text{ of } \{c_i \Rightarrow T_{\text{query}_i}\}_{c_i \in b}$
Depth	$\text{depth}(c) = 0$ $\text{depth}(!t) = \text{depth}(t)$ $\text{depth}(t_1 \ t_2) = \max(\text{depth}(t_1), \text{depth}(t_2))$ $\text{depth}(M(t)) = 1 + \text{depth}(t)$ $\text{depth}(\lambda x.t) = \text{depth}(t)$ $\text{depth}(x) = 0$ $\text{depth}(q) = 0$ $\text{depth}((t_1, t_2)) = \max(\text{depth}(t_1), \text{depth}(t_2))$ $\text{depth}(\text{let } (x_1, x_2) = t \text{ in } t') = \max(\text{depth}(t), \text{depth}(t'))$ $\text{depth}(\text{let } !x = t \text{ in } t') = \max(\text{depth}(t), \text{depth}(t'))$ $\text{depth}(\text{case } t \text{ of } \{c_i \Rightarrow t_i\}_{c_i \in b}) = \max(\text{depth}(t), \text{depth}(t_i))$ $\text{depth}(\Lambda.t) = \text{depth}(t)$ $\text{depth}(t[]) = \text{depth}(t)$

FAILURE: [[this semantics doesn't work in multi-Round case.

1. depth in the multi-round case is variable.

2. unable to count depth if output of δ doesn't explicitly affect input of next δ .

In the multi-round case, the δ result will affect some arguments. These arguments will then affect Database d which will be used in next δ nested in recursion.

]]

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{n,m} t : \tau}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma ::= \emptyset \mid \Gamma, x : \tau \mid \Gamma, x : [\tau]_p}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{n,m} c : b} \text{CONST} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash_n t : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash_{n,m} \lambda x. t : \tau_1 \multimap \tau_2} \text{ABS} \qquad \frac{[\Gamma] \vdash_n t : \tau}{\Delta, p + [\Gamma] \vdash_{n+p} !t : !_p \tau} \text{PR} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_n x : \tau} \text{VAR} \qquad \frac{[\Gamma] \vdash_n t : \textit{query}}{\Delta, 1 + [\Gamma] \vdash_{n+1} M(t) : b} \text{MT} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_n q : \textit{query}} \text{QUERY} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash_{n_1} t_1 : \tau_1 \multimap \tau_2 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash_{n_2} t_2 : \tau_1}{\max(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \vdash_{\max(n_1, n_2)} t_1 t_2 : \tau_2} \text{APP} \qquad \boxed{\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash_n t : \tau}{\Gamma, x : [\tau]_0 \vdash_n t : \tau} \text{DER}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash_{n_1} t : !_p \tau \quad \Gamma_2, x : [\tau]_p \vdash_{n_2} t' : \tau'}{\max(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \vdash_{\max(n_1, n_2)} \text{let } !x = t \text{ in } t' : \tau'} \text{LET-B} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash_{n_1} t : \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \quad \Gamma_2, x_1 : \tau_1, x_2 : \tau_2 \vdash_{n_2} t' : \tau'}{\max(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \vdash_{\max(n_1, n_2)} \text{let } (x_1, x_2) = t \text{ in } t' : \tau'} \text{LET-P} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash_{n_1} t_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash_{n_2} t_2 : \tau_2}{\max(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \vdash_{\max(n_1, n_2)} (t_1, t_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2} \text{PAIR} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash_{n_1} t : b \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash_{n_2} t_i : b}{\max(n_2 + \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \vdash_{(n_1 + n_2)} \text{case } t \text{ of } \{c_i \Rightarrow t_i\}_{c_i \in b} : b} \text{CASE-CONST} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash_{n_1} t : b \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash_{n_2} t_i : \textit{query}}{\max(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \vdash_{(n_1 + n_2)} \text{case } t \text{ of } \{c_i \Rightarrow t_i\}_{c_i \in b} : \textit{query}} \text{CASE-QUERY} \\
\\
\frac{i :: \mathbb{N}; \Gamma \vdash_n t : \tau \quad i \notin \text{FIV}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash_n \Lambda. t : \forall i :: \mathbb{N}. \tau} \text{IABS} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_n t : \forall i :: \mathbb{N}. \tau \quad \vdash I :: \mathbb{N}}{\Gamma \vdash_n t [] : \tau \{I/i\}} \text{IAPP} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash_n t : \tau \quad \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma \quad \models n \leq n' \quad \tau \subseteq \tau'}{\Gamma' \vdash_{n'} t : \tau'} \text{SUB}
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: Typing judgment

$$\boxed{t \Downarrow^m v}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{c \Downarrow^0 c} \text{E-CONST} \qquad \frac{}{q \Downarrow^0 q} \text{E-QUERY} \qquad \frac{}{\lambda x. t \Downarrow^0 \lambda x. t} \text{E-ABS} \\
\\
\frac{}{!t \Downarrow^0 !t} \text{E-BANG} \qquad \frac{t_1 \Downarrow^{m_1} v_1 \quad t_2 \Downarrow^{m_2} v_2}{(t_1, t_2) \Downarrow^{\max(m_1, m_2)} (v_1, v_2)} \text{E-PAIR} \\
\\
\frac{t_1 \Downarrow^{m_1} \lambda x. t \quad t_2 \Downarrow^{m_2} v \quad t[v/x] \Downarrow^{m_3} v'}{t_1 t_2 \Downarrow^{\max(m_1, m_2) + m_3} v'} \text{E-APP} \\
\\
\boxed{\frac{t_1 \Downarrow^{m_1} !t_3 \quad t_3 \Downarrow^{m_2} v' \quad t_2[v'/x] \Downarrow^{m_3} v}{\text{let } !x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \Downarrow^{\max(m_1 + m_2, m_3)} v} \text{E-LET-BANG}} \\
\\
\frac{t \Downarrow^{m_1} (v_1, v_2) \quad t'[v_1/x_1][v_2/x_2] \Downarrow^{m_2} v}{\text{let } (x_1, x_2) = t \text{ in } t' \Downarrow^{\max(m_1, m_2)} v} \text{E-LET-P} \\
\\
\frac{t \Downarrow^m v \quad t_i \Downarrow^{m_i} v_i}{\text{case } t \text{ of } \{c_i \Rightarrow t_i\}_{c_i \in b} \Downarrow^{m + \max(m_i)} \text{case } v \text{ of } \{c_i \Rightarrow v_i\}_{c_i \in b}} \text{E-CASE} \qquad \frac{}{\text{fix } f(x). t \Downarrow^0 \text{fix } f(x). t} \text{E-FIX} \\
\\
\frac{}{x \Downarrow^0 x} \text{E-X} \qquad \frac{}{\Lambda. t \Downarrow^0 \Lambda. t} \text{E-ILAM} \qquad \frac{t \Downarrow^m \Lambda. t'}{t[] \Downarrow^m t'} \text{E-IAPP} \qquad \frac{t \Downarrow^m v \quad M(v) \Downarrow^1 v'}{M(t) \Downarrow^{m+1} v'} \text{E-MECH}
\end{array}$$

Figure 2: Evaluation Rules

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\llbracket \tau \rrbracket_\epsilon & = \{e \mid \exists v. e \Downarrow v \wedge v \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_v\} \\
\llbracket b \rrbracket_v & = \{v \mid v = T_b\} \\
\llbracket query \rrbracket_v & = \{v \mid v = T_{query}\} \\
\llbracket \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rrbracket_v & = \{\lambda x. t \mid \forall v \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_v. t[v/x] \in \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_\epsilon\} \\
\llbracket !_n \tau \rrbracket_v & = \{!t \mid t \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_\epsilon\} \\
\llbracket \forall i :: \mathbb{N}. \tau \rrbracket_v & = \{\Lambda. t \mid \forall I. \vdash i :: \mathbb{N}. t[I/i] \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_\epsilon\} \\
\llbracket \tau_1 * \tau_2 \rrbracket_v & = \{(v_1, v_2) \mid v_1 \in \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_v \wedge v_2 \in \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_v\} \\
\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket & = \{\emptyset\} \\
\llbracket \Gamma, x : [\tau]_p \rrbracket & = \{\gamma[x \rightarrow v] \mid v \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_v \wedge \gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket\} \\
\boxed{\llbracket \Gamma, x : [\tau]_p \rrbracket} & = \{\gamma[x \rightarrow v] \mid v \in \llbracket !_p \tau \rrbracket_v \wedge \gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket\} \\
\llbracket \Gamma, x : \tau \rrbracket & = \{\gamma[x \rightarrow v] \mid v \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_v \wedge \gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket\} \\
\gamma \models \Gamma & \triangleq dom(\gamma) = dom(\Gamma) \wedge \forall x \in dom(\Gamma). \gamma(x) \in \llbracket \Gamma(x) \rrbracket_v
\end{array}$$

Figure 3: denotations

2 Attempt 2: Trace-based effect system

Traces A trace T is a representation of the big-step derivation of an expression's evaluation.

The *adaptivity* of a trace T , $\text{adap}(T)$, which means the maximum number of nested δ s in T .

The *depth of variable x* in trace T , written $\text{depth}_x(T)$, which is the maximum number of δ s in any path leading from the root of T to an occurrence of x (at a leaf),.

Expr. $e ::= x \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \text{fix } f(x:\tau).e \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \text{fst}(e) \mid \text{snd}(e) \mid$
 $\text{if}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \mid c \mid \delta(e) \mid \text{let } x:q = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \mid \text{nil} \mid \text{cons}(e_1, e_2)$
 Value $v ::= c \mid (\text{fix } f(x:\tau).e, \theta) \mid (v_1, v_2) \mid \text{nil} \mid \text{cons}(v_1, v_2) \mid$
 Environment $\theta ::= x_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto v_n$

Trace $T ::= (x, \theta) \mid T_1 T_2 \triangleright \text{fix } f(x:\tau).T_3 \mid (\text{fix } f(x:\tau).e, \theta) \mid (T_1, T_2) \mid \text{fst}(T) \mid$
 $\text{snd}(T) \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if}^t(T_b, T_t) \mid \text{if}^f(T_b, T_f) \mid c \mid \delta(T)$

2.1 Challenge (Counterexample) in this setting

- 1 adaptivity is not precise. The definition of the max number of nested δ s is not very accurate, especially the way it handles the application. Another way of understanding adaptivity is not only the occurrence of δ , but the times the program accesses the database (δ). For instance, $\lambda x.(\text{if } (x < 10) \text{ then } x \text{ else } x) \delta(v)$ and $(\text{if } (\delta(v) < 10) \text{ then } \delta(v) \text{ else } \delta(v))$. should distinguish the two definition of adaptivity.
- 2 This operational semantics has trouble to give the reasonable trace to nested lambda. Consider $\lambda x.\lambda y.(xy)$. and its corresponding application. consider $(\lambda x.\lambda y.(xy)) \delta(v) \delta(v)$, its trace equals to 0
- 3 The typing system is not consistent for α renaming.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\theta, x \rightarrow \theta(x), (x, \theta)} \qquad \frac{}{\theta, c \rightarrow c, c \ \theta, \text{fix } f(x:\tau).e \rightarrow (\text{fix } f(:\tau).e, \theta), (\text{fix } f(x:\tau).e, \theta)} \\
\\
\frac{\theta, e_1 \rightarrow v_1, T_1 \quad v_1 = (\text{fix } f(x:\tau).e, \theta') \quad \theta' [f \mapsto v_1, x \mapsto v_2], e \rightarrow v, T}{\theta, e_1 \ e_2 \rightarrow v, T_1 \ T_2 \triangleright \text{fix } f(x).T} \qquad \frac{\theta, e_1 \rightarrow v_1, T_1 \quad \theta, e_2 \rightarrow v_2, T_2}{\theta, (e_1, e_2) \rightarrow (v_1, v_2), (T_1, T_2)} \\
\\
\frac{\theta, e \rightarrow (v_1, v_2), T}{\theta, \text{fst}(e) \rightarrow v_1, \text{fst}(T)} \qquad \frac{\theta, e \rightarrow (v_1, v_2), T}{\theta, \text{snd}(e) \rightarrow v_2, \text{snd}(T)} \qquad \frac{\theta, e \rightarrow \text{true}, T \quad \theta, e_1 \rightarrow v, T_1}{\theta, \text{if}(e, e_1, e_2) \rightarrow v, \text{if}^t(T, T_1)} \\
\\
\frac{\theta, e \rightarrow \text{false}, T \quad \theta, e_2 \rightarrow v, T_2}{\theta, \text{if}(e, e_1, e_2) \rightarrow v, \text{if}^f(T, T_2)} \qquad \frac{\theta, e \rightarrow v, T \quad \delta(v) = v'}{\theta, \delta(e) \rightarrow v', \delta(T)}
\end{array}$$

Figure 4: Big-step semantics with provenance

$\text{adap} : \text{Traces} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{adap}((x, \theta)) &= 0 \\
\text{adap}(T_1 \ T_2 \triangleright \text{fix } f(x). T_3) &= \text{adap}(T_1) + \max(\text{adap}(T_3), \text{adap}(T_2) + \text{depth}_x(T_3)) \\
\text{adap}((\text{fix } f(x : \tau). e, \theta)) &= 0 \\
\text{adap}((T_1, T_2)) &= \max(\text{adap}(T_1), \text{adap}(T_2)) \\
\text{adap}(\text{fst}(T)) &= \text{adap}(T) \\
\text{adap}(\text{snd}(T)) &= \text{adap}(T) \\
\text{adap}(\text{true}) &= 0 \\
\text{adap}(\text{false}) &= 0 \\
\text{adap}(\text{if}^t(T_b, T_t)) &= \text{adap}(T_b) + \text{adap}(T_t) \\
\text{adap}(\text{if}^f(T_b, T_f)) &= \text{adap}(T_b) + \text{adap}(T_f) \\
\text{adap}(c) &= 0 \\
\text{adap}(\delta(T)) &= 1 + \text{adap}(T) \\
&\quad + \text{MAX}_{v \in \tau} \left(\max(\text{adap}(T_3(v)), \text{depth}_x(T_3(v))) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $v_1 = (\text{fix } f(x : \tau). e, \theta) = \text{extract}(T)$
 $\wedge \theta[f \mapsto v_1, x \mapsto v], e \Downarrow^{v'}, T_3(v)$

$\text{depth}_x : \text{Traces} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_\perp$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{depth}_x((y, \theta)) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ \perp & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases} \\
\text{depth}_x(T_1 \ T_2 \triangleright \text{fix } f(y). T_3) &= \max(\text{depth}_x(T_1), \\
&\quad \text{adap}(T_1) + \max(\text{depth}_x(T_3), \text{depth}_x(T_2) + \text{depth}_y(T_3))) \\
\text{depth}_x((\text{fix } f(y : \tau). e, \theta)) &= \perp \\
\text{depth}_x((T_1, T_2)) &= \max(\text{depth}_x(T_1), \text{depth}_x(T_2)) \\
\text{depth}_x(\text{fst}(T)) &= \text{depth}_x(T) \\
\text{depth}_x(\text{snd}(T)) &= \text{depth}_x(T) \\
\text{depth}_x(\text{true}) &= \perp \\
\text{depth}_x(\text{false}) &= \perp \\
\text{depth}_x(\text{if}^t(T_b, T_t)) &= \max(\text{depth}_x(T_b), \text{adap}(T_b) + \text{depth}_x(T_t)) \\
\text{depth}_x(\text{if}^f(T_b, T_f)) &= \max(\text{depth}_x(T_b), \text{adap}(T_b) + \text{depth}_x(T_f)) \\
\text{depth}_x(c) &= \perp \\
\text{depth}_x(\delta(T)) &= 1 + \max(\text{depth}_x(T), \\
&\quad \text{adap}(T) + \text{MAX}_{v \in \tau} \left(\max(\text{depth}_x(T_3(v)), \perp) \right))
\end{aligned}$$

where $v_1 = (\text{fix } f(x : \tau). e, \theta) = \text{extract}(T)$
 $\wedge \theta[f \mapsto v_1, x \mapsto v], e \Downarrow^{v'}, T_3(v)$

Figure 5: Adaptivity of a trace and depth of variable x in a trace

3 Attempt 3: Linear type based 2

Expr.	$e ::= x \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \lambda x. e$
	$\text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \mid c \mid \delta(e)$
Environment	$\theta ::= x_1 \mapsto (v_1, R_1), \dots, x_n \mapsto (v_n, R_n)$
Index Term	$I, Z ::= i \mid n$
Linear type	$\tau ::= A \multimap Z\tau \mid \mathbf{b} \mid \text{bool}$
Nonlinear Type	$A ::= !_I \tau$
Typing context	$\Gamma ::= x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n$

$\frac{}{v, \theta \Downarrow^0 v, \theta} \text{val}$	$\frac{\theta(x) = (v, \theta_1, R)}{x, \theta \Downarrow^R v, \theta_1} \text{var}$	$\frac{}{c, \theta \Downarrow^0 c, \theta} \text{const}$	$\frac{}{\lambda x. e, \theta \Downarrow^0 \lambda x. e, \theta} \text{lambda}$
$\frac{e_1, \theta_1 \Downarrow^{R_1} \lambda x. e, \theta'_1 \quad e_2, \theta_2 \Downarrow^{R_2} v_2, \theta'_2 \quad \text{fresh } x' \quad e[x'/x], \theta'_1[x' \mapsto (v_2, \theta'_2, R_2)] \Downarrow^{R_3} v, \theta_3}{e_1 e_2, (\theta_1 \uplus \theta_2) \Downarrow^{R_1+R_3} v, \theta_3} \text{app}$			
$\frac{e, \theta \Downarrow^R v, \theta_1 \quad \delta(v\theta) = v' \quad FV(v') = \emptyset}{\delta(e), \theta \Downarrow^{R+1} v, \theta_1} \text{delta}$			
$\frac{e, \theta \Downarrow^R \text{false}, \theta' \quad e_2, \theta \Downarrow^{R_2} v_2, \theta_2}{\text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, \theta \Downarrow^{R+R_2} v_2, \theta_2} \text{if-f}$			
$\frac{e, \theta \Downarrow^R \text{true}, \theta' \quad e_1, \theta \Downarrow^{R_1} v_1, \theta_1}{\text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, \theta \Downarrow^{R+R_1} v_1, \theta_1} \text{if-t}$			
$\theta_1 \uplus \emptyset \triangleq \theta_1$			
$\emptyset \uplus \theta_2 \triangleq \theta_2$			

Figure 6: Big-step semantics

Typable Approach By Weihao

$F(e, \phi)$	where $\phi(x_i) = (I_i, R_i, Z_i)$
$F(x, \phi)$	$= \sum_{x_i \in FV(x)} I_i \times (R_i + Z_i)$
$F(\lambda x. e, \phi)$	$= \sum_{x_i \in FV(\lambda x. e)} I_i \times (R_i + Z_i)$
$F(\delta(e), \phi)$	$= \sum_{x_i \in FV(\delta(e))} I_i \times (R_i + Z_i)$
$F(c, \phi)$	$= 0$
$F(e_1 e_2, \phi)$	$= F(e_1, \phi) + F(e_2, \phi)$
$F(\text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, \phi)$	$= F(e, \phi) + \max(F(e_1, \phi), F(e_2, \phi))$

Definition 1 (Typable). A closure $(e, [x_1 \mapsto (v_1, \theta_1, R_1), \dots, x_i \mapsto (v_i, \theta_i, R_i)])$ is typable with type τ and adaptivity J if exists k_i

$$x_1 : !_I \tau_1, \dots, !_I \tau_i \vdash_Z e : \tau$$

and each closure (v_i, θ_i) is also typable with type $!_{I_i} \tau_i$ and adaptivity Z_i , $\phi = [x_1 \mapsto (I_1, R_1, Z_1), \dots, x_i \mapsto (I_i, R_i, Z_i)]$, $J = Z + F(e, \phi)$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\theta(x) = (v, \theta', R) \quad \vdash_Z (v, \theta') : \tau}{\vdash_{R+Z} (x, \theta) : \tau} \text{C-Ax} \qquad \frac{}{\vdash_0 (c, \theta) : \mathbf{b}} \text{C-const} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash_{Z'} (v', \theta') : \tau_1 \quad \text{fresh } x' \quad \forall R' \quad \vdash_{S+I \times (R'+Z')+Z} (e[x'/x], \theta[x' \rightarrow (v', \theta', R')]) : \tau_2}{\vdash_S (\lambda x. e, \theta) : !_I \tau_1 \multimap Z \tau_2} \text{C-lambda} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash_{Z_1} (e_1, \theta_1) : !_I \tau_1 \multimap Z \tau_2 \quad \vdash_{Z_2} (e_2, \theta_2) : \tau_1}{\vdash_{Z_1+I \times Z_2+Z} (e_1 \ e_2, \theta_1 \uplus \theta_2) : \tau_2} \text{C-app} \qquad \frac{\vdash_Z (e, \theta) : \mathbf{b}}{\vdash_{1+Z} (\delta(e), \theta) : \mathbf{b}} \text{C-delta} \\
\\
\theta \quad \triangleq (x_i \rightarrow (v_i, \theta_i, R_i)) \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \\
(x_i : !_I \tau_i), \Gamma \models (x_i \rightarrow (v_i, \theta_i, R_i)) \uplus \theta \quad \triangleq \quad \vdash_- (v_i, \theta_i) : \tau_i \quad \wedge \Gamma \models \theta
\end{array}$$

Figure 7: Typing rules, configure

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\llbracket \mathbf{b} \rrbracket_V & = \{(c, \theta, Z)\} \\
\llbracket !_k \tau \rrbracket_V & = \{(v, \theta, Z) \mid (v, \theta, Z) \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_V\} \\
\llbracket !_k \tau_1 \multimap Z \tau_2 \rrbracket_V & = \{(\lambda x. e, \theta, Z_1) \mid \forall v', \theta', Z'. (v', \theta', Z') \in \llbracket !_k \tau_1 \rrbracket_V. \\
& \quad \implies \text{fresh } x' \wedge \\
& \quad \forall R. (e[x'/x], \theta[x' \rightarrow (v', \theta', R)]) \in \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_E^{Z_1+Z+I \times (R+Z')}\} \\
\llbracket \tau \rrbracket_E^Z & = \{(e, \theta) \mid (e, \theta \Downarrow^R v, \theta') \\
& \quad \implies R \leq Z \wedge (v, \theta', Z - R) \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_V\}
\end{array}$$

Figure 8: Logical relation without step-indexing

Definition 2 (ClosedClosure). A closure (e, θ) is closed if $\text{FV}(e) \subseteq \text{dom}(\theta)$.

Lemma 1 (programTypable). If $\vdash_Z e : \tau$, then (e, \emptyset) is typable with τ and adaptivity Z .

Lemma 2 (TypableMono). If a closure is D is typable with τ and Z , and $Z \leq Z'$, then D is typable with τ and Z' .

Lemma 3 (TypableSoundness). If a closure D is typable with τ and J , and $D \Downarrow^R E$, then closure E is typable with τ and adaptivity $J - R$.

Typable Approach By Marco

Definition 3 (Typable Closures). Let $\theta = [x_1 \rightarrow (v_1, \theta_1, R_1), \dots, x_n \rightarrow (v_n, \theta_n, R_n)]$. The closure (e, θ) is typable with type τ and adaptivity J if:

1. $x_1 : !_k \tau_1, \dots, !_k \tau_i \vdash_Z e : \tau$, for some types $!_k \tau_i$ for $(1 \leq i \leq n)$,
2. each closure (v_i, θ_i) for $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ is typable with type $!_k \tau_i$ and adaptivity Z_i ,
3. $J = Z + \sum_{(v_i, \theta_i, S_i) \in \theta} k_i \times (R_i + Z_i)$.

To justify why we chose Σ in the third clause above it is worth to consider the following configuration:

$$[x \mapsto (\lambda u. \lambda w. \delta(u) + \delta(w), [], 0), y \mapsto (v, [], 2)], x y y$$

Lemma 4 (Soundness). *If a closure D is typable with type τ and adaptivity J , and $D \Downarrow^R E$, then the closure E is typable with type τ and adaptivity I , where $I + R \leq J$.*

FAILURE: [[Soundness is unable to be proven:

In the semantics app rule: $R_1 + R_3$ adaptivity is numerically added.

In the typing-configuration (typable closure): $J = Z + \sum_{(v_i, \theta_i, S_i) \in \theta} k_i \times (R_i + Z_i)$, adaptivity adding is by max.

The adaptivity in typing rule cannot bound the adaptivity in semantics. We need to have a better understanding on the adaptivity flow]]

4 Attemp4: Call by need style

Expr. $e ::= c \mid x \mid e_1 e_2 \mid \lambda x. e \mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \text{fst } e \mid \text{snd } e$
 Value. $v ::= c \mid \lambda x. e \mid (v_1, v_2)$
 Environment $\theta ::= \epsilon \mid \theta, [x \rightarrow (v, R)]$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\theta, v \Downarrow^0 \theta, v} \text{val} \qquad \frac{}{\theta[x \rightarrow (v, R)], x \Downarrow^R \theta[x \rightarrow (v, 0)], v} \text{var} \qquad \frac{}{\theta, c \Downarrow^0 \theta, c} \text{const} \\
 \\
 \frac{}{\theta, \lambda x. e \Downarrow^0 \theta, \lambda x. e} \text{lambda} \\
 \\
 \frac{\theta, e_1 \Downarrow^{R_1} \theta_1, \lambda x. e \quad \theta_1, e_2 \Downarrow^{R_2} \theta_2, v_2 \quad \text{fresh } x' \quad \theta_2[x' \rightarrow (v_2, R_2)], e[x'/x], \Downarrow^{R_3} \theta_3, v_3}{\theta, e_1 e_2 \Downarrow^{R_1+R_2} \theta_3, v_3} \text{app} \\
 \\
 \frac{\theta, e_1 \Downarrow^{R_1} \theta_1, v_1 \quad \text{fresh } x' \quad \theta_1[x' \rightarrow (v_1, R_1)], e_2[x'/x], \Downarrow^{R_2} \theta_2, v_2}{\theta, \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Downarrow^{R_2} \theta_2, v_2} \text{let} \\
 \\
 \frac{\theta, e \Downarrow^R \theta_1, \lambda x. e_1 \quad \delta(\lambda x. e') = v_1}{\theta, \delta(e) \Downarrow^{R+1} \theta_1, v_1} \text{delta} \qquad \frac{\theta, e_1 \Downarrow^{R_1} \theta_1, \text{false} \quad \theta_1, e_2 \Downarrow^{R_2} \theta_2, v_2}{\theta, \text{if}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \Downarrow^{R_1+R_2} \theta_2, v_2} \text{if-f} \\
 \\
 \frac{\theta, e_1 \Downarrow^{R_1} \theta_1, \text{true} \quad \theta_1, e_3 \Downarrow^{R_3} \theta_3, v_3}{\theta, \text{if}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \Downarrow^{R_1+R_2} \theta_3, v_3} \text{if-t} \qquad \frac{\theta, e_1 \Downarrow^{R_1} \theta_1, v_1 \quad \theta, e_2 \Downarrow^{R_2} \theta_2, v_2}{\theta, (e_1, e_2) \Downarrow^{\max(R_1, R_2)} \theta_1 \uplus \theta_2, (v_1, v_2)} \text{prod} \\
 \\
 \frac{\theta, e \Downarrow^R \theta_1, (v_1, v_2)}{\theta, \text{fst}(e) \Downarrow^R \theta_1, v_1} \text{projl} \qquad \frac{\theta, e \Downarrow^R \theta_1, (v_1, v_2)}{\theta, \text{snd}(e) \Downarrow^R \theta_1, v_2} \text{projr} \qquad \frac{\theta, e_1 \Downarrow^{R_1} \theta_1, v_1 \quad \theta, e_2 \Downarrow^{R_2} \theta_2, v_2}{\theta, e_1 < e_2 \Downarrow^{\max(R_1, R_2)} \theta_1 \uplus \theta_2, v_1 < v_2} \text{bop} \\
 \\
 \begin{array}{ll}
 \theta_1 \uplus \epsilon & \triangleq \theta_1 \\
 \epsilon \uplus \theta_2 & \triangleq \theta_2 \\
 \theta_1[x \rightarrow (v, R)] \uplus \theta_2[x \rightarrow (v, 0)] & \triangleq (\theta_1 \uplus \theta_2)[x \rightarrow (v, 0)] \\
 \theta_1[x \rightarrow (v, 0)] \uplus \theta_2[x \rightarrow (v, R)] & \triangleq (\theta_1 \uplus \theta_2)[x \rightarrow (v, 0)] \\
 \theta_1[x \rightarrow (v, R)] \uplus \theta_2 & \triangleq (\theta_1 \uplus \theta_2)[x \rightarrow (v, R)] \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\theta_2) \\
 \theta_1 \uplus \theta_2[x \rightarrow (v, R)] & \triangleq (\theta_1 \uplus \theta_2)[x \rightarrow (v, R)] \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\theta_1)
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Figure 9: Big-step semantics

4.1 Example

1. $[], \lambda x. (x, x) \delta(\lambda z. e) \Downarrow^{0+1} [x' \rightarrow (v', 0)], v'$ where $v' = \delta(\lambda z. e)$.
2. $[], \lambda x. \lambda y. (x, y) \delta(\lambda z. e) v$
3. $[x \rightarrow (v_x, 1), y \rightarrow (v_y, 2)], ((x, \delta(y)), (\delta(x), y))$

4. $[x \rightarrow (v_x, 1), y \rightarrow (v_y, 2)], \text{if}(\delta(x), \delta(y), y)$
5. $[x \rightarrow (v_x, 1), y \rightarrow (v_y, 2)], \text{if}(\delta(y), \delta(y), x)$
6. $[], \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{if}(x < y, x + y, \delta(\lambda m. m + x)) \delta(\lambda z_1. e_1) \delta(\lambda z_2. e_2)$
7. $[x \rightarrow (v_x, 5), y \rightarrow (v_y, 6)], \text{let } z = \lambda m. x + y + m \text{ in } \delta(z)$