

Clairvoyant Semantics for Adaptivity Analysis

Pure Expr.	e	$::=$	$c \mid x \mid e \ e \mid \lambda x. e \mid \text{fix } f(x) e \mid (e, e) \mid \text{fst } e \mid \text{snd } e$ $\text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if}(e, e, e) \mid \text{nil} \mid e \text{ cons } e \mid \{m\}$ $\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$
Monadic Expr.	m	$::=$	$\text{return}(e) \mid \text{mlet } x = e \text{ in } m \mid (m, m)_m \mid \delta(m)$
Value.	v	$::=$	$c \mid \lambda x. e \mid (v_1, v_2) \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \{m\}$
Types	τ	$::=$	$\text{b} \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \mathbb{M}(\tau)$

Definition 1. Let $\vdash m : \mathbb{M}(\tau)$, its adaptivity is defined as:

$$\min\{p \mid \exists e', v. m \Downarrow_f^p e' \wedge e' \Downarrow v\}$$

Definition 2. Let $\vdash e : \tau$, its adaptivity is defined as:

$$\min\{p \mid \exists m, e', v. e \Downarrow \{m\} \wedge m \Downarrow_f^p e' \wedge e' \Downarrow v\}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \quad \textbf{ST-AX} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash c : \text{b}} \quad \textbf{ST-CST} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad \textbf{ST-LAM} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 \ e_2) : \tau_2} \quad \textbf{ST-app} \\
 \dots
 \end{array}$$

Figure 1: Simple Types - pure rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{return}(e) : \mathbb{M}(\tau)} \quad \textbf{ST-RET} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{M}(\tau_1) \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash m : \mathbb{M}(\tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{mlet } e = x \text{ in } m : \mathbb{M}(\tau_2)} \quad \textbf{ST-LET} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbb{M}(\text{row}) \quad \hat{\delta} : \text{row} \rightarrow [0, 1]}{\Gamma \vdash \delta(e) : \mathbb{M}([0, 1])} \quad \textbf{ST-DELTA}
 \end{array}$$

Figure 2: Simple Types - monadic rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{v \Downarrow v} \text{S-VAL} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow \lambda x.e \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad e[v_2/x] \Downarrow v_3}{e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v_3} \text{S-APP} \\
\\
\frac{e_1 \Downarrow \text{true} \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{\theta, \text{if}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \Downarrow v_2} \text{S-IFT} \quad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow \text{true} \quad e_3 \Downarrow v_3}{\text{if}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \Downarrow v_3} \text{S-IFF} \quad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{(e_1, e_2) \Downarrow (v_1, v_2)} \text{S-PROD} \\
\\
\frac{e \Downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\text{fst } e \Downarrow v_1} \text{S-PL} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\text{snd } (e) \Downarrow v_2} \text{S-PR}
\end{array}$$

Figure 3: Big-step semantics, pure rules.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\text{return}(e) \Downarrow_f^0 e} \text{FS-RET} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow \{m_1\} \quad m_1 \Downarrow_f^{p_1} e_1 \quad m[e_1/x] \Downarrow_f^{p_2} e_2}{\text{mlet } x = e \text{ in } m \Downarrow_f^{p_1+p_2} e_2} \text{FS-BIND} \\
\\
\frac{m \Downarrow_f^{p_2} e_2}{\text{mlet } x = e_1 \text{ in } m \Downarrow_f^{p_2} e_2} \text{FS-SKIP} \qquad \frac{m \Downarrow_f^p e \quad e \Downarrow v \quad \hat{\delta}(v) = v'}{\delta(m) \Downarrow_f^{p+1} v'} \text{FS-MECH} \\
\\
\frac{m_1 \Downarrow_f^{p_1} e_1 \quad m_2 \Downarrow_f^{p_2} e_2}{(m_1, m_2)_m \Downarrow (e_1, e_2)} \text{FS-PROD}
\end{array}$$

Figure 4: Big-step semantics, forcing rules.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma, x : !_1 \tau \vdash x : \tau \text{ ST-AX} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash c : \mathbf{b}} \text{ST-CST} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : !_i \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e : !_i \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ST-LAM} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash e_1 : !_i \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma_1 + i * \Gamma_2 \vdash (e_1 e_2) : \tau_2} \text{ST-app} \\
\\
\dots
\end{array}$$

Figure 5: Simple Types - pure rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{return}(e) : \mathbf{M}(\tau)} \text{ST-RET} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbf{M}(\tau_1) \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash m : \mathbf{M}(\tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{mlet } e = x \text{ in } m : \mathbf{M}(\tau_2)} \text{ST-LET} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbf{M}(\text{row}) \quad \hat{\delta} : \text{row} \rightarrow [0, 1]}{\Gamma \vdash \delta(e) : \mathbf{M}([0, 1])} \text{ST-DELTA}
\end{array}$$

Figure 6: Simple Types - monadic rules

1 Example

1. A mechanism with the simple input query : $\lambda x. \{\delta(\text{return}(x))\} c \Downarrow \{\delta(\text{return}(c))\}$.
2. A mechanism with a complex input query : $\lambda x. \{\delta(\text{return}(x))\} \{\delta(\text{return}(c))\} \Downarrow \{\delta(\text{return}(\delta(\text{return}(c))))\}$.
3. A mechanism with more arguments :
 $\lambda x. \lambda y. \{\text{mlet } z = \{\delta(\text{return}(x))\} \text{ in } \delta(\text{return}(\text{fst } y + z))\} c (c_1, c_2)$
4. A mechanism with impure arguments :
 $\lambda x. \lambda y. \{\text{mlet } z = x \text{ in } \delta(\text{return}(\text{fst } y + z))\} \{\delta(\text{return}(c))\} (c_1, c_2)$.
5. store the mechanism results into a list, the adaptivity?
 $\text{mlet } l =$
 $\{\text{mlet } a = \{\delta(\text{return}(c))\} \text{ in mlet } b = \{\delta(\text{return}(c))\} \text{ in return}(a :: b :: \text{nil})\}$
 $\text{in } \delta(\text{return}(l[1] + l[2]))$.
6. two rounds
 $\text{let } g = \text{fix } f(j) \lambda k.$
 $\text{if}(j < k,$
 $\{\text{mlet } a = \{\delta(\text{return}(j + k))\} \text{ in mlet } t' = f(j + 1) k \text{ in return}(a \text{ cons } t'),$
 $\{\text{return}(\text{nil})\})$
 $\text{in } \{\text{mlet } l = g \ 0 \ K \text{ in } \delta(\text{sign}(\text{foldl } (\lambda \text{ acc. } \lambda a. (\text{acc} + \lg(\frac{1+a}{1-a}))) \ 0 \ l))\}$