

1 **Patterned progression of gut microbiota in**
2 **association with preterm infants to**
3 **necrotizing enterocolitis and late onset**
4 **sepsis: prospective pilot data from a**
5 **non-Western population**

6 **Jiayi Liu¹, Jianhua Sun², Yuqing Li³, Yi Feng⁴, Liya Pan⁵, Zhoulonglong**
7 **Xie⁶, Zhilong Yan⁷, Jianhua Zhao⁸, and Li Hong⁹**

8 ¹**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
9 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

10 ²**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
11 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

12 ³**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
13 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

14 ⁴**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
15 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

16 ⁵**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
17 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

18 ⁶**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
19 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

20 ⁷**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
21 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

22 ⁸**Shanghai Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China**

23 ⁹**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
24 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

25 Corresponding author:

26 Li Hong⁹

27 Email address: hongli@scmc.com.cn

28 **ABSTRACT**

Background and Objectives

Intestinal microbiota dysbiosis might predispose preterm infants to necrotizing enterocolitis(NEC) and late onset sepsis(LOS). In this observational prospective study, we aimed to profile and compare post-partum microbiota progression patterns in non-Western preterm patients with either condition.

Methods

We enrolled preterm infants with gestational age less than 33 weeks and birth weight more than 950g, from July 2013 to December 2014. We began fecal sample collection from the the first stool after birth and prospectively collected until discharge. Bacterial V3 V4 region of 16s rRNA genes from each stool sample were amplified and sequenced. With the use of RM two-way ANOVA and Zero-Inflated Beta Random Effect models to account for repeated measures, we found out the development of NEC or LOS associated with gut bacterial communities.

Results

A total of 192 fecal samples from 24 patiens were studied, of whom four developed NEC, three LOS; the remaining 17 were used as controls. [The post-partum gut microbiota colonization started to diverge among NEC, LOS and their matched control groups, from the second week after birth. Microbiota of the LOS infants was the least diversified (Shannon index=1.66), while that of the control group was the most diversified(Shannon index=0.88, p=0.01). Potentially pathogenic genus *Enterococcus* (20.86%) and *Staphylococcus* (8.67%) were prominent in NEC patients and *Klebsiella* (42.15%) in LOS group. Both two groups addressed lower proportion of *Lactococcus* (7.98% and 13.76% in NEC and LOS group, respectively) than the control group (3.66%).]

Conclusions

post-partum colonization pattern of gut microbiome might predispose preterm newborns to NEC or LOS, in which reduced diversity of the whole microbiota community and potentially pathogenic genus could have played an essential role in disease progression. Still, more studies are needed to identify etiological strains, underlying mechanisms and correspondent microbial patterns.

INTRODUCTION

Gut microbiota is a key contributor to human health and the dysbiosis of which are proven to be associated with various diseases, such as atherosclerosis(Tang et al., 2017), obesity(Bouter et al., 2017), neuropathy(Sarkar et al., 2016), liver diseases(Tilg et al., 2016), etc. Temporal colonization pattern of the intestinal microbiota during early stages of life also provided evidence of its association with early life events, including Type 1 diabetes(Giongo et al., 2011; Vatanen et al., 2018), asthma(Stokholm et al., 2018) and allergy(Madan et al., 2012; Savage et al., 2018). In light of less gut maturity, innate immunity and more C-sections birth modes, microbiome assembly in pretem infants often differs from that of term infants, especially presenting with lower *Bifidobacterium* spp. abundance and higher *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus* sp., and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*(Schwiertz et al., 2003; Bezirtoglou et al., 2011). As as result, perturbation of post-partum microbiota harboring contributes to the vulnerablity in preterm-associated health consequencese, such as necrotizing enterocolitis and late-onset sepsis.

#% early pattern a/w Nec

Necrotizing enterocolitis, characterized by rapid progression, high morbidity and mortality, is one of the most devastating gastrointestinal neonatal emergencies, especially in preterm newborns; the etiologies of which remains elusive. Previous studies have suggested how intestinal microbiota pattern is implicated in the condition. Mai et al. reported an increase in the Proteobacteria and a decrease in the Firmicutes phyla during three to seven days prior to NEC onset (Mai et al., 2011). Zhou and colleagues reported a relatively higher abundance of Clostridium and Gamma-Proteobacteria in the proximity of NEC during early and late onset, respectively(Zhou et al., 2015). Boost of *Gamma*proteobacteria and decline in *Negativicutes* was in chronological association with the condition(?).

#% early pattern a/w LOS

Among non-Western population, however, microbiota chronological dysbiosis preceding necrotizing enterocolitis or late onset sepsis remain scant so far. Hence, we conducted this prospective study with the aims to profile and compare postpost-partum pattern of intestinal microbiota in Chinese preterm infants who subsequently developed necrotizing enterocolitis and late onset sepsis, which may be critical in the etiopathogenesis of both conditions.

METHODS

Ethics

This study was approved by the joint committee of ethics of Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University (SCMCIRB-K2013022). Detailed written informed consent was obtained from the parents prior to fecal sample collection.

Patients

Newly born preterm infants with gestational age less than 33 weeks, birth weight over 950g were enrolled from Neonatal Intensive Care Unit at Shanghai Children's Medical Center from July 2013 to December 2014. The exclusion criteria were 1) diagnosed with early-onset sepsis, 2) hepatic diseases, 3) renal impairment ($\text{Cr} > 88 \mu\text{M}$), 4) diagnosed with intestinal obstruction, 5) in foreseeable need of cardiovascular or abdominal surgeries (except for male circumcision or PDA ligation), 6) estimated parenteral support to supply over 50% of daily caloric intake for more than four days, 7) given intravenous antibiotics administration (except prophylactic regimen of cefotaxime, piperacillin-tazobactam and/or metronidazole), 8) history of oral antibiotics administration, 9) grossly bloody stools at admission, and 10) over five days old.

NEC cases were defined as infants who met the criteria for Stage II and Stage III NEC diagnosis (Bell et al., 1978), including radiographic intestinal dilation, ileus, pneumatosis intestinalis, and/or absent bowel sounds with or without abdominal tenderness, and/or mild metabolic acidosis and thrombocytopenia. LOS cases was diagnosed if 1) an infant had a positive hemoculture or other suspicious loci of infection after 72 hours of life, with septic signs/symptoms reviewed independently by at least two neonatologists, and had been treated with advanced antibiotics (e.g., Meropenem) after diagnosis. Infants with no infectious complications or sepsis were regarded as controls.

Sample collection and handling

Fecal samples collection began from neonatal meconium till discharge. Although we intended to collect fecal samples on a daily basis, due to working shifts and flexible clinical scheduling, we set seven days as the maximum interval between two collections from every infant. Every sample was collected within 30 minutes of defecation from infants' diaper with a sterile spatula. The samples were immediately placed in a cryogenic vial on dry ice and stored at -80°C within 30 minutes without additives. All samples were collected and stored before knowing the diagnosis of respective patients.

DNA extraction and quality control amplification and 16s rRNA gene sequencing

Microbial genomic DNA was isolated from each fecal specimen using the E.Z.N.A.® Soil DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, U.S.) according to manufacturer's protocols. The concentration and purity of the DNA were determined by NanoDrop 2000 UV-vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA), and the DNA quality was checked by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Broad-range PCR and High-throughput Sequencing of 16s rRNA gene amplicons

The V3-V4 hypervariable regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene were amplified from each sample using bacterial/archaeal primers 338F (5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGG GTWTCTAAT-3') using thermocycler PCR system (GeneAmp 9700, ABI, USA). The PCR reactions were as follows: 3 min of denaturation at 95°C , 27 cycles of 30 s at 95°C , 30 s annealing at 55°C and 45 s elongation at 72°C , and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR reactions were performed in triplicate, with each 20 μL mixture containing 4 μL 5X FastPfu Buffer, 2 μL 2.5 mM dNTPs, 0.8 μL of each primer (5 μM), 0.4 μL FastPfu Polymerase and 10 ng template DNA. The PCR products were extracted from a 2% agarose gel and further purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, USA), and quantified using QuantiFluor™-ST (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocols.

Equimolar amounts of purified amplicons were pooled and paired-end sequenced (2 x 300) on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, USA) according to the standard protocols of Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The reads were de-multiplexed using the Illumina software and separate FASTQ files were generated for each specimen and deposited to the Sequence Read Archive NCBI under the BioProject accession PRJNA470548. Another public archive repository is available at figshare doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.7205102

Raw Data Processing

Raw data was processed according to the standard protocols provided by Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai China) as previously described (Liu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). In short, the protocols are as the followings: After pyrosequencing, de-multiplexed sequence reads were subjected to quality filtering utilizing Trimmomatic software (Bolger et al., 2014), and were truncated at any site with an Phred score <20 over a 50bp-sized window; barcode matching with the primer mismatch from 0 to 2 nucleotides was adopted and reads containing ambiguous characters were removed. After trimming, FLASH (Fast Length Adjustment of Short Read) (Magoč and Salzberg, 2011), a read pre-processing software, assembled and merged the paired-end reads from fragments and generated >10 bp overlapped, with the dead match ratio 0.2. Unassembled reads were discarded.

To fairly compare all the samples at the same sequencing depth, the "sub.sample" command of mothur program (version 1.30.1) (Schloss et al., 2009) was used for normalization to the smallest sample size. UCHIME Algorithm detected chimeric sequences, removed chimera to obtain effective reads, which were then sorted by cluster size and processed using Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) with 97% similarity cutoff UPARSE-OTU algorithm (implementing "cluster_otus" command) (Edgar, 2013) in USEARCH (v10) (UPARSE version 7.1). The taxonomy of each 16S rRNA gene sequence was analyzed by RDP Classifier algorithm (Wang et al., 2007) against the Silva (SSU128) (Quast et al., 2012) 16S rRNA database using confidence threshold of 70%. Each sequence was assigned the taxonomy by QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010). The representative sequences were allocated phylogenetically down to the domain, phylum, class, order, family, and genus levels. The relative abundance of a given taxonomic group was calculated as a percentage of the sequences number belonging to that group divided by the total number of obtained sequences.

Within-sample diversity (alpha diversity) analysis, including Shannon index and Observed species richness (sobs), were obtained using the "summary.single" command of mothur program (version 1.30.1) (Schloss et al., 2009). Between-sample diversity (beta diversity) analysis was obtained estimating weighted UniFrac distances between samples.

Statistical and Bioinformatics Analysis

Demographics and Clinical Sample comparisons

Kruskal-Wallis test and Wilcoxon rank-sum test were used to identify statistically significant differences in continuous variables, including gestational age, birth weight, age when the patients were diagnosed and length of hospitalisation. The χ^2 , or Fisher's exact test were used to identify differences in gender composition. α level was considered 0.05 for all statistical tests. All statistical test not involving microbiome 16s rRNA sequencing data was performed using "stats" package using R (v.3.5.1).

Microbiota and Bioinformatics Analyses

Disease-related Time Interval Definition Under the circumstance that the sampling and disease onset timepoints for each patient were not perfectly universal, to illustrate the continuous longitudinal and repeated nature of the sampling and its relationship with onset and progression of diseases, we splitted the whole sampling span into 7 time intervals:

1. early post-partum (EPP): within 3 days after birth
2. early pre-onset (EPO): from the end of EPP to at least four days before disease onset
3. late pre-onset (LPO): from the end of EPO to the start of onset; for control group patients, the onset time is set at 16 days of life, as is the average diagnosis age of NEC and LOS groups.
4. early disease (ED): first third interval of whole disease span
5. middle disease (MD): second third interval of whole disease span
6. late disease (LD): last third interval of whole disease span
7. post disease (PD): from the end of disease to discharge timepoint

Diversity Analyses The average of α diversity, if more than two were available within one analysis interval, of each patient was calculated. Kruskal Wallis tests were used to test shannon diversity differences either among groups within a time interval or among time intervals within a certain group. Repeated measures two-way ANOVA, with time intervals (EPP, EPO, LPO, ED, MD, LD, PD) as a within-subject factor and groups (NEC, LOS, control) as a between-subject factor) and Sidak's multiple comparisons test was used to test time-with-disease shannon diversity changes.

Modeling Strategies for Taxonomy Comparisons To compare the dynamics of microbiota diversity and relative taxonomic abundance preceding the disease, we took into account the EPP, EPO, LPO and ED interval among all patients and fit(Supplementary matrix1). To compare the microbiome profile right after birth until disease alleviation, we selected EPP, EPO, LPO, ED, MD and LD interval of NEC and LOS patients(Supplementary matrix2 dataset). The average taxonomy relative abundances, if more than two were available within one analysis interval, of each patient was calculated. Zero-Inflated Beta Regression Model with Random Effects (ZIBR) and Linear Mixed-effects Model(LME) were used to test the association between OTU relative abundance and clinical covariates (diseases-related time intervals) for longitudinal microbiome data (Chen and Li, 2016). *ZIBR* R package were utilized for both models. Figures were generated with the "*ggpubr*"(Kassambara, 2017) and "*ggplot2*"(Wickham, 2016) packages using R(v.3.5.1). Scripts for modeling and figures plotting, input and output files, figures are available at our github repository.

Scripts and Figures Archiving

Figures were generated with the "*ggpubr*"(Kassambara, 2017) and "*ggplot2*"(Wickham, 2016) packages using R(v.3.5.1); Scripts for data analysis are available at our github repository

RESULTS

Overall 16s Sequencing Report and Coverage

A total of 7,472,400 optimized V3-V4 tags of 16s rRNA gene sequences were produced from 192 fecal samples, with an average read length of 448 bp (Table S1).

Patients characteristics

Totally 130 infants met the criteria of our study, and 1698 samples were collected from them in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) at Shanghai Children's Medical Center from July 2013 to December 2014. Among whom, we studied 192 fecal samples from 24 well-sampled preterm infants, including four subsequently diagnosed with NEC (2 in stage IIA and 2 in stage IIB), three with LOS, and 17 matched controls (Figure1 ,Supplementary Table S2). Fecal samples were collected between days 1 and 69 of life. Sampling timepoints and numbers of samples varied among each infant.




Figure 1. Flow of Study Design

All infants were delivered by cesarean section and fed on infant formula. No one was prescribed probiotics during hospitalization. Comparisons showed no significant difference in terms of gestational age, birth weight and gender proportions, diagnosed age among three groups (Table 1). Length of stay among three groups was significantly different however rational since NEC and LOS patients usually require longer period of healthcare because their worse health status often required longer health care time than the control group. All infants were delivered by cesarean section and fed on infant formula. No one was prescribed probiotics during hospitalization.

218 **Dynamics of Microbiome Diversity in diseases onset and progression**

219 ***Microbiome Richness Plays Minor Roles***

220 Overall, all three groups shared similar microbiota richness trend after birth, that the observed richness
 221 (Sobs) decreased significantly from post-partum period until the late disease stage (Fig2a. NEC group, p
 222 = 0.044; b. LOS group, p = 0.013; c. control group, p < 0.01; supplementary!!! rm-matrix1-sobs, two way
 223 RM ANOVA, p < 0.0001). Nevertheless, both the inter-group test between adjacent time-intervals (Fig2)
 224 and the inter-time-interval comparison among groups (supplementary sobs-time-group!!!!) didn't show
 225 any significant alterations and differences in sobs, indicating the minor effect of microbiota richness on
 226 the diseases acquisition.

227 ***Preceding Decline of Microbiome Evenness prior to NEC and LOS***

228 In all patients, microbiota communities evenness, reflected by shannon diversity indices, decreased starting
 229 from post-partum stage (Fig3, supplementary!!!! rm_matrix1_shannon, p < 0.0001). However, after the
 230 early pre-onset interval, the shannon index of the NEC and LOS groups decreased significantly as the
 231 patients stepped into the early disease status (Fig3a. NEC group, early pre-onset = 1.92, early disease =
 232 0.58, p = 0.04, b. LOS group, early pre-onset = 2.47, early disease = 0.47, p = 0.01), while the control
 233 group did not show the similar trend (Fig3c. control group, early pre-onset = 1.81, early disease = 1.00, p =
 234 0.05).

235 The inter-time-interval comparison among three groups showed significant shannon index divergent during
 236 during early pre-onset interval (two way RM ANOVA, p = 0.0017 supplementary!!!) and the early disease
 237 stage (Fig4, p = 0.0037), implying the role of microbiota distortion in triggering NEC and LOS. In middle
 238 disease period, two disease groups showed a non-significant difference in community evenness (Fig4 facte
 239 "middle disease", p = 0.034), indicating a similar dysbiosis pattern in both NEC and LOS development.
 240 The alleviation of both diseases restored the microbiota evenness back to the level during early pre-onset
 241 interval (Fig3 a. NEC, early pre-onset vs. post disease, p = 0.79; b. LOS, early pre-onset vs. post disease,
 242 p = 0.16).

243 ***Microbiome Composition***

244 PCoA

245 ***Taxonomic Determinants***

246 In a Zero-Inflated Beta Random Effect model, we detected OTUs whose relative abundance changed
 247 significantly differently during the the entire hospitalization period. To investigate specific genus that play
 248 part in the longitudinal diversity patterns of the intestine microbiome, we selected the OTU correspondent
 249 genus and performed tests on a time-interval scale.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of Preterm NEC, LOS and control groups.

	NEC (N=3)	LOS (N=4)	Control (N=17)	Statistical Test	p value
Gestational Age (weeks)	29(29-30)	30(29-31)	31(28-33)	Kruskal-Wallis test	0.074
Birth Weight(g)	1416.3 (773.4-2149.1)	1141.7 (633.4-1649.9)	1527.4 (1391.6-1663.1)	Kruskal-Wallis test	0.111
Gender				Fisher's exact test	0.82
Female	3(75%)	2(67%)	9(53%)		
male	1(25%)	1(33%)	8(47%)		
Diagnosis Age(days)	16(11-19)	16(10-22)	—	Wilcoxon rank-sum test	0.629
Length of Stay(d)	54.3 (13.5-95.0)	60.0 (24.8-95.2)	32.9 (26.3-39.5)	Kruskal-Wallis test	0.046
Number of Samples	46	42	103	—	—




Figure 2. Post-partum microbiome richness (Sobs) trend in each group

DISCUSSION

During the first months of life, the microbiota undergoes hypervariable changes

1. alpha 1.1 evenness minor 1.2 richness decrease during (corresponding 4 7 dol) may precede diseases, less diversified β -diversity consistent with the hypothesis that dysbiosis precedes this severe event.

2. beta 3. over/underrepresenting of certain genus 4. Microbiome optimization – a novel strategy

Our study has its limitations. We acknowledge that the sample size is limited since this study is single-center-based and the incidence of both diseases are relatively low: among the 1148 preterm infants admitted within July 2013 to December 2014, only five developed NEC. Our results, however, showed the needs of larger study population and longer follow ups. The resultant overfitting possibility inevitably rose up, which became the pitfall in understanding the true microbiota patterns preceding NEC and LOS.

CONCLUSIONS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate the support from enrolled patients, their families, and all staffs at Shanghai Children's Medical Center.

—omit part—




Figure 3. Post-partum microbiome evenness(shannon diversity index) trend in each group

SOME L^AT_EX EXAMPLES

Use section and subsection commands to organize your document. L^AT_EX handles all the formatting and numbering automatically. Use ref and label commands for cross-references.

Figures and Tables

Use the table and tabular commands for basic tables — see Table 2, for example. You can upload a figure (JPEG, PNG or PDF) using the project menu. To include it in your document, use the includegraphics command as in the code for Figure 5 below.

Item	Quantity
Widgets	42
Gadgets	13

Table 2. An example table.

272 Citations

273 LaTeX formats citations and references automatically using the bibliography records in your .bib file,
274 which you can edit via the project menu. Use the cite command for an inline citation, like ?, and the citep
275 command for a citation in parentheses (?).

276 Mathematics

LaTeX is great at typesetting mathematics. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with $E[X_i] = \mu$ and $\text{Var}[X_i] = \sigma^2 < \infty$, and let

$$S_n = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n X_i$$

277 denote their mean. Then as n approaches infinity, the random variables $\sqrt{n}(S_n - \mu)$ converge in distribution
278 to a normal $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

279 Lists

280 You can make lists with automatic numbering ...

- 281 1. Like this,
- 282 2. and like this.

283 ... or bullet points ...

- 284 • Like this,
- 285 • and like this.

286 ... or with words and descriptions ...

287 Word Definition

288 **Concept** Explanation

289 **Idea** Text

290 We hope you find write LaTeX useful for your PeerJ submission, and please let us know if you have any
291 feedback. Further examples with dummy text are included in the following pages.

292 REFERENCES

- 293 Bell, M. J., Ternberg, J. L., Feigin, R. D., Keating, J. P., Marshall, R., Barton, L., and Brotherton, T.
294 (1978). Neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis. therapeutic decisions based upon clinical staging. *Annals of*
295 *surgery*, 187(1):1.
- 296 Bezirtzoglou, E., Tsiotsias, A., and Welling, G. W. (2011). Microbiota profile in feces of breast-and
297 formula-fed newborns by using fluorescence in situ hybridization (fish). *Anaerobe*, 17(6):478–482.
- 298 Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for illumina sequence
299 data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15):2114–2120.
- 300 Bouter, K. E., van Raalte, D. H., Groen, A. K., and Nieuwdorp, M. (2017). Role of the gut microbiome in
301 the pathogenesis of obesity and obesity-related metabolic dysfunction. *Gastroenterology*, 152(7):1671–
302 1678.
- 303 Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., Fierer, N.,
304 Pena, A. G., Goodrich, J. K., Gordon, J. I., et al. (2010). Qiime allows analysis of high-throughput
305 community sequencing data. *Nature methods*, 7(5):335.
- 306 Chen, E. Z. and Li, H. (2016). A two-part mixed-effects model for analyzing longitudinal microbiome
307 compositional data. *Bioinformatics*, 32(17):2611–2617.
- 308 Edgar, R. C. (2013). Uparse: highly accurate otu sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nature*
309 *methods*, 10(10):996.
- 310 Giongo, A., Gano, K. A., Crabb, D. B., Mukherjee, N., Novelo, L. L., Casella, G., Drew, J. C., Ilonen, J.,
311 Knip, M., Hyöty, H., et al. (2011). Toward defining the autoimmune microbiome for type 1 diabetes.
312 *The ISME journal*, 5(1):82.

313 Kassambara, A. (2017). ggpubr:“ggplot2” based publication ready plots. r package version 0.1. 6.

314 Liu, Y., Li, J., Jin, Y., Zhao, L., Zhao, F., Feng, J., Li, A., and Wei, Y. (2018). Splenectomy leads
315 to amelioration of altered gut microbiota and metabolome in liver cirrhosis patients. *Frontiers in*
316 *Microbiology*, 9.

317 Madan, J. C., Farzan, S. F., Hibberd, P. L., and Karagas, M. R. (2012). Normal neonatal microbiome
318 variation in relation to environmental factors, infection and allergy. *Current opinion in pediatrics*,
319 24(6):753.

320 Magoč, T. and Salzberg, S. L. (2011). Flash: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome
321 assemblies. *Bioinformatics*, 27(21):2957–2963.

322 Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., and Glöckner, F. O.
323 (2012). The silva ribosomal rna gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools.
324 *Nucleic acids research*, 41(D1):D590–D596.

325 Sarkar, A., Lehto, S. M., Harty, S., Dinan, T. G., Cryan, J. F., and Burnet, P. W. (2016). Psychobiotics and
326 the manipulation of bacteria–gut–brain signals. *Trends in neurosciences*, 39(11):763–781.

327 Savage, J. H., Lee-Sarwar, K. A., Sordillo, J., Bunyavanich, S., Zhou, Y., O’connor, G., Sandel, M.,
328 Bacharier, L. B., Zeiger, R., Sodergren, E., et al. (2018). A prospective microbiome-wide association
329 study of food sensitization and food allergy in early childhood. *Allergy*, 73(1):145–152.

330 Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., Lesniewski, R. A.,
331 Oakley, B. B., Parks, D. H., Robinson, C. J., et al. (2009). Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-
332 independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities.
333 *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 75(23):7537–7541.

334 Schwirtz, A., Gruhl, B., Löbnitz, M., Michel, P., Radke, M., and Blaut, M. (2003). Development of the
335 intestinal bacterial composition in hospitalized preterm infants in comparison with breast-fed, full-term
336 infants. *Pediatric research*, 54(3):393.

337 Stokholm, J., Blaser, M. J., Thorsen, J., Rasmussen, M. A., Waage, J., Vinding, R. K., Schoos, A.-M. M.,
338 Kunøe, A., Fink, N. R., Chawes, B. L., et al. (2018). Maturation of the gut microbiome and risk of
339 asthma in childhood. *Nature communications*, 9(1):141.

340 Tang, W. W., Kitai, T., and Hazen, S. L. (2017). Gut microbiota in cardiovascular health and disease.
341 *Circulation research*, 120(7):1183–1196.

342 Tilg, H., Cani, P. D., and Mayer, E. A. (2016). Gut microbiome and liver diseases. *Gut*, 65(12):2035–2044.

343 Vatanen, T., Franzosa, E. A., Schwager, R., Tripathi, S., Arthur, T. D., Vehik, K., Lernmark, Å., Hagopian,
344 W. A., Rewers, M. J., She, J.-X., et al. (2018). The human gut microbiome in early-onset type 1 diabetes
345 from the teddy study. *Nature*, 562(7728):589.

346 Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M., and Cole, J. R. (2007). Naive bayesian classifier for rapid
347 assignment of rna sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Applied and environmental microbiology*,
348 73(16):5261–5267.

349 Wang, R., Xiao, Y., Lv, F., Hu, L., Wei, L., Yuan, Z., and Lin, H. (2018). Bacterial community structure
350 and functional potential of rhizosphere soils as influenced by nitrogen addition and bacterial wilt disease
351 under continuous sesame cropping. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 125:117–127.

352 Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York.

353 Zhou, Y., Shan, G., Sodergren, E., Weinstock, G., Walker, W. A., and Gregory, K. E. (2015). Longitudinal
354 analysis of the premature infant intestinal microbiome prior to necrotizing enterocolitis: a case-control
355 study. *PloS one*, 10(3):e0118632.




Figure 4. Post-partum microbiome evenness(shannon diversity index) trend in each time interval



Figure 5. An example image.