

1 **Patterned progression of gut microbiota**
2 **predisposes preterm infants to necrotizing**
3 **enterocolitis and late onset sepsis:**
4 **prospective pilot data from a non-Western**
5 **population**

6 **Jiayi Liu¹, Jianhua Sun², Yuqing Li³, Yi Feng⁴, Liya Pan⁵, Zhoulonglong**
7 **Xie⁶, Zhilong Yan⁷, Jianhua Zhao⁸, and Li Hong⁹**

8 ¹**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
9 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

10 ²**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
11 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

12 ³**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
13 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

14 ⁴**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
15 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

16 ⁵**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
17 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

18 ⁶**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
19 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

20 ⁷**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
21 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

22 ⁸**Shanghai Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China**

23 ⁹**Department of Clinical Nutrition, Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of**
24 **Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China**

25 Corresponding author:

26 Li Hong⁹

27 Email address: hongli@scmc.com.cn

28 **ABSTRACT**

Background and Objectives

Intestinal microbiota dysbiosis might predispose preterm infants to necrotizing enterocolitis(NEC) and late onset sepsis(LOS). In this observational prospective study, we aimed to profile and compare postpartum microbiota progression patterns in non-Western preterm patients with either condition.

Methods

We enrolled preterm infants with gestational age less than 33 weeks and birth weight more than 950g, from July 2013 to December 2014. We began fecal sample collection from the the first stool after birth and prospectively collected until discharge. Bacterial V3 V4 region of 16s rRNA genes from each stool sample were amplified and sequenced. With the use of RM two-way ANOVA and Zero-Inflated Beta Random Effect models to account for repeated measures, we found out the development of NEC or LOS associated with gut bacterial communities.

Results

A total of 192 fecal samples from 24 patiens were studied, of whom four developed NEC, three LOS; the remaining 17 were used as controls. [The post-partum gut microbiota colonization started to diverge among NEC, LOS and their matched control groups, from the second week after birth. Microbiota of the LOS infants was the least diversified (Shannon index=1.66), while that of the control group was the most diversified(Shannon index=0.88, $p=0.01$). Potentially pathogenic genus *Enterococcus* (20.86%) and *Staphylococcus* (8.67%) were prominent in NEC patients and *Klebsiella* (42.15%) in LOS group. Both two groups addressed lower proportion of *Lactococcus* (7.98% and 13.76% in NEC and LOS group, respectively) than the control group (3.66%).]

Conclusions

Postpartum colonization pattern of gut microbiome might predispose preterm newborns to NEC or LOS, in which reduced diversity of the whole microbiota community and potentially pathogenic genus could have played an essential role in disease progression. Still, more studies are needed to identify etiological strains, underlying mechanisms and correspondent microbial patterns.

INTRODUCTION

Gut microbiota is a key contributor to human health and the dysbiosis of which are proven to be associated with various diseases, such as atherosclerosis(Tang et al., 2017), obesity(Bouter et al., 2017), neuropathy(Sarkar et al., 2016), liver diseases(Tilg et al., 2016), etc. Temporal colonization pattern of the intestinal microbiota during early stages of life also provided evidence of its association with early life events, including Type 1 diabetes(Giongo et al., 2011; Vatanen et al., 2018), asthma(Stokholm et al., 2018) and allergy(Madan et al., 2012; Savage et al., 2018). In light of less gut maturity, innate immunity and more C-sections birth modes, microbiome assembly in pretem infants often differs from that of term infants, especially presenting with lower *Bifidobacterium* spp. abundance and higher *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus* sp., and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*(Schwartz et al., 2003; Bezirtzoglou et al., 2011). As as result, perturbation of postpartum microbiota harboring contributes to the vulnerablilty in preterm-associated health consequencese, such as necrotizing enterocolitis and late-onset sepsis.

Necrotizing enterocolitis, characterized by rapid progression, high morbidity and mortality, is one of the most devastating gastrointestinal neonatal emergencies, especially in preterm newborns; the etiologies of which remains elusive. Previous studies have suggested how intestinal microbiota pattern is implicated in the condition. Mai et al. reported an increase in the Proteobacteria and a decrease in the Firmicutes phyla during three to seven days prior to NEC onset (Mai et al., 2011). Zhou et al. reported a relatively higher abundance of Clostridium and Gamma-Proteobacteria in the proximity of NEC during early and late onset, respectively(Zhou et al., 2015). Dominance of (?) and/or *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was found to correlate with NEC risk in preterm infants

Among non-Western population, however, microbiota chronological dysbiosis preceding necrotizing enterocolitis or late onset sepsis remain scant so far. Hence, we conducted this prospective study with the aims to profile and compare post-postpartum pattern of intestinal microbiota in Chinese preterm infants who subsequently developed necrotizing enterocolitis and late onset sepsis, which may be critical in the etiopathogenesis of disease.

METHODS

Ethics

This study was approved by the joint committee of ethics of Shanghai Children's Medical Center, School of Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University (SCMCIRB-K2013022). Detailed written informed consent was obtained from the parents prior to fecal sample collection.

Patients

Newly born preterm infants with gestational age less than 33 weeks, birth weight over 950g were enrolled from Neonatal Intensive Care Unit at Shanghai Children's Medical Center from July 2013 to December 2014. The exclusion criteria were 1) diagnosed with early-onset sepsis, 2) hepatic diseases, 3) renal impairment ($Cr > 88 \mu M$), 4) diagnosed with intestinal obstruction, 5) in foreseeable need of cardiovascular or abdominal surgeries (except for male circumcision or PDA ligation), 6) estimated parenteral support to supply over 50% of daily caloric intake for more than four days, 7) given intravenous antibiotics administration (except prophylactic regimen of cefotaxime, piperacillin-tazobactam and/or metronidazole), 8) history of oral antibiotics administration, 9) grossly bloody stools at admission, and 10) over five days old.

NEC cases were defined as infants who met the criteria for Stage II and Stage III NEC diagnosis (Bell et al., 1978), including radiographic intestinal dilation, ileus, pneumatosis intestinalis, and/or absent bowel sounds with or without abdominal tenderness, and/or mild metabolic acidosis and thrombocytopenia. LOS cases was diagnosed if 1) an infant had a positive hemoculture or other suspicious loci of infection after 72 hours of life, with septic signs/symptoms reviewed independently by at least two neonatologists, and had been treated with advanced antibiotics (e.g., Meropenem) after diagnosis. Infants with no infectious complications or sepsis were regarded as controls.

Sample collection and handling

Fecal samples collection began from neonatal meconium till discharge. Although we intended to collect fecal samples on a daily basis, due to working shifts and flexible clinical scheduling, we set seven days as the maximum interval between two collections from every infant. Every sample was collected within 30 minutes of defecation from infants' diaper with a sterile spatula. The samples were immediately placed in a cryogenic vial on dry ice and stored at $-80^{\circ}C$ within 30 minutes without additives. All samples were collected and stored before knowing the diagnosis of respective patients.

DNA extraction and quality control amplification and 16s rRNA gene sequencing

Microbial genomic DNA was isolated from each fecal specimen using the E.Z.N.A.® Soil DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, U.S.) according to manufacturer's protocols. The concentration and purity of the DNA were determined by NanoDrop 2000 UV-vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, USA), and the DNA quality was checked by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Broad-range PCR and High-throughput Sequencing of 16s rRNA gene amplicons

The V3-V4 hypervariable regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene were amplified from each sample using bacterial/archaeal primers 338F (5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGG GTWTCTAAT-3') using thermocycler PCR system (GeneAmp 9700, ABI, USA). The PCR reactions were as follows: 3 min of denaturation at $95^{\circ}C$, 27 cycles of 30 s at $95^{\circ}C$, 30 s annealing at $55^{\circ}C$ and 45 s elongation at $72^{\circ}C$, and a final extension at $72^{\circ}C$ for 10 min. The PCR reactions were performed in triplicate, with each 20 μL mixture containing 4 μL 5X FastPfu Buffer, 2 μL 2.5 mM dNTPs, 0.8 μL of each primer (5 μM), 0.4 μL FastPfu Polymerase and 10 ng template DNA. The PCR products were extracted from a 2% agarose gel and further purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, USA), and quantified using QuantiFluor™-ST (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocols.

Equimolar amounts of purified amplicons were pooled and paired-end sequenced (2 x 300) on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, USA) according to the standard protocols of Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The reads were de-multiplexed using the Illumina software and separate FASTQ files were generated for each specimen and deposited to the Sequence Read Archive NCBI under the BioProject accession PRJNA470548. Another public archive repository is available at figshare doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.7205102

Raw Data Processing

After pyrosequencing, de-multiplexed sequence reads were subjected to quality filtering utilizing Trimmomatic software(version????)(Bolger et al., 2014), and were truncated at any site with an Phred score <20 over a 50bp-sized window; barcode matching with the primer mismatch from 0 to 2 nucleotides was adopted and reads containing ambiguous characters were removed. After trimming, FLASH(Fast Length Adjustment of Short Read)(Magoč and Salzberg, 2011), a read pre-processing software, assembled and merged the paired-end reads from fragments and generated >10 bp overlapped, with the dead match ratio 0.2. Unassembled reads were discarded.

To fairly compare all the samples at the same sequencing depth, the "sub.sample" command of mothur program(version1.30.1)(Schloss et al., 2009) was used for normalization to the smallest sample size. UCHIME Algorithm detected chimeric sequences, removed chimera to obtain effective reads, which were then sorted by cluster size and processed using Operational Taxonomic Units(OTUs) with 97% similarity cutoff UPARSE-OTU algorithm (implementing "cluster_otus" command)(Edgar, 2013) in USEARCH(v10)(UPARSE version 7.1). The taxonomy of each 16S rRNA gene sequence was analyzed by RDP Classifier algorithm(Wang et al., 2007) against the Silva (SSU128)(Quast et al., 2012) 16S rRNA database using confidence threshold of 70%. Each sequence was assigned the taxonomy by QIIME(Caporaso et al., 2010). The representative sequences were allocated phylogenetically down to the domain, phylum, class, order, family, and genus levels. The relative abundance of a given taxonomic group was calculated as a percentage of the sequences number belonging to that group divided by the total number of obtained sequences.

Within-sample diversity(alpha diversity) analysis, including Shannon index and Observed species richness (sobs), were obtained using the "summary.single" command of mothur program(version1.30.1)(Schloss et al., 2009). Between-sample diversity(beta diversity) analysis was obtained estimating weighted UniFrac distances between samples.

Statistical and Bioinformatics Analysis

Demographics and Clinical Sample comparisons

Kruskal-Wallis test and Wilcoxon rank-sum test were used to identify statistically significant differences in continuous variables ,including gestational age, birth weight, age when the patients were diagnosed and length of hospitalisation. The χ^2 , or Fisher's exact test were used to identify differences in gender composition. α level was considered 0.05 for all statistical tests . All statistical test not involving microbiome 16s rRNA sequencing data was performed using "stats" package using R(v.3.5.1).

Microbiota and Bioinformatics Analyses

Diversity Analyses α diversity

Disease-related Time Interval Determination Under the circumstance that the sampling and disease onset timepoints for each patient were not perfectly universal, to illustrated the continuous longitudinal and repeated nature of the sampling and its relationship with onset and progression of diseases, we seperated the whole sampling span into 7 time intervals:

1. early post-partum(EPP): within 3 days afterbirth
2. early pre-onset(EPO): from the end of EPP to at least four days before disease onset
3. late pre-onset(LPO): from the end of EPO to the start of onset
4. early disease: first third interval of whole disease span
5. middle disease: second third interval of whole disease span
6. late disease: last third interval of whole disease span
7. post disease: from the end of disease to discharge timepoint

Modeling Strategies To compare the dynamics of microbiota diversity and relative taxonomic abundance preceding the disease, we took into account the EPP, EPO, LPO and ED interval among all patients and fit(Summlemantary matrix1). To compare the microbiome profile right after birth until disease alleviation, we selected EPP, EPO, LPO, ED, MD and LD interval of NEC and LOS patients(Supplementary matrix2). The average value of diversity indexes and relative abundances, if more than two were available within one analysis interval, of each patient was calculated. Zero-Inflated Beta Regression Model with Random Effects (ZIBR) and Linear Mixed-effects Model(LME) were used to test the association

183 between OTU relative abundance and clinical covariates (diseases-related time intervals) for longitudinal
 184 microbiome data (Chen and Li, 2016). *ZIBR* package were utilized for modeling.
 185 *ZIBR* package were utilized for modeling. Figures were generated with the "*ggpubr*"(Kassambara, 2017)
 186 and "*ggplot2*"(Wickham, 2016) packages using R(v.3.5.1). Scripts for modeling and figures plotting,
 187 input and output files, figures are available at our github repository.

188 **Scripts and Figures Archiving**

189 Figures were generated with the "*ggpubr*"(Kassambara, 2017) and "*ggplot2*"(Wickham, 2016) packages
 190 using R(v.3.5.1)

191 **RESULTS**

192 A total of 7,472,400 optimized V3-V4 tags of 16s rRNA gene sequences were produced from 192 fecal
 193 samples, with an average length of 448 bp.(Table S1)

194 **Patients characteristics**

195 Totally 130 infants met the criteria of our study, and 1698 samples were collected from them in the
 196 neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) at Shanghai Children's Medical Center from July 2013 to December
 197 2014. Among whom, we studied 192 fecal samples from 24 well-sampled preterm infants, including four
 198 subsequently diagnosed with NEC (2 in stage IIA and 2 in stage IIB), three with LOS, and 17 matched
 199 controls (Figure1 ,Table S2). Fecal samples were collected between days 1 and 69 of life. Sampling
 timepoints and numbers of samples varied among each infant.




Figure 1. Flow of Study Design

200 All infants were delivered by cesarean section and fed on infant formula. No one was prescribed probiotics
 201 during hospitalization. Comparisons showed no significant difference in terms of gestational age, birth
 202 weight and gender proportions, diagnosed age among three groups (Table 1). Length of stay among three
 203 groups was significantly different however rational since NEC and LOS patients usually require longer
 204 period of healthcare because of their worse conditions compared with the control group. All infants
 205 were delivered by cesarean section and fed on infant formula. No one was prescribed probiotics during
 206 hospitalization.
 207

208 **Microbiota Diversity**

209 **DISCUSSION**

210 are consistent with the hypothesis that dysbiosis precedes this severe event. The
 211 Our study has its limitations. We acknowledge that the sample size is limited since this study is single-
 212 center-based and the incidence of both diseases are relatively low: among the 1148 preterm infants
 213 admitted within July 2013 to December 2014, only five developed NEC. Furthermore, the resultant
 214 overfitting possibility inevitably rose up, which became the pitfall in understanding the true microbiota
 215 patterns preceding NEC and LOS.

216 CONCLUSIONS

217 Necrotizing enterocolitis, a worldwide concern that threaten

218 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

219 We appreciate the support from enrolled patients, their families, and all staffs at Shanghai Children's
220 Medical Center.

221 SOME L^AT_EX EXAMPLES

222 Use section and subsection commands to organize your document. L^AT_EX handles all the formatting and
223 numbering automatically. Use ref and label commands for cross-references.

224 Figures and Tables

225 Use the table and tabular commands for basic tables — see Table 1, for example. You can upload a figure
226 (JPEG, PNG or PDF) using the project menu. To include it in your document, use the includegraphics
227 command as in the code for Figure 2 below.



Figure 2. An example image.

Item	Quantity
Widgets	42
Gadgets	13

Table 1. An example table.

228 Citations

229 LaTeX formats citations and references automatically using the bibliography records in your .bib file,
230 which you can edit via the project menu. Use the cite command for an inline citation, like ?, and the citep
231 command for a citation in parentheses (?).

232 Mathematics

233 \LaTeX is great at typesetting mathematics. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a sequence of independent and identically
234 distributed random variables with $E[X_i] = \mu$ and $\text{Var}[X_i] = \sigma^2 < \infty$, and let

$$S_n = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i^n X_i$$

233 denote their mean. Then as n approaches infinity, the random variables $\sqrt{n}(S_n - \mu)$ converge in distribution
234 to a normal $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

235 Lists

236 You can make lists with automatic numbering ...

- 237 1. Like this,
238 2. and like this.

239 ... or bullet points ...

- 240 • Like this,
241 • and like this.

242 ... or with words and descriptions ...

243 **Word** Definition

244 **Concept** Explanation

245 **Idea** Text

246 We hope you find write \LaTeX useful for your PeerJ submission, and please let us know if you have any
247 feedback. Further examples with dummy text are included in the following pages.

248 METHODS

249 Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem
250 ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus
251 convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim
252 sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor.
253 Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

$$\cos^3 \theta = \frac{1}{4} \cos \theta + \frac{3}{4} \cos 3\theta \quad (1)$$

254 Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit
255 ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis
256 posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis
257 porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla,
258 wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor
259 ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo.
260 Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetur.

261 Subsection

262 Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus
263 pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada
264 sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies
265 auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam
266 dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum
267 faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

268 **Paragraph** Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula
269 hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea
270 dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed,
271 volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis
272 purus, quis congue purus metus ultricies tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora
273 torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend
274 faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

275 **Paragraph** Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas.
276 Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio.
277 Integer vitae justo. Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetur at, consectetur
278 sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac
279 habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui.
280 Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis,
281 ultrices a, dui.

282 **Subsection**

283 Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget quam.
284 Quisque libero justo, consectetur a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae
285 elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi
286 eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci
287 luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetur. Nullam
288 elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pretium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur
289 tristique arcu eu metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus.
290 Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum
291 dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus.




Figure 3. In-text Picture

292 Reference to Figure 3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Suspendisse vitae elit. Aliquam arcu neque, ornare in, ullamcorper quis, commodo eu, libero. Fusce sagittis erat at erat tristique mollis. Maecenas sapien libero, molestie et, lobortis in, sodales eget, dui. Morbi ultrices rutrum lorem. Nam elementum ullamcorper leo. Morbi dui. Aliquam sagittis. Nunc placerat. Pellentesque tristique sodales est. Maecenas imperdiet lacinia velit. Cras non urna. Morbi eros pede, suscipit ac, varius vel, egestas non, eros. Praesent malesuada, diam id pretium elementum, eros sem dictum tortor, vel consectetur odio sem sed wisi.

Subsection

Sed feugiat. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Ut pellentesque augue sed urna. Vestibulum diam eros, fringilla et, consectetur eu, nonummy id, sapien. Nullam at lectus. In sagittis ultrices mauris. Curabitur malesuada erat sit amet massa. Fusce blandit. Aliquam erat volutpat. Aliquam euismod. Aenean vel lectus. Nunc imperdiet justo nec dolor.

Subsubsection

Etiam euismod. Fusce facilisis lacinia dui. Suspendisse potenti. In mi erat, cursus id, nonummy sed, ullamcorper eget, sapien. Praesent pretium, magna in eleifend egestas, pede pede pretium lorem, quis consectetur tortor sapien facilisis magna. Mauris quis magna varius nulla scelerisque imperdiet. Aliquam non quam. Aliquam porttitor quam a lacus. Praesent vel arcu ut tortor cursus volutpat. In vitae pede quis diam bibendum placerat. Fusce elementum convallis neque. Sed dolor orci, scelerisque ac, dapibus nec, ultricies ut, mi. Duis nec dui quis leo sagittis commodo.

Subsubsection

Etiam ac leo a risus tristique nonummy. Donec dignissim tincidunt nulla. Vestibulum rhoncus molestie odio. Sed lobortis, justo et pretium lobortis, mauris turpis condimentum augue, nec ultricies nibh arcu pretium enim. Nunc purus neque, placerat id, imperdiet sed, pellentesque nec, nisl. Vestibulum imperdiet neque non sem accumsan laoreet. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Etiam condimentum facilisis libero. Suspendisse in elit quis nisl aliquam dapibus. Pellentesque auctor sapien. Sed egestas sapien nec lectus. Pellentesque vel dui vel neque bibendum viverra. Aliquam porttitor nisl nec pede. Proin mattis libero vel turpis. Donec rutrum mauris et libero. Proin euismod porta felis. Nam lobortis, metus quis elementum commodo, nunc lectus elementum mauris, eget vulputate ligula tellus eu neque. Vivamus eu dolor.

Subsection

Nulla in ipsum. Praesent eros nulla, congue vitae, euismod ut, commodo a, wisi. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Aenean nonummy magna non leo. Sed felis erat, ullamcorper in, dictum non, ultricies ut, lectus. Proin vel arcu a odio lobortis euismod. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Proin ut est. Aliquam odio. Pellentesque massa turpis, cursus eu, euismod nec, tempor congue, nulla. Duis viverra gravida mauris. Cras tincidunt. Curabitur eros ligula, varius ut, pulvinar in, cursus faucibus, augue.

Nulla mattis luctus nulla. Duis commodo velit at leo. Aliquam vulputate magna et leo. Nam vestibulum ullamcorper leo. Vestibulum condimentum rutrum mauris. Donec id mauris. Morbi molestie justo et pede. Vivamus eget turpis sed nisl cursus tempor. Curabitur mollis sapien condimentum nunc. In wisi nisl, malesuada at, dignissim sit amet, lobortis in, odio. Aenean consequat arcu a ante. Pellentesque porta elit sit amet orci. Etiam at turpis nec elit ultricies imperdiet. Nulla facilisi. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse viverra aliquam risus. Nullam pede justo, molestie nonummy, scelerisque eu, facilisis vel, arcu.

Curabitur tellus magna, porttitor a, commodo a, commodo in, tortor. Donec interdum. Praesent scelerisque. Maecenas posuere sodales odio. Vivamus metus lacus, varius quis, imperdiet quis, rhoncus a, turpis. Etiam ligula arcu, elementum a, venenatis quis, sollicitudin sed, metus. Donec nunc pede, tincidunt in, venenatis vitae, faucibus vel, nibh. Pellentesque wisi. Nullam malesuada. Morbi ut tellus ut pede tincidunt porta. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam congue neque id dolor.

Donec et nisl at wisi luctus bibendum. Nam interdum tellus ac libero. Sed sem justo, laoreet vitae, fringilla at, adipiscing ut, nibh. Maecenas non sem quis tortor eleifend fermentum. Etiam id tortor ac mauris porta vulputate. Integer porta neque vitae massa. Maecenas tempus libero a libero posuere dictum. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Aenean quis mauris sed elit commodo placerat. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos

345 hymenaeos. Vivamus rhoncus tincidunt libero. Etiam elementum pretium justo. Vivamus est. Morbi a
346 tellus eget pede tristique commodo. Nulla nisl. Vestibulum sed nisl eu sapien cursus rutrum.

347 Nulla non mauris vitae wisi posuere convallis. Sed eu nulla nec eros scelerisque pharetra. Nullam
348 varius. Etiam dignissim elementum metus. Vestibulum faucibus, metus sit amet mattis rhoncus, sapien
349 dui laoreet odio, nec ultricies nibh augue a enim. Fusce in ligula. Quisque at magna et nulla commodo
350 consequat. Proin accumsan imperdiet sem. Nunc porta. Donec feugiat mi at justo. Phasellus facilisis
351 ipsum quis ante. In ac elit eget ipsum pharetra faucibus. Maecenas viverra nulla in massa.

352 Nulla ac nisl. Nullam urna nulla, ullamcorper in, interdum sit amet, gravida ut, risus. Aenean ac
353 enim. In luctus. Phasellus eu quam vitae turpis viverra pellentesque. Duis feugiat felis ut enim. Phasellus
354 pharetra, sem id porttitor sodales, magna nunc aliquet nibh, nec blandit nisl mauris at pede. Suspendisse
355 risus risus, lobortis eget, semper at, imperdiet sit amet, quam. Quisque scelerisque dapibus nibh. Nam
356 enim. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nunc ut metus. Ut metus justo, auctor at,
357 ultrices eu, sagittis ut, purus. Aliquam aliquam.

358 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

359 So long and thanks for all the fish.

360 REFERENCES

- 361 Bell, M. J., Ternberg, J. L., Feigin, R. D., Keating, J. P., Marshall, R., Barton, L., and Brotherton, T.
362 (1978). Neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis. therapeutic decisions based upon clinical staging. *Annals of*
363 *surgery*, 187(1):1.
- 364 Bezirtzoglou, E., Tsiotsias, A., and Welling, G. W. (2011). Microbiota profile in feces of breast-and
365 formula-fed newborns by using fluorescence in situ hybridization (fish). *Anaerobe*, 17(6):478–482.
- 366 Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for illumina sequence
367 data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15):2114–2120.
- 368 Bouter, K. E., van Raalte, D. H., Groen, A. K., and Nieuwdorp, M. (2017). Role of the gut microbiome in
369 the pathogenesis of obesity and obesity-related metabolic dysfunction. *Gastroenterology*, 152(7):1671–
370 1678.
- 371 Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., Fierer, N.,
372 Pena, A. G., Goodrich, J. K., Gordon, J. I., et al. (2010). Qiime allows analysis of high-throughput
373 community sequencing data. *Nature methods*, 7(5):335.
- 374 Chen, E. Z. and Li, H. (2016). A two-part mixed-effects model for analyzing longitudinal microbiome
375 compositional data. *Bioinformatics*, 32(17):2611–2617.
- 376 Edgar, R. C. (2013). Uparse: highly accurate otu sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nature*
377 *methods*, 10(10):996.
- 378 Giongo, A., Gano, K. A., Crabb, D. B., Mukherjee, N., Novelo, L. L., Casella, G., Drew, J. C., Ilonen, J.,
379 Knip, M., Hyöty, H., et al. (2011). Toward defining the autoimmune microbiome for type 1 diabetes.
380 *The ISME journal*, 5(1):82.
- 381 Kassambara, A. (2017). ggpubr: “ggplot2” based publication ready plots. r package version 0.1. 6.
- 382 Madan, J. C., Farzan, S. F., Hibberd, P. L., and Karagas, M. R. (2012). Normal neonatal microbiome
383 variation in relation to environmental factors, infection and allergy. *Current opinion in pediatrics*,
384 24(6):753.
- 385 Magoč, T. and Salzberg, S. L. (2011). Flash: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome
386 assemblies. *Bioinformatics*, 27(21):2957–2963.
- 387 Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., and Glöckner, F. O.
388 (2012). The silva ribosomal rna gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools.
389 *Nucleic acids research*, 41(D1):D590–D596.
- 390 Sarkar, A., Lehto, S. M., Harty, S., Dinan, T. G., Cryan, J. F., and Burnet, P. W. (2016). Psychobiotics and
391 the manipulation of bacteria–gut–brain signals. *Trends in neurosciences*, 39(11):763–781.
- 392 Savage, J. H., Lee-Sarwar, K. A., Sordillo, J., Bunyavanich, S., Zhou, Y., O’connor, G., Sandel, M.,
393 Bacharier, L. B., Zeiger, R., Sodergren, E., et al. (2018). A prospective microbiome-wide association
394 study of food sensitization and food allergy in early childhood. *Allergy*, 73(1):145–152.
- 395 Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., Lesniewski, R. A.,
396 Oakley, B. B., Parks, D. H., Robinson, C. J., et al. (2009). Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-

397 independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities.
 398 *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 75(23):7537–7541.
 399 Schwartz, A., Gruhl, B., Löbnitz, M., Michel, P., Radke, M., and Blaut, M. (2003). Development of the
 400 intestinal bacterial composition in hospitalized preterm infants in comparison with breast-fed, full-term
 401 infants. *Pediatric research*, 54(3):393.
 402 Stokholm, J., Blaser, M. J., Thorsen, J., Rasmussen, M. A., Waage, J., Vinding, R. K., Schoos, A.-M. M.,
 403 Kunø, A., Fink, N. R., Chawes, B. L., et al. (2018). Maturation of the gut microbiome and risk of
 404 asthma in childhood. *Nature communications*, 9(1):141.
 405 Tang, W. W., Kitai, T., and Hazen, S. L. (2017). Gut microbiota in cardiovascular health and disease.
 406 *Circulation research*, 120(7):1183–1196.
 407 Tilg, H., Cani, P. D., and Mayer, E. A. (2016). Gut microbiome and liver diseases. *Gut*, 65(12):2035–2044.
 408 Vatanen, T., Franzosa, E. A., Schwager, R., Tripathi, S., Arthur, T. D., Vehik, K., Lernmark, Å., Hagopian,
 409 W. A., Rewers, M. J., She, J.-X., et al. (2018). The human gut microbiome in early-onset type 1 diabetes
 410 from the teddy study. *Nature*, 562(7728):589.
 411 Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M., and Cole, J. R. (2007). Naive bayesian classifier for rapid
 412 assignment of rna sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Applied and environmental microbiology*,
 413 73(16):5261–5267.
 414 Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York.
 415 Zhou, Y., Shan, G., Sodergren, E., Weinstock, G., Walker, W. A., and Gregory, K. E. (2015). Longitudinal
 416 analysis of the premature infant intestinal microbiome prior to necrotizing enterocolitis: a case-control
 417 study. *PloS one*, 10(3):e0118632.