Jiayvan shi MA 681 HW5.

 $\overline{X}_1 = 368.9475$, $\overline{X}_2 = 288.9265$, $\widehat{\$} = \overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2 = 80.021$ Ho: 8=0, H: $8\neq 0$ f=11-112 $\hat{Se}=\sqrt{\frac{\hat{S}_{1}^{2}+\hat{S}_{2}^{2}}{n}}=\sqrt{\frac{405.5926^{2}}{20}}+\frac{157.74782}{50}=93.3978$ $W = \frac{\hat{\xi} - 0}{\hat{\xi} e} = \frac{\hat{\xi} 0.021}{98.3948} = 0.8568$, df = 21.339Lp-value = 0.4011 > 0.051 and => fail to reject the. There is not enough evidence to reject Mi Mz, so the average price of a home in Denver did not change From 2000 to 2001 m=26. n=26. Ho: 8=0, H.: 8 =0, S= 4,- 42 => +- to4 $\hat{S} = \hat{X} - \hat{X} = \hat{X}_{unseeded} - \hat{X}_{seeded} = [14.5885 - 441.9846 = -277.396]$ $\hat{Se} = \hat{V}_{unseeded} - \hat{X}_{unseeded} = \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20$ $W = \frac{\hat{\xi} - 0}{\hat{G}} = \frac{-277 \cdot 4961}{138.8199} = -1.9982, \quad df = 33.855$ P-value = 0.0877 > 0.05 () => fail to reject to There is not enough evidence to reject u. = 112, so the difference in mean precipitation between the two groups is almost zero 3. O. Chlopramazine vs. Placebo - Hol. 8 = 01, MH. 8 = 0. 5= P1 - P2 $\hat{\xi} = \hat{p_1} - \hat{p_2} = \frac{2b}{75} - \frac{45}{80} = -0.2158$ $\hat{\zeta_0} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{p_1} + \hat{p_2} \\ \hat{p_3} \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\hat{p_2} + \hat{p_3}}_{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3467 \cdot 106533 \\ 75 \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{0.5625 \cdot 0.4375}_{80} = 0.078$ $W = \frac{8}{50} = \frac{-0.458}{0.078} = -2.7644$, $p-value_1 = 0.0057 < 0.05$ > reject Hor, so the effectiveness of Clorpromatine and

Plaubo is different. Bernferroni: p = 0.0057 < = 0.0125 => reject Hop D. Pimanhydrinate Vs. Planeto - Hor S=0, Hirsto \$= pî-pr= 20493, Se=0.0766 W= == 0. 6429, p-value= 0.5203 > 0.05. => fail to reject Hoz, so the effectiveness of Dimenhyphrinate and Plaubo is Similar. Bernferroni: Pc= 015203 > 010125 > fail to reject Hoz D. Pentobarbital (100mg) vs. Plaubo. $f_{03}: f_{03}: f_{0$ p-value= 0, 6267 > 0.05 => freject Ho. so the effectiveness of Pontobarbital (100 mg) and placebo is similar Bernferroni: Ps = aboly > 0.0125 => fail to reject Hos 1. Pento barbital (150 mg). Vs. Plaubo - Ho4: 8-0. H,4: 870. $\hat{s} = -0.1272$. $\hat{se} = 0.0773$. $W = \frac{\hat{s}}{5e} = -1.6546$ p-value = 0 996 > 0:05

=> fail to reject the, so the effectiveness of Pentobarbita and Plaubo is similar Benferron; Pa= 0.0996 > aors => fail to reject Hog FDR method COBH provolute APi = m ong sold in the above 9 P, = 0,0057, B= 0,5203, P3 = 0,6267, P4 = 09996 M = 1. ROT - ROPT, 2.005 = 0.025 3.0105 - 0.0375, 4.0105 = 0.05 i= 1. Thus we reject only the first hypothesis => The result is consistent with what we get with the Benferry method 4. D. Wald tost: t-+84 8= pi-pz = 1225+ 11-1227 = 1229+ 11-10-201 = 0.2319-0.2097 = 0.0222 $\hat{Se} = 0.01456^{2} + 0.0097^{2} = 0.006$ $W = \frac{\$}{\$} \frac{\$}{0.0022} = 3.7036, \quad \text{af} = 11.671$ p-value = 0.003156 < 0.05 > 1 reject +10 Therefore, the mean proportion of three petter words is not themsame. So the essays were not actually written by Mark Twai.