

Tree-based Models for Regression

- Regression trees
- Regression forests
 - `randomForest` based on bagging
 - `gbm` based on boosting

Tree-based Models for Regression

- Input vector $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p) \in \mathcal{X}$
- Response variable $Y \in \mathbb{R}$
- Trees are constructed by recursively splitting regions of \mathcal{X} into two sub-regions, beginning with the whole space \mathcal{X} .

For simplicity, focus on recursive binary partitions.

- R page: check the fitted regression tree on BostonHousingData based on two features lon and lat.

- Notation: node (t), child node (t_L, t_R), split (var j , value s), leaf/terminal node.
- Every leaf node (i.e. a rectangle region R_m in \mathcal{X}) is assigned with a constant for regression tree

$$\hat{f}(X) = \sum_m c_m I\{X \in R_m\}.$$

Advantages of Trees

- Easy to interpret
- Variable selection and interactions between variables are handled automatically
- Invariant under any monotone transformation of predictors

How to Build a Tree?

Three elements:

1. Where to split?
2. When to stop?
3. How to predict at each leaf node?

Prediction at Leaf Nodes

Each leaf node (corresponds to region R_m) contains some samples.

Assign the prediction for a leaf node to be the average (of the response variable Y).

$$\hat{f}(X) = \sum_m c_m I\{X \in R_m\}.$$

$$\min_{c_m} \sum_{i=1, x_i \in R_m}^n (y_i - c_m)^2,$$

$$\implies c_m = \text{average of } y_i\text{'s whose } x_i \in R_m$$

Where to Split?

- A split is denoted by (j, s) : split the data into two parts based on whether “**var** j < **value** s ”.
- For each split, define a **split criterion** $\Phi(j, s)$
 - deduction of RSS for regression
- Trees are built in a top-down greedy fashion. Start with the root: try all possible variables $j = 1 : p$ and all possible split values^a, and pick the best split, i.e., the split having the best Φ value. Now, data are divided into the left node and right node. Repeat this procedure in each node.

^aFor each variable j , sort the n values (from n samples), and choose s to be a middle point of two adjacent values. So at most $(n - 1)$ possible values for s .

Goodness of Split $\Phi(j, s)$

For **Regression tree**, we look at the deduction of RSS if we split samples at node t into t_R and t_L :

$$\Phi(j, s) = \text{RSS}(t) - \left[\text{RSS}(t_R) + \text{RSS}(t_L) \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RSS}(t) &= \sum_{x_i \in t} (y_i - c_t)^2, \\ c_t &= \text{AVE}\{y_i : x_i \in t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Phi(j, s)$ is always positive if we split the data into two groups (even randomly), unless the mean of the left node and the one of right node are the same.

Issues: Split Categorical Predictors

- For a categorical predictor with m levels, there are $2^{m-1} - 1$ possible partitions of the m labels into two groups.
- However, for regression with square error, the computation simplifies: order the m levels by their mean values of Y , and then split the categorical variable as if it were an ordered predictor — there are only $(m - 1)$ potential splits.

Issues: Missing Predictor Values

- Discard any observation with missing values \longrightarrow serious depletion of the training set.
- Splitting criteria are evaluated on non-missing observations.
- Once a split (j, s) is determined, what to do with observations missing X_j ?

- Find **surrogate variables** that can predict the binary outcome “ $X_j < s$ ” and “ $X_j \geq s$ ” using a one-split tree.
- Rank those surrogate variables along with the **blind rule** “go with majority”.
- Any observation that is missing X_j is then classified with the first surrogate variable, or if missing that, the second surrogate variable (or the blind rule) is used, and etc.

When to Stop?

- A simple one : stop splitting at a node if the gain from any split is less than some pre-specified threshold.
- BUT, this is short-sighted.
- Another strategy: grow a large tree and then prune it (i.e., cut some branches).

Preliminaries for Pruning

First, grow a vary large tree T_{\max}

1. until all terminal nodes are nearly pure;
2. or when the number of data in each terminal node is less than certain threshold;
3. or when the tree reaches certain size.

As long as the tree is sufficiently large, the size of the initial tree is not critical.

Notation : *subtree* $T' \prec T$, *branch* T_t .

Minimum Complexity-cost Pruning

For any subtree $T \prec T_{\max}$, define the Complexity-cost

$$R_{\alpha}(T) = R(T) + \alpha|T|, \quad (1)$$

- $R(T)$: RSS for regression tree T
- $|T|$: tree size, i.e., the number of leaf nodes
- $\alpha > 0$: cost (penalty) of adding a split

Questions: *i)* How to minimize (1) for a given α ? *ii)* How to choose α ?

Pick the best subtree that minimizes the cost

$$T(\alpha) = \operatorname{argmin}_{T \preceq T_{\max}} R_{\alpha}(T) = \operatorname{argmin}_{T \preceq T_{\max}} \left[R(T) + \alpha |T| \right]$$

$T(\alpha)$ may not be unique.

Define the optimal subtree $T^*(\alpha)$ to be the smallest one among $T(\alpha)$'s

$$(1) R_{\alpha}(T^*(\alpha)) = \min_{T \preceq T_{\max}} R_{\alpha}(T).$$

$$(2) T^*(\alpha) \preceq \text{any } T(\alpha).$$

$T^*(\alpha)$ is unique.

$$R_\alpha(T) = R(T) + \alpha|T|$$

Some Facts

- For a pair of leaf nodes (t_L, t_R) , there exists α^* , such that
 1. for any $\alpha \geq \alpha^*$, we would like to collapse them to just node t ;
 2. for any $\alpha < \alpha^*$, keep the two leaf nodes.

That is, α^* is the maximal price we would like to pay to keep that split.

Next we extend this calculation to compute the maximal price we would like to pay to keep a branch T_t .

- For any non-leaf node t , do the following calculation to find out the maximal price we'd like to pay for keeping the whole branch T_t .

Focus only on samples at node t .

- Cost for keeping branch T_t : $R_\alpha(T_t) = R(T_t) + \alpha|T_t|$
- Cost for cutting branch T_t : $R_\alpha(\{t\}) = R(\{t\}) + \alpha$
- Calculate

$$\alpha^* = \frac{R(\{v\}) - R(T_t)}{|T_t| - 1}.$$

That is, if the given $\alpha > \alpha^*$, then it is too expensive to keep this branch and we would like to cut the whole branch and make t a leaf node.

Weakest-Link Pruning

The weakest-link pruning algorithm.

- Start with $T_0 = T_{\max}$ and $\alpha_0 = 0$.
- For any non-leaf node t , denote the maximal price we'd like to pay to keep T_t by $\alpha(t)$.
- $\alpha_1 = \min_t \alpha(t)$. The corresponding (non-terminal node) t_1 is called the **weakest link**. Cut the branch at t_1 .
- Next update the maximal price for each non-leaf node (we only need to recompute the maximal price for nodes that are parents/grandparents of t_1). Find α_2 and cut the branch at the 2nd weakest link. Keep doing this until we get to the root.

The steps above generate a **Solution Path**:

$$T_{\max} = T_0 \succ T^*(\alpha_1) \succ T^*(\alpha_2) \succ \cdots \succ \{\text{root node}\}$$

$$0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots$$

All possible values of α are grouped into $(m + 1)$ intervals:

$$I_0 = [0, \alpha_1)$$

$$I_1 = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$I_m = [\alpha_m, \infty)$$

where all $\alpha \in I_i$ share the same optimal subtree $T^*(\alpha_i)$.

Cross-validation

How to Choose α ? K -fold Cross-validation (rpart):

1. Fit a big tree T_{\max} and compute I_0, I_1, \dots, I_m

$$\text{Set } \beta_0 = 0$$

$$\beta_1 = \sqrt{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\beta_{m-1} = \sqrt{\alpha_{m-1} \alpha_m}$$

$$\beta_m = \infty$$

where each β_j is a ‘typical value’ for its interval I_j .

2. Divide data into K groups and repeat $k = 1, \dots, K$:
 - Fit a full model on the data set except the k -th group and determine the optimal subtrees:

$$T_0 \succ T^*(\beta_1) \succ \dots \succ T^*(\beta_m) \succ \{\text{root node}\}$$

- Compute the prediction error on the k -th group for each tree models.
3. Produce the CV plot over different α values and pick the optimal α_{min} or α_{1se} .