

Fig. 1: Qualitative results of pseudo-label generation.

TABLE I: Quantitative results of accuracy comparison.

	Methods	Common	Light	Glass	Glossy	Vegetation	Skin	Clothing	Bag	Mean
Traditional	CMA	1.60	5.17	2.55	3.86	4.42	3.39	6.42	4.63	4.00
	ANCC	1.36	2.43	2.27	2.41	4.82	2.32	2.85	2.57	2.63
	DASC	0.82	1.24	1.50	1.82	1.09	1.59	0.80	1.33	1.28
End-to-End	DMC v2	0.47	0.56	0.56	0.61	0.72	0.93	0.91	0.86	0.70
	CSNet	0.68	0.80	0.67	1.05	0.68	1.04	0.98	0.80	0.84
	Walters	0.91	0.90	0.64	1.18	1.49	1.00	1.47	1.10	1.08
	JDE	0.61	1.10	0.93	1.24	0.95	1.14	1.27	0.85	1.01
	Liang	0.45	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.63	0.82	1.04	0.78	0.68
Baseline	PSMNet	10.66	16.78	6.47	6.29	19.15	12.72	16.68	9.31	12.26
	IGEVStereo	15.17	5.58	1.91	1.63	49.04	16.46	23.23	19.9	16.62
Ours	PSMNet*	0.45	0.79	0.83	0.99	0.65	0.83	0.83	0.59	0.74
	IGEVStereo*	0.42	0.46	0.82	0.95	0.59	0.58	0.44	0.50	0.60




Fig. 2: Qualitative results of accuracy comparison