Problem 1

So let me list some of the values here:

- $(R_* \mid X = 1, m = 20, MK) = 1890 \,\mathrm{e}^-/\mathrm{s}$
- $(m_{sky} \mid MK, m = m_{sky}, r_{pix}) = 20.3 \,\mathrm{mag/arsec}^2$
- $(r_{pix} \mid MK) = 0.135 \operatorname{arcsec/pix}$
- $(RN^2 \mid MK) = 5 e^-$
- $(G \mid MK) = 1.2 \,\mathrm{e}^{-}/\mathrm{DN}$

Here are the questions,

1. R_{sky} of detected in R-band?

We knew,

$$(R_* \mid X = 1, m = 20, MK) = 1890 \,\mathrm{e}^-/\mathrm{s}.$$
 (1)

Now we took off the condition on R magnitude,

$$(R_* \mid X = 1, m, MK) = 1890 \times 10^{-\frac{m-20}{2.5}}$$
 (2)

since $R_* \propto 10^{-\text{mag}/2.5}$.

Condition on pixel size to get R_{sky}

$$(m_{sky} \mid MK, m = m_{sky},) = (m_{sky} \mid MK, m = m_{sky}, r_{pix}) - 2.5 \log_{10}(r_{pix} \mid MK)^{2}$$

= $20.3 - 5 \times \log_{10} 0.135$ (3)
= $24.6 \operatorname{mag/pixel}$

Use the information of sky magnitude on a pixel to get the rate of detection on a pixel, which is

$$(R_* \mid X = 1, m = m_{sky}, MK) = 1890 \times 10^{-\frac{m_{sky} - 20}{2.5}}$$

= $1890 \times 10^{-(24.6 - 20)/2.5} = 26.13 \,\mathrm{e}^{-/\mathrm{pixel/s}}$ (4)

2. Find $R_* \mid m = 26, X = 1.2$.

As suggested by the instructor of fundamental astrophysics- never use magnitude,

$$(R_* \mid X = 1.2, m = 26) \propto 10^{-\frac{26-20}{2.5}} \times \exp(-cX)$$

$$\propto 10^{-\frac{26-20+kX}{2.5}}$$

$$\propto 10^{-\frac{26-20+0.1*1.2}{2.5}}.$$
(5)

Remember to bring back your constant

$$(R_* \mid X = 1.2, m = 26) = 1890 \times 10^{-\frac{26 - 20 + 0.1 \times 1.2}{2.5}} = 6.74 \,\mathrm{e}^-/\mathrm{s}.$$
 (6)

3. What's the time range for sky-dominated? Condition on radius of 7 pixels aperture. Since we have more electrons from sky, we need to wait for enough time to reach source-dominated. The effect of sky decrease as $t^{-1/2}$ because there's a t in the nominator in

S/N equation while there's only $t^{1/2}$ in the denominator. The uncertainty term came from Poisson distribution, which is

$$\sigma = \sqrt{R_* t + R_{sky} n_{pix} t + (RN)^2 n_{pix} + DN \times n_{pix} t}$$
 (7)

Though the description in the question is unclear about '7' pixels, (Does it mean it contains 7 pixels or its radius has a range of 7 pixelscales?) I tried both cases and I thought it should be $n_{pix} = 49$.

Therefore,

$$\sigma = \sqrt{R_* t + R_{sky} n_{pix} t + (RN)^2 n_{pix} + DN \times n_{pix} t}$$

$$= \sqrt{6.74 t + 26.13 * 49 * t + 5 * 49 + 1.2 * 49 * t}.$$
(8)

What we want is sky-dominated, so $\sigma > R_*t = \text{signal}$. Allow me to make some sloppy approximations,

$$\sigma > 6.7t$$

$$\sqrt{6.74t + 26.13 * 49 * t + 5 * 49 + 1.2 * 49 * t} >$$

$$\sqrt{7t + 25 * 50 * t + 250 + 70 * t} \gtrsim$$

$$\sqrt{1327 * t + 250} \gtrsim$$
(9)

Square both sides,

$$1327t + 250 \gtrsim 40t^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 6 \gtrsim t^{2} - 30t = (t - 15)^{2} - 225$$

$$\Rightarrow 230 \gtrsim (t - 15)^{2}.$$

So roughly before 15 + 15 seconds is sky-dominated,

$$t \lesssim 30 \,\mathrm{s}.$$
 (10)

4. Explain how S/N scale with seeing?

Seeing is cased by the turbulence in the atmosphere. Therefore, the effect of seeing will contribute to the width of PSF. To a first order approximation, let's say seeing $\propto \sigma_{PSF}$. So that we arrive this scaling relation,

$$n_{pix} \propto r_{measure}^2 \propto \sigma_{PSF}^2 \propto \text{seeing}^2$$
. (11)

Recall the equation of S/N,

$$S/N = \frac{R_* t}{\sqrt{R_* t + R_{sky} n_{pix} t + (RN)^2 n_{pix} + DN \times n_{pix} t}}$$
(12)

Let's make some assumptions and drop those small terms, e.g., assume the time is long enough to drop RN and we don't care DN.

So,

$$S/N \propto \frac{R_*}{\sqrt{R_* + R_{sky}n_{pix}}}$$

$$\propto \frac{\sqrt{R_*}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{R_{sky}}{R_*} \text{seeing}^2}}$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{R_{sky}}{R_*} \text{seeing}^2}}.$$
(13)

For our case, S/N roughly scales as

$$(S/N \mid X = 1.2, m_* = 26, m_{sky} = 20.3, MK)$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{seeing}^2}}.$$
(14)

5. What is the exposure time to reach SN = 20?

Before solving $S/N \mid X = 1.2, m_* - 26, m_{sky} = 20.3$, we need to know $R_{sky} \mid X = 1.2$.

$$(R_{sky} \mid X = 1.2) = 1890 * 10^{-\frac{20.3 - 20 + 0.12}{2.5}} \times 0.135^2 = 23.395 \,\mathrm{e}^-/\mathrm{s}.$$
 (15)

So the problem is just solving,

$$S/N = 20 = \frac{6.74t}{\sqrt{6.74t + 23.395 * 49t + 5 * 49 + 1.2 * 49t}}$$
$$\sim \frac{7t}{\sqrt{7t + 1000t + 250 + 70t}}.$$
 (16)

$$\Rightarrow 1077t + 250 \sim 49/400t^{2} \sim 1/8t^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow t^{2} - 8600t - 2000 \sim 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (t - 4300)^{2} - 4300^{2} \sim 2000$$

$$\Rightarrow t \sim 4300 + \sqrt{4300^{2} + 2000} \sim 9000.$$

So it's roughly $t \sim 9000$ seconds. I carefully solved it numerically,

Snippet 1: Solve t

and I found t = 10671 seconds.

6. Find the exposure time on the HST.

I checked this filter and thought it's close enough to R band we used: http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/svo/theory/fps3/index.php?id=HST/WFC3_UVIS2.F625W

After plugging those numbers, I got $t = 2059.6179 \sim 2000$ seconds.

7. Try out some parameters you are interested.

• 0.4": $\sim 9500 \text{ s}$

• 4": $\sim 700\,000 \text{ s}$

• $F600LP : \sim 1000 s$

• F850LP : $\sim 5000 \text{ s}$

• Narrow F631N : $\sim 120000 \text{ s}$

• Medium F621M : $\sim 5000 \text{ s}$

If the aperture is larger, n_{pix} in the denominator would be larger; therefore, longer time to reach S/N = 20. But I have no idea why the results of changing filters would be so heterogeneous. Maybe it relates to some intrinsic properties of the filters.

So I went back to check the filter table-

These are filters :)			
Filter	Transmission	Width	Exposure Time
F600LP	0.99	4 000Å	1000 s
F850LP	0.96	1 500Å	$5000~\mathrm{s}$
F631N	0.86	43.1Å	120 000 s
F621M	0.99	631Å	$5000~\mathrm{s}$
F625W	0.95	1575Å	2000 s

The numbers in the table are kind of making sense for me since I would also expect narrower filters would have longer exposure times. If I am really optimistic, I probably would guess in this way,

$$1/t \propto E$$

$$\propto \int_{\lambda_{min}}^{\lambda_{max}} T_{\text{trans}} f_{\lambda} d\lambda \qquad (17)$$

$$\propto T_{\text{trans}} \Delta \lambda / \lambda_{\text{eff}}.$$

Let me try a few $t * T_{\text{trans}} \Delta \lambda / \lambda_{\text{eff}}$. Taking the numbers in the names of filters as λ_{eff} ,

• F600LP: $\sim 1000 * 0.99 * 4000/600 \sim 7000$

• F850LP: ~ 8000 .

• F631N: ~ 7000

• F621M: ~ 5000

• $F625W: \sim 5000$

It's interesting to see our guess brings those heterogeneous times to the numbers with the same order of magnitude. I guess by doing the proper integration, I probably could get the same 1/t numbers.