Stratospheric ozone intrusion events and their impacts on tropospheric ozone in the Southern Hemisphere

Jesse W. Greenslade[1], Simon P. Alexander[2,3], Robyn Schofield[4,5], Jenny A. Fisher[1,6], and Andrew K. Klekociuk[2,3]

[1]Centre for Atmospheric Chemistry, School of Chemistry, University of Wollongong
[2]Australian Antarctic Division, Hobart
[3]Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems Co-operative Research Centre, Hobart, Australia
[4]School of Earth Sciences, University of Melbourne
[5]ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science, University of New South Wales
[6]School of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong

Correspondence to: Jesse Greenslade (jwg366@uowmail.edu.au)

Abstract. Stratosphere-to-troposphere transport (STT) provides an important natural source of ozone to the upper troposphere, but the characteristics of STT events in the southern hemisphere ~~extratropics~~ extra-tropics and their contribution to the regional tropospheric ozone budget remain poorly constrained. Here, we develop a quantitative method to identify STT events from ozonesonde profiles. Using this method we estimate the seasonality of STT events and quantify the ozone transported across the tropopause over Davis ($69°$ S, 2006-2013), Macquarie Island ($54°$ S, 2004-2013), and Melbourne ($38°$ S, 2004-2013). STT seasonality is determined by two distinct methods: a Fourier bandpass filter of the vertical ozone profile, and an analysis of the ~~Brunt-Viäsälä~~ Brunt-Väisälä frequency. Using a bandpass filter on 7–9 years of ozone profiles from each site provides clear detection of STT events, with maximum occurrences during summer and minimum during winter above all three sites. The majority of tropospheric ozone enhancements from STT events occur within 2.5 km ~~,~~ and 3 km of the tropopause at Davis, and Macquarie Island. Events are more spread out at Melbourne, occurring frequently up to 7.5 km from the tropopause. The mean fraction of total tropospheric ozone attributed to STT during STT events is 2–4% at each site; however, during individual events over 10% of tropospheric ozone may be directly transported from the stratosphere. The cause of STTs is determined to be largely due to synoptic low pressure frontal systems, determined using coincident ERA-Interim reanalysis meteorological data. Ozone enhancements can also be caused by biomass burning plumes transported from Africa and South America, ~~these~~ which are apparent during austral winter and spring, and are determined using satellite measurements of CO.

To provide regional context for the ozonesonde observations, we use the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model, which is too coarsely resolved to distinguish STT events but is able to accurately simulate the seasonal cycle of tropospheric ozone columns over the three southern hemisphere sites. Combining the ozonesonde-derived STT event characteristics with the simulated tropospheric ozone columns from GEOS-Chem, we conservatively estimate ~~that the annual tropospheric ozone flux over the Southern Ocean due to STT events is $\sim 3.2 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm^{-2} yr^{-1}. This value is significantly lower than expected from previous global estimates due to the conservative nature of several components of our calculation, in particular the contribution of STT to total tropospheric ozone during an event (STT impact). Using an assumed STT impact of 35based on~~

~~prior modelling studies rather than our observational estimate of 2–4increases the estimated Southern Ocean flux by an order of magnitude.Despite lingering uncertainties in scaling ozonesonde measurements to regional values, ozonesonde datasets provide a useful tool for STT detection, and the analysis methods described in this paper could be applied to many existing long-term records.~~ STT influx near the three sites and see TODO:

1   Introduction

Tropospheric ozone constitutes only 10% of the total ozone column but is an important oxidant and greenhouse gas which is toxic to life, harming natural ecosystems and reducing agricultural productivity. Over the industrial period, increasing tropospheric ozone has been estimated to exert a radiative forcing (RF) of 365 mWm^{-2} (Stevenson et al., 2013), equivalent to a quarter of the CO_2 forcing (Forster et al., 2007). While much tropospheric ozone is produced photochemically from anthropogenic and natural precursors, downward transport from the ozone-rich stratosphere provides an additional natural source of ozone that is particularly important in the upper troposphere ~~(Jacobson and Hansson (2000) and references therein)~~(Jacobson and Hansson, 2000, and references therein) . The contribution of this source to overall tropospheric ozone budgets remains uncertain (Škerlak et al., 2014) , especially in the southern hemisphere. ~~While models show decreasing tropospheric ozone due to~~ Models show stratospheric ozone depletion ~~has~~ propagated to the upper troposphere ~~through vertical mixing~~ ~~(Stevenson et al., 2013) , recent~~ (Stevenson et al., 2013) . However, work based on the Southern Hemisphere ~~ADditional~~ Additional OZonesonde (SHADOZ) network suggests stratospheric mixing may be increasing upper tropospheric ozone near southern Africa ~~, most likely due to stratospheric mixing~~ (Liu et al., 2015; Thompson et al., 2014). Uncertainties in the various processes which produce tropospheric ozone limit predictions of future ozone-induced radiative forcing. Here we use a multi-year record of ozonesonde observations from sites in the southern hemisphere ~~extratropics~~extra-tropics, combined with a global model, to better characterise the impact of stratospheric ozone on the tropospheric ozone budget in the southern hemisphere.

Stratosphere-to-troposphere transport (STT) primarily impacts the ozone budget in the upper troposphere but can also increase regional surface ozone levels above the legal thresholds set by air quality standards ~~(Danielsen, 1968; Lelieveld et al., 2009; Lefohn e~~ In the western US, for example, ~~STT events have been shown to contribute up to 30of surface ozone in spring (Lin et al., 2012)~~ deep STT events during spring can add 20-40 ppbv of ozone to the ground-level ozone concentration, which can provide over half the ozone needed to exceed the standard set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Lin et al., 2012, 2015) . Another important region of STT is the Middle East, where surface ozone ~~exceds~~exceeds values of 80 ppbv in summer, with 10 ppbv from STT contribution (Lelieveld et al., 2009). Estimates of the overall contribution of STT to tropospheric ozone vary widely ~~(e.g., Galani, 2003; Stohl et al., 2003; Stevenson et al., 2006; Lefohn et al., 2011)~~ (e.g. Galani, 2003; Stohl et al., 2003; Stevenson et al., 2 Early work based on two photochemical models showed that 25-50% of the tropospheric ozone column can be attributed to STT events globally, with most contribution in the upper troposphere (Stohl et al., 2003). In contrast, a more recent analysis of the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Inter-comparison Project (ACCMIP) simulations by Young et al. (2013) found that STT is responsible for 540 ± 140 Tg yr^{-1}, equivalent to ~11% of the tropospheric ozone column, with the remainder produced photochemically (Monks et al., 2015). This wide range in model estimates exists in part because STT is challenging

to ~~accurately represent, and better~~ be accurately represented, and finer model resolution is necessary to simulate small scale turbulence. Observation-based process studies are therefore key in determining the relative frequency of STT events, with models then able to quantify STT impact over large regions. Ozonesondes are particularly valuable for this purpose as they provide multi-year datasets with high vertical resolution.

5   Lower stratospheric and upper tropospheric ozone concentrations are highly correlated (Terao et al., 2008), suggesting mixing across the tropopause mainly ~~caused by~~ associated with the jet streams over the ocean. ~~Extratropical~~ Extra-tropical STT events most commonly occur during synoptic-scale tropopause folds (Sprenger et al., 2003; Tang and Prather, 2012; Frey et al., 2015) and are characterised by tongues of high potential vorticity (PV) air descending to ~~low~~ lower altitudes. As these tongues become elongated, filaments disperse away from the tongue and mix irreversibly into the troposphere. STT can also be induced

10 by deep overshooting convection (Frey et al., 2015), tropical cyclones (Das et al., 2016) and mid-latitude synoptic scale disturbances ~~(e.g., Stohl et al. (2003); Mihalikova et al. (2012))~~ (e.g. Stohl et al., 2003; Mihalikova et al., 2012) . STT events have been observed in tropopause folds around both the polar front jet (Vaughan et al., 1993; Beekmann et al., 1997) and the subtropical jet (Baray et al., 2000). A big influence on the high surface ozone concentrations over the eastern Mediterranean ~~is~~ (EM) can be attributed to stratospheric mixing and anticyclonic subsidence ~~(Zanis et al., 2014)~~ (Zanis et al., 2014; Akritidis et al., 2016) .

15 The EM frequently shows a summer maximum of stratospheric subsidence, which sits between 20°E and 35°E and 31°N to 39°N, while zonally most subtropical ozone folds occur during Winter (Tyrlis et al., 2014, and references therein) . They are also observed near cut-off lows (Price and Vaughan, 1993; Wirth, 1995), so both regional weather patterns and stratospheric mixing are important to understand for STT analysis.

Stratospheric ozone intrusions undergo transport and mixing, with up to half of the ozone diffusing within 12 hours following

20 descent from the upper troposphere (Trickl et al., 2014) . The long range transport of enhanced ozone can be facilitated by shear upper tropospheric winds, with remarkably little convective mixing, as shown by Trickl et al. (2014) who measure STT air masses two days and thousands of kilometres from their source. Cooper et al. (2004) also shows how STT advection can transport stratospheric air over long distances, with a modelled STT event spreading from the northern Pacific to the East coast of the USA over a few days.

25 The strength (ozone enhancement above background levels), horizontal scale, vertical depth, and longevity of these intruding ozone tongues vary with ~~weather~~ wind direction and strength, topography, and season. While the frequency, seasonality, and impacts of STT events have been well ~~characterised~~ described in the tropics and northern hemisphere (NH), observational estimates from the southern hemisphere (SH) extra-tropics are noticeably absent in the literature. The role of STT in the SH remains highly uncertain due to the ~~much~~ more limited data availability compared to the NH and the temporal sparsity of these

30 datasets (Mze et al., 2010; Thompson et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015).

Here, we characterise the seasonal cycle of STT events and quantify their contribution to the SH ~~extratropical~~ extra-tropical tropospheric ozone budget using nearly a decade of ozonesonde observations from three locations around the Southern Ocean spanning latitudes from 38°S-69°S. In Section 2 we describe the observations and the methods used to identify STT events and to relate STT occurrence to meteorological events. Section 3 provides our newly derived ~~climatologies~~ climatology of STT

35 frequency, seasonality, intrusion altitude, and depth, and Section 4 uses these new climatologies to evaluate upper tropospheric

Figure 1. Ozonesonde release sites and the regions which will be used to examine STT effect on tropospheric ozone levels.

ozone in a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem). Finally, in Section 5 we use the observations and the model to estimate the overall contribution of STT events to total tropospheric ozone in the high southern latitudes.

2 Data and Methods

2.1 Ozonesonde record in the Southern Ocean

5   Ozonesondes provide a high vertical resolution profile of ozone, temperature, pressure, and humidity from the surface to 35 km. In the troposphere, the ozonesondes generally make 150-300 measurements. Ozone mixing ratio is quantified with an electrochemical concentration cell~~that senses the proportional electrical current from reaction of ozone with a solution of potassium iodide. Standardised procedures are followed~~, using standardised procedures when constructing, transporting, and releasing the ozonesondes http://www.ndsc.ncep.noaa.gov/organize/protocols/appendix5/. Ozonesondes are estimated to

10 provide around 2% precision in the stratosphere, which improves at lower altitudes, and ozonesondes have been shown to be accurate to within 5% when the correct procedures are followed (Smit et al., 2007).

Ozonesondes are launched approximately weekly from Melbourne (38° S, 145° E), Macquarie Island (55° S, 159° E) and Davis (69° S, 78° E)~~.~~, as shown in Fig. 1. Melbourne, a major city in Australia is located in the south eastern of Australia. Macquarie Island is isolated from the Australian mainland, situated in the remote Southern Ocean and unlikely to be affected by any local pollution events. Davis is on the coast of Antarctica and also unlikely to experience the effects of anthropogenic pollution.

For this study, we use the 2004-2013 data for Melbourne and Macquarie Island and the 2006-2013 data for Davis because

5   both ozone and geopotential height (GPH) profiles were measured during these periods. At Davis, ozonesondes are launched twice as frequently during the ozone hole season and preceding months (June-October) as at other times of year (Alexander et al., 2013). A summary of ozonesondes at each site can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Number of sonde releases at each site over the period of analysis.

Site	Total Releases	Monthly Releases (J, F, M, ...)	Date Range
Davis	240	11, 12, 13, 12, 17, 31, 29, 28, 32, 28, 15, 12	2006/04/13 - 2013/11/13
Macquarie Island	390	32, 31, 45, 28, 34, 33, 28, 35, 29, 33, 31, 31	2004/01/20 - 2013/01/09
Melbourne	456	31, 38, 40, 38, 41, 36, 38, 39, 46, 40, 38, 31	2004/01/08 - 2013/12/18

Characterisation of STT events requires a clear definition of the tropopause. ~~The two most~~ Two common tropopause height definitions are the standard lapse rate tropopause (WMO, 1957) and the ozone tropopause (Bethan et al., 1996). The lapse rate tropopause is defined as the lowest altitude where the lapse rate (vertical gradient of temperature) is less than 2°C km^{-1}, provided the lapse rate averaged between this altitude and 2 km above is also below 2°C km^{-1}. The ozone tropopause is defined as the lowest altitude satisfying the following three conditions for the ozone mixing ratio (OMR) (Bethan et al., 1996):

1. Vertical gradient of OMR is greater than 60 ppb km^{-1};

2. OMR is greater than 80 ppb; and

3. OMR exceeds 110 ppb between 500 m and 2000 m above the altitude under inspection (modified to between 500 m and 1500 m in the Antarctic, including the site at Davis).

The ozone tropopause ~~can be less robust~~ may misdiagnose the real tropopause altitude during stratosphere-troposphere exchange; however, it is ~~more robust than the lapse rate tropopause~~ useful at polar latitudes in winter~~and near jet streams in the lower stratosphere due to temperature inversions near the tropopause (Bethan et al., 1996; Tomikawa et al., 2009; Alexander et al., 2013)~~, where the lapse-rate definition may result in artificially high tropopause values (Bethan et al., 1996; Tomikawa et al., 2009; Alexander et al. We require lapse rate tropopauses to be at a minimum of 4 km altitude. Another commonly used tropopause definition (the dynamical tropopause) is determined from the potential vorticity unit (PVU; 1 PVU$= 10^{-6}$ m^2 s^{-1} K kg^{-1}). In the extra-tropics the isosurface where PVU$= 2$ is often used, which allows a 3D view of folds and other tropopause features in a sufficiently resolved model (Škerlak et al., 2014; Tyrlis et al., 2014). In this work, the ~~lower of these two tropopause altitudes is used. This choice avoids occasional unrealistically high tropopause heights due to perturbed ozone or temperature measurements in the ozonesonde data~~ozone tropopause is used when determining STT events or measured tropopause altitude.

Figure 2 shows the monthly ~~mean~~ median tropopause altitudes at each location (solid lines). At Melbourne, tropopause altitude displays a seasonal cycle with maximum in summer and minimum is winter. This seasonality is missing at Macquarie Island and almost reversed at Davis, which has a minimum during autumn and maximum from winter to spring. Tropopause altitude decreases with latitude from ~~9-12~~ 9-14 km at Melbourne (38° S) to 7-9 km at Davis (69° S).

Figure 3 shows multi-year averaged ozone mixing ratios measured by ozonesonde over the three stations. Over Melbourne, increased ozone extending down through the troposphere is apparent from December to March and from September to Novem-

5

Multi-year tropopause altitude

Figure 2. Multi-year monthly median tropopause altitude (~~minimum of lapse rate and~~ using ozone defined tropopause) determined from ozonesondes measurements at Melbourne (2004-2013), Macquarie Island (2004-2013) and Davis (2006-2013) (solid lines). ~~Vertical shading shows~~ Dashed lines show the 10th to the 90th percentile of tropopause altitude for each site.

ber. The increased tropospheric ozone in these months is due to STT (in summer), and possible biomass burning influence (in spring), both discussed in more detail ~~below~~in the following sections. Over Davis and Macquarie Island, tropospheric ozone is higher between March and October, although the seasonal differences are small compared to those at Melbourne. ~~This seasonality at the high latitude sites is driven by a decrease in photochemical destruction under the reduced radiation conditions around polar night.~~ The seasonality shown in Fig. 3 is consistent with remote free tropospheric photochemistry determined by solar radiation availability and temperature, resulting in higher ozone in winter (Lelieveld and Dentener, 2000). NO_2 stratospheric observations have been conducted in the Southern hemisphere at Lauder, Macquarie island and Arrival Heights i.e. Struthers et al. (2004) which displays a winter minima in seasonality consistent with an ozone maxima. Influence from the ozone hole can be seen over Davis in October, with relatively low ozone levels extending up 5-6 km into the stratosphere.

2.2 Model description

To provide regional and global context to the ozonesonde observations, we use the GEOS-Chem version 10-01 global chemical transport model (Bey et al., 2001), which simulates ozone along with more than 100 other trace gases throughout the troposphere and stratosphere. Stratosphere-troposphere coupling is calculated using the stratospheric unified chemistry extension (UCX) (Eastham et al., 2014). Transport is driven by assimilated meteorological fields from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS-5) maintained by the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO) at NASA. Ozone precursor emissions are

Multi-year average ozone

Figure 3. Multi-year mean seasonal cycle of ozone mixing ratio over Davis, Macquarie Island, and Melbourne as measured by ozonesondes. Measurements were interpolated to every 100 m and then binned monthly. Black and red solid lines show median ozone and lapse-rate defined tropopause altitudes (respectively), ~~defined~~ as ~~described~~ defined in the text.

from the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) version 2.1 (Guenther et al., 2012) for biogenic emissions, the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) version 4.2 for anthropogenic emissions, and the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED4) inventory (Giglio et al., 2013) for biomass burning emissions. Our simulation was modified from the standard v10-01 to fix an error in the treatment of ozone data from the Total Ozone Mapping Spec-

15   trometer (TOMS) satellite used to calculate photolysis (see http://wiki.seas.harvard.edu/geos-chem/index.php/FAST-JX_v7.0_ photolysis_mechanism#Fix_for_TOMS_to_address_strange_cycle_in_OH_output.).

Our simulations span 2005-2012 (following a 1-year spin-up) with horizontal resolution of $2°$ latitude by $2.5°$ longitude and 72 vertical levels from the surface to 0.01 hPa. The vertical resolution is finer near the surface at ~ 60 m between levels, spreading out to ~ 500 m near 10 km altitude.For comparison to the ozonesonde observations, the model state was saved

20   every 6 hours within the grid boxes containing each site. When comparing against ozonesondes, GEOS-Chem UTC+0 time

samples are used for all sites. This means that the simulated ozone profiles are analysed at local times of 7AM for Davis, and 11AM for Macquarie Island and Melbourne. GEOS-Chem uses the tropopause height provided by GEOS-5 meteorological fields, which are calculated using a lapse-rate tropopause definition using the first minimum above the surface in the function $0.03 \times T(p) - \log(p)$, with p in hPa (Rienecker, 2007) .

25   2.3   Characterisation of STT events and associated fluxes

We characterise STT events using the ozonesonde vertical profiles to identify tropospheric ozone enhancements above a local background (in moles per billion moles of dry air, referred to here as ppb). The process is illustrated in Figure 4 on an example ozone profile. First, the ozone vertical profiles are linearly interpolated to a regular grid with 20 m resolution from the surface to 14 km altitude. ~~The~~ Small vertical-scale fluctuations in ozone, which are captured by the high-resolution ozonesondes, can be regarded as sinusoidal waves superimposed on the large vertical scale background tropospheric ozone. As such, the interpolated profiles are ~~then~~ bandpass-filtered using a ~~Fourier transform to retain perturbations with vertical scales~~ fast Fourier transform (Press et al., 1992) to retain these small vertical scales, between 0.5 km and 5 km (removing low and high frequency perturba-

5   tions). The high frequency perturbations are removed as they may represent noise in the measurements. The perturbations with scales longer than 5 km represent the vertical gradient of ozone concentration from the surface to the stratosphere. In what follows, these filtered vertical profiles are referred to as perturbation profiles.

The choice of band limits was set empirically in order to remove the slow positive gradient of ozone over altitude as well as any measurement noise. For an event to qualify as STT, a clear increase above the background ozone level is needed, ~~and we~~

10   ~~find that~~ as a bandpass filter ~~removes seasonal-scale~~ leaves us with enhancements minus any noise or seasonal scale vertical profile effects. The 0.5 km scale limit is set in order to remove any spikes of ozone which could be considered noise. We next use all the perturbation profiles at each site to calculate the ~~99th~~ 95th percentile perturbation value for the site. The threshold is calculated from all the interpolated filtered values between 2 km above the surface and 1 km below the tropopause. This is our threshold for tropospheric ozone perturbations, and any profiles with perturbations exceeding this value in individual

15   ozonesondes are classified as STT events. STT events at altitudes below 4 km are removed to avoid surface pollution, and events within 0.5 km of the tropopause are removed to avoid false positives induced by the sharp transition to stratospheric air. We note that this ozone detection methodology detailed above does not allow us to resolve STT events where the ozone flux is spread diffusely across the troposphere without a peak-like structure in the ozonesonde profile. In other words, STT events which might have occurred some distance and time away from the location of the ozonesonde profiles may not be readily

20   detected using the high vertical resolution, but infrequent, ozonesonde launches.

We define the ozone peak as the altitude where the ~~OMR is greatest within the lowest range of altitudes over which the perturbation profile exceeds the percentile-based threshold~~ perturbation profile is greatest between 2 km from the surface and 0.5 km below the tropopause. The STT event is confirmed if the perturbation profile drops below zero between the ozone peak and the tropopause, as this represents a return to non-enhanced ozone concentrations. Alternatively, the STT event is also

25   confirmed if the OMR between the ozone peak and the tropopause drops below 80 ppb and is at least 20 ppb lower than the OMR at the ozone peak. If neither of these conditions are met, the profile is rejected as a non-event. This final step removes

Ozone at Melbourne on 2004/01/08

Figure 4. An example of the STT identification and flux estimation methods used in this work. The left panel shows an ozone ~~mixing ratio~~ profile from Melbourne on 8 January 2004 from 2 km to the tropopause (blue dashed horizontal line). The right panel shows the perturbation profile created from bandpass filtering of the mixing ratio profile. The STT occurrence threshold calculated from the ~~99th~~ 95th percentile of all perturbation profiles is shown as the orange dashed line, and the vertical extent of the event is shown with the purple dashed lines (see details in text). The ozone flux associated with the STT event is calculated using the area outlined with the orange dashed line in the left panel.

near-tropopause anomalies for which there is insufficient evidence of detachment from the stratosphere. Vertical ozone profiles recorded by ozonesondes are highly dependent on the time of launch (Sprenger et al., 2003), and it cannot be guaranteed that detected ozone enhancements are fully separated from the stratosphere, although this method minimises that risk by removing detected events too near the tropopause.

We estimate the ozone flux into the troposphere associated with each event by integrating the ozone concentration enhancement vertically over the altitude range for which an STT event is identified (i.e. enhancement near the ozone peak over which the perturbation profile is greater than zero). This estimate is conservative because it does not take into account any ozone enhancements outside of the detected peak that may have been caused by the STT, and also ignores any enhanced ozone background amounts from synoptic-scale stratospheric mixing into the troposphere.

Our method differs somewhat from that used by Tang and Prather (2010) to detect STT events from ozonesonde measurements. Their definition is based on subjective analysis of sondes released from 20 stations ranging in latitude from 35° S to 40° N. They identify an STT event if, starting from 5 km altitude, ozone exceeds 80 ppb and then within 3 km decreases by

9

20 ppb or more to a value less than 120 ppb. Their technique would miss many events due to the lower ozone concentrations found in the cleaner Southern Hemisphere.

2.4 Biomass burning influence

The STT detection algorithm described in Sect. ~~?? assumes all mid-upper troposphere ozone perturbations above the 99th percentile~~ 2.3 assumes all ozone enhancements are caused by stratospheric intrusions. In some cases, however, these perturbations may in fact reflect ozone production in lofted smoke plumes. Biomass burning in southern Africa and South America has previously been shown to have a major influence on atmospheric composition in the vicinity of our measurement sites (Oltmans et al., 2001; Gloudemans et al., 2007; Edwards et al., 2006), particularly from July to December (Pak et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2016). On occasion, smoke plumes from Australian and Indonesian fires can also reach the mid-high southern latitudes, as seen from satellite measurements of carbon monoxide (CO) discussed below.

Large biomass burning events emit substantial quantities of ozone precursors, some of which are capable of being transported over long distances and driving ozone production far from the fire source (Jaffe and Wigder, 2012). Ozone production from biomass burning is complex and affected by photochemistry, fuel nitrogen load, and time since emission, among other factors. While ozone production occurs in some biomass burning plumes, this is not always the case; therefore ozone perturbations detected during transported smoke events may or may not be caused by the plume. For this reason all detected STT events ~~found near~~ which could be caused by smoke plumes are flagged, following the procedure outlined below. Calculations of seasonality, and ozone flux do not include flagged events, however they are included in summary plots in this work.

Possible biomass burning influence is identified using satellite observations of CO from the AIRS (Atmospheric Infra-red Sounder) instrument on board the Aqua satellite (Texeira, 2013). CO is emitted during incomplete combustion and is an effective tracer of long-range transport due to its long lifetime (Edwards, 2003; Edwards et al., 2006). In the Southern Hemisphere, biomass burning is the primary source of CO, making CO a good proxy for fire plumes ~~(e.g., Sinha et al. (2004); Mari et al. (2008))~~ (e.g. Sin To identify possible biomass burning influence, AIRS vertical column CO is visually inspected for all dates with detected STT events. Smoke plumes are diagnosed over areas with elevated CO columns ($\sim 2 \times 10^{18}$ molecules cm^{-2} or higher), and any sonde-detected STT event that occurs near (within $\sim$150 km of) a smoke plume is flagged. Removal of these detections reduces the yearly estimated ozone flux by $\sim 15\%$ at Macquarie Island and $\sim 20\%$ at Melbourne.

~~Figure ?? contrasts two days; one with and one without signs of biomass burning influence near the Melbourne site (purple circle). On 17 October 2007 (top) elevated CO suggests the site may have been influenced by long-range transport from African and /or South American biomass burning. In contrast, on 3 February 2006 (bottom) CO columns across the Southern Hemisphere show no influence from biomass burning.~~ All days with detected STT events were screened, with the exception of one event during which there were no available AIRS data (January 2010). We find that biomass burning may have influenced ~~14~~ 27 events over Melbourne and ~~8~~ 21 events over Macquarie ~~island~~ Island. These events are flagged in the following sections, and are not used in our calculation of total STT flux. All of the flagged events except for ~~one (in January at Macquarie Island)~~ two occurred during the ~~Southern Hemisphere~~ SH burning season (July to December~~, Edwards et al. (2006)).~~). No events at Davis were seen to be influenced by smoke transport.

~~Example detection of biomass burning influence using AIRS total column CO. The top panel (17 October 2007) shows a day when ozone above Melbourne (purple dot) could have been caused by a transported biomass burning plume, and so was~~

30 ~~flagged in subsequent analysis. The bottom panel (3 February 2006) shows a day when Melbourne ozone was not influenced by transported smoke.~~

2.5 ~~Sensitivities and limitations~~Classifying synoptic conditions during STT events

~~Our method uses several subjectively defined quantities in the process of STT event detection. Here we briefly discuss these quantities and the sensitivity of the method to each. Using the algorithm discussed in Sect. **??**, we detect 45 events at Davis, 47 (+8 fire influenced) events at Macquarie Island, and 72 (+14 fire influenced) events at Melbourne.~~

~~The cut-off threshold (defined separately for each site) is determined from the 99th percentile of the ozone perturbation profiles between 2 km and 1 km below the tropopause. We use the 99th percentile because at this point the filter locates clear events with no obvious false positives. Event detection is highly sensitive to this choice; for example, using the 98.5th~~

5 ~~percentile instead increased detected events by 10 (22) at Davis, 19 (40) at Macquarie Island, and 24 (33) at Melbourne. Event detection is therefore also sensitive to the range over which the percentile is calculated (2 km to 1 km below the tropopause). This range was chosen to remove anomalous edge effects of the Fourier bandpass filter and to discount the highly variable ozone concentration which occurs near the tropopause.~~

~~Ozone enhancements are only considered STT events if they occur above 4 km and below 500 m below the tropopause. This~~

10 ~~range removes possible ground pollution and events not sufficiently separated from the stratosphere, while still capturing many well-defined events that occur within 1 km of the tropopause. An example of a well-defined event that occurs within 1 km of the tropopause is examined below in Fig. **??**.~~

~~The event detection was less sensitive to the choice of Fourier bandpass scales: widening the allowed scales from the range 0.5-5.0 km to 0.4-5.1 km increased the detected events by 3 at Melbourne and 2 at Macquarie Island. Meanwhile, 2 fewer~~

15 ~~events were detected at Davis because the change to bandpass scales resulted in an increase in the threshold value calculated from the perturbed profiles (removing some detected events which were no longer larger than the new 99th percentile).~~

~~Overall, the method used here to detect STTs is conservative, and more events could be classified by changing some the parameters in the detection algorithm; however, this would increase the chance of misdiagnosis.~~

2.6 ~~Classifying synoptic conditions during STT events~~

20 ~~Data~~ Synoptic scale weather patterns are examined using data from the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Interim Reanalysis (ERA-I) (Dee et al., 2011)~~product are used for synoptic-scale examination of weather patterns over our~~. This is done using the ERA-I data products over the three sites on dates matching the detected STT events. We use the ERA-I 500 hPa data to subjectively classify the events based on their likely meteorological cause, by visually examining each date where an event was detected. During STT occurrence, the upper troposphere is typically characterised by nearby low pres-

25 sure fronts or cut-offs. Over Melbourne and Macquarie Island, we find that frontal and low pressure activity are prevalent during

STT events (see Sect. 3). Over Davis, the weather systems are harder to distinguish. The stratospheric polar vortex may create ozone folds without other sources of upper tropospheric turbulence .(e.g. Baray et al., 2000; Sprenger et al., 2003; Tyrlis et al., 2014)

Cut-off low pressure systems can be seen clearly in synoptic scale weather maps as regions with lowered pressure and cyclonic winds. Low pressure fronts in the higher southern latitudes generally travel from west to east and cause waves of

30 lowered tropopause height. We examine two case studies in detail to illustrate the relationship between synoptic-scale conditions and STT events over Melbourne. Figure ?? (left) shows the ozone profile on 3 February 2005. The tropopause was between 400 and 500 hPa and ozone in the upper troposphere was anticorrelated with relative humidity, suggesting the ozone enhancements derived from dry stratospheric air. An ozone intrusion into the troposphere at ~520 hPa was identified by our detection algorithm. The right panel shows the concurrent synoptic weather system, a cut-off low pressure system that caused a large storm and lowered the local tropopause height for several days. The flux of stratospheric ozone into the troposphere associated with this event, calculated using the method shown in Sect. ??, was at least 3.1×10^{11} molecules cm^{-3}, or 8of the tropospheric ozone column.

(Left) Vertical profile of ozone (black), relative humidity (blue), and temperature (red) measured by ozonesonde over
5 Melbourne on 3 February 2005. The detected ozone STT event is highlighted in pink. Tropopause heights using both the ozone definition (black dashed line) and lapse rate definition (red dashed line) are also shown. (Right) Geopotential heights at 500 hPa from the ERA-Interim reanalysis, with wind vectors over-plotted. Also shown is the 1 PVU contour line (purple).

Figure ?? (left) shows the ozone profile over Melbourne on 13 January 2010. The tropopause was higher on this date (120-160 hPa). Using our algorithm, we detected an ozone intrusion centred around 200 hPa. As before, ozone anti-correlation
10 with relative humidity provides further evidence that the elevated ozone was stratospheric in origin. In this profile, there was clear separation between the detected intrusion (highlighted in pink) and the ozone tropopause (black dashed line), which indicates that the sonde passed through regular tropospheric air after hitting a stratospheric intrusion but before reaching the tropopause. The right panel shows that this event was associated with a trough (front)of low pressure passing over south eastern Australia. This front travelled from west to east and caused a wave of lowered tropopause heightThese are included in a
15 supplementary document (TODO: hard-code reference). Frontal passage is a known cause of STT as stratospheric air descends and streamers of ozone-rich air break off and mix into the troposphere (Sprenger et al., 2003).

Same as Fig. ?? but for 13 January 2010. Also shown in this figure is the 2 PVU contour (white), often used to determine dynamical tropopause height.

3 STT event climatologies

20 Figure 5 shows the seasonal cycles of STT frequency at Davis, Macquarie Island, and Melbourne. Frequency is determined as detected event count divided by total launched ozonesondes for each month. STT events in Figures 5-8 are coloured based on the meteorological classification described in Sect. ??2.6, with events classified as either low pressure fronts ("frontal", dark blue), cut-off low pressure systems ("cutoff", teal), or indeterminate ("misc", cyan). Events that may have been influenced by

Table 2. Total number of ozonesonde detected STT events, along with the number of events in each category (see text).

Site	Events	Cut-offs	Frontals	Misc	Fire
Davis	~~45~~ 80	~~7~~ 44	~~20~~ 19	~~18~~ 17	0
Macquarie Island	~~47~~ 105	~~10~~ 19	~~20~~ 31	~~9~~ 34	~~8~~ 21
Melbourne	~~72~~ 127	~~12~~ 28	~~34~~ 31	~~12~~ 41	~~14~~ 27

Figure 5. Seasonal cycle of STT event frequency at Davis (top), Macquarie Island (middle), and Melbourne (bottom). Events are categorised by associated meteorological conditions as described in the text, with low pressure fronts ("frontal") in dark blue, cut-off low pressure systems ("cutoff") in teal, and indeterminate meteorology ("misc") in cyan. Events that may have been influenced by transported smoke plumes are shown in red (see text for details).

transported smoke plumes (Sect. ??2.4) are shown in red. Ozonesonde releases are summarised in Table 1 and detected event
25 counts are summarised in Table 2.

There is an annual cycle in the frequency of STT events (Fig. 5) with a summertime peak at all three sites. This summertime peak is due to a prevalence of summer low-pressure storms and fronts, which increase turbulence and lower the tropopause

(Reutter et al., 2015). At Davis, there is increased Antarctic winter activity, which may be due to the polar vortex and it's associated lowered tropopause and increased turbulence. STT events associated with cut-off low pressure systems are more prevalent during summer(winter for Davis), while STT events associated with frontal passage occur throughout the year. The high frequency of STT ozone enhancements is comparable to the $> 25\%$ frequencies seen over Turkey and east of the Caspian sea in an ERA-I analysis performed by Tyrlis et al. (2014) .

The SH summer maximum we see for STT ozone flux can also be seen in Fig. 16 of Škerlak et al. (2014) , which shows seasonal flux over the southern ocean, although this is less clear over Melbourne. This seasonality is not seen clear in the recent ERA-Interim tropopause fold analysis performed by Škerlak et al. (2015), where a winter maximum of ozone fold frequency ($\sim 0.5\%$ more folds in winter) over Australia can be seen (although to the north of Melbourne. Their work seems to show slightly higher fold frequencies over Melbourne in summer (Škerlak et al., 2015, Fig. 5) , which agrees with our ozonesonde measurements. Their winter maximum is in the subtropics only - from around $20°$ S to $40°$ S), which can be seen as the prevalent feature over Australia in Fig. 5 of their publication. Wauben et al. (1998) look at modelled (CTM driven by ECMWF output) and measured ozone distributions and find more SH ozone in the lower troposphere during Austral winter, however they note that the ECMWF fields are uncertain here again due to lack of measurements. Their work shows a generally cleaner lower troposphere in the SH summer but can not be construed to suggest more or less STT folds in either season. Sprenger et al. (2003) examine modelled STT folds using ECMWF output over March 2000 - April 2001, and show that for this year there is a clear Austral winter maximum, again over the $20°$ S to $40°$ S band. The winter maximum does not include Melbourne, or the southern ocean, which may explain why we see a seasonality which disagrees with these prior studies.

The measurement sites are not in the regions which have a clear winter maximum seen in (Fig. 1 Sprenger et al., 2003) , and the large scale winter maximum shown by all three studies seems to be dominated by the system in that region. The seasonality of our three sites is not driven by the larger STT system seen over the southern Indian ocean and middle Australia which dominates prior analysis near or over Australia.

To examine the robustness of the distributions shown in Fig. 5, we developed an alternative assessment of the seasonal occurrence of STT events, with results shown in Fig. 6. Here STT occurrence is evaluated by consideration of the square of the dry Brunt-Viäsälä Brunt-Väisälä frequency (N^2) at the heights of the ozone tropopause (z_{OT}) and lapse rate tropopause (z_{LRT}) in each ozonesonde profile that has been binned to 500 m resolution. We use N^2 to assess atmospheric stability, which is normally distinctly higher in the stratosphere than in the troposphere, and assume that the vertical temperature gradients within the intrusion respond most rapidly to transported heat, which is an additional characteristic of stratospheric air. The altitude binning chosen is a compromise between vertical resolution and the level of variability in N^2 introduced by temperature gradients associated with perturbations from gravity waves and changes near the lapse rate tropopause, and is the minimum that produces a robust seasonal distribution. We define STT as having taken place if $N^2(z_{OT}) > N^2(z_{LRT})$ and $z_{OT} < z_{LRT}$; in this way the characteristically higher static stability and ozone concentration of stratospheric intrusion is regarded as being retained as it penetrates below the lapse rate tropopause. The seasonal distributions shown for the three stations in Fig. 6 are generally similar to those shown in Fig. 5 (although detected events are less frequent), with the main exception that no events are identified with the alternative method at Davis in the first half of the year. From December to June, ozonesondes are

Figure 6. Seasonal distribution of STT events using the alternative STT proxy, obtained from consideration of the static stability at the ozone and lapse rate tropopauses, for Davis (2003-2012), Macquarie Island (1994-2012) and Melbourne (1999-2012).

generally only launched monthly at Davis, and the lack of STT events in these months for Davis potentially reflects the fewer measurement opportunities.

Figure 7 shows the altitudes of detected events, based on the altitude of peak tropospheric ozone (local maximum ozone within enhancement altitude) in the ozonesonde profile. STT event peaks most commonly occur at ~~6–10~~6–11 km above Melbourne and ~~6–9~~anywhere from 4–9 km at Davis ~~but are distributed more evenly at Macquarie Island~~from ~~4–7.5 km~~and Macquarie Island. There is no clear relationship between meteorological conditions and event altitude.

Figure 8 shows the distance from the event peak to the ~~tropopause (using the lowest of the two tropopause definitions)~~ozone defined tropopause, referred to as event depth. The majority of STT events occur within ~~3 km of the tropopause at Macquarie Island, and within~~ 2.5 km of the tropopause at Davis and Macquarie Island. Over Melbourne, the event depth is more spread out, ~~and~~ with peak ozone enhancement generally ~~occurs within~~ occurring up to 6 km ~~of~~ below the tropopause. Again, there is no clear relationships between meteorological conditions and event depth.

4 Simulation of southern ~~mid-latitude~~ regions of ozone columns

Figure 9 compares the time series of tropospheric ozone columns (Ω_{O_3}) in molecules cm^{-2} simulated by GEOS-Chem (red) to the measured tropospheric ozone columns (black). ~~Observed tropospheric columns are calculated from the ozonesondes by calculating the ozone number density (molec~~ GEOS-Chem outputs ozone density (molecules cm^{-3})~~using measured ozone~~

Figure 7. The distribution of STT event altitude at Davis (top), Macquarie Island (middle), and Melbourne (bottom), determined as described in the text. Events are coloured as described in Fig. 5.

~~partial pressure (P_{O_3}) and integrating over the measured GPH up to the tropopause:~~

$$\Omega_{O_3} = \int_0^{TP} \frac{P_{O_3}(z)}{k_B \times T(z)} \mathrm{d}z$$

10 ~~where z is the altitude (GPH), TP is the altitude at~~, and height of each simulated box, as well as which level contains the ~~tropopause, T is the temperature, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant~~allowing modelled Ω_{O_3} to be calculated as the product of density and height summed up to the box below the tropopause level. In both observations and model, the maximum ozone column at Melbourne occurs in austral summer, with a minimum in winter, while Macquarie Island and Davis show the opposite seasonality.

5 GEOS-Chem provides a reasonable simulation of the observed seasonality and magnitude of Ω_{O_3}. Reduced major axis regression of observed versus simulated Ω_{O_3} gives a line of best fit with slopes of 1.08 for Davis, 0.99 for Macquarie Island, and 1.34 for Melbourne. The model is only partially able to reproduce the variability in the observations, with paired r² values

Figure 8. The distribution of STT event depth, defined as the distance from the event to the tropopause, at Davis (top), Macquarie Island (middle), and Melbourne (bottom), determined as described in the text. Events are coloured as described in Fig. 5.

for of 0.38 for Davis, 0.18 for Macquarie Island, and 0.37 for Melbourne. Much of the variability is driven by the seasonal cycle, and after removing this effect (by subtracting the multi-year monthly means), the r^2 values decrease to .07, .11, .30 respectively, although the slope improves at Melbourne to 1.08.

Figure 10 shows the observed and simulated ozone profiles at all sites, averaged seasonally. The model generally under-estimates ozone in the lower troposphere (up to 6 km) ~~at both Davisand Macquarie~~over Davis, although this bias is less pronounced during summer. Over Melbourne, ozone in the lower troposphere is well represented, but the model overestimates ozone from around 4 km to the tropopause. Over Macquarie Island we see model overestimation of ozone above 4 km, as well as underestimated ozone in the lower troposphere, suggesting that this region is influenced by processes seen at both of our other sites. Also shown is the mean tropopause height simulated by the model (horizontal dashed red line), ~~the mean of~~ which is always higher than the observed average, although this difference is not statistically significant. The effect of local pollution over Melbourne during austral summer (DJF) can be seen from the increased mean mixing ratios and enhanced variance near the surface. The gradient of the O_3 profiles is steeper in the measurements than the model, at all sites during all seasons.

Figure 9. Comparison between observed (black) and simulated (pink, red) tropospheric ozone columns (Ω_{O3}, in molecules cm^{-2}) from 1 January 2004 to 30 April 2013. For the model, daily output is shown in pink, while output from days with ozonesonde measurements are shown in red. For each site, the model has been sampled in the relevant grid square.

Recently Hu et al. (2017) examined GEOS-Chem ~~does not have the vertical resolution required to capture STT events.~~ ozone simulations and saw a similar overestimation of upper troposphere ozone in the mid southern latitudes when running with GEOS5 meteorological fields.

Figure 11 compares modeled (red) and observed (black) ozone profiles on three example days when STT events were detected using the ozonesondes. The figures show the profile for each site with the closest (qualitative) match between model and observations. The ~~vertical resolution~~ resolution (both vertical and horizontal) of GEOS-Chem in the upper troposphere is too low to consistently allow detection of STTs, although in a few cases (e.g., Melbourne in Fig. 11) it appears that the event was large enough to be visible in the model output.

5 Stratosphere-to-troposphere ozone flux from STT events

5.1 Method

We quantify the mean stratosphere-to-troposphere ozone flux due to STT events at each site based on the integrated ozone amount associated with each STT event (see Sect. ~~??~~2.3). Events that may have been influenced by transported biomass burning are excluded from this calculation. Our estimate provides a ~~conservative lower bound for~~ preliminary estimate of how

Figure 10. Observed and simulated tropospheric ozone profiles over Davis, Macquarie Island, and Melbourne, averaged seasonally. Model means medians (2005-2013 average) are shown as red solid lines, with red dashed lines showing the 10th and 90th percentiles. Ozonesonde means medians (over each season, for all years) are shown as black solid lines, with coloured shaded areas showing the 10th and 90th percentiles. The horizontal dashed lines show the mean median tropopause heights from the model (red) and the observations (black).

Figure 11. Example comparisons of ozone profiles from ozonesondes (black) and GEOS-Chem (red) from three different dates during which STT events were detected from the measurements. The dates were picked based on subjective visual analysis. The examples show the best match between model and observations for each site. GEOS-Chem and ozonesonde pressure levels are marked with a dashred and black dashes respectively.

much ozone is transported from the stratosphere by the events detected by our method. The estimate is conservative for two main several reasons: it ignores secondary ozone peaks which may also be transported from the stratosphere, and it ignores potential ozone enhancements which may have dispersed and increased the local background mixing ratio, and any influence

10   from STT events nearby which may also increase the local background ozone.

Figure Observed tropospheric columns are calculated from the ozonesondes by calculating the ozone number density (molecules cm^{-3}) using measured ozone partial pressure (P_{O_3}) and integrating vertically up to the tropopause:

$$\Omega_{O_3} = \int\limits_0^{TP} \frac{P_{O_3}(z)}{k_B \times T(z)} \mathrm{d}z$$

where z is the altitude (GPH), TP is the altitude at the tropopause, T is the temperature, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant.

15   Three regions are used to examine possible STT flux over a larger area using modeled tropospheric ozone concentrations. The regions are shown in Fig. 1. The regions are centred at each site, plus or minus ten degrees latitude, and plus or minus 25, 16, and 11 degrees longitude for Davis, Macquarie Island, and Melbourne respectively. These boundaries approximate

20

a rectangle centred at each site with ~ 2000 km side lengths, covering ~ 4.4, 4.6, and 4.8 million square km, for Davis, Macquarie Island, and Melbourne respectively.

20   To determine the ozone column attributable to STT, we determine monthly averaged STT impact (I; fraction of tropospheric ozone sourced from the stratosphere as shown above) and the monthly mean tropospheric ozone column (from the GEOS-Chem multi-year mean, Ω_{O_3}) over the regions described above. This can be expressed simply as the STT flux per event (flux$_i$ in each month: flux$_i = \Omega_{O_3} \times I$. Next we determine how many events are occurring per month by assuming only one event can occur at one time, and that no event is measured twice. These assumptions allow a simple estimate of events per month from the

25 relatively sparse dataset and should hold true as long as our regions of extrapolation aren't too large. The (P)robability of any sonde launch detecting an event is calculated as the fraction of ozonesonde releases for which an STT event was detected, calculated for each month. If we assume events last N days, we find how many events per month by multiplying the days in a month by P and dividing by the assumed event lifetime. For example if we expect to see an event 25% of the time in a month, and events last one day, we expect one event every four days (~ 7.5 events in that month) whereas if we expect events to last

30 a week then we would expect $\sim$one event in that month. This leads us to multiply our flux$_i$ by P, and then by the term M ($M = \frac{\text{days per month}}{N}$) determined by our assumed event lifetime in order to determine monthly STT ozone flux.

    The longevity of ozone events is very difficult to determine, and we've chosen 2 days as a representative number based on several examples in Lin et al. (2012) where intrusions were seen to last from 1-3 days (occasionally longer) and an analysis of one large event by Cooper et al. (2004) showing that most of the ozone had dispersed after 48 hours. Worth noting is the work done by Trickl et al. (2014) , where an intrusions are detected > 2 days and thousands of kilometres away from their initial descent into the troposphere over Greenland or the Arctic. In those regions with high wind shear, mixing appears to be slower,

5 which allows ozone intrusions to be transported further without dissipating into the troposphere. Relative uncertainty in our M term is set to 50%, as we assume these synoptic events to generally last from 1-3 days.

5.2   Results

The top panel of Fig. 12 shows the STT ozone enhancements, based on a vertical integration of the ozone above baseline levels for each ozonesonde where an event was detected. The area considered to be 'enhanced' ozone is outlined with yellow dashes

10 on the left panel of Fig. 4. We find that the mean ozone flux associated with STT events is $\sim 0.5\text{–}2.0 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm^{-2}. The bottom panel shows the mean fraction of total tropospheric column ozone (calculated from ozonesonde profiles) attributed to stratospheric ozone intrusions at each site ~~, averaged over~~ for days when an STT event occurred. First the tropospheric ozone column is calculated, then the enhanced ozone column amount is used to determine the relative increase. At all sites, the mean fraction of tropospheric ozone attributed to STT events is ~~2–4. On individual days at~~ $\sim 1.0\text{–}3.5\%$. On three seperate days over

15 Macquarie and Melbourne, this value ~~can exceed~~ exceeds 10%. ~~Figure ?? shows the STT-induced ozone flux in absolute terms.~~ ~~We find that the mean ozone flux associated with STT events is $1\text{-}2 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm^{-2}. Our flux estimates are relatively~~ ~~insensitive to our biomass burning filter: including smoke-influenced days changed the mean flux by less than 5relative to the~~ ~~absolute values.~~

Figure 12. Top panel: tropospheric ozone attributed to STT events. Bottom panel: percent of total tropospheric column ozone attributed to STT events. Boxes show the inter-quartile range (IQR), with the centre line being the median, whiskers show the minimum and maximum, circles show values which lie more than 1.5 IQR from the median. Values calculated from ozonesonde measurements as described in the text.

~~Percent of total tropospheric column ozone attributed to STT events, derived from ozonesonde measurements as described in the text. Box shows the interquartile range (IQR), with the centre line being the median, whiskers show the minimum and maximum, circles show values which lie more than 1.5 IQR from the median.~~

~~Tropospheric ozone attributed to STT events, derived from ozonesonde measurements as described in the text.~~

~~We use simulated tropospheric ozone columns~~

The upper panels in figures 13-15 show the factors I, P, and Ω_{O_3} which are used along with the assumed event lifetime to estimate the STT flux. The tropospheric ozone and area of our region is calculated using the output and surface area from

Tropospheric ozone due to STT over [79S, 53E, 59S, 103E]

$$\Delta\Omega_{O_3} = \Omega_{O_3} \times I \times P \times M$$

Figure 13. (Top) Tropospheric ozone, (I)mpact per event, and (P)robability of event detection per sonde launch, averaged over the region above Davis. The tropospheric ozone column Ω_{O_3} (black, left axis) is from GEOS-Chem, while the STT probability P (magenta, right axis) and impact I (teal, right axis) are from the ozonesonde measurements. The STT impact is multiplied by ten to better show the seasonality. (Bottom) Estimated contribution of STT to tropospheric ozone columns over the region, with uncertainty (shaded area) estimated as outlined in Sect. 6. The black line shows STT ozone flux if event lifetime is assumed to be two days, with dashed lines showing the range of flux estimation if we assumed events lasted from one day to one week.

Figure 14. As described in 13, for the region containing Macquarie Island.

GEOS-Chem ~~to extrapolate the ozonesonde-based estimates to the entire Southern Ocean region, defined here as 35° S-75° S to encompass our three measurement sites. To do so, we multiply the monthly likelihoods of STTs (fraction of ozonesonde releases for which an STT event was detected, per month, *f*) by the monthly mean fraction of the ozone column attributed to STT (as in Fig. 12, *I*) and by the monthly mean tropospheric ozone column over the Southern Ocean (from the GEOS-Chem multi-year mean, $\Omega_{SO_{O_3}}$), expressed simply as Flux= $\Omega_{SO_{O_3}} \times f \times I$. The monthly values of each term in this equation are shown in Fig~~ over our three regions. The lower panel of these figures show the results of the calculation when we choose two days for our flux estimation, with dotted lines showing the range of flux calculated if we assume events last from one day to one week. The seasonal cycle of ozone flux is mostly driven by the *P* term, which peaks in the SH summer over all three sites. ~~?? (upper panel) . A more spatially resolved estimate could be determined by dividing the Southern Ocean region into longitudinal and latitudinal bins for calculating the average $\Omega_{SO_{O_3}}$ from GEOS-Chem, applying latitudinal gradients to *f*~~ The uncertainty (shaded) is quite high, on the order of 100% (see Sect. 6.2), this level of uncertainty means we do not attempt to draw conclusions about the seasonal quantities of STT ozone flux, but calculate the annual amount based on the sum of the monthly values. The regions over Davis, Macquarie Island, and ~~*I* based on their values at the three sonde release sites, and~~

24

Tropospheric ozone due to STT over [48S, 134E, 28S, 156E]

Ozone flux from STTs

$$\Delta\Omega_{O_3} = \Omega_{O_3} \times I \times P \times M$$

Figure 15. As described in 13, for the region containing Melbourne.

~~adding longitudinal variability due to seasonal stratospheric wind jet streams (Baray et al., 2012; Škerlak et al., 2015) , but this is beyond the scope of this work and in any case would not address the limitations of the estimate provided below.~~ Melbourne have estimated STT ozone contributions of $\sim 5.7 \times 10^{17}$, $\sim 5.7 \times 10^{17}$, and $\sim 8.7 \times 10^{17}$ molecules cm^{-2} a^{-1} respectively, or equivalently $\sim 2.0, 2.1,$ and 3.3 Tg a^{-1}.

5.3 Comparison to literature

Škerlak et al. (2014) show an estimate of roughly 40 to 150 kg km^{-2} month^{-1} in these regions, over all seasons (see Fig. 16, 17 in their publication) while we estimate from 0 to 180 kg km^{-2} month^{-1} STT impact, following a seasonal cycle with the maximum in austral summer. We estimate higher maximum flux over Melbourne, (178, and 150 kg km^{-2} month^{-1} in January and February) than in either Davis (89 kg km^{-2} month^{-1} in March) or Macquarie Island (68 kg km^{-2} month^{-1} in January). Our calculated seasonal contributions, along with total uncertainty are shown in Table 3.

~~Figure ?? (lower panel) shows the resulting extrapolated monthly mean ozone flux from STT events over the Southern Ocean. Previous studies~~

Table 3. Seasonal STT ozone contribution in the regions near each site, in kg km^{-2} month^{-1}. In parentheses are the relative uncertainties.

Region	DJF	MAM	JJA	SON
Davis	54.5(102%)	47.7(97%)	30.7(114%)	18.8(127%)
Macquarie Island	61.3(85%)	70.7(91%)	17.9(139%)	7.7(229%)
Melbourne	96.7(103%)	88.6(89%)	26.7(102%)	21.4(109%)

This result disagrees with several other studies which have found STT ozone fluxes in the SH ~~extratropics~~ extra-tropics are largest from autumn or winter to early spring ~~(Olsen, 2003; Liu et al., 2016) . At this time of year, we find the highest tropospheric Ω_{O3} but a relatively low STT flux due to reduced event frequency (Fig. ??). Our results suggest instead~~. Roelofs and Lelieveld (a model with a stratospheric ozone tracer to estimate STT impacts, they see higher SH tropospheric ozone concentrations, as well as STT flux, in the SH winter. Our model also shows ozone column amounts peaking in winter, however flux is maximised in summer due to our detected event frequencies. Elbern et al. (1998) examine STT using ECMWF data for prior to 1996, using PV and Q-vectors to determine STT frequency and strength, and suggest fewer fold events in the SH occur from December to February. Olsen (2003) used PV and winds from the GEOS reanalysis combined with ozone measurements from the TOMS satellite to estimate that the ozone flux ~~associated with STT events (at least those due to tropopause folds) is largest in austral summer (December-March), primarily due to an increased frequency of STT detections during these months~~ between 30° S and 60° S 210 Tg yr^{-1}, with the maximum occurring over SH winter. Liu et al. (2016) model the upper tropospheric ozone and it's source (emissions/lightning/stratospheric) over the Atlantic ocean between 30° S and 45° S, and suggest that most of this is transported from the stratosphere from March to September, which is when the subtropical jet system is strongest.

The disagreements largely reflect the difference between point source based estimates and zonally averaged estimates, as the meteorological behaviour at our three sites is not the same as the system that dominates the southern hemisphere in general. As mentioned in Sect. 3, the maximum STT influx which occurs during SH winter is almost entirely due to the dominant STT system which occurs annually over the southern Indian ocean and middle of Australia. It is ~~possible that our estimated event frequencies are too low in late winter-early spring as some legitimate STT events may have been excluded due to coincident smoke plumes.~~ difficult to compare remote ozonesonde datasets with area averaged models or re-analyses based on non-co-located measurements (such as ERA).

~~(Top) The three quantities used to calculate the total Southern Ocean ozone flux from STT events. The tropospheric ozone column $\Omega_{SO_{O_3}}$ (black, left axis) is from GEOS-Chem, while the STT fraction f (magenta, right axis) and Impact I (teal, right axis) are from the ozonesonde measurements. The STT impact is multiplied by 3 to better show the seasonality. (Bottom) Estimated contribution of STT to tropospheric ozone columns over the Southern Ocean.~~

6 Sensitivities and limitations

6.1 Event detection

Our method uses several subjectively defined quantities in the process of STT event detection. Here we briefly discuss these quantities and the sensitivity of the method to each. Using the algorithm discussed in Sect. 2.3, we detect 46 events at Davis, 47 (+8 fire influenced) events at Macquarie Island, and 73 (+14 fire influenced) events at Melbourne.

20 ~~Summing the monthly estimated fluxes shown in Fig. ?? over the year, we find from this estimate that STT events may be responsible for at least 3.1×10^{16} molecules cm^{-2} yr^{-1} of the tropospheric ozone over the Southern Ocean, equivalent to 2.48 Tg yr^{-1}. Our estimate is smaller than expected from prior work that suggests global gross STT fluxes of 550 Tg yr^{-1} (Stevenson et al., 2006) and net downward STT fluxes of 75 Tg yr^{-1} (Sprenger et al., 2003) . Our value would suggest only ~3.3of this global net downward flux can be attributed to STT events in~~ The cut-off threshold (defined separately for each site)

25 is determined from the ~~Southern Ocean region, a contribution that is likely too low. This result is only marginally sensitive to the latitude range chosen to represent the Southern Ocean (35° S-75° S): changing this range by~~ 95th percentile of the ozone perturbation profiles between 2 km above the earth's surface and 1 km below the tropopause. We use the 95th percentile because at this point the filter locates clear events with fewer than ~~5° in either direction at either end of the range changes $\Omega_{SO_{O_3}}$ by -7 to +~~% obvious false positive detections. Event detection is sensitive to this choice; for example, using the 96th,

30 and 97th percentile instead decreased detected events by 2, 9 (2,10%) at Davis, 13, ~~with even smaller impacts on the overall flux . As discussed in~~ 31 (11, 28%) at Macquarie Island, and 8, 24 (6, 18%) at Melbourne. Event detection is therefore also sensitive to the range over which the percentile is calculated (2 km above the earth's surface to 1 km below the tropopause). This range was chosen to remove anomalous edge effects of the Fourier bandpass filter and to discount the highly variable ozone concentration which occurs near the tropopause.

Ozone enhancements are only considered STT events if they occur above 4 km and below 500 m below the tropopause. This range removes possible ground pollution and events not sufficiently separated from the stratosphere, while still capturing many well-defined events that occur within 1 km of the tropopause. An example of a well-defined event that occurs within 1 km of

5 the tropopause is shown in the supplementary (Fig. TODO: hard-code reference here). However STT events which reach below 4 km are possible and we may have some false negative detections due to the altitude restricted detections.

The event detection was less sensitive to the choice of Fourier bandpass scales: widening the allowed scales from the range 0.5-5.0 km to 0.4-5.1 km increased the detected events by 3 at Melbourne and 2 at Macquarie Island. Meanwhile, 2 fewer events were detected at Davis because the change to bandpass scales resulted in an increase in the threshold value calculated

10 from the perturbed profiles (removing some detected events which were no longer larger than the new threshold).

Overall, the method used here to detect STTs is conservative, and more events could be classified by changing some the parameters in the detection algorithm; however, this would increase the chance of misdiagnosis. For instance; when relaxing the ozone perturbation threshold to 98% several events are detected at each site which were not clear events (determined visually).

15 **6.2 Flux calculations**

Flux is calculated as $I \times P \times M \times \Omega_{O_3}$, with each term calculated as described in Sect. 5.1. The uncertainty is determined using the standard deviation of the product, with variance calculated using the variance of a product formula, assuming that

each of our terms is independent:

$$var(\Pi_i X_i) = \Pi_i(var(X_i) + E(X_i)^2) - (\Pi_i E(X_i))^2$$

20 The standard deviations for the I and Ω_{O_3} terms are calculated over the entire dataset. These terms are considered to be homoskedastic (unchanging variance over time). Uncertainty in assumed event lifetime is set at 50%, as we believe it is reasonable to expect events to last 1-3 days. P is the probability of any ozonesonde detecting an event, and is assumed to be constant (for any month). The overall uncertainty as a percentage is shown in parentheses in Table 3, these values are on the order of 100%, largely due to relative uncertainty in the I factor which ranges from 50-120% for each month.

25 Small changes in the region don't have a large affect on the per area flux calculations, with an increasing or decreasing the regions by 1° on each side ($\sim 10\%$ change in area) changing the resulting flux by $\sim 1\%$ Kg cm^{-2}. However due to the large portion of winter STT events being flagged due to potential smoke plume influence, a significant change in the yearly flux is seen when we don't remove these events. Without removing smoke flagged events we see an increase in estimated yearly flux of $\sim 1.1, 2.1 \times 10^{17}$ molecules cm^{-2} yr^{-1} (which is a change of $\sim 15, 20\%$), over Macquarie Island and Melbourne respectively.

30

Considering the I factor, as discussed in here and in Sect. 6, there are several uncertainties in our method that are likely to lead to a low bias~~in the overall extrapolated flux, including a~~, such as the conservative estimate of flux within each event~~and a process that excludes potential events which are too close to the tropopause or to transported smoke plumes.~~

~~Our estimate of the fraction of tropospheric ozone from each STT event (I) is therefore almost certainly biased low, perhaps by a significant amount. Using a global CTM with a stratospheric ozone tracer, Terao et al. (2008) estimated that this number was closer~~. Although there is little available data on SH ozone events for us to compare against, consider Terao et al. (2008),

5 who estimated that up to 30–40% ~~, at least for the ozone mixing ratio~~ of the ozone at 500 hPa was transported from the stratosphere, in the northern hemisphere. ~~If we we assume a fractional ozone impact due to each event STT event of I=35~~

Other possibly important uncertainties in our calculation of STT flux which we don't cover are listed here. Filtering events which occur within 500 m of the tropopause may also lead to more false negatives. This could also cause lower impact estimates due to only measuring ozone enhancements which have descended and potentially slightly dissipated. On the other

10 hand we have no measure of how often or likely the detached ozone intrusion reascends into the stratosphere, which would lead to a reduced stratospheric impact. The estimated tropospheric ozone columns modelled by GEOS-Chem may be biased, for instance Hu et al. (2017) suggest that in general GEOS-Chem (with GEOS-5 met. fields) underestimates STT, with ~ 360 Tg a^{-1} simulated globally, compared to ~ 550 Tg a^{-1} observationally constrained. Transport uncertainty is very difficult to estimate with the disparate point measurements; it's possible that detected events are (at least partially) advected out of the

15 analysis regions, which would mean we overestimate the influx into the region, and it is also possible that we are influenced by STT events outside the regions of analysis. Uncertainty in event longevity is set to 50%~~based on their results (rather than our calculation of I=2-4) , our estimate of the net downward ozone STT flux from Southern Ocean STT events increases by an order of magnitude to ~ 29.5 Tg~~, however this is implies a very simplistic model of event lifetimes, a great deal of work could be done to properly model the regional event lifetimes however this is beyond the scope of our work.

Our STT event impact estimates have some sensitivity to our biomass burning filter: including smoke-influenced days increases the mean per area flux by 15-20%. Although events which are detected near fire smoke plumes are removed, some portion of these could be actual STTs. The change in our P parameter when we include potentially smoke influenced events leads to a yearly estimated STT of 11×10^{17} molecules cm^{-2} yr^{-1} ~~, equivalent to 39of the global net downward flux estimated by Sprenger et al. (2003)~~ over Melbourne, which suggests that up to 2.1×10^{17} molecules cm^{-2} yr^{-1} ozone enhancement could be caused by smoke plume transported precursors. This is a potential area for improvement, as a better method of determining smoke influenced columns would improve confidence in our estimate.

Uncertainties in STT ozone flux detection are also quite large ($\sim 100\%$), and could be directly improved with larger or longer datasets. Possible parameterisations and an improved model of event lifetime could also improve the confidence in our estimate of event impacts, as well as allowing fewer assumptions. The simple assumptions made in order to achieve an estimate of ozone flux are not feasible over larger areas, however as a preliminary or constraining estimate for a region with few measurements we believe they add to the discussion.

7 Conclusions

Stratosphere-to-troposphere transport (STT) can be a major source of ozone to the remote free troposphere, but the occurrence and influence of STT events remains poorly quantified in the southern ~~hemisphere (SH) extratropics~~extra-tropics. Ozonesonde observations in the SH provide a satellite-independent quantification of the frequency of STT events, as well as an estimate of their impact and source. Using almost ten years of ozonesonde profiles over the southern high latitudes, we have quantified the frequency, seasonality, and altitude distributions of STT events in the SH ~~extratropics~~extra-tropics. By combining this information with ozone column estimates from a global chemical transport model, we provided a first, conservative estimate of the influence of STT events on tropospheric ozone over the Southern Ocean.

Our method involved applying a Fourier bandpass filter to the measured ozone profiles to determine STT event occurrence and strength. The filter removed seasonal influences and allowed clear detection of ozone-enhanced tongues of air in the troposphere. By setting empirically-derived ~~threshholds~~thresholds, this method clearly distinguished tropospheric ozone enhancements that are separated from the stratosphere. Our method is sensitive to various parameters involved in the calculation; however, for our sites we saw no false positive detections of STT events.

Detected STT events at three sites spanning the SH extra-tropics (38°S, 55°S, and 69°S) showed a distinct seasonal cycle. All three sites displayed a summer (DJF) maximum and winter (JJA) minimum, although the seasonal amplitude was less apparent at the Antarctic site (Davis) as events were also detected regularly in winter and spring (likely due to polar jet stream-caused turbulence). ~~Comparison with~~ Analysis of ERA-Interim reanalysis data suggested the majority of events were caused by turbulent weather in the upper troposphere due to low pressure fronts, followed by cut-off low pressure systems. Comparison of ozonesonde-measured ozone profiles against those simulated by the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model showed the model is able to reproduce seasonal features but does not have sufficient vertical resolution to distinguish STT events.

By combining the simulated tropospheric column ozone from GEOS-Chem with ozonesonde-derived STT estimates, we ~~provided~~ provide a first estimate of the total contribution of STT events to tropospheric ozone ~~over the Southern Ocean~~in these southern extra-tropical regions. We conservatively estimated that the ozone enhancement due to STT events ~~at~~near our three sites ranges from ~~$1\text{-}6 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm~~300-570 kg km^{-2} ~~. This method implies that STT events can only explain ~3of the global net downward flux of ozone, avalue that is likely too low. Replacing the sonde-derived estimate of the ozone fraction associated with each STT event with a value derived from a global model (Terao et al., 2008) increases this estimate by an order of magnitude.~~ a^{-1}, with seasonal maximum in SH summer.

Estimating STT flux using ozonesonde data alone remains challenging; however, the very high vertical resolution provided by ozonesondes means they are capable of detecting STT events that models, ~~reanalyses~~re-analyses, and satellites may not. Further work is needed to more accurately translate these ozonesonde measurements into STT ozone fluxes, particularly in the SH where data are sparse and STT is likely to be a major contributor to upper tropsopheric ozone in some regions. More frequent ozonesonde releases at SH sites ~~would~~ could facilitate development of better STT flux estimates for this region.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data availability. All GEOS-Chem model output and the ozonesonde observational data are available from the authors upon request.

References

Akritidis, D., Pozzer, A., Zanis, P., Tyrlis, E., Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M., and Lelieveld, J.: On the role of tropopause folds in summertime tropospheric ozone over the eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 16, 14 025–14 039, doi:10.5194/acp-16-14025-2016, www.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/14025/2016/, 2016.

Alexander, S. P., Murphy, D. J., and Klekociuk, A. R.: High resolution VHF radar measurements of tropopause structure and variability at Davis, Antarctica (69S, 78E), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 3121–3132, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3121-2013, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/3121/2013/, 2013.

Baray, J. L., Daniel, V., Ancellet, G., and Legras, B.: Planetary-scale tropopause folds in the southern subtropics, Geophysical Research Letters, 27, 353–356, doi:10.1029/1999GL010788, 2000.

Baray, J.-L., Duflot, V., Posny, F., Cammas, J.-P., Thompson, A. M., Gabarrot, F., Bonne, J.-L., and Zeng, G.: One year ozonesonde measurements at Kerguelen Island (49.2S, 70.1E): Influence of stratosphere-to-troposphere exchange and long-range transport of biomass burning plumes, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 117, doi:10.1029/2011JD016717, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016717, 2012.

Beekmann, M., Ancellet, G., Blonsky, S., De Muer, D., Ebel, A., Elbern, H., Hendricks, J., Kowol, J., Mancier, C., Sladkovic, R., Smit, H. G. J., Speth, P., Trickl, T., and Van Haver, P.: Regional and global tropopause fold occurrence and related ozone flux across the tropopause, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 28, 29–44, doi:10.1023/A:1005897314623, 1997.

Bethan, S., Vaughan, G., and Reid, S. J.: A comparison of ozone and thermal tropopause heights and the impact of tropopause definition on quantifying the ozone content of the troposphere, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 122, 929–944, doi:10.1002/qj.49712253207, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/qj.49712253207, 1996.

Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q.-B., Liu, H.-Y., Mickley, L. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global Modeling of Tropospheric Chemistry with Assimilated Meteorology: Model Description and Evaluation, Journal of Geophysical Research, 106, 73–95, doi:10.1029/2001JD000807, 2001.

Cooper, O., Forster, C., Parrish, D., Dunlea, E., Hübler, G., Fehsenfeld, F., Holloway, J., Oltmans, S., Johnson, B., Wimmers, A., and Horowitz, L.: On the life cycle of a stratospheric intrusion and its dispersion into polluted warm conveyor belts, Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres, 109, 1–18, doi:10.1029/2003JD004006, 2004.

Danielsen, E. F.: Stratospheric-Tropospheric Exchange Based on Radioactivity, Ozone and Potential Vorticity, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1968)025<0502:STEBOR>2.0.CO;2, 1968.

Das, S. S., Ratnam, M. V., Uma, K. N., Subrahmanyam, K. V., and Girach, I. A.: Influence of tropical cyclones on tropospheric ozone : possible implication, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (Discussions), 15, 19 305–19 323, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-19305-2015, 2016.

Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J.-N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137, 553–597, doi:10.1002/qj.828, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qj.828, 2011.

Eastham, S. D., Weisenstein, D. K., and Barrett, S. R. H.: Development and evaluation of the unified tropospheric-stratospheric chemistry extension (UCX) for the global chemistry-transport model GEOS-Chem, Atmospheric Environment, 89, 52–63, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.02.001, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.02.001, 2014.

5 Edwards, D. P.: Tropospheric ozone over the tropical Atlantic: A satellite perspective, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, 4237, doi:10.1029/2002JD002927, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2002JD002927, 2003.

Edwards, D. P., Emmons, L. K., Gille, J. C., Chu, A., Attié, J. L., Giglio, L., Wood, S. W., Haywood, J., Deeter, M. N., Massie, S. T., Ziskin, D. C., and Drummond, J. R.: Satellite-observed pollution from Southern Hemisphere biomass burning, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 111, 1–17, doi:10.1029/2005JD006655, 2006.

10 Elbern, H., Hendricks, J., and Ebel, A.: A Climatology of Tropopause Folds by Global Analyses, Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 59, 181–200, doi:10.1007/s007040050023, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s007040050023, 1998.

Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and Dorland, R. V.: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate

15 Change[Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Man, https://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch2.html, 2007.

Frey, W., Schofield, R., Hoor, P., Kunkel, D., Ravegnani, F., Ulanovsky, a., Viciani, S., D'Amato, F., and Lane, T. P.: The impact of overshooting deep convection on local transport and mixing in the tropical upper troposphere/lower stratosphere (UTLS), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 6467–6486, doi:10.5194/acp-15-6467-2015, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/6467/2015/, 2015.

Galani, E.: Observations of stratosphere-to-troposphere transport events over the eastern Mediterranean using a ground-based lidar system,

20 Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, 1–10, doi:10.1029/2002JD002596, http://www.agu.org/pubs/crossref/2003/2002JD002596.shtml, 2003.

Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T., and Van Der Werf, G. R.: Analysis of daily, monthly, and annual burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database (GFED4), Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 118, 317–328, doi:10.1002/jgrg.20042, 2013.

Gloudemans, A., De Laat, J., Krol, M., Meirink, J. F., Van Der Werf, G., Schrijver, H., and Aben, I.: Evidence for long-range transport of

25 carbon monoxide in the Southern Hemisphere from SCIAMACHY observations, European Space Agency, (Special Publication) ESA SP, 33, 1–5, doi:10.1029/2006GL026804, 2007.

Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The model of emissions of gases and aerosols from nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): An extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geoscientific Model Development, 5, 1471–1492, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.

30 Hu, L., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., and Kim, P. S.: Global budget of tropospheric ozone: evaluating recent model advances with satellite (OMI), aircraft (IAGOS), and ozonesonde observations, Atmospheric Environment, pp. 1–36, 2017.

Jacobson, M. C. and Hansson, H.: Organic atmospheric aerosols: Review and state of the science, Reviews of Geophysics, pp. 267–294, doi:10.1029/1998RG000045, 2000.

Jaffe, D. a. and Wigder, N. L.: Ozone production from wildfires: A critical review, Atmospheric Environment, 51, 1–10,

35 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.063, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.063, 2012.

Langford, A. O., Brioude, J., Cooper, O. R., Senff, C. J., Alvarez, R. J., Hardesty, R. M., Johnson, B. J., and Oltmans, S. J.: Stratospheric influence on surface ozone in the Los Angeles area during late spring and early summer of 2010, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 117, 1–17, doi:10.1029/2011JD016766, 2012.

Lefohn, A. S., Wernli, H., Shadwick, D., Limbach, S., Oltmans, S. J., and Shapiro, M.: The importance of stratospheric-tropospheric transport in affecting surface ozone concentrations in the western and northern tier of the United States, Atmospheric Environment, 45, 4845–4857, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.06.014, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.06.014, 2011.

Lelieveld, J. and Dentener, F. J.: What controls tropospheric ozone?, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105, 3531–3551, doi:10.1029/1999JD901011, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/1999JD901011, 2000.

Lelieveld, J., Hadjinicolaou, P., Cammas, J.-P., Pozzer, A., Hoor, P., and Jöckel, P.: Severe ozone air pollution in the Persian Gulf region, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 8, 17 739–17 762, doi:10.5194/acpd-8-17739-2008, 2009.

Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Cooper, O. R., Horowitz, L. W., Langford, A. O., Levy, H., Johnson, B. J., Naik, V., Oltmans, S. J., and Senff, C. J.: Springtime high surface ozone events over the western United States: Quantifying the role of stratospheric intrusions, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 117, 1–20, doi:10.1029/2012JD018151, 2012.

Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Langford, A. O., Oltmans, S. J., Tarasick, D., and Rieder, H. E.: Climate variability modulates western US ozone air quality in spring via deep stratospheric intrusions., Nature communications, 6, 7105, doi:10.1038/ncomms8105, http://www.nature.com/ncomms/2015/150512/ncomms8105/full/ncomms8105.html, 2015.

Liu, J., Rodriguez, J. M., Thompson, A. M., Logan, J. A., Douglass, A. R., Olsen, M. A., Steenrod, S. D., and Posny, F.: Origins of tropospheric ozone interannual variation over Réunion: A model investigation, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, pp. 1–19, doi:10.1002/2015JD023981, http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/2015JD023981/abstract, 2015.

Liu, J., Rodriguez, J. M., Steenrod, S. D., Douglass, A. R., Logan, J. A., Olsen, M., Wargan, K., and Ziemke, J.: Causes of interannual variability of tropospheric ozone over the Southern Ocean, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, pp. 1–46, doi:10.5194/ACP-2016-692, 2016.

Mari, C. H., Cailley, G., Corre, L., Saunois, M., E, A., L, J., Thouret, V., and Stohl, A.: Tracing biomass burning plumes from the Southern Hemisphere during the AMMA 2006 wet season experiment, Atmos, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8, 3951–3961, doi:10.5194/acpd-7-17339-2007, 2008.

Mihalikova, M., Kirkwood, S., Arnault, J., and Mikhaylova, D.: Observation of a tropopause fold by MARA VHF wind-profiler radar and ozonesonde at Wasa, Antarctica: comparison with ECMWF analysis and a WRF model simulation, Annales Geophysicae, 30, 1411–1421, doi:10.5194/angeo-30-1411-2012, http://www.ann-geophys.net/30/1411/2012/, 2012.

Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law, K. S., Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., von Schneidemesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O., and Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 8889–8973, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/8889/2015/, 2015.

Mze, N., Hauchecorne, A., Bencherif, H., Dalaudier, F., and Bertaux, J. L.: Climatology and comparison of ozone from ENVISAT/GOMOS and SHADOZ/balloon-sonde observations in the southern tropics, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 8025–8035, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8025-2010, 2010.

Olsen, M. a.: A comparison of Northern and Southern Hemisphere cross-tropopause ozone flux, Geophysical Research Letters, 30, 1412, doi:10.1029/2002GL016538, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2002GL016538, 2003.

Oltmans, J., Johnson, J., Harris, M., Bendura, J., Logan, A., and Tabuadravu, J.: Ozone in the Pacific tropical troposphere from ozonesonde observations, Journal of Geophysical Research, 106, 32 503–32 525, 2001.

Pak, B., Langenfelds, R., Young, S., Francey, R., Meyer, C., Kivlighon, L., Cooper, L., Dunse, B., Allison, C., Steele, L., Galbally, I., and Weeks, I.: Measurements of biomass burning influences in the troposphere over southeast Australia during the SAFARI 2000 dry season

campaign, Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres, 108, 1–10, doi:10.1029/2002JD002343, http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-0742322536&partnerID=40&md5=cafaeef03b948fb456696583ed3ab9a5, 2003.

Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., and Flannery, B. P.: Numerical Recipes in C (2Nd Ed.): The Art of Scientific Computing, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1992.

Price, J. D. and Vaughan, G.: The potential for stratosphere-troposphere exchange in cut-off-low systems, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 119, 343–365, doi:10.1002/qj.49711951007, http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/qj.49711951007/abstract, 1993.

Reutter, P., Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M., and Wernli, H.: Stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) in the vicinity of North Atlantic cyclones, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 10 939–10 953, doi:10.5194/acp-15-10939-2015, 2015.

Rienecker, M.: File Specification for GEOS-5 DAS Gridded Output, pp. 1–54, https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/products/documents/GEOS-5.1.0_File_Specification.pdf, 2007.

Roelofs, G. J. and Lelieveld, J.: Model study of the influence of cross-tropopause O3 transports on tropospheric O3 levels, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.49.issue1.3.x, 1997.

Sinha, P., Jaeglé, L., Hobbs, P. V., and Liang, Q.: Transport of biomass burning emissions from southern Africa, Journal of Geophysical Research, 109, D20 204, doi:10.1029/2004JD005044, 2004.

Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M., and Wernli, H.: A global climatology of stratosphere-troposphere exchange using the ERA-Interim data set from 1979 to 2011, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 913–937, doi:10.5194/acp-14-913-2014, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/14/913/2014/, 2014.

Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M., Pfahl, S., Tyrlis, E., and Wernli, H.: Tropopause folds in ERA-Interim: Global climatology and relation to extreme weather events, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 120, 4860–4877, doi:10.1002/2014JD022787, 2015.

Smit, H. G. J., Straeter, W., Johnson, B. J., Oltmans, S. J., Davies, J., Tarasick, D. W., Hoegger, B., Stubi, R., Schmidlin, F. J., Northam, T., Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., Boyd, I., and Posny, F.: Assessment of the performance of ECC-ozonesondes under quasi-flight conditions in the environmental simulation chamber: Insights from the Juelich Ozone Sonde Intercomparison Experiment (JOSIE), Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 112, 1–18, doi:10.1029/2006JD007308, 2007.

Sprenger, M., Croci Maspoli, M., and Wernli, H.: Tropopause folds and cross-tropopause exchange: A global investigation based upon ECMWF analyses for the time period March 2000 to February 2001, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, n/a—-n/a, doi:10.1029/2002JD002587, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002587, 2003.

Stevenson, D. S., Dentener, F. J., Schultz, M. G., Ellingsen, K., van Noije, T. P. C., Wild, O., Zeng, G., Amann, M., Atherton, C. S., Bell, N., Bergmann, D. J., Bey, I., Butler, T., Cofala, J., Collins, W. J., Derwent, R. G., Doherty, R. M., Drevet, J., Eskes, H. J., Fiore, A. M., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D. A., Horowitz, L. W., Isaksen, I. S. A., Krol, M. C., Lamarque, J.-F., Lawrence, M. G., Montanaro, V., Müller, J.-F., Pitari, G., Prather, M. J., Pyle, J. A., Rast, S., Rodriguez, J. M., Sanderson, M. G., Savage, N. H., Shindell, D. T., Strahan, S. E., Sudo, K., and Szopa, S.: Multimodel ensemble simulations of present-day and near-future tropospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 111, doi:10.1029/2005jd006338, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006338, 2006.

Stevenson, D. S., Young, P. J., Naik, V., Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Voulgarakis, a., Skeie, R. B., Dalsoren, S. B., Myhre, G., Berntsen, T. K., Folberth, G. a., Rumbold, S. T., Collins, W. J., MacKenzie, I. a., Doherty, R. M., Zeng, G., Van Noije, T. P. C., Strunk, a., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Plummer, D. a., Strode, S. a., Horowitz, L., Lee, Y. H., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Josse, B., Cionni, I., Righi, M., Eyring, V., Conley, a., Bowman, K. W., Wild, O., and Archibald, a.: Tropospheric ozone changes, radiative forcing and

attribution to emissions in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 3063–3085, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3063-2013, 2013.

Stohl, A., Wernli, H., James, P., Bourqui, M., Forster, C., Liniger, M. A., Seibert, P., and Sprenger, M.: A new perspective of stratosphere-troposphere exchange, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 84, 1565–1573+1473, doi:10.1175/BAMS-84-11-1565, 2003.

Struthers, H., Kreher, K., Austin, J., Schofield, R., Bodeker, G., Johnston, P., Shiona, H., and Thomas, A.: Past and future simulations of NO2 from a coupled chemistry-climate model in comparison with observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 4, 2227–2239, doi:10.5194/acp-4-2227-2004, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/4/2227/2004/, 2004.

Tang, Q. and Prather, M. J.: Correlating tropospheric column ozone with tropopause folds: The Aura-OMI satellite data, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 9681–9688, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9681-2010, 2010.

Tang, Q. and Prather, M. J.: Five blind men and an elephant: can NASA Aura measurements quantify the stratosphere-troposphere exchange of ozone flux?, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 2357–2380, doi:10.5194/acp-12-2357-2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acpd-11-26897-2011, 2012.

Terao, Y., Logan, J. A., Douglass, A. R., and Stolarski, R. S.: Contribution of stratospheric ozone to the interannual variability of tropospheric ozone in the northern extratropics, J. Geophys. Res., 113, doi:10.1029/2008jd009854, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008jd009854, 2008.

Texeira, J.: AIRS/Aqua L3 Daily Standard Physical Retrieval (AIRS-only) 1 degree x 1 degree V006: Accessed 2/Dec/2015, doi:doi:10.5067/AQUA/AIRS/DATA303, 2013.

Thompson, A. M., Balashov, N. V., Witte, J. C., Coetzee, J. G. R., Thouret, V., and Posny, F.: Tropospheric ozone increases over the southern Africa region: Bellwether for rapid growth in Southern Hemisphere pollution?, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 9855–9869, doi:10.5194/acp-14-9855-2014, 2014.

Tomikawa, Y., Nishimura, Y., and Yamanouchi, T.: Characteristics of Tropopause and Tropopause Inversion Layer in the Polar Region, SOLA, 5, 141–144, doi:10.2151/sola.2009-036, http://dx.doi.org/10.2151/sola.2009-036, 2009.

Trickl, T., Vogelmann, H., Giehl, H., Scheel, H. E., Sprenger, M., and Stohl, A.: How stratospheric are deep stratospheric intrusions?, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 9941–9961, doi:10.5194/acp-14-9941-2014, 2014.

Tyrlis, E., Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M., Wernli, H., Zittis, G., and Lelieveld, J.: On the linkage between the Asian summer monsoon and tropopause fold activity over the eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 3202–3221, doi:10.1002/2013JD021113, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2013JD021113, 2014.

Vaughan, G., Price, J. D., and Howells, A.: Transport into the troposphere in a tropopause fold, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 120, 1085–1103, doi:10.1002/qj.49712051814, 1993.

Wauben, W. M. F., Fortuin, J. P. F., and Velthoven, P. F. J. V.: Comparison of modeled ozone distributions observations, Journal of Geophysical Research, 103, 3511–3530, 1998.

Wirth, V.: Diabatic heating in an axisymmetric cut-off cyclone and related stratosphere-troposphere exchange, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 121, 127–147, doi:10.1002/qj.49712152107, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/qj.49712152107, 1995.

WMO, W. M. O.: Meteorology A Three-Dimensional Science, Geneva, Second Session of the Commission for Aerology, 4, 134–138, 1957.

Young, P. J., Naik, V., Voulgarakis, A., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Lamarque, J. F., Lin, M., Prather, M. J., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G. A., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Van Noije, T. P. C., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R., Shindell, D. T., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Preindustrial to present-day changes in tropospheric hydroxyl radical and methane lifetime from the

Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 5277–5298, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5277-2013, 2013.

Zanis, P., Hadjinicolaou, P., Pozzer, A., Tyrlis, E., Dafka, S., Mihalopoulos, N., and Lelieveld, J.: Summertime free-tropospheric ozone pool over the eastern Mediterranean/middle east, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 115–132, doi:10.5194/acp-14-115-2014, 2014.

Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Yue, X., Downey, N. V., Wood, D. A., and Blewitt, D.: Sources contributing to background surface ozone in the US Intermountain West, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5295–5309, doi:10.5194/acp-14-5295-2014, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-5295-2014, 2014.