

### Question 1

**a. run python dtree4.py**

result:

The tree structure after learning from all example:

Pat 's children =====

None F

Full Hun

Some T

Hun 's children =====

T Type

F F

Type 's children =====

Burger T

Thai Fri

French T

Italian F

Fri 's children =====

T T

F F

Training Set Error Rate: 0.0

Now do LOOCV :

leave Example 1 out: real class - T ,by DTree - T

leave Example 2 out: real class - F ,by DTree - T

leave Example 3 out: real class - T ,by DTree - T

leave Example 4 out: real class - T ,by DTree - F

leave Example 5 out: real class - F ,by DTree - T

leave Example 6 out: real class - T ,by DTree - T

leave Example 7 out: real class - F ,by DTree - F

leave Example 8 out: real class - T ,by DTree - T

leave Example 9 out: real class - F ,by DTree - T

leave Example 10 out: real class - F ,by DTree - T

leave Example 11 out: real class - F ,by DTree - F

leave Example 12 out: real class - T ,by DTree - F

LOOCV Error Rate: 0.5

**b. run python dlist2.py**

result:

Pat Some, ==> T , otherwise

```
Hun F, ==> F , otherwise
Type Italian, ==> F , otherwise
Fri F, ==> F , otherwise
Alt T, ==> T , otherwise
const F, ==> F , otherwise
```

now test training dataset...

```
Example 1 real cls: T by dlist: T
Example 2 real cls: F by dlist: F
Example 3 real cls: T by dlist: T
Example 4 real cls: T by dlist: T
Example 5 real cls: F by dlist: F
Example 6 real cls: T by dlist: T
Example 7 real cls: F by dlist: F
Example 8 real cls: T by dlist: T
Example 9 real cls: F by dlist: F
Example 10 real cls: F by dlist: F
Example 11 real cls: F by dlist: F
Example 12 real cls: T by dlist: T
Training data error rate: 0.0
```

now LOOCV test...

```
Example 1 real cls: T by dlist when leave 1 out: T
Example 2 real cls: F by dlist when leave 2 out: T
Example 3 real cls: T by dlist when leave 3 out: T
Example 4 real cls: T by dlist when leave 4 out: T
Example 5 real cls: F by dlist when leave 5 out: T
Example 6 real cls: T by dlist when leave 6 out: T
Example 7 real cls: F by dlist when leave 7 out: F
Example 8 real cls: T by dlist when leave 8 out: T
Example 9 real cls: F by dlist when leave 9 out: T
Example 10 real cls: F by dlist when leave 10 out: T
Example 11 real cls: F by dlist when leave 11 out: F
Example 12 real cls: T by dlist when leave 12 out: F
LOOCV error rate: 0.416666666667
```

### c. run `python perceptron.py`

result:

```
Example 0 True class: T , classified by NN: T
Example 1 True class: F , classified by NN: F
Example 2 True class: T , classified by NN: T
Example 3 True class: T , classified by NN: T
Example 4 True class: F , classified by NN: F
Example 5 True class: T , classified by NN: T
Example 6 True class: F , classified by NN: F
Example 7 True class: T , classified by NN: T
```

Example 8 True class: F , classified by NN: F  
 Example 9 True class: F , classified by NN: F  
 Example 10 True class: F , classified by NN: F  
 Example 11 True class: T , classified by NN: T  
 training dataset error rate: 0.0

Now do LOOCV ...

Example 0 True class: T , classified by NN (leave Example 0 out): F  
 Example 1 True class: F , classified by NN (leave Example 1 out): T  
 Example 2 True class: T , classified by NN (leave Example 2 out): F  
 Example 3 True class: T , classified by NN (leave Example 3 out): F  
 Example 4 True class: F , classified by NN (leave Example 4 out): F  
 Example 5 True class: T , classified by NN (leave Example 5 out): T  
 Example 6 True class: F , classified by NN (leave Example 6 out): T  
 Example 7 True class: T , classified by NN (leave Example 7 out): F  
 Example 8 True class: F , classified by NN (leave Example 8 out): F  
 Example 9 True class: F , classified by NN (leave Example 9 out): T  
 Example 10 True class: F , classified by NN (leave Example 10 out): F  
 Example 11 True class: T , classified by NN (leave Example 11 out): F  
 LOOCV error rate: 0.666666666667

## Question 2

a. To show this, we just need to show every hypothesis in  $(k\text{-DT}(n))$  could be represented as a hypothesis in  $(k\text{-DL}(n))$ .

**Proof:**

suppose  $h \in (k\text{-DT}(n))$ , for each leaf in  $h$ , say  $l_i$  there is a path from root to it, now we construct conjunction by the test-value pairs in the path, say  $c_i$ , suppose we have  $N$  leaves in  $h$ , then the following decision-list is equivalent to  $h$

C_1?	-No->	C_2?	-No->	C_3	-No->	...	-No->	C_N?	-No->	!L_N
yes		yes		yes				yes		
L_1		L_2		L_3				L_4		

b.  $k$  is a constant, for the  $k\text{-DT}(n)$ , consider the Full Binary Tree with depth  $k$ , there are at most  $m = 2^{k+1} - 1$  nodes, and each node has at most  $n$  choices, thus  $|k\text{-DT}(n)| = O(n^m)$   
 For  $\text{TLF}(n)$ , suppose  $n \gg m$ ,

**Claim:**

*For each  $x$  in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , there is a function in  $\text{TLF}(n)$  that can classify  $\{x\}$  and  $\{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{x\}$  (I will show this later)*

Since  $|\{0, 1\}^n| = 2^n$ , we know that  $|\text{TLF}(n)| = o(2^n)$ , thus  $|k\text{-DT}(n)| = O(n^m) = O(2^n)$  is smaller than  $|\text{TLF}(n)|$

Now come to prove the claim:

Give  $x$  in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , let  $w = x$ , let  $b = w^T x - \epsilon$  where  $1 \gg \epsilon > 0$ , now we can see that

$w^T x - b = \epsilon > 0$ , if  $y \neq x$ , then  $w^T y - b \leq -1 + \epsilon < 0$ , so we know that the claim is true since we can construct such a  $w$

### Question 3

suppose  $b_0$  is the  $(n+1)_{th}$  highest bid we can define the utility function as

$$(1) \quad u_i = \begin{cases} v_i - b_0 & b_i > b_0 \\ 0 & b_i \leq b_0 \end{cases}$$

consider  $b_0$  as a r.v. Now we consider the company  $i$ .

#### case 1:

$$E[u_i | \{b_0 \leq v_i\}] = P(\{b_i > b_0\} | \{b_0 \leq v_i\})(v_i - b_0)$$

note that  $(v_i - b_0) > 0$  and when  $b_i \leq v_i$ ,  $P(\{b_i > b_0\} | \{b_0 \leq v_i\})$  would increase when  $b_i$  increases.

when  $b_i > v_i$ ,  $P(\{b_i > b_0\} | \{b_0 < v_i\}) = P(\{v_i > b_0\} | \{b_0 < v_i\})$  so,  $b_i \geq v_i$  the best choice in this case.

#### case 2:

$$E[u_i | \{b_0 > v_i\}] = P(\{b_i > b_0\} | \{b_0 < v_i\})(v_i - b_0)$$

note that if  $b_i > v_i$ ,  $E[u_i | \{b_0 > v_i\}] < 0$ , otherwise,  $E[u_i | \{b_0 > v_i\}] = 0$ . so in this case  $b_i \leq v_i$  would be the best choices.

combine two cases,  $E[u_i] = P(\{b_0 > v_i\})E[u_i | \{b_0 > v_i\}] + P(\{b_0 \leq v_i\})E[u_i | \{b_0 \leq v_i\}]$  we know that  $b_i = v_i$  is the optimal bid.

**b.** (i) is true, (ii) is absolutely wrong.

Suppose  $b_0$  is the  $(n+1)_{th}$  highest bid when company C only put one bid. assume  $b_0 \ll v$ , in this case, it would make profit of  $v - b_0$

Now if it put two bids as described, it is possible that  $v - \epsilon$  may become the  $(n+1)_{th}$  highest bid, then in this case, C only makes profit of  $\epsilon$ , which is much smaller than  $v - b_0$ .

### Question 4

**a.**

$$f_1(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - W^T x_i)^2$$

let  $p \in (0, 1), q = (1 - p)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(pW_1 + qW_2) &= \sum (y_i - (pW_1 + qW_2)^T x_i)^2 \\
 &= \sum (p\Delta_{1i} + q\Delta_{2i})^2 \\
 &= \sum (p^2\Delta_{1i}^2 + q^2\Delta_{2i}^2 + 2pq\Delta_{1i}\Delta_{2i}) \\
 &\leq \sum [p^2\Delta_{1i}^2 + q^2\Delta_{2i}^2 + pq(\Delta_{1i}^2 + \Delta_{2i}^2)] \\
 &= \sum (p\Delta_{1i}^2 + q\Delta_{2i}^2) \\
 &= pf_1(W_1) + qf_1(W_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

here  $\Delta_{ki} = y_i - W_k^T x_i$ , so  $f_1(W)$  is a convex function by the definition.

**b.** Note that if  $k(x), g(x)$  are both convex functions, then so is  $(f + g)(x)$ . So we only need to prove  $g(w) = \|W\|_1$  is a convex function.

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(pW_1 + qW_2) &= \|pW_1 + qW_2\|_1 \\
 &\leq \|pW_1\|_1 + \|qW_2\|_1 \\
 &= p\|W_1\|_1 + q\|W_2\|_1 \\
 &= pg(W_1) + qg(W_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

So,  $g(W)$  is a convex function. So is  $f_2(W)$

### Question E.1

**a.** Suppose that experts only vote **Yes** or **No** Assume that we do not make decision when number of Yes is equal to number of No.

Let  $N$  be the number of correct votes, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{error} &= P(N \leq \lfloor \frac{M-1}{2} \rfloor) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{M-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{M}{i} (1-\epsilon)^i \epsilon^{M-i}
 \end{aligned}$$

**b.**

$\epsilon \setminus M$	5	10	20
0.1	0.00856	0.000146903	$7.088606e^{-7}$
0.2	0.05792	0.00636938	0.000563414
0.4	0.31744	0.166239	0.127521

**c.** Yes, it is possible, here is an example:

Suppose  $M = 3$  and  $\epsilon = 1/3$ . Suppose we have 6 examples.

e1: M1 and M2 are in error,

e2: M1 and M3 are in error,

e3: M2 and M3 are in error,

e4, e5, e6: None of the experts make error.

so e1,e2,e3 will be in error, and e4,e5,e6 will be predicted correctly.

the overall error rate  $1/2 > 1/3 = \epsilon$