





China 2023

Revolutionizing Kubernetes Logging: Structured Logs for Enhanced Monitoring

~ Naman Lakhwani

About Me!



- Final year University student
- Ex-Intern VMware
- Google summer of code student 2021 @CNCF (Thanos)
- Linux Foundation Mentee 2021 @Kubernetes
- GitHub: Namanl2001
- Twitter (X): <u>lakhwani jii</u>



~ Naman Lakhwani

Agenda



- Logging
- Structured Logging
 - Proposal
 - Goals/Non-Goals
 - Code examples
 - o why JSON structure?
 - Performance
- Contextual Logging
 - Use cases
 - Design decisions
 - Motivation
 - Goals/Non-Goals
 - Code examples

Logging



Kubernetes logging is a crucial aspect of managing containerized applications within a Kubernetes cluster.

It helps us monitor the health and performance of our applications, troubleshoot issues, and gain insights into what's happening within our cluster.

Log Sources: Kubernetes generates logs from various sources, including:

- Application Containers
- Kubernetes System Components
- Node-Level Logs

Logging



Log Aggregation: Aggregating logs from multiple sources and centralizing them in a single location simplifies log analysis and troubleshooting. This is often done using tools like Elasticsearch, which provides powerful searching and filtering capabilities.

Log Analysis and Visualization: Analyze logs to identify issues, troubleshoot problems, and gain insights into application behavior.

Alerting and Monitoring: Set up alerting rules to trigger notifications when specific log events or patterns are detected. Tools like Prometheus and Grafana are often used for monitoring and alerting in Kubernetes clusters.

Logging



Log Formatting: Containers typically generate logs in various formats, such as JSON, plain text, or structured data. It's essential to have a consistent log format to make log parsing and analysis easier.

To have all these features like easier Log aggregation, analysing, alerting and monitoring, we need to have structured logging format.



Motivation: logging in the Kubernetes control plane didn't guarantee any uniform structure for log messages and references to Kubernetes objects in those logs.

which made parsing, processing, storing, querying and analyzing logs hard and forces administrators and developers to rely on ad-hoc solutions in most cases based on some regular expressions.

Due to those problems any analytical solution based on those logs was hard to implement and maintain.



Proposal

- Define standard structure for Kubernetes log messages
- Add methods to klog to enforce this structure
- Add ability to configure Kubernetes components to produce logs in JSON format



Goals:

- Make most common logs more queryable by standardizing log message and references to Kubernetes objects (Pods, Nodes etc.)
- Enforce log structure by introduction of new klog methods that could be used to generate structured logs.
- Simplify ingestion of logs into third party logging solutions by adding an option to output logs in the JSON format



Non-Goals:

- Not replacing currently used logging library (klog) or the way in which it is used
- Not doing structuring of all logs in Kubernetes, instead focusing on improving the querability of most common logs.



New Klog Methods: For each format method (Infof, Errorf) we added matching structured method (InfoS, ErrorS).

Declaration:

func InfoS(msg string, keysAndValues ...interface{})

Example:

klog.InfoS("Pod status updated", "pod", "kubedns", "status", "ready")

Result:

controller_utils.go:116] "Pod status updated" pod="kubedns" status="ready"



Declaration:

func ErrorS(err error, msg string, keysAndValues ...interface{})

Example:

klog.ErrorS(err, "Failed to update pod status")

Result:

controller_utils.go:114] "Failed to update pod status" err="timeout"



Log message structure

 There could be multiple ways of standardising the logging structure in Kubernetes, the one we agreed to implement in the KEP is to have following logging structure

```
<message> <key1>=<value1> <key2>=<value2> ...
```

```
e.g.
pod := corev1.Pod{Name: "kubedns", Namespace: "kube-system", ...}
klog.InfoS("Pod status updated", "pod", klog.KObj(pod), "status", "ready")
```



References to Kubernetes objects:

 The idea is to use k8s api first approach to get k8s objects and embed the object related information into the logs



Example:

```
pod := corev1.Pod{Name: "kubedns", Namespace: "kube-system", ...}
klog.InfoS("Pod status updated", "pod", klog.KObj(pod), "status", "ready")
```

AND

klog.InfoS("Pod status updated", "pod", klog.KRef("kube-system", "kubedns"), "status", "ready")

Will result in below log: controller_utils.go:116] "Pod status updated" pod="kube-system/kubedns" status="ready"



Introduce JSON output format in klog:

- Introduction of new methods to klog library to support JSON.
- With klog v2 we can take further advantage of this fact and add an option to produce structured logs in JSON format.

Some **pros** of using JSON:

- Broadly adopted by logging libraries with very efficient implementations.
- Out of the box support by many logging backends
- Easily parsable and transformable
- Existing tools for ad-hoc analysis (jq)



```
pod := corev1.Pod {Name: "kubedns", Namespace: "kube-system", ...}
klog.InfoS ("Pod status updated", "pod", klog.KObj(pod), "status", "ready")
 "ts": 1580306777.04728.
 "v": 4.
 "msg": "Pod status updated",
 "pod":{
  "name": "kubedns",
  "namespace": "kube-system"
  "status": "ready"
```



Logging performance with the new implementation. Performance is wrt to log volume and the performance impact.

logger	time [ns/op]	bytes[B/op]	allocations[alloc/op]
Text Infof	2252	248	3
Text InfoS	2455	280	3
JSON Infof	1406	19	1
JSON InfoS	319	67	1

- For text, InfoS implementation is 9% slower than Infof. Should not impact Kubernetes
 performance as logging takes less than 2% of overall CPU usage.
- For JSON, InfoS implementation is 77% faster than Infof



- Contextual logging is based on the go-logr API.
- The key idea is that libraries are passed a logger instance by their caller and use that for logging instead of accessing a global logger.
- The go-logr API is designed around structured logging and supports attaching additional information to a logger.



Use Cases:

- The caller can attach additional information to a logger:
 - WithName adds a prefix
 - WithValues adds key/value pairs

When passing this extended logger into a function that uses it instead of the global logger, without having to **modify** the code that generates the log entries.

When running unit tests, log output can be associated with the current test. Then
when a test fails, only the log output of the failed test gets shown by go test.



- Attach key/value pairs that get included in all log messages
- Add names that describe which component or operation triggered a log messages
- Reduce the amount of log messages emitted by a callee by changing the verbosity



Design decisions

- One of the design decisions for contextual logging was to allow attaching a logger as value to a context. Context.
- When a library supports contextual logging and retrieves a logger from its context, it will still work in a binary that does not initialize contextual logging because it will get a logger that logs through klog.



Motivation - User story:

kube-scheduler developer Joan wants to know which pod and which operation and scheduler plugin log messages are associated with.

When kube-scheduler starts processing a pod, it creates a new logger with logger. With Value ("pod", klog. KObj (pod)) and passes that around.

This adds a prefix to each log message, like for example NominatedPods/Filter/VolumeBinding



Goals:

- Remove direct log calls through the k8s.io/klog API and the hard dependency on the klog logging implementation from all packages.
- Grant the caller of a function control over logging inside that function.

Non-Goals:

- Remove the klog text output format.
- Deprecate klog.



Risks and Mitigations:

- Uninitialized logger
- Logging during initialization
- Performance overhead

logger can be passed into the function in one of two ways:

- as explicit parameter
- attached to a context.Context



Code example:

```
-func (g *genericScheduler) snapshot() error {
+func (g *genericScheduler) snapshot(logger klog.Logger) error {
```

```
if err := g.snapshot(); err != nil {
    logger := klog.FromContext(ctx)
    logger = klog.LoggerWithValues(logger, "pod", klog.KObj(pod))
    ctx = klog.NewContext(ctx, logger)
    if err := g.snapshot(logger); err != nil {
```



Output Results:

 Here is log output from kube-scheduler -v5 for a Pod with an inline volume which cannot be created because storage is exhausted:

Scheduler.go:436] "Attempting to schedule pod" pod="default/my-csi-app-inline-volume" binder.go:730] PreFilter/VolumeBinding: "PVC is not bound" pod="default/my-csi-app-inline-volume" pvc="default/my-csi-app-inline-volume"

 In the below log which is **not** converted to contextual logging It's not clear in which context that message gets emitted:

csi.go:222] "Persistent volume had no name for claim" PVC="default/my-csi-app-inline-volume-my-csi-volume"

Current Status



Structured logging is in GA

Contextual logging is ready to get promoted to Beta

https://github.com/kubernetes/enhancements/pull/4219

How to get involved?



- Join <u>#wg-structured-logging</u> channel on slack, Join the <u>mailing list</u>
- <u>Biweekly Meeting</u>: Thursdays at 15:30 British time
- Meeting notes and Agenda, Meeting recordings.
- Pick up migration issues or review PRs search for label wg-structured-logging.

References



- KEP-1602: Structured logging
- KEP-3077: contextual logging
- Structured and Contextual Logging migration instructions
- Kubernetes contributors blog: Contextual Logging





Thank

You!