

MA3E1 Groups and representations :: Lecture notes

Lecturer: Christian Ikenmeyer

6th June 2024

Contents

1	Reminders	1
1.1	Group action	1
1.2	Normal subgroup	2
1.3	Homomorphism	2
1.4	Dihedral group	2
1.5	Linear map	2
2	Group presentation	3
3	Representation	3
3.1	Matrix representation	3
3.2	Complex representations of C_n	4
4	Character: first encounter	5
4.1	Isomorphic representations have same character	5
4.2	Matrix of finite order	5
4.3	First properties of character	6
5	Linear representation and KG-module	6
5.1	Correspondence between matrix representations and linear representations	6
5.2	The regular representation	6
5.3	KG -module	7
6	Submodule and morphism	8
6.1	Submodule and reducibility	8
6.2	Reducible representation in terms of matrices	9
6.3	Permutation representation	9
6.4	Morphism	9
6.5	Schur's lemma	10
7	Maschke's theorem	10
7.1	Projection	10
7.2	Semisimplicity and complementary modules	11
7.3	Maschke's theorem	11
7.4	Orthogonality relations of characters	12
7.5	Decomposition of regular representation	14
7.5.1	The Wedderburn isomorphism	15
7.5.2	Character tables	17
7.6	The isotypic decomposition	17

8 Induced representation 18

9 An in-depth example: the symmetric group S_n 21

9.1 Young subgroup, tableau, tabloid 21

9.2 Dominance and lexicographic ordering 22

9.3 Specht module 23

9.4 The submodule theorem 25

9.5 Standard tableaux and basis for S^λ : linear independence 27

10 More examples 29

10.1 Alternating group A_4 29

10.2 Dihedral group 30

10.3 Quaternion group Q_8 31

1 Reminders

Definition 1.0.1. A *group* is ...

Example 1.0.2. • \mathbb{Z} with addition

- \mathbb{C}^\times with multiplication
- A subgroup of above: $\{g \in \mathbb{C} : g^n = 1\}$, the n th roots of unity ζ_n^i with $\zeta_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$. ζ_n^j is primitive if $\text{ord}(\zeta_n^j) = n$
- General linear group $GL_d(K)$
- A subgroup of above: special linear group $SL_d(K)$

Given G and $g \in G$, one can define the *cyclic* group generated by g , denoted $\langle g \rangle$, an abelian subgroup of G , of order $\text{ord}(g)$.

Recall *symmetric* group S_n and cycle notation; verify that $|S_n| = n!$; recall elements of S_n can be written as either even or odd number of transpositions (cycles of length 2) but not both, and *alternating* group A_n , a subgroup of S_n .

1.1 Group action

Definition 1.1.1. Let G be a group and X a set. A *left action* of G on X is a map $G \times X \rightarrow X : (g, x) \mapsto g * x$ which satisfies

1. $1_G * x = x \ \forall x \in X$
2. $(gh) * x = g * (h * x) \ \forall g, h \in G, x \in X$

Example 1.1.2. • $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $G = S_n$, $\pi * i := \pi(i)$

- $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $G = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, $A * v := Av$

Definition 1.1.3. For $x, y \in X$, write $x \sim y$ if $\exists g \in G : g * x = y$. This is an equivalence relation and an equivalence class of \sim is an *orbit*.

Example 1.1.4. $\text{orb}_{GL_n(\mathbb{R})}((1, 0, \dots, 0)) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\text{orb}_{GL_n(\mathbb{R})}(0) = \{0\}$, so there are exactly two orbits of 1.1.2.2.

Week 1, lecture 2 starts here

Definition 1.1.5. G acts *transitively* on X if there is only one orbit.

e.g. 1.1.2.1.

Definition 1.1.6. Define the *stabiliser* $\text{stab}_G(x) := \{g \in G : g * x = x\}$. This is a subgroup of G , sometimes called *symmetry group*.

Theorem 1.1.7 (Orbit–Stabiliser). For a finite G acting on X and $x \in X$,

$$|G| = |\text{orb}_G(x)| \cdot |\text{stab}_G(x)|.$$

Theorem 1.1.8. G acts on itself by conjugation ($G \times G \rightarrow G : g \cdot h = ghg^{-1}$). In this case, orbit is *conjugacy class* and stabiliser is *centraliser*. An obvious corollary then follows from O–S.

Example 1.1.9. If $G = S_n$, then the conjugacy classes correspond to cycle types (ordered list of lengths of cycles), since

$$\pi(a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_k)\pi^{-1} = (\pi(a_1) \ \pi(a_2) \ \dots \ \pi(a_k)).$$

1.2 Normal subgroup

Definition 1.2.1. A subgroup is *normal* if ...

Lemma 1.2.2. Let H be a subgroup of G . The following are equivalent.

1. H is normal in G
2. $gHg^{-1} = H \ \forall g \in G$ (definition)
3. $gH = Hg \ \forall g \in G$

Example 1.2.3. $SL_d(K) \trianglelefteq GL_d(K)$ by determinant product.

1.3 Homomorphism

Definition 1.3.1. A *group homomorphism* is ...

The *kernel* and *image* of a homomorphism are ...

Example 1.3.2. Consider $\phi : S_n \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ given by $\phi(e_i) = e_{\pi(i)}$, e.g.

$$\pi = (1 \ 2 \ 3), \quad \phi(\pi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Verify this is a group homomorphism and $\text{im}(\phi) = \{1, -1\}$. Since $GL_n(K) \rightarrow K^\times$ by taking determinant is also a homomorphism, one has

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & GL_n(K) \\ & \searrow \text{sgn} & \downarrow \det \\ & & K^\times \end{array}$$

where sign is a homomorphism and $\text{sgn}(\pi) \in \{1, -1\}$. In fact, $\text{sgn}(\pi) = 1$ if π is even and -1 if odd.

Week 1, lecture 3 starts here

Theorem 1.3.3 (1st isomorphism theorem). If $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism of groups, then

1. $\ker \phi \trianglelefteq G$
2. $\text{im} \phi \leq H$
3. $\hat{\phi} : G/\ker \phi \rightarrow \text{im} \phi : g\ker \phi \mapsto \phi(g)$ is a well defined isomorphism.

1.4 Dihedral group

Definition 1.4.1. $D_{2n} := \langle r, s \mid r^n = 1, s^2 = 1, srs^{-1} = r^{-1} \rangle$ is called the *dihedral group*.

It has two cyclic subgroups $\langle r \rangle \cong C_n, \langle s \rangle \cong C_2$.

1.5 Linear map

Definition 1.5.1. Let V, W be vector spaces over K . A map $T : V \rightarrow W$ is *linear* if

1. $T(\alpha v) = \alpha T(v) \ \forall \alpha \in K, v \in V$
2. $T(v + w) = T(v) + T(w) \ \forall v, w \in V$

Example 1.5.2. $A \in M_{m \times n}(K)$ gives a linear map $T_A : K^n \rightarrow K^m, T_A(v) = Av$.

Theorem 1.5.3 (Rank-nullity). If V is finite dimensional and $T : V \rightarrow W$ a linear map, then

$$\dim V = \dim \ker T + \dim \text{im} T.$$

Corollary 1.5.4. If V is finite dimensional and $T : V \rightarrow V$ a linear map, then the following are equivalent.

1. T is injective
2. T is surjective
3. T is an isomorphism

Notation. $GL(V) := \{T : V \rightarrow V \text{ isomorphism}\}$. This is a group.

If $V = K^n$ then $GL(V) \cong GL_n(K)$.

2 Group presentation

In general, a group can be given uniquely (*presented*) by $\langle S \mid R \rangle$ where S is a set of symbols and R relations. If $\exists S, R$ that are finite then G is *finitely presented*.

Example 2.0.1. $C_n = \langle x \mid x^n = 1 \rangle$.

$C_\infty = \langle x \mid \rangle = \{1, x, x^{-1}, x^2, x^{-2}, \dots\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}, +)$.

Theorem 2.0.2. Let $G = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \mid R \rangle$ and H a group with $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$. Then \exists a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ with $\phi(s_i) = h_i \forall i$ iff every relation $r \in R$ holds where all s_i are replaced by h_i .

Example 2.0.3. Consider C_n and \widehat{C}_n , the set of group homomorphisms $C_n \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$, called the 1-dimensional complex representations of C_n . A candidate of $\phi(x)$ is a root of unity $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$. If we write $\phi_j(x) := \zeta^j$ then

$$\widehat{C}_n = \{\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}\}.$$

Example 2.0.4. Consider the 1-dimensional complex representations of D_{2n} . Note that $\phi(r)^n = 1$, $\phi(s)^2 = 1$ and $\phi(s)\phi(r)\phi(s)^{-1} = \phi(r)^{-1}$, i.e. $\phi(r)^2 = 1$. If n is even then we can have $\phi(r) = \pm 1$, $\phi(s) = \pm 1$, 4 representations. If n is odd then we can only have $\phi(r) = 1$ and $\phi(s) = \pm 1$, 2 representations.

Week 2, lecture 1 starts here

3 Representation

3.1 Matrix representation

Definition 3.1.1. Let G be a group. A degree d matrix representation of G over a field K is a group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_d(K)$.

Example 3.1.2. Last time, we classified the degree 1 representations of C_n and D_{2n} over \mathbb{C} .

Consider a degree 2 representation of D_{2n} over \mathbb{R} , i.e. a group homomorphism $D_{2n} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Intuitively, we want to map to the corresponding rotation/reflection matrix, i.e.

$$\phi(r) = R_{2\pi/n} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} & -\sin \frac{2\pi}{n} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} \end{pmatrix} \quad \phi(s) = S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 3.1.3 (Trivial degree d matrix representation of G over K). For all $g \in G$, define $\rho(g) := I_d \in GL_d(K)$, the identity matrix.

Example 3.1.4. Fix $A \in GL_d(K)$ and define $\rho : C_\infty \rightarrow GL_d(K)$ to be $\rho(x) = A$ (so that $\rho(x^i) = A^i$).

Example 3.1.5. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R_\theta := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$. Is there a degree 2 real representation of C_n with $\rho(x) = R_\theta$? By 2.0.2, it's sufficient and necessary that $R_\theta^n = R_{n\theta} = I_2$, i.e. $n\theta \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, i.e.

$$\theta \in \{2\pi k/n : k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}\}.$$

Example 3.1.6. $\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a degree 1 complex representation of S_n .

Lemma 3.1.7. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_d(K)$ be a matrix representation and $P \in GL_d(K)$. Then $\rho' : G \rightarrow GL_d(K) : g \mapsto P\rho(g)P^{-1}$ is also a matrix representation.

Proof. One has $\rho'(gh) = P\rho(gh)P^{-1} = P\rho(g)\rho(h)P^{-1} = P\rho(g)P^{-1}P\rho(h)P^{-1} = \rho'(g)\rho'(h)$. □

Definition 3.1.8. Two degree d matrix representations $\rho_1, \rho_2 : G \rightarrow GL_d(K)$ are *isomorphic* or *equivalent* if $\exists P \in GL_d(K) : \rho_2(g) = P\rho_1(g)P^{-1} \forall g \in G$, denoted $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$.

Lemma 3.1.9. Two degree 1 representations $\theta_1, \theta_2 : G \rightarrow GL_1(K) = K^\times$ are isomorphic iff they are equal.

Proof. If θ_1, θ_2 are isomorphic then $\exists P \in K^\times : \theta_2(g) = P\theta_1(g)P^{-1} = \theta_1(g)$ since $P, \theta_1(g), P^{-1} \in K^\times$, a subset of a field.

If they are equal then they are isomorphic by definition. \square

Example 3.1.10. By lemma above, none of the two representations of Example 2.0.3 are isomorphic.

Definition 3.1.11. A representation $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_d(K)$ is *faithful* if ρ is injective.

3.2 Complex representations of C_n

Lemma 3.2.1. Let $A \in GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ and suppose $A^n = I_d$ for some n . Then $\exists Q \in GL_d(\mathbb{C}) : Q^{-1}AQ$ is diagonal with roots of unity $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ on the diagonal.

Proof. It suffices to prove A is diagonalisable and all eigenvalues are roots of unity. Let $f(x) = x^n - 1$, so that $f(A) = 0$. Then $\mu_A(x)$ divides $f(x)$, so all its roots are distinct and are roots of unity. \square

Week 2, lecture 2 starts here

Theorem 3.2.2. Let $C_n = \langle x \mid x^n = 1 \rangle$ and $\rho : C_n \rightarrow GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ a matrix representation. Then \exists n th roots of unity $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ and a representation $\rho' : C_n \rightarrow GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ with $\rho \sim \rho'$ and

$$\rho'(x^k) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^k & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \theta_d^k \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. Let $A = \rho(x)$. Since $x^n = 1$, $A^n = \rho(x^n) = I_d$. By lemma above, we can define $\rho'(x^k) = Q^{-1}\rho(x^k)Q$. By definition, $\rho' \sim \rho$. Now

$$\rho'(x^k) = Q^{-1}\rho(x^k)Q = Q^{-1}A^kQ = (Q^{-1}AQ)^k,$$

a power of a diagonal matrix, so it indeed has its desired form. \square

Example 3.2.3. Suppose $n \geq 3$ and $\rho : C_n \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq GL_2(\mathbb{C}) : x \mapsto R_{2\pi/n}$. Then $R_{2\pi/n}$ has complex eigenvalues ζ and ζ^{n-1} where ζ is the n th root of unity. So $\exists Q \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}) : Q^{-1}R_{2\pi/n}Q = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$, and we can define $\rho' : C_n \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ to be

$$x^k \mapsto Q^{-1}\rho(x^k)Q = (Q^{-1}R_{2\pi/n}Q)^k = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^k & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{(n-1)k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that by notation used in Example 2.0.3, we can write $\rho'(g)$ as $\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{n-1}(g) \end{pmatrix}$. More generally, this is called *decomposing* the representation and denoted $\rho' = \phi_1 \oplus \phi_{n-1}$.

Theorem 3.2.4. Every element of $Q_8 = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$ can be written as $a^i b^j$ where $0 \leq i \leq 3, 0 \leq j \leq 1$. Moreover, $|Q_8| = 8$.

Proof. One has $a^{-1} = a^3$ and $b^{-1} = b^3$ since $b^4 = (b^2)^2 = (a^2)^2 = a^4 = 1$, so we get rid of the inverses. Then we use $ba = a^7b$ to move all b to the right, and use $a^4 = 1$ to reduce power of a to under 3.

To prove the $4 \times 2 = 8$ elements are distinct, define the group homomorphism $\phi : Q_8 \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C}) : \phi(a) = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \phi(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $|\langle \phi(a) \rangle| = 4 \mid |\text{im } \phi|$, and since $\phi(b) \notin \langle \phi(a) \rangle$, $|\text{im } \phi| > 4$, and since $|\text{im } \phi| \leq 8$, one concludes $|\text{im } \phi| = 8$. None of these matrices are similar, so $|Q_8| = 8$. \square

4 Character: first encounter

Definition 4.0.1. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_d(K)$ be a representation. The *character* of ρ is $\chi_\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : g \mapsto \text{tr}(\rho(g))$. Note that this is not a homomorphism.

Week 2, lecture 3 starts here

Example 4.0.2. $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a 1-dim representation. Then $\chi_\rho(g) = \rho(g)$. In this case, character is a group homomorphism since it's the same as the representation itself.

Example 4.0.3. $\rho : D_{2n} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C}) : r \mapsto R_{2\pi/n}, s \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ (as in Example 3.1.2).

Compute the values of the character:

$$\chi_\rho(r^k) = \text{tr} R_{2\pi k/n} = \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} & -\sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} & \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n},$$

and

$$\chi_\rho(sr^k) = \text{tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} & -\sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} & \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} & -\sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} \\ -\sin \frac{2\pi k}{n} & -\cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

4.1 Isomorphic representations have same character

Recall that the character polynomial expands

$$c_A(x) = \det(xI_d - A) = x^d - \text{tr}(A)x^{d-1} + \cdots + (-1)^d \det(A).$$

Lemma 4.1.1. Similar matrices have same character polynomial. In particular, they have same trace.

Proof. Let $B = Q^{-1}AQ$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_B(x) &= \det(xI_d - B) = \det(Q^{-1}xI_dQ - Q^{-1}AQ) = \det(Q^{-1}(xI_d - A)Q) \\ &= \det(Q^{-1}) \det(xI_d - A) \det(Q) = \det(xI_d - A) \\ &= c_A(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.1.2. Isomorphic representations have same character.

Proof. Let $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$, i.e. $\forall g, \rho_1(g) \sim \rho_2(g)$. By previous lemma, $\chi_{\rho_1}(g) = \text{tr}(\rho_1(g)) = \text{tr}(\rho_2(g)) = \chi_{\rho_2}(g)$. □

We will see later the converse also holds.

4.2 Matrix of finite order

Lemma 4.2.1. Let $A \in GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ with $A^n = I_d$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

1. $|\text{tr}(A)| \leq d$
2. $|\text{tr}(A)| = d$ iff $A = \theta I_d$ for an n th root of unity θ
3. $\text{tr}(A) = d$ iff $A = I_d$
4. $\text{tr}(A^{-1}) = \overline{\text{tr}(A)}$

Proof. 1. Recall Lemma 3.2.1 which says $A \sim \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \theta_d \end{pmatrix}$, so by Lemma 4.1.1 one has $\text{tr}(A) = \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_d \leq d$. Triangle inequality gives

$$|\text{tr}(A)| \leq |\theta_1| + \cdots + |\theta_d| = d.$$

2. The triangle inequality has equality iff $\theta_1 = \cdots = \theta_d = \theta$, so $A = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \theta & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \theta \end{pmatrix} Q = Q^{-1}\theta Q = \theta I_d$.

3. The ‘if’ is clear. If $\text{tr}(A) = d$ then 2 tells us $\theta d = d$ so $\theta = 1$ and $A = 1I_d = I_d$.
4. Note that if A has finite order then so does A^{-1} , so

$$A^{-1} \sim Q^{-1}A^{-1}Q = (QAQ^{-1})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \theta_d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \theta_d^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

hence $\text{tr}(A^{-1}) = \theta_1^{-1} + \cdots + \theta_d^{-1} = \overline{\theta_1} + \cdots + \overline{\theta_d} = \overline{\theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_d} = \overline{\text{tr}(A)}$.

□

Week 3, lecture 1 starts here

4.3 First properties of character

Proposition 4.3.1. Let G be a finite group and $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ a representation with character $\chi = \chi_\rho$. Then

1. $|\chi(g)| \leq d \forall g \in G$
2. $\chi(g) = d$ iff $\rho(g) = I_d$. In particular, $\chi(e) = d$.
3. $\chi(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(g)} \forall g \in G$
4. $\chi(h^{-1}gh) = \chi(g) \forall g, h \in G$, i.e. χ is constant on a conjugacy class (hence called *class function*)

Proof. Since G is finite, every $g \in G$ has finite order, so its representation matrix also has finite order, hence 1–3 follow from 4.2.1. For part 4, note that since ρ is a homomorphism,

$$\chi(h^{-1}gh) = \text{tr}(\rho(h^{-1}gh)) = \text{tr}(\rho(h)^{-1}\rho(g)\rho(h)) = \text{tr}(\rho(g)) = \chi(g).$$

by 4.1.1.

□

5 Linear representation and KG -module

Definition 5.0.1. Let G be a group. A *linear representation* of G is a pair (V, ρ) where V is a vector space and $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a group homomorphism. $\dim V$ is the *degree* or *dimension* of (V, ρ) . We also say ‘ $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a linear representation.’

Example 5.0.2. Trivial representation $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V) : g \mapsto I_V$.

Example 5.0.3. $C_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle$, $\rho : C_2 \rightarrow GL(V) : 1 \mapsto I_V, x \mapsto -I_V$.

Example 5.0.4. $C_n = \langle x \mid x^n = 1 \rangle$, $\rho : C_n \rightarrow GL(V) : x^i \mapsto \zeta_n^i I_V$ where V is over \mathbb{C} .

5.1 Correspondence between matrix representations and linear representations

Let $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_d(K)$ be a matrix representation. For all $g \in G$, define $\theta_g : K^d \rightarrow K^d : v \mapsto \rho(g)v$. Clearly $\theta_g \in GL(K^d) \forall g \in G$. Now consider the map $\theta : G \rightarrow GL(K^d) : g \mapsto \theta_g$. We claim this is a group homomorphism, and therefore is a linear representation. Indeed, $\theta(gh)(v) = \theta_{gh}(v) = \rho(gh)v = \rho(g)\rho(h)v = (\theta_g\theta_h)(v)$.

Now let (V, θ) be a linear representation with $\dim V = d < \infty$ and (v_1, \dots, v_d) a K -basis of V . For all $g \in G$, $\theta(g) : V \rightarrow V$ has an associated matrix. Denote it $\rho(g) \in GL_d(K)$. (Verify that $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_d(K)$ is a group homomorphism.) If we take a different basis w_1, \dots, w_d , we get ρ' and there exists $P \in GL_d(K)$ (depending only on $v_1, \dots, v_d, w_1, \dots, w_d$) with $\rho'(g) = P\rho(g)P^{-1} \forall g \in G$, hence $\rho \sim \rho'$.

5.2 The regular representation

Let $|G| = n$ and V the linear span of the n many linearly independent vectors v_g , indexed by the group elements. Then $\dim V = n$. For $h \in G$, let $\text{reg}_h \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ be defined via $\text{reg}_h(v_g) := v_{hg}$. In particular, $\text{reg}_h(\alpha_1 v_{g_1} + \cdots + \alpha_n v_{g_n}) = \alpha_1 v_{hg_1} + \cdots + \alpha_n v_{hg_n}$.

Example 5.2.1. $C_3 = \langle x \mid x^3 = 1 \rangle$, $V = \text{linspan}\{v_1, v_x, v_{x^2}\}$. Then $\text{reg}_x(v_1) = v_x$, $\text{reg}_x(v_x) = \text{reg}_{x^2}$, $\text{reg}_x(v_{x^2}) = v_1$, and the matrix of reg_x with respect to bases (v_1, v_x, v_{x^2}) is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\rho : C_3 \rightarrow GL_3(\mathbb{C}) : x \mapsto M$ is a group homomorphism.

Lemma 5.2.2. $\text{reg}_h \in GL(V) \forall h \in G$.

Proof. One has to show bijectivity. Using Corollary 1.5.4, showing surjectivity suffices. Let $g \in G$. Then

$$\text{reg}_h(v_{h^{-1}g}) = v_{hh^{-1}g} = v_g,$$

hence $\text{im } \text{reg}_h$ contains every basis vector v_g . □

This gives a map $\text{reg} : G \rightarrow GL(V)$.

Lemma 5.2.3. $\text{reg} : G \rightarrow GL(V) : h \mapsto \text{reg}_h$ is a linear representation.

Proof. Let $h_1, h_2, g \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{reg}(h_1)\text{reg}(h_2))(v_g) &= \text{reg}(h_1)(\text{reg}(h_2)(v_g)) = \text{reg}_{h_1}(\text{reg}_{h_2}(v_g)) \\ &= \text{reg}_{h_1}(v_{h_2g}) = v_{h_1h_2g} = \text{reg}_{h_1h_2}(v_g) \\ &= \text{reg}(h_1h_2)(v_g), \end{aligned}$$

so $\text{reg}(h_1)\text{reg}(h_2) = \text{reg}(h_1h_2)$. □

5.3 KG -module

Definition 5.3.1. A linear action of a group G on a vector space V over field K is a map $\gamma : G \times V \rightarrow V : (g, v) \mapsto \gamma(g, v)$ such that $\forall u, v \in V, a \in K, g, h \in G$:

1. $\gamma(e, v) = v$
 2. $\gamma(hg, v) = \gamma(h, \gamma(g, v))$
 3. $\gamma(g, u + v) = \gamma(g, u) + \gamma(g, v)$
 4. $\gamma(g, av) = a\gamma(g, v)$
- } a group action of G on V
- } $v \mapsto \gamma(g, v)$ is a linear map $\forall g \in G$

Definition 5.3.2. A KG -module is a vector space V over K equipped with a linear action γ of G on V .

Example 5.3.3. $C_n = \langle x \mid x^n = 1 \rangle$ and V is any \mathbb{C} -vector space. Let x act by multiplication with ζ_n , i.e. $\gamma(x, v) = \zeta_n v$. This is sufficient to define the action, since, for example, $\gamma(x^2, v) = \gamma(x, \gamma(x, v)) = \gamma(x, \zeta_n v) = \zeta_n^2 v$ by definition, and in general $\gamma(x^i, v) = \zeta_n^i v$.

Notation. $gv := \gamma(g, v) = \rho(g)(v)$.

Proposition 5.3.4. Specifying a KG -module structure on a K -vector space V is the same as specifying a linear representation $G \rightarrow GL(V)$.

Proof. Let $\gamma : G \times V \rightarrow V$ be a KG -module. Define $\rho_g : V \rightarrow V : v \mapsto \gamma(g, v)$. By parts 3 and 4 of definition, ρ_g is a linear map. By part 1, $\rho_e(v) = \gamma(e, v) = v$, so $\rho_e = I_V \in GL(V)$. Also, $(\rho_g \rho_h)(v) = \rho_g(\rho_h(v)) = \gamma(g, \gamma(h, v)) = \gamma(gh, v) = \rho_{gh}(v)$, so $\rho_{gh} = \rho_g \rho_h$. In particular, $\rho_g \rho_{g^{-1}} = \rho_e = I_V$, so $\rho_g \in GL(V)$. Therefore $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V) : g \mapsto \rho_g$ is a group homomorphism.

For the converse, we start with a linear representation $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ and define $\gamma : G \times V \rightarrow V : (g, v) \mapsto \rho(g)(v)$. Check this gives a linear action: 1 and 2 hold since ρ is a group homomorphism, and 3 and 4 hold since each $\rho(g)$ is a linear map. □

Example 5.3.5. $C_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle$, $V = \mathbb{C}^2$. Let x act on V via multiplication by $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then γ is determined: $\gamma(x, v) = Av \forall v \in V$. Also, $\rho : C_2 \rightarrow GL(V)$ is determined: $\rho(e)(v) = v$ (identity), $\rho(x)(v) = Av \forall v \in V$. Note that not every arbitrary A works; verify the γ and ρ satisfy the definition axioms.

Example 5.3.6. $\rho : Q_8 \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, $\rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This makes \mathbb{C}^2 a $\mathbb{C}Q_8$ -module via $\gamma(g, v) = \rho(g)(v)$. In other language, a and b act on \mathbb{C}^2 by multiplication with $A = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

6 Submodule and morphism

6.1 Submodule and reducibility

Definition 6.1.1. Let G be a group, K a field and V a KG -module. $W \subseteq V$ is a KG -submodule of V if

1. $W \subseteq V$ is a K subspace
2. $gw \in W \forall w \in W, g \in G$

Example 6.1.2. $C_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle$, $V = \mathbb{C}^2$. Let x act on V via multiplication by $A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. The submodules are $\{0\}$, \mathbb{C}^2 (the trivial ones), $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 6.1.3. A KG -submodule is a KG -module. In the language of presentations, if $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a linear representation and $W \subseteq V$ is a KG -submodule, then $\rho' : G \rightarrow GL(W)$ is also a linear representation, called a *subrepresentation*.

Definition 6.1.4. A KG -submodule of V is *proper* if $W \neq V$, *nontrivial* if $W \neq \{0\}$.

A nontrivial KG -module V is *reducible* if V has a nontrivial proper submodule. Otherwise, it is *irreducible* or *simple*.

Example 6.1.5. $C_n = \langle x \mid x^n = 1 \rangle$, $\rho : C_n \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\rho(x) = R_{2\pi/n}$. We claim ρ is irreducible if $n \geq 3$. It suffices to show any 1-d subspace $\mathbb{R}u$ where $u \neq 0$ of \mathbb{R}^2 are not KG -submodules. Indeed; let $\alpha u \in \mathbb{R}u$, then $x\alpha u = \alpha xu = \alpha R_{2\pi/n}u \notin \mathbb{R}u$.

Example 6.1.6. $C_\infty = \langle x \mid \rangle$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Consider the $\mathbb{C}C_\infty$ -module $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ with x acting by multiplication with A . One can see $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a 1-d subrepresentation, and we claim there are no other 1-d subrepresentations (i.e. no other nontrivial proper subrepresentations). Indeed, suppose $\mathbb{C}v$ where $c \neq 0$ is one, i.e. $Av = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, but A only has one eigenvector $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If A were $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ then there would be two nontrivial proper subrepresentations, $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Example 6.1.7. If a group is generated by g_1, \dots, g_n and V is a KG -module, then V has a 1-dim KG -submodule iff $\rho(g_1), \dots, \rho(g_n)$ have a common eigenvector. Indeed; the \Leftarrow is trivial, and the \Rightarrow follows from that if $Ku \subseteq V$ is a submodule, implying $g_i \alpha u \in Ku \forall i$, then u is an eigenvector of $\rho(g_i)$ by definition.

Week 4, lecture 1 starts here

Example 6.1.8 (6.1.5 but over \mathbb{C}). $C_n = \langle x \mid x^n = 1 \rangle$, $\rho : C_n \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\rho(x) = R_{2\pi/n}$ with $n \geq 3$. Now $R_{2\pi/n}$ has eigenvectors $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$ with eigenvalues ζ and ζ^{-1} , so there are 4 submodules: $\{0\}$, $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$ and \mathbb{C}^2 .

Example 6.1.9 (3.1.2 but over \mathbb{C}). $D_{2n} = \langle r, s \mid r^n = s^2 = 1, srs^{-1} = r^{-1} \rangle$, $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ with the same action and $n \geq 3$. There's no common eigenvectors of $R_{2\pi/n}$ and S , so V has not proper nontrivial subrepresentations, hence irreducible.

6.2 Reducible representation in terms of matrices

Let V be a d -dimensional KG -module with submodule $U \subseteq V$. Choose a basis v_1, \dots, v_r of U and extend it to a basis $v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_d$ of V . Let $\theta : G \rightarrow GL_d(K)$ be the matrix representation with respect to this basis. Write

$$\theta(g) = (a_{ij}(g))_{1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq d} \quad \text{with } \theta(g)(v_j) = a_{1j}(g)v_1 + \dots + a_{dj}(g)v_d,$$

but note that $\theta(g)(v_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ are expressed by solely v_1, \dots, v_r , so the bottom left $d - r$ by $d - r$ is 0, i.e.

$$\theta(g) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} a_{11}(g) & a_{12}(g) & \cdots & a_{1r}(g) & a_{1r+1}(g) & \cdots & a_{1d}(g) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{r1}(g) & a_{r2}(g) & \cdots & a_{rr}(g) & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{r+1r+1}(g) & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{dr+1}(g) & \cdots & a_{dd}(g) \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \phi(g) & \psi(g) \\ \hline 0 & \eta(g) \end{array} \right).$$

We also know θ is a homomorphism, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(gh) &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi(gh) & \psi(gh) \\ 0 & \eta(gh) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(g) & \psi(g) \\ 0 & \eta(g) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi(h) & \psi(h) \\ 0 & \eta(h) \end{pmatrix} = \theta(g)\theta(h) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi(g)\phi(h) & \psi(g)\psi(h) \\ 0 & \eta(g)\eta(h) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(g)\phi(h) & \phi(g)\psi(h) + \psi(g)\eta(h) \\ 0 & \eta(g)\eta(h) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\underbrace{\phi : G \rightarrow GL_r(K)}_U, \underbrace{\eta : G \rightarrow GL_{d-r}(K)}_{V/U}$ are homomorphisms, hence matrix representations.

6.3 Permutation representation

Definition 6.3.1. Given a group action $\gamma : G \times X \rightarrow X$ where $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$, define K -vector space of formal linear combination of v_{x_1}, \dots, v_{x_d} , and linear action $g \cdot v_{x_i} := v_{gx_i}$. This gives an element of $GL_d(K)$ determined by g , i.e. a representation $g(\alpha_1 v_{x_1} + \dots + \alpha_d v_{x_d}) = \alpha_1 v_{gx_1} + \dots + \alpha_d v_{gx_d}$ called the *permutation representation* or *permutation module* to γ .

Example 6.3.2. G can act on itself by left multiplication $(g, h) \mapsto gh$ (which gives the regular representation; see 5.2), $(g, h) \mapsto hg^{-1}$ or $(g, h) \mapsto ghg^{-1}$.

Example 6.3.3. S_n acts on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ via $\pi i = \pi(i)$. Let $V = \text{linspan}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ with $\pi v_i = v_{\pi(i)}$. Then $v_1 + \dots + v_n$ is a 1-dimensional subrepresentation of V .

Week 4, lecture 2 starts here

6.4 Morphism

Definition 6.4.1. Let V, W be KG -modules. A K -linear map $f : V \rightarrow W$ is a G -morphism (or an *equivariant map*, or simply *morphism* of KG -modules) if $gf(v) = f(gv) \forall v \in V, g \in G$.

Notation. $\text{Hom}_G(V, W) = \{f : V \rightarrow W : f \text{ } G\text{-morphism}\}$. This is a vector space.

Definition 6.4.2. A G -isomorphism is a bijective G -morphism.

Lemma 6.4.3. If $f : V \rightarrow W$ is a G -morphism, then $\ker f$ and $\text{im } f$ are subrepresentations of V and W respectively.

Proof. Since f is linear, $\ker f$ and $\text{im } f$ are linear subspaces of V and W respectively. It remains to show that

1. $gv \in \ker f \forall g \in G, v \in \ker f$. Indeed, $f(gv) = gf(v) = g0 = 0$ by definition, and
2. $gw \in \text{im } f \forall g \in G, w \in \text{im } f$. Indeed, let $v \in V : f(v) = w$, then $gw = gf(v) = f(gv)$.

□

Example 6.4.4. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $G = S_3$, V the permutation module $\{a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 : a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $W = \mathbb{C}$ the trivial $\mathbb{C}S_3$ -module, i.e. $gw = w \forall w \in W, g \in S_3$. Fix $0 \neq w \in W$ and define $f : V \rightarrow W : a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 \mapsto (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)w$. Verify f is a G -morphism: f is clearly a linear map, and one has

$$\begin{aligned} gf(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3) &= g(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)w = (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)w \\ &= (a_{g^{-1}(1)} + a_{g^{-1}(2)} + a_{g^{-1}(3)})w = f(g(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3)). \end{aligned}$$

6.5 Schur's lemma

Theorem 6.5.1 (Schur's lemma I). Let G be a group, K a field and $f : U \rightarrow V$ a G -morphism of irreducible KG -modules. Then either $f = 0$ or f is an isomorphism.

Proof. One has $f = 0$ iff $\ker f = U$ and $\operatorname{im} f = \{0\}$. Now suppose $f \neq 0$, then $\ker f \subsetneq U$ and $\{0\} \subsetneq \operatorname{im} f \subseteq V$, but by Lemma 6.4.3 and the assumption that U, V are irreducible, $\ker f = \{0\}$ and $\operatorname{im} f = V$, i.e. f is injective and surjective, i.e. f is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 6.5.2 (Schur's lemma over \mathbb{C}). Let G be a group, V a finite dimensional irreducible $\mathbb{C}G$ -module and $f : V \rightarrow V$ a G -morphism. Then $f = \lambda I_V$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, V) = 1$.

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue of f with eigenvector u . Let $f' : V \rightarrow V : v \mapsto f(v) - \lambda v$. We claim f' is a G -morphism. Indeed; it's clearly a linear map, and

$$f'(gv) = f(gv) - \lambda gv = gf(v) - g\lambda v = g(f(v) - \lambda v) = gf'(v).$$

Week 4, lecture 3 starts here

By Schur's lemma I, since $f'(u) = 0$ and $u \neq 0$, one has $f' = 0$, i.e. $f(v) = \lambda v \forall v \in V$, so equivalently $f' = \lambda I_V$ which is what's desired. \square

Example 6.5.3 (Schur's lemma over \mathbb{R}). $C_3 = \langle x \mid x^3 = 1 \rangle$, V the regular C_3 -representation with basis v_e, v_x, v_{x^2} , $W = \operatorname{linspan}_{\mathbb{R}}\{v_e - v_x, v_x - v_{x^2}\}$ a subrepresentation. The matrix for this action of x on W is then $\rho(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, which has no real eigenvalues, hence no 1-dim subrepresentation, so irreducible.

To calculate the \mathbb{R} -vector space of C_3 -morphisms $W \rightarrow W$, note that one needs by definition

$$\begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\ a-c & b-d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & -a-b \\ d & -c-d \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. $c = -b, d = a + b$ and the matrix is $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a+b \end{pmatrix}$ which has two degrees of freedom a and b , so $\dim_{C_3}(W, W) = 2$.

7 Maschke's theorem

7.1 Projection

Definition 7.1.1. A map f is called *idempotent* if $f \circ f = f$. A such linear map $V \rightarrow U$ is a *projection* if $f(u) = u \forall u \in U$.

Example 7.1.2. $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, $U = \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq V$, $f : V \rightarrow U : \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a projection. Note that $V = U \oplus \ker f$.

Lemma 7.1.3. Let V be a finitely dimensional vector space and $U \subseteq V$ a linear subspace. Then \exists a projection $f : V \rightarrow U$.

Proof. Let v_1, \dots, v_r be a basis for U and $v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_d$ a basis for V . Define $f : V \rightarrow U$ by

$$\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_d v_d \mapsto \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_r v_r,$$

which is a projection. \square

Theorem 7.1.4. Let $f : V \rightarrow U$ be a projection. Then $V = U \oplus \ker f$.

Proof. 1. To show $V = U + \ker f$, let $v \in V$ and write $v = f(v) + v - f(v)$. Clearly $f(v) \in U$ and it remains to show $f(v - f(v)) = 0$, but $f(v - f(v)) = f(v) - f(f(v)) = f(v) - f(v) = 0$ by idempotence.

2. To show $U \cap \ker f = \{0\}$, let $u \in U \cap \ker f$, then $f(u) = u$ and $f(u) = 0$, so $u = 0$. □

7.2 Semisimplicity and complementary modules

Definition 7.2.1. A KG -module V is *semisimple* if $\forall KG$ -submodules U , \exists a KG -submodule $W \subseteq V$ such that $V = U \oplus W$, where U and W are *complementary*.

Example 7.2.2. If V is irreducible then the only submodules are $\{0\}$ and V , which are complementary, hence every irreducible representation is semisimple.

Example 7.2.3. Recall Example 6.1.6 where we have three submodules $\{0\}$, $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and \mathbb{C}^2 . Hence the representation is not semisimple since $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ has no complementary submodule. If we again replace A by a diagonal matrix then it would be semisimple ($\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ are complementary).

Week 5, lecture 1 starts here

7.3 Maschke's theorem

Lemma 7.3.1 (Averaging). Let G be a finite group, K a field with $|G| \cdot 1_K \neq 0_K$ (i.e. $\text{char } K \nmid |G|$) and U, V be KG -modules with $f : U \rightarrow V$ a linear map. Define

$$f' : V \rightarrow U : v \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(f(g^{-1}v)),$$

then f' is a G -morphism.
(cf. HW5, Exe 3)

Proof. Let $h \in G$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f'(hv) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(f(g^{-1}hv)) = h \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} h^{-1}gf((h^{-1}g)^{-1}v) \\ &= h \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h^{-1}g \in G} h^{-1}gf((h^{-1}g)^{-1}v) = h(f'(v)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 7.3.2 (Maschke's). Let G be a finite group and K a field with $|G| \cdot 1_K \neq 0_K$. Then every finite dimensional KG -module is semisimple.

Proof. Let $U \subseteq V$ be a KG -submodule. We want to show $\exists W \subseteq V$ a KG -submodule such that $V = U \oplus W$. Let $f : V \rightarrow U$ be a projection and $f' \in \text{Hom}_G(V, U)$ as in lemma above. We claim f' is idempotent and $\text{im } f' = U$. Indeed; since $f'(v) \in U \forall v \in V$, it suffices to show $f'(u) = u \forall u \in U$:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(u) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(f(g^{-1}u)) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(g^{-1}u) \quad \text{since } g^{-1}u \in u \text{ and } f \text{ is a projection} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} u \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} |G| u = u. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 7.1.4, $V = U \oplus \ker f'$ where $\ker f'$ is indeed a KG -submodule by 6.4.3. □

Corollary 7.3.3. Let G be a group, K a field with $|G| \cdot 1_K \neq 0_K$ and V a finite dimensional KG -module. Then \exists irreducible submodules U_1, \dots, U_j such that $V = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_j$.

Proof. Induction on $\dim V$. If $\dim V = 1$ then V is irreducible hence we are done. Now let $\dim V > 1$. If V is irreducible then we are again done, so suppose V is reducible and let $U \subseteq V$ be a nontrivial proper subrepresentation with complementary W , whose existence is guaranteed by Maschke's theorem. Note that $\dim U, \dim W < \dim V$, so by inductive hypothesis $U = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r, W = U_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus U_k$ where U_i irreducible, hence $V = U \oplus W = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_k$. \square

Remark (On cyclic groups). We actually have seen Maschke's theorem and its corollary for specifically cyclic groups C_n already, and as corollaries, all irreducible representations of C_n are 1-dimensional, and there are exactly n many non-isomorphic irreducible representations of C_n .

Week 5, lecture 2 starts here

7.4 Orthogonality relations of characters

Notation. $\mathbb{C}^G := \{f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$. Note that $\mathbb{C}^G \cong \mathbb{C}G$ as a vector space and $\dim \mathbb{C}^G = |G|$.

Lemma 7.4.1. Let $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_k$ be a decomposition of a KG -module V , then $\chi_V = \chi_{U_1} + \dots + \chi_{U_k}$.

Remark. Note that Maschke's theorem does not give us uniqueness of the decomposition, but the equation stated will independently hold.

Proof. Choose a basis of V by choosing a basis for each U_i , then matrices $\rho(g)$ are block diagonal with respect to this basis (cf. Section 6.2):

$$\rho_V(g) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} \rho_{U_1}(g) & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \rho_{U_k}(g) \end{array} \right),$$

and by definition of character (trace of the matrix) one has what's desired. \square

From now on we fix the field \mathbb{C} and group G to be finite. Write $V \in \text{Mod-}G$ to say ' V is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}G$ -module'.

Lemma 7.4.2. Let $V \in \text{Mod-}G$ be irreducible and $f \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$. Define

$$\tilde{f} \in \text{Hom}_G(V, V) \quad \text{by} \quad v \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g(f(g^{-1}v)).$$

Then

$$\tilde{f} = \frac{\text{tr}(f)}{\dim V} I_V$$

Proof. Schur's lemma over \mathbb{C} (6.5.2) tells us indeed $\tilde{f} = \lambda I_V$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Now one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \dim V &= \text{tr}(\lambda I_V) = \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g) \circ f \circ \rho(g^{-1}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \text{tr}(\rho(g) \circ f \circ \rho(g)^{-1}) \\ &= \text{tr}(f). \quad \text{by 4.1.1} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Definition 7.4.3. For $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}^G$, define the *inner product*

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g) \overline{\psi(g)}.$$

Note that this is a Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^G , i.e. $\forall \varphi, \psi, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^G, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$1. \quad \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \overline{\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle}$$

2. $\langle \alpha\varphi + \xi, \psi \rangle = \alpha \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle + \langle \xi, \psi \rangle$
3. $\langle \psi, \alpha\varphi + \xi \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle + \langle \xi, \psi \rangle$
4. $\langle \psi, \psi \rangle \geq 0$

Theorem 7.4.4 (Orthogonality relations). Let $U, V \in \text{Mod-}G$ be irreducible. Then

$$\langle \chi_U, \chi_V \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U \sim V \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_U, \chi_V \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_U(g) \chi_V(g^{-1}) \quad \text{by 4.3.1} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_i \rho_U(g)_{i,i} \right) \left(\sum_j \rho_V(g^{-1})_{j,j} \right) \quad \text{by definition} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_U(g)_{i,i} \rho_V(g^{-1})_{j,j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{1}{|G|} e_i^T \rho_U(g) e_i e_j^T \rho_V(g^{-1}) e_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left(e_i^T \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_U(g) E_{i,j} \rho_V(g^{-1}) \right) e_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left(e_i^T \underbrace{\widetilde{E_{i,j}}}_{\in \text{Hom}_G(V,U)} e_j \right) \quad \text{by definition in 7.4.2} \end{aligned}$$

By Schur's lemma (6.5.1), if $U \not\sim V$ then $\widetilde{E_{i,j}} = 0$. If $U \sim V$ then $\chi_U = \chi_V$, so it suffices to treat the case $U = V$. $\widetilde{E_{i,i}}$ is then diagonal by 6.5.2, hence

$$\sum_i e_i^T \widetilde{E_{i,i}} e_i = \text{tr}(\widetilde{E_{i,i}}) = \dim V \frac{\text{tr}(E_{i,i})}{\dim V} = 1$$

by Lemma 7.4.2. □

Week 5, lecture 3 starts here

Corollary 7.4.5. The number of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules is at most the number of conjugacy classes in G .

Proof. By 7.4.4, the characters of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules form an orthonormal system in the vector space $V = \{\chi \in \mathbb{C}^G : \chi \text{ class function}\}$, which implies the number of them cannot exceed $\dim V$ (you cannot have four vectors pairwise perpendicular in a 3-d space), which is the number of conjugacy class in G . □

Corollary 7.4.6. For $U, V \in \text{Mod-}G$, one has $U \sim V$ iff $\chi_U = \chi_V$.

Proof. It suffices to show the \Leftarrow by Lemma 4.1.2. Let $W_1, \dots, W_r \in \text{Mod-}G$ be a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducibles. Now, by Maschke's theorem (7.3.2) one can write $U \sim \bigoplus_{i=1}^r W_i^{\oplus n_i}$ and $V \sim \bigoplus_{i=1}^r W_i^{\oplus m_i}$ where $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$. By 7.4.1 and assumption,

$$\chi_U = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \chi_{W_i} = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \chi_{W_i} = \chi_V.$$

Now by 7.4.4, χ_{W_i} are linearly independent, so the coefficients are uniquely determined and $n_i = m_i \forall i$, and $U \sim V$ immediately follows. □

Definition 7.4.7. Let $U \in \text{Mod-}G$ be irreducible and $W \in \text{Mod-}G$. Define the *multiplicity* of U in W as

$$\text{mult}_U(W) := \langle \chi_U, \chi_W \rangle.$$

Proposition 7.4.8. Let $U \in \text{Mod-}G$ be irreducible and $W \in \text{Mod-}G$. For any decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i$, one has

$$\text{mult}_U(W) = |\{i \in \{1, \dots, k\} : U \sim U_i\}|.$$

Proof. Let $W_1, \dots, W_r \in \text{Mod-}G$ be a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducibles. One then has

$$\chi_W = \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_{U_i} = \sum_{j=1}^r n_j \chi_{W_j} \quad \text{where } n_j = |\{i \in \{1, \dots, k\} : U_i \sim W_j\}|.$$

By 7.4.4, one sees

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult}_U(W) &= \left\langle \chi_U, \sum_{j=1}^r n_j \chi_{W_j} \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^r n_j \langle \chi_U, \chi_{W_j} \rangle \\ &= 0 + \dots + n_{j_0} \langle \chi_U, \chi_{j_0} \rangle + \dots + 0 = n_{j_0} \end{aligned}$$

where $j_0 \in \mathbb{N} : U \sim W_{j_0}$. □

Lemma 7.4.9. $U \in \text{Mod-}G$ is irreducible iff $\langle \chi_U, \chi_U \rangle = 1$.

Proof. It suffices to show the \Leftarrow by Theorem 7.4.4. Let $W_1, \dots, W_k \in \text{Mod-}G$ be a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducibles. Use Maschke's (7.3.2) to write

$$U \sim \bigoplus_{j=1}^k W_j^{\oplus n_j} \quad \text{and hence } \chi_U = \sum_{j=1}^k n_j \chi_{W_j}.$$

where $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$, then by 7.4.4 and assumption,

$$\langle \chi_U, \chi_U \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^k n_i n_j \langle \chi_{W_i}, \chi_{W_j} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i)^2 = 1,$$

which means one $n_i = 1$ and all other $n_i = 0$, so $U \sim W_i$ for some i , i.e. U is irreducible. □

7.5 Decomposition of regular representation

Lemma 7.5.1. Let $W_1, \dots, W_k \in \text{Mod-}G$ be a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducibles. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\dim W_i)^2 = |G|.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{C}G$ denote the regular representation. First note $\dim(\mathbb{C}G) = |G|$, and since reg_g , a permutation of basis vectors, has no fixed points as long as $g \neq e$ and hence only zeros along the diagonal, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult}_{W_i}(\mathbb{C}G) &= \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}G}, \chi_{W_i} \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overbrace{\chi_{\mathbb{C}G}(g)}^{\substack{=0 \\ \text{if } g \neq e}} \chi_{W_i}(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \overline{\chi_{\mathbb{C}G}(e)} \chi_{W_i}(e) = \frac{1}{|G|} \dim W_i = \dim W_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\mathbb{C}G \sim \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_i^{\oplus \text{mult}_{W_i}(\mathbb{C}G)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_i^{\dim W_i},$$

one has $|G| = \dim \mathbb{C}G = \sum_{i=1}^k (\dim W_i)^2$. □

Week 6, lecture 1 starts here

Definition 7.5.2. A character χ is *irreducible* if χ is the character of an irreducible representation $V \in \text{Mod-}G$.

Example 7.5.3. $G = C_3 = \langle x \mid x^3 = 1 \rangle$. Recall the 3 irreducible characters: let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ a primitive 3rd root of unity. Note since G is abelian it has $|G| = 3$ conjugacy classes. Consider the character table

	$\{1\}$	$\{x\}$	$\{x^2\}$
χ_0	1	1	1
χ_1	1	ζ	ζ^2
χ_2	1	ζ^2	$\zeta^4 = \zeta$

One verifies that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \chi_0, \chi_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{3} (1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot \bar{\zeta} + 1 \cdot \bar{\zeta}^2) = \frac{1}{3} (1 + \zeta^2 + \zeta) = 0, \\ \langle \chi_1, \chi_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{3} (1 \cdot 1 + \zeta \cdot \bar{\zeta} + \zeta^2 \cdot \bar{\zeta}^2) = \frac{1}{3} (1 + \zeta^3 + \zeta^3) = 1, \\ \langle \chi_2, \chi_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{3} (1 \cdot 1 + \zeta^2 \cdot \bar{\zeta} + \zeta \cdot \bar{\zeta}^2) = \frac{1}{3} (1 + \zeta^4 + \zeta^2) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Example 7.5.4. $G = C_n$ and ζ is a primitive n th root of unity. Generalising from example above, one sees the character table is now an $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) th entry (counting from zero) is ζ^{ij} , $0 \leq i, j < n$. (Known as the Vandermonde matrix.)

Example 7.5.5. $G = S_3$, $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and V is the corresponding permutation representation (note $\dim V = 3$). We've seen in Example 1.3.2 the 1-d representation sign with character

$$\chi_{\text{sign}}(e) = 1, \quad \chi_{\text{sign}}((12)) = -1, \quad \chi_{\text{sign}}((123)) = 1.$$

Now let $U := \mathbb{C}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$ and consider V/U with basis $(e_1 + U, e_2 + U)$ (and $e_3 = -e_1 - e_2$), then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_{V/U}(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \rho_{V/U}((12)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \rho_{V/U}((123)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{tr} = 2 & \text{tr} = 0 & \text{tr} = -1 \end{array}$$

We can use 7.4.9 to check that V/U is irreducible:

$$\langle \chi_{V/U}, \chi_{V/U} \rangle = \frac{1}{6} (2^2 + 3 \times 0^2 + 2 \times (-1)^2) = \frac{1}{6} \times 6 = 1.$$

Verify 7.5.1: $2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 = 6$.

7.5.1 The Wedderburn isomorphism

Definition 7.5.6. A \mathbb{C} -algebra A is a \mathbb{C} -vector space and a ring such that the scalar multiplication and ring multiplication are compatible, i.e. \exists an injective ring homomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$ with

$$\alpha \cdot_{\mathbb{C}} a = \iota(\alpha) \cdot_A a \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, a \in A.$$

Example 7.5.7. Let $\text{End}(V) := \text{Hom}(V, V)$, which is a \mathbb{C} -algebra via $\iota(\alpha) = \alpha I_V$. Note $GL(V) \subsetneq \text{End}(V)$. Also $\mathbb{C}G$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra via the product

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \right) \left(\sum_{h \in G} \beta_h h \right) = \sum_{g' \in G, gh=g'} (\alpha_g \beta_h) g',$$

the 'linear continuation' of action of G on regular representation $\mathbb{C}G$.

Theorem 7.5.8 (Wedderburn's). Let $W_1, \dots, W_k \in \text{Mod-}G$ be a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducibles and

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{C}G &\rightarrow \text{End}(W_1) \times \dots \times \text{End}(W_k) \\ g &\mapsto (\rho_{W_1}(g), \dots, \rho_{W_k}(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Then f is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Week 6, lecture 2 starts here

Remark. Let V be a \mathbb{C} -algebra and a G -representation whose group action is compatible with the ring multiplication \cdot_V as follows:

$$(gh)1_V = (g1_V) \cdot_V (h1_V).$$

A G -homomorphism from $\mathbb{C}G$ with $f(1_{\mathbb{C}G}) = 1_V$ is always a ring homomorphism, hence a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism, since

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g\right)\left(\sum_{h \in H} \beta_h h\right)\right) &= f\left(\sum_{g, h \in G} (\alpha_g \beta_h) gh\right) = \sum_{g, h \in G} \alpha_g \beta_h f(gh) \\ &= \sum_{g, h \in G} \alpha_g \beta_h f(g)f(h) = \left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g f(g)\right)\left(\sum_{h \in G} \beta_h f(h)\right) \\ &= f\left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g\right)f\left(\sum_{h \in G} \beta_h h\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of 7.5.8. f is a linear map and a G -morphism, hence a \mathbb{C} -algebra morphism. By 7.5.1, the dimensions are equal so by 1.5.3 it suffices to show either injectivity or surjectivity. Consider $a = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in \ker f$. Then

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \rho_{W_i}(g) =: \rho_{W_i}(a) = 0,$$

i.e. $\forall w \in W_i, \rho_{W_i}(a)(w) = 0$. By construction of W_i 's and Maschke's theorem (7.3.2), one has $\forall V \in \text{Mod-}G, \rho_V(a) = 0$. In particular for $V = \mathbb{C}G, \forall b \in \mathbb{C}G, a \cdot_{\mathbb{C}G} b = 0$, hence $a = a \cdot_{\mathbb{C}G} 1_G = 0$. \square

Definition 7.5.9. The *centre* of a \mathbb{C} -algebra A is the linear subspace $Z(A) \subseteq A$ defined as

$$Z(A) = \{a \in A : ab = ba \forall b \in A\}.$$

Notation. $\text{Cl}_G := \{\text{conjugacy classes in } G\}$.

Proposition 7.5.10. $\dim Z(\mathbb{C}G) = |\text{Cl}_G|$.

Proof. First note that $\forall b \in \mathbb{C}G, ab = ba \iff \forall h \in G, ah = ha \iff \forall h \in G, hah^{-1} = a$. Write $a = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$. One has $hah^{-1} = a$ iff

$$\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g hgh^{-1} = \sum_{g' \in G} \alpha_{h^{-1}g'h} g' \iff \forall g \in G, \alpha_g = \alpha_{h^{-1}gh},$$

so $a \in Z(G) \iff \alpha : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is constant on conjugacy classes. The vector space of such α hence has dimension $|\text{Cl}_G|$. \square

Corollary 7.5.11. The number of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducible representations of G equals $|\text{Cl}_G|$.

Proof. By 7.5.8 one has

$$\dim Z(\mathbb{C}G) = |\text{Cl}_G| = \dim Z(\text{End}(W_1) \times \dots \times \text{End}(W_k)).$$

Note that $Z(\text{End}(W)) = \mathbb{C}I_W$ (the only matrices that commute with any other matrix are the ones that are diagonal with same entries on the diagonal), which is 1-dimensional. More generally,

$$Z(\text{End}(W_1) \times \dots \times \text{End}(W_k)) = Z(\text{End}(W_1)) \times \dots \times Z(\text{End}(W_k))$$

which is k -dimensional. \square

Notation. $\mathbb{C}^{\text{Cl}_G} = \{f : \text{Cl}_G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$, which we identify with the set of class functions \mathbb{C}^G .

Corollary 7.5.12. The characters of irreducible representations of G form a basis of vector space \mathbb{C}^{Cl_G} .

Proof. By 7.4.4, the irreducibles characters are linearly independent, and by 7.5.11 the number of such characters equals $\dim \mathbb{C}^{\text{Cl}_G} = |\text{Cl}_G|$. \square

Week 6, lecture 3 starts here

7.5.2 Character tables

Definition 7.5.13. The *character table* of G is the square matrix whose columns are indexed by conjugacy classes $\text{Cl}_G(g_i)$ and rows are indexed by W_j with entries $\chi_{W_j}(g_i)$.

Example 7.5.14. The character table of S_3 (the subscripts indicate sizes of conjugacy classes):

S_3	id_1	$(12)_3$	$(123)_2$
triv	1	1	1
sign	1	-1	1
$\langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle / \mathbb{C}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$	2	0	-1

Theorem 7.4.4 tells us if one multiplies each column g in the table by $\sqrt{\frac{|\text{Cl}_G(g)|}{|G|}}$ one obtains a matrix A with orthogonal rows of norm 1 (in the sense of standard Hermitian inner product $\langle v, w \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \overline{w_i}$ for $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$), i.e. orthonormal rows:

$G = S_3$	1	x	x^2
triv	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}$
sign	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$-\frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}$
U/V	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	$-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}$

Proposition 7.5.15. A matrix A with orthonormal rows also has orthonormal columns.

Proof. For a matrix A with orthonormal rows, let A^\dagger denote its conjugate transpose. One has

$$(AA^\dagger)_{i,j} = \sum_{l=1}^k A_{i,l} A_{l,j}^\dagger = \sum_{l=1}^k A_{i,l} \overline{A_{j,l}} = \langle A_{\text{row } i}, A_{\text{row } j} \rangle = \delta_{i,j},$$

so $A^\dagger = A^{-1}$. But conversely,

$$\delta_{i,j} = (A^{-1}A)_{i,j} = (A^\dagger A)_{i,j} = \langle A_{\text{row } i}^\dagger, A_{\text{row } j}^\dagger \rangle = \langle \overline{A_{\text{col } i}}, \overline{A_{\text{col } j}} \rangle = \langle A_{\text{col } i}, A_{\text{col } j} \rangle.$$

□

Definition 7.5.16. Matrices A with $A^\dagger = A^{-1}$ are *unitary*.

Corollary 7.5.17 (Orthogonal columns).

$$\forall g \in G, \sum_{\chi} \chi(g) \overline{\chi(g)} = \frac{|G|}{|\text{Cl}_G(g)|}$$

where the sum is over all irreducible characters χ . If g_1 and g_2 are not conjugates then

$$\sum_{\chi} \chi(g_1) \overline{\chi(g_2)} = 0.$$

Proof. Rescaling every column of the character table T by $\sqrt{\frac{|\text{Cl}_G(g)|}{|G|}}$ gives a matrix A with orthonormal rows by 7.4.4, hence orthonormal columns by 7.5.15. □

7.6 The isotypic decomposition

Theorem 7.6.1. Let W_1, \dots, W_k be a complete list of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducibles of G . For a fixed $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let

$$a_i := \frac{\dim W_i}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_{W_i}(g)} g \in \mathbb{C}G.$$

and let $V \in \text{Mod-}G$. Consider the decomposition into irreducibles

$$V = \bigoplus_{l=1}^k \underbrace{\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{mult}_{W_l}(V)} U_{l,j}}_{V_l} \quad \text{with each } U_{l,j} \sim W_l.$$

Then $\rho_V(a_i) \in \text{End}(V)$ is the projection onto V_i . In particular, the space V_i is independent of the finer decomposition of V into the $U_{l,j}$.

Week 7, lecture 1 starts here

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and let $U \in \text{Mod-}G$ be irreducible such that $U \sim W_j$. Consider $\rho_U(a_i) \in \text{End}(U)$. We claim $a_i \in Z(\mathbb{C}G)$. Indeed, for $h \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} ha_i &= h \frac{\dim W_i}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_{W_i}(g)} g = \frac{\dim W_i}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_{W_i}(g)} hg = \frac{\dim W_i}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_{W_i}(h^{-1}gh)} hh^{-1}gh \\ &= \frac{\dim W_i}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_{W_i}(g)} gh = a_i h, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\rho_U(h)\rho_U(a_i) = \rho_U(ha_i) = \rho_U(a_i h) = \rho_U(a_i)\rho_U(h)$, i.e. $\rho_U(a_i) \in \text{End}_G(U)$. By 6.5.2, $\rho_U(a_i) = \lambda_{i,j}I_U$ for some $\lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$. Note

$$\lambda_{i,j} \dim U = \text{tr}(\rho_U(a_i)) = \frac{\dim W_i}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_{W_i}(g)} \underbrace{\text{tr}(\rho_U(g))}_{\chi_{W_j}(g)} = \dim W_i \langle \chi_{W_j}, \chi_{W_i} \rangle = \dim W_i \delta_{i,j},$$

and note that if $i = j$ then $\dim U = \dim W_j = \dim W_i$, so $\lambda_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}$.

Hence, if we take a basis of V that respects the decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{l=1}^k \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{mult}_{W_l}(V)} U_{l,j},$$

then $\rho_V(a_i)$ is a block diagonal matrix, one block for each $U_{l,j}$ and it is the zero matrix for all $i \neq l$ and is identity for all $U_{i,j}$. This is the projection to $\bigoplus_j U_{i,j} = V_i$. \square

Example 7.6.2. For W_0 being the trivial representation, one has

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \in \mathbb{C}G,$$

the projection to the invariant space.

Example 7.6.3. Let $G = C_2 = \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle$, $V = \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ with the action $xA = A^T$. Then

$$a_{\text{triv}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + x), \quad a_{\text{sign}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - x)$$

so in particular if A is symmetric then $a_{\text{triv}}A = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^T) = A$ (i.e. the 3-dimensional space of symmetric matrices is invariant under a_{triv}) and $a_{\text{sign}}A = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^T) = 0$. But if B is any matrix then $a_{\text{triv}}B$ will be symmetric, so a_{triv} is idempotent, hence a projection. Similar for a_{sign} , it's a projection to the 1-dimensional space of skew-symmetric matrices (matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$).

Definition 7.6.4. Theorem 7.6.1 gives a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i$. We call V_i an *isotypic component*, which are unique up to reordering of the summands. A representation that contains only on nonzero isotypic component is *isotypic*.

Week 7, lecture 2 starts here

8 Induced representation

Definition 8.0.1. Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup and let $V \in \text{Mod-}G$. Then H acts linearly on V and we denote the corresponding $\mathbb{C}H$ -module by $V \downarrow_H^G \in \text{Mod-}H$, called the *restriction* of V .

We write $\chi_V \downarrow_H^G := \chi_{V \downarrow_H^G}$.

Note that if $V \in \text{Mod-}G$ is irreducible then $V \downarrow_H^G$ might not be irreducible. For example, if $\dim V = 2$ and $H = \{e\}$ is the trivial group.

In the following, let $H \leq G$ and fix a set of coset representatives $t_1, \dots, t_l : G = t_1H \sqcup t_2H \sqcup \dots \sqcup t_lH$. The set $\{t_1, \dots, t_l\}$ is called a *transversal*.

Definition 8.0.2 (The coset module). Let $\mathcal{H} = \{t_1H, \dots, t_lH\}$. The group G acts on \mathcal{H} via $g(t_iH) := (gt_i)H$. Let $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{H} \in \text{Mod-}G$ denote the corresponding permutation representation, called the coset module.

Example 8.0.3. Let $G = S_3$, $H = \{\text{id}, (23)\}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{H, (12)H, (13)H\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{H} = \{\alpha_1 H + \alpha_2 (12)H + \alpha_3 (13)H : \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

We determine $\rho_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{H}}((12)) \in GL_3(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{H} :

$$\begin{aligned} (12)H &= (12)H \\ (12)(12)H &= H \\ (12)(13)H &= (132)H = (132)(23)H = (13)H \end{aligned}$$

since $(23) \in H$, so the matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 8.0.4. If $\rho : H \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a H -representation, define $\rho \uparrow_H^G : G \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{nl})$ via

$$\rho \uparrow_H^G (g) := \begin{pmatrix} \rho(t_1^{-1}gt_1) & \cdots & \rho(t_1^{-1}gt_l) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho(t_l^{-1}gt_1) & \cdots & \rho(t_l^{-1}gt_l) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\rho(g) = 0$ if $g \notin H$.

$\rho \uparrow_H^G$ is called the *induced representation* of ρ .

Proposition 8.0.5. Let $1 : H \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{C})$ denote the trivial representation of H . Then $1 \uparrow_H^G \in \text{Mod-}G$ and one has $1 \uparrow_H^G \sim \mathbb{C}\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let $\rho := \rho_{1 \uparrow_H^G}$ and $\psi := \rho_{\mathbb{C}\mathcal{H}}$. We claim that $\forall g \in G$, $\rho(g) = \psi(g)$. Note $\forall g \in G$, both $\rho(g)$ and $\psi(g)$ contain only 0s and 1s. Now $\forall g \in G$:

$$\rho(g)_{i,j} = 1 \iff t_i^{-1}gt_j \in H \iff g(t_jH) = t_iH \iff \psi(g)_{i,j} = 1.$$

□

Theorem 8.0.6. $\rho \uparrow_H^G : G \rightarrow GL_{nl}(\mathbb{C})$ is a matrix representation.

Proof. We prove that $\rho \uparrow_H^G (g)$ is a block matrix whose coarse structure is a permutation matrix, i.e. in every row and column of blocks there is exactly one nonzero block. Now for the j th column, the blocks are $\rho(t_1^{-1}gt_j), \rho(t_2^{-1}gt_j), \dots, \rho(t_l^{-1}gt_j)$. But $t_i^{-1}gt_j \in H \iff gt_j \in t_iH$ which is true for exactly one i since the t_iH 's form a disjoint union of G . Analogously for rows. It's also easy to check $\rho \uparrow_H^G (e) = I_{nl}$ since $t_i^{-1}t_j \in H \iff t_j \in t_iH \iff i = j$. It remains to prove $\forall g, h \in G$,

$$\rho \uparrow_H^G (gh) = \rho \uparrow_H^G (g) \rho \uparrow_H^G (h).$$

Consider the (i, j) th block on both sides, it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{k=1}^l \underbrace{\rho(t_i^{-1}gt_k)}_{a_k} \underbrace{\rho(t_k^{-1}ht_j)}_{b_k} = \underbrace{\rho(t_i^{-1}gh t_j)}_c. \quad (*)$$

Week 7, lecture 3 starts here

Note $\forall k$, $a_k b_k = t_i^{-1}gt_k t_k^{-1}ht_j = t_i^{-1}gh t_j = c$.

If $\rho(c) = 0$ then $c \notin H$ so either $a_k \notin H$ or $b_k \notin H \forall k$, i.e. $\rho(a_k) = 0$ or $\rho(b_k) = 0 \forall k$, thus $\sum_k \rho(a_k) \rho(b_k) = 0$, which proves $*$.

If $\rho(c) \neq 0$ then let m be the unique index with $a_m \in H$ (see previous block structure argument), then $b_m = a_m^{-1}c \in H$ and $\sum_k \rho(a_k) \rho(b_k) = \rho(a_m) \rho(b_m) = \rho(a_m b_m) = \rho(c)$ since ρ is representation of H . □

Theorem 8.0.7. A priori the construction process of $\rho \uparrow_H^G$ depends on the set of coset representations. Consider $\rho \uparrow_H^{G,t}$ and $\rho \uparrow_H^{G,s}$ constructed from $\rho : H \rightarrow GL(V)$ using two sets of coset representations $t = (t_1, \dots, t_l)$ and $s = (s_1, \dots, s_l)$ respectively:

$$G = t_1 H \sqcup \dots \sqcup t_l H = s_1 H \sqcup \dots \sqcup s_l H,$$

then $\rho \uparrow_H^{G,t} \sim \rho \uparrow_H^{G,s}$.

Proof. By 7.4.6 it suffices to show $\chi \uparrow_H^{G,t} = \chi \uparrow_H^{G,s}$. One has

$$\chi \uparrow_H^{G,t} = \sum_{i=1}^l \text{tr}(\rho(t_i^{-1} g t_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^l \chi(t_i^{-1} g t_i) \quad (8.0.7.1)$$

and similarly

$$\chi \uparrow_H^{G,s} = \sum_{i=1}^l \chi(s_i^{-1} g s_i). \quad (8.0.7.2)$$

Now note that $t_i H = s_i H \forall i$ (after relabelling), which implies $\forall i, \exists h_i \in H : t_i = s_i h_i$, so

$$t_i^{-1} g t_i = h_i^{-1} s_i^{-1} g s_i h_i,$$

which means

- $t_i^{-1} g t_i \in H$ iff $s_i^{-1} g s_i \in H$
- when both in H , they are conjugate

Hence $\chi(t_i^{-1} g t_i) = \chi(s_i^{-1} g s_i)$. □

Lemma 8.0.8. Let $\rho \in \text{Mod-}H$ with character χ . Then

$$\chi \uparrow_H^G (g) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x^{-1} g x)$$

where $\chi(g) = 0$ if $g \notin H$.

Proof. Cf. proof of 8.0.7. Observe

$$\chi(t_i^{-1} g t_i) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h^{-1} t_i^{-1} g t_i h)$$

which, plugged into 8.0.7.1, gives

$$\chi \uparrow_H^G (g) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}, h \in H} \chi(h^{-1} t_i^{-1} g t_i h)$$

but by going through all the i 's (all the cosets) and $h \in H$ (all elements in the subgroup), $t_i h$ gives us precisely all elements of G , hence

$$\chi \uparrow_H^G (g) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x^{-1} g x). \quad \square$$

Theorem 8.0.9 (Frobenius reciprocity). Let $H \leq G$ and let ψ, χ be characters of H and G respectively. Then

$$\langle \psi \uparrow_H^G, \chi \rangle = \langle \psi, \chi \downarrow_H^G \rangle.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi \uparrow_H^G, \chi \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \psi \uparrow_H^G(g) \chi(g^{-1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G| \cdot |H|} \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{g \in G} \psi(x^{-1}gx) \chi(g^{-1}) \quad \text{by 8.0.8} \\
&= \frac{1}{|G| \cdot |H|} \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{y \in G} \psi(y) \chi(xy^{-1}x^{-1}) \quad \text{writing } y = x^{-1}gx \\
&= \frac{1}{|G| \cdot |H|} \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{y \in G} \psi(y) \chi(y^{-1}) \quad \text{by 4.3.1.4} \\
&= \frac{1}{|G| \cdot |H|} |G| \sum_{y \in G} \psi(y) \chi(y^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{y \in G} \psi(y) \chi(y^{-1}) \quad \text{independence of } x \\
&= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{y \in H} \psi(y) \chi(y^{-1}) \quad \text{since } \psi(y) = 0 \text{ if } y \notin H \\
&= \langle \psi, \chi \downarrow_H^G \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

9 An in-depth example: the symmetric group S_n


9.1 Young subgroup, tableau, tabloid

Definition 9.1.1. A *partition* λ of n is a list $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) \in \mathbb{N}^l$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = n$. One writes $\lambda \vdash n$. The number $l(\lambda) = l$ is the *length* of λ and $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i > l(\lambda)$.

Week 8, lecture 1 starts here

We have seen that $\#$ conjugacy classes in $S_n = \#$ partitions of n .

Definition 9.1.2. For each partition λ we can draw its *Ferrers (or Young) diagram*, for example for $\lambda =$



Notation. For a set A write $S_A := \{\pi : A \rightarrow A \text{ bijective}\}$. In particular $S_n = S_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$.

Definition 9.1.3. Let $\lambda \vdash n$. The *Young subgroup* $S_\lambda \leq S_n$ is

$$S_\lambda = S_{\{1, 2, \dots, \lambda_1\}} \times S_{\{\lambda_1 + 1, \dots, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2\}} \times \dots \times S_{\{n - \lambda_l + 1, \dots, n\}}.$$

Example 9.1.4.

$$S_{\{3, 3, 2, 1\}} = S_{\{1, 2, 3\}} \times S_{\{4, 5, 6\}} \times S_{\{7, 8\}} \times S_{\{9\}}.$$

In general,

$$S_\lambda \cong S_{\lambda_1} \times S_{\lambda_2} \times \dots \times S_{\lambda_l}.$$

Now consider $1 \uparrow_{S_\lambda}^{S_n}$. If π_1, \dots, π_k is a transversal, then S_n acts linearly on

$$V^\lambda = \text{linspan}\{\pi_1 S_\lambda, \dots, \pi_k S_\lambda\}$$

and one has $V^\lambda \sim 1 \uparrow_{S_\lambda}^{S_n}$. See 8.0.5.

Definition 9.1.5. Let $\lambda \vdash n$. A *Young tableau* (or just *tableau*) t of shape λ is an array obtained by writing numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ into the boxes of the Young diagram of λ , each number exactly once.

The shape $\text{sh}(t)$ of a Young tableau is the partition associated to its Young diagram, e.g.

$$\text{sh} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = (3, 2).$$

A Young tableau of shape λ is also called a λ -tableau. For $\lambda \vdash n$ there are $n!$ λ -tableaux.

Let $t_{i,j}$ denote the entry of t at position i, j .

Definition 9.1.6. Two λ -tableaux are *row-equivalent*, denoted $t_1 \sim t_2$, if the corresponding rows contain the same elements. An equivalence class of this is a *tabloid* of shape λ or λ -tabloid, denoted $\{t_1\}$ (so $t_1 \sim t_2 \implies \{t_1\} = \{t_2\}$). We use lines between rows to denote tabloids:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline 5 & 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array} = \dots$$

$\pi \in S_n$ acts on a Young tableau t via $(\pi t)_{i,j} = \pi(t_{i,j})$, which induces an action on tabloids also: $\pi\{t\} = \{\pi t\}$.

Definition 9.1.7. Let $\lambda \vdash n$ and $\{t_1\}, \dots, \{t_k\}$ a complete list of λ -tabloids. Define

$$M^\lambda := \text{linspan}\{\{t_1\}, \dots, \{t_k\}\},$$

the *permutation module* corresponding to λ .

Example 9.1.8. Consider $\lambda = (n)$, giving one-row Young tableaux. Then $M^{(n)} = \mathbb{C} \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}$ with the trivial action.

Now consider $\lambda = (1^n)$, giving one-column Young tableaux. Then $M^{(1^n)} \sim \mathbb{C}S_n$.

Let $\lambda = (n-1, 1)$. Then each tabloid is uniquely defined by the entry at position $(2, 1)$, hence $M^{(n-1, 1)}$ is isomorphic to the permutation representation of S_n on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ defined via $\pi \cdot i = \pi(i)$.

Proposition 9.1.9. $M^\lambda \sim V^\lambda$.

Proof. Fix the Young tableau t^λ that has row-wise consecutive increasing numbers from left to right, e.g.

$$t^{(4,2,1)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 6 & & \\ \hline 7 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and let π_1, \dots, π_k be a transversal for S_λ . Define $\theta : V^\lambda \rightarrow M^\lambda : \pi_i S_\lambda \mapsto \pi_i t^\lambda$. It is easy to verify that θ is an isomorphism of S_n -representations. \square

Week 8, lecture 2 starts here

9.2 Dominance and lexicographic ordering

Definition 9.2.1. A *partial order* on a set A is a relation \leq such that

1. $\forall a \in A, a \leq a$ reflexivity
2. $\forall a, b \in A, a \leq b, b \leq a \implies a = b$ antisymmetry
3. $\forall a, b, c \in A, a \leq b, b \leq c \implies a \leq c$ transitivity

and one says A is a *partially ordered set*, or *poset*. If in addition $\forall a, b \in A$ either $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$, then \leq is a *total order*.

Definition 9.2.2. Let $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$. Then λ *dominates* μ , denoted $\lambda \trianglerighteq \mu$, if

$$\forall k, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i.$$


For example, $(3, 3) \trianglerighteq (2, 2, 1, 1)$. Note it's not a total order, e.g. $(3, 3)$ and $(4, 1, 1)$ are incomparable.

Definition 9.2.3. Let A be a poset. For $b, c \in A$, one says c *covers* b if $c > b$ (meaning $c \geq b$ and $c \neq b$) and $\nexists d \in A : b < d < c$.

The *Hasse diagram* consists of

- a vertex for each $a \in A$
- an arrow from b to c if c covers b

For example,



Lemma 9.2.4 (Dominance lemma for partitions). Let $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$ and t^λ and s^μ be Young tableaux of shape λ and μ respectively. If for each i the elements of row i of s^μ are all in different columns in t^λ , then $\lambda \supseteq \mu$.

Proof. We can sort the entries in each column of t^λ so that the elements of the rows $1, 2, \dots, i$ of s^μ all occur in the first i rows of t^λ . Let $E_i(t)$ denote the set of elements in the first i rows of t . Then

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_i = |E_i(t^\lambda)| \geq |E_i(t^\lambda) \cap E_i(s^\mu)| = |E_i(s^\mu)| = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_i,$$

i.e. $\lambda \supseteq \mu$. □

Definition 9.2.5. Let $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$. One writes $\lambda < \mu$ if one has for some i

1. $\forall j < i, \lambda_j = \mu_j$
2. $\lambda_i < \mu_i$

This is the *lexicographic order*, which is a total order.

For example, $(1^6) < (2, 1^4) < (2^2, 1^2) < (2^3) < (3, 1^3) < (3, 1, 2) < (3, 3) < (4, 1^2) < (4, 2) < (5, 1) < (6)$.

Proposition 9.2.6 (Lexicographic order is a refinement of dominance). Let $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$. If $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ then $\lambda \geq \mu$.

Proof. If $\lambda = \mu$ then we are done, so suppose $\lambda \neq \mu$ and find the smallest i with $\lambda_i \neq \mu_i$, so in particular $\forall k < i, \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j = \sum_{j=1}^k \mu_j$ and since $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ one has $\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j > \sum_{j=1}^i \mu_j$, so $\lambda_i > \mu_i$ and hence $\lambda > \mu$. □

9.3 Specht module

Definition 9.3.1. For a tableaux t with rows R_1, \dots, R_l and columns C_1, \dots, C_k , define the *row-stabiliser*

$$R_t := S_{R_1} \times S_{R_2} \times \dots \times S_{R_l}$$

and the *column-stabiliser*

$$C_t := S_{C_1} \times \dots \times S_{C_k}.$$

Example 9.3.2. For $t = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}$, one has $R_t = S_{\{1,2,4\}} \times S_{\{3,5\}}$ and $C_t = S_{\{3,4\}} \times S_{\{1,5\}} \times S_{\{2\}}$.

Remark. Note that we can identify the tabloid $\{t\}$ with the right coset $R_t t$.

Notation. For any subset $H \subseteq S_n$, define the elements in the group algebra

$$H^+ := \sum_{\pi \in H} \pi, \quad H^- := \sum_{\pi \in H} \text{sgn}(\pi) \pi,$$

in particular, define $\kappa_t := C_t^-$.

Observe that if t has columns C_1, \dots, C_k , then $\kappa_t = \kappa_{C_1} \kappa_{C_2} \cdots \kappa_{C_k}$.

Definition 9.3.3. For a tableau t of shape λ , the associated polytabloid $e_t \in M^\lambda$ is $e_t := \kappa_t \{t\}$.

Example 9.3.4. For $t = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}$, one has

$$\kappa_t = (\text{id} - (3, 4))(\text{id} - (1, 5)) = \text{id} - (3, 4) - (1, 5) + (3, 4)(1, 5),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} e_t &= \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}}{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline 4 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}} - \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}}{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline 3 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}} - \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 1 & \\ \hline \end{array}}{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 1 & \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}} + \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline 4 & 1 & \\ \hline \end{array}}{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 1 & \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}} \\ &= \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}}{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}} - \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}}{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}} - \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}}{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & \\ \hline 2 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array}} + \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array}}{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array}}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 9.3.5. For any partition λ , the Specht module S^λ is defined as the submodule of M^λ spanned by the polytabloids e_t where $\text{sh}(t) = \lambda$.

Lemma 9.3.6. Let t be a tableau and π a permutation. Then

1. $R_{\pi t} = \pi R_t \pi^{-1}$
2. $C_{\pi t} = \pi C_t \pi^{-1}$
3. $\kappa_{\pi t} = \pi \kappa_t \pi^{-1}$
4. $e_{\pi t} = \pi e_t$

Proof. 1. $\sigma \in R_{\pi t} \iff \sigma\{\pi t\} = \{\pi t\} \iff \sigma\pi\{t\} = \pi\{t\} \iff \pi^{-1}\sigma\pi\{t\} = \{t\} \iff \pi^{-1}\sigma\pi \in R_t \iff \sigma \in \pi R_t \pi^{-1}$.

2, 3. Similar.

4. $e_{\pi t} = \kappa_{\pi t} \{\pi t\} = \pi \kappa_t \pi^{-1} \{\pi t\} = \pi \kappa_t \{t\} = \pi e_t$.

□

Example 9.3.7. $S^{(n)} \subseteq M^{(n)}$ is the trivial representation.

Example 9.3.8. Let $\lambda = (1^n)$ and $t = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline n \\ \hline \end{array}$. Then $\kappa_t = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma$. For $\pi \in S_n$, by Lemma 9.3.6 one has

$$e_{\pi t} = \pi e_t = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \pi \sigma \{t\},$$

replacing $\pi \sigma$ by τ one has

$$e_{\pi t} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi^{-1} \tau) \tau \{t\} = \text{sgn}(\pi^{-1}) \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\tau) \tau \{t\} = \text{sgn}(\pi) e_t,$$

thus every polytabloid is a multiple of e_t , hence $S^{(1^n)} = \mathbb{C} e_t$ and $\pi e_t = \text{sgn}(\pi) e_t$ (therefore this is the sign representation).

Example 9.3.9. Let $\lambda = (n-1, 1)$, $t_k = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline i & \cdots & j \\ \hline k & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ and $v_k = \{t_k\}$. Then $e_t = v_k - v_i$ and the span of all such vectors is

$$S^{(n-1,1)} = \{\alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n : \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = 0, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

This is the kernel of Example 6.4.4.

Week 9, lecture 1 starts here

9.4 The submodule theorem

Definition 9.4.1. Define inner product on M^λ via

$$\langle \{t\}, \{s\} \rangle := S_{\{t\}, \{s\}}.$$

Note that $\forall \pi \in S_n$ one has $\langle \{t\}, \{s\} \rangle = \langle \pi\{t\}, \pi\{s\} \rangle$ and hence $\forall u, v \in M^\lambda$, $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle \pi u, \pi v \rangle$.

Notation. $\pi^- := \{\pi\}^- = \text{sgn}(\pi)\pi$.

Lemma 9.4.2 (Sign). Let $H \leq S_n$ be a subgroup. Then

1. If $\pi \in H$ then $\pi H^- = H^- \pi = \text{sgn}(\pi)H^-$, i.e. $\pi^- H^- = H^-$.
2. $\forall u, v \in M^\lambda$, $\langle H^- u, v \rangle = \langle u, H^- v \rangle$.
3. If $(b, c) \in H$ then one can factor $H^- = k \cdot (\text{id} - (b, c))$ for some $k \in \mathbb{C}S_n$.
4. If t is a tableau with b, c in the same row and $(b, c) \in H$ then $H^- \{t\} = 0$.

Proof. 1. Similar to $\pi e_t = \text{sgn}(\pi)e_t$ in 9.3.8:

$$\pi H^- = \sum_{\sigma \in H} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \pi \sigma = \sum_{\tau \in H} \text{sgn}(\pi^{-1} \tau) \tau = \text{sgn}(\pi^{-1}) \sum_{\tau \in H} \text{sgn}(\tau) \tau = \text{sgn}(\pi) H^-.$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H^- u, v \rangle &= \sum_{\pi \in H} \langle \text{sgn}(\pi) \pi u, v \rangle = \sum_{\pi \in H} \langle \text{sgn}(\pi) u, \pi^{-1} v \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in H} \langle u, \text{sgn}(\pi^{-1}) \pi^{-1} v \rangle = \sum_{\pi \in H} \langle u, \text{sgn}(\pi) \pi v \rangle = \langle u, H^- v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

3. Consider the subgroup $\{\text{id}, (b, c)\} \leq H$. Take a transversal

$$k_1 \{\text{id}, (b, c)\} \sqcup k_2 \{\text{id}, (b, c)\} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \cdots$$

Observe

$$\left(\sum_i k_i^- \right) (\text{id} - (b, c)) = H^-$$

as desired.

4. By assumption, $(b, c)\{t\} = \{t\}$, so

$$H^- \{t\} = k \cdot (\text{id} - (b, c))\{t\} = 0.$$

□

Corollary 9.4.3. Let $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$ and t a λ -tableau and s a μ -tableau. If $\kappa_t \{s\} \neq 0$ then $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ and if $\lambda = \mu$ then $\kappa_t \{s\} \in \{-e_t, e_t\}$.

Proof. Let b, c be two elements in the same row of s . If they are also in the same column of t then by 9.4.2.4 $\kappa_t \{s\} = 0$. If not then 9.2.4 gives $\lambda \supseteq \mu$.

If additionally $\lambda = \mu$ then by the same argument one can reorder within columns of t , i.e. $\exists \pi \in C_t : \{s\} = \pi\{t\}$, and 9.4.2.1 gives $\kappa_t \{s\} = \kappa_t \pi\{t\} = \text{sgn}(\pi) \kappa_t \{t\} \in \{\pm e_t\}$. □

Corollary 9.4.4. If $u \in M^\mu$ and $\text{sh}(t) = \mu$ then $\kappa_t u$ is a multiple of e_t .

Proof. Write $u = \sum_i \alpha_i \{s_i\}$ where $\{s_i\}$ are μ -tabloids. Corollary 9.4.3 gives

$$\kappa_t u = \kappa_t \sum_i \alpha_i \{s_i\} = \sum_i \alpha_i \kappa_t \{s_i\} = \left(\sum_i \pm \alpha_i \right) e_t.$$

□

Week 9, lecture 2 starts here

Notation. For a linear subspace $U \subseteq M^\mu$, define

$$U^\perp := \{v \in M^\mu : \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \ \forall u \in U\}.$$

Theorem 9.4.5 (Submodule). If $U \subseteq M^\mu$ is a submodule then $S^\mu \subseteq U$ or $U \subseteq (S^\mu)^\perp$.

Proof. For all $u \in U$ and a μ -tableau t we know $\exists \alpha_{u,t} \in \mathbb{C} : \kappa_t u = \alpha_{u,t} e_t$ by 9.4.4.

Case 1: $\exists u, t : \alpha_{u,t} \neq 0$. Since $u \in U$ one has $\alpha_{u,t} e_t = \kappa_t u \in U$, hence $e_t = \alpha_{u,t}^{-1} \kappa_t u \in U$. Therefore $\forall \pi \in S_n$, $e_{\pi t} = \pi e_t \in U$ and so $S^\mu \subseteq U$.

Case 2: $\alpha_{u,t} = 0 \ \forall u, t$. The e_t with $\text{sh}(t) = \mu$ spans S^μ . Let $u \in U$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, e_t \rangle &= \langle u, \kappa_t \{t\} \rangle \\ &= \langle \kappa_t u, \{t\} \rangle \quad \text{by 9.4.2.2} \\ &= \langle 0, \{t\} \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 9.4.6. If $0 \neq f \in \text{Hom}_{S_n}(S^\lambda, M^\mu)$ then $\lambda \supseteq \mu$. If $\lambda = \mu$ then f is multiplication by a scalar.

Proof. Since $f \neq 0$ and S^λ is generated by the e_t , there must be an $e_t : f(e_t) \neq 0$. Now $M^\lambda = S^\lambda \oplus (S^\lambda)^\perp$. Thus we can extend f to an element of $\text{Hom}_{S_n}(M^\lambda, M^\mu)$ by setting $f(v) = 0 \ \forall v \in (S^\lambda)^\perp$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq f(e_t) &= f(\kappa_t \{t\}) = \kappa_t f(\{t\}) = \kappa_t \sum_i \alpha_i \{s_i\} \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i \kappa_t \{s_i\} \quad \text{for some } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } s_i \text{ are } \mu\text{-tableaux} \end{aligned}$$

and $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ by 9.4.3.

If $\lambda = \mu$ then by 9.4.4 $f(e_t) = \sum_i \alpha_i \kappa_t \{s_i\} = \alpha e_t$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, so for every $\pi \in S_n$, $f(e_{\pi t}) = f(\pi e_t) = \pi f(e_t) = \pi \alpha e_t = \alpha e_{\pi t}$. □

Theorem 9.4.7. The S^λ for $\lambda \vdash n$ form a complete list of irreducible S_n -representations.

Proof. Let $U \subseteq S^\lambda$ be a subrepresentation. By Theorem 9.4.5, either $S^\lambda \subseteq U$ or $U \subseteq (S^\lambda)^\perp$, so either $U = S^\lambda$ or $U \subseteq S^\lambda \cap (S^\lambda)^\perp = \{0\}$, i.e. S^λ is irreducible.

Since we have the correct number of irreducible representations, it remains to show that they are pairwise nonisomorphic. Suppose $S^\lambda \sim S^\mu$, then there is a nonzero $f \in \text{Hom}_{S_n}(S^\lambda, S^\mu)$ which can be interpreted as $f \in \text{Hom}_{S_n}(S^\lambda, M^\mu)$ since $S^\mu \subseteq M^\mu$. Then by 9.4.6 $\lambda \supseteq \mu$. Symmetrically $\mu \supseteq \lambda$, so $\lambda = \mu$. □

Week 9, lecture 3 starts here

Corollary 9.4.8.

$$M^\mu \sim \bigoplus_{\lambda \supseteq \mu} (S^\lambda)^{\oplus m_{\lambda, \mu}},$$

with $m_{\mu, \mu} = 1 \ \forall \mu$.

Proof. If S^λ appears in M^μ with nonzero multiplicity (i.e. $m_{\lambda, \mu} \geq 1$) then there exists an injective S_n -homomorphism $f : S^\lambda \rightarrow M^\mu$, so by 9.4.6 $\lambda \supseteq \mu$.

Now $m_{\mu, \mu} \geq 1$ by definition of $S^\mu \subseteq M^\mu$. Suppose for contradiction $m_{\mu, \mu} \geq 2$. Then one can take any decomposition of M^μ into irreducibles

$$M^\mu = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n, \lambda \supseteq \mu} (V_{\lambda,1} \oplus V_{\lambda,2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\lambda, m_{\lambda, \mu}}) \quad \text{where } \forall i, V_{\lambda, i} \sim S^\lambda.$$

Take the isomorphism $f_1 : S^\mu \rightarrow V_{\mu,1}$ and $f_2 : S^\mu \rightarrow V_{\mu,2}$, then

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 \in \text{Hom}_{S_n}(S^\mu, M^\mu)$$

and in particular, $\dim \text{Hom}_{S_n}(S^\mu, M^\mu) \geq 2$. But $\dim \text{Hom}_{S_n}(S^\mu, M^\mu) = 1$ by 9.4.6. \square

9.5 Standard tableaux and basis for S^λ : linear independence

Definition 9.5.1. A tableau is *standard* if the rows are increasing from left to right and the columns are increasing from top to bottom. In this case, the corresponding is tabloid and polytabloid are also *standard*.

e.g.

1	2	3
4	6	
5		

 is standard but

1	2	3
5	4	
6		

 is not.

Theorem 9.5.2. The set $\{e_t : t \text{ is a standard } \lambda\text{-tableau}\}$ is a basis of S^λ .

Example 9.5.3. S_3 , $\lambda = (2, 1)$. Then

$$e_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}} = \frac{\overline{1 \ 2}}{\underline{3}} - \frac{\overline{3 \ 2}}{\underline{1}} = \frac{\overline{1 \ 2}}{\underline{3}} - \frac{\overline{2 \ 3}}{\underline{1}},$$

$$e_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}} = \frac{\overline{2 \ 1}}{\underline{3}} - \frac{\overline{3 \ 1}}{\underline{2}} = \frac{\overline{1 \ 2}}{\underline{3}} - \frac{\overline{1 \ 3}}{\underline{2}},$$

and

$$e_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}} = \frac{\overline{1 \ 3}}{\underline{2}} - \frac{\overline{2 \ 3}}{\underline{1}}.$$

Now notice that

$$e_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}} - e_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}} = e_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}}$$

and indeed that

1	2
3	

 and

1	3
2	

 are standard.

Definition 9.5.4. A *composition* of n is a sequence of nonnegative integers $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = n$. Every partition is a composition.

One extend the notions of Young diagrams/tableaux/tabloids and dominance order to compositions with verbatim definitions, e.g. $(5, 3, 4, 4) \succeq (4, 4, 3, 5)$.

Given $\{t\}$ with $\text{sh}(t) = \lambda$, $\lambda \vdash n$, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ define

$$\{t^i\} := \text{the tabloid formed by all elements } \leq i \text{ in } \{t\}$$

and

$$\lambda^i := \text{the composition that is the shape of } \{t^i\},$$

e.g. for $\{t\} = \frac{\overline{2 \ 4}}{\underline{1 \ 3}}$,

$$\{t^1\} = \frac{\overline{\quad}}{\underline{1}}, \quad \{t^2\} = \frac{\overline{2}}{\underline{1}}, \quad \{t^3\} = \frac{\overline{2}}{\underline{1 \ 3}}, \quad \{t^4\} = \frac{\overline{2 \ 4}}{\underline{1 \ 3}}$$


and

$$\lambda^1 = (0, 1), \quad \lambda^2 = (1, 1), \quad \lambda^3 = (1, 2), \quad \lambda^4 = (2, 2),$$

which is called a *composition sequence*.

Definition 9.5.5. For two tabloids $\{s\}, \{t\}$ with composition sequences λ^i and μ^i respectively. One say $\{s\}$ *dominates* $\{t\}$, denoted $\{s\} \supseteq \{t\}$, if $\forall i, \lambda^i \supseteq \mu^i$.

Example 9.5.6. The Hasse diagram for $(2, 2)$ -tabloids:



Lemma 9.5.7 (Dominance lemma for tabloids). If $k < l$ and k appears in a lower row than l in $\{t\}$, then $\{t\} \triangleleft (k, l)\{t\}$.

Week 10, lecture 1 starts here

Proof. Let λ^i be the composition sequence of $\{t\}$ and μ^i that of $(k, l)\{t\}$. Then for $i < k$ and $i \geq l$ one has $\lambda^i = \mu^i$, so consider $k \leq i < l$. Let r be the row of $\{t\}$ in which k appears and q be that of $\{t\}$ in which l does. Note that $q < r$ by assumption. Then $\lambda^i = \mu^i$ with the q -th part decreased by 1 and r -th part increased by 1. Since $q < r$, one has $\lambda^i \triangleleft \mu^i$. \square

Definition 9.5.8. For $v = \sum_i \alpha_i \{t_i\} \in M^\mu$, one says $\{t_i\}$ *appears* in v if $\alpha_i \neq 0$.

Corollary 9.5.9. If t is standard and $\{s\}$ appears in e_t , then $\{t\} \supseteq \{s\}$.

Proof. Let $s = \pi t$ for some $\pi \in C_t$ so $\{s\}$ appears in e_t . We prove by induction on number of pairs $k < l$ in the same column of s such that k is in a lower row than l . Such a pair is called a *column inversion*. Given any such pair, Lemma 9.5.7 implies $\{s\} \triangleleft (k, l)\{s\}$. But $(k, l)\{s\}$ has fewer column inversions than $\{s\}$: to prove this, note that only the entries between k and l must be considered, and for each of those, the number of inversions they are involved in cannot increase. Hence, by induction, $(k, l)\{s\} \leq \{t\}$. \square

Corollary 9.5.10. $\{t\}$ is the maximum tabloid that appears in e_t .

Definition 9.5.11. Let (A, \leq) be a poset. Then an element $b \in A$ is the *maximum* if $\forall c \in A, b \geq c$, and an element $b \in A$ is a *maximal element* if $\forall c \in A, b \not\leq c$. Minimum and minimality are defined analogously.

Proposition 9.5.12. The set $\{e_t : t \text{ is a standard } \lambda\text{-tableau}\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. Distinct standard tableaux $s \neq t$ have distinct tabloids $\{s\} \neq \{t\}$. By 9.5.10, $\{t\}$ is the maximum tabloid in e_t . Sort the standard λ -tableaux t_1, \dots, t_m so that $\{t_1\}$ is the maximal among the $\{t_i\}$. Hence, $\{t_1\}$ only appears in e_{t_1} and not in any other e_{t_i} . Hence, every zero combination $\alpha_1 e_{t_1} + \dots + \alpha_m e_{t_m} = 0$ must have $\alpha_i = 0$ because otherwise the coefficients for $\{t_1\}$ do not cancel. Remove t_1 from the list and continue inductively with the next maximal tabloid. \square

It is also true that $\{e_t : t \text{ is a standard tableau}\}$ spans S^λ but we will not prove it in class. A proof can be found in Sagan's book *The symmetric group*, 2nd ed., Section 2.6. This proves Theorem 9.5.2.

Week 10, lecture 2 starts here

10 More examples

10.1 Alternating group A_4

Recall $A_4 = \{\pi \in S_4 : \text{sgn}(\pi) = 1\}$, which is isomorphic to group of rotations \mathbb{R}^3 that stabilises a regular tetrahedron with barycentre the origin, and $|A_4| = 12 = |S_4|/2$.

Let $x = (1, 2)(3, 4)$, $y = (1, 3)(2, 4)$, $z = (1, 4)(2, 3)$ and $t = (1, 2, 3)$. Now $K := \{\text{id}, t, t^2\}$ is clearly a subgroup of A_4 , but $H := \{\text{id}, x, y, z\}$ is as well since

$$xy = z = yx, \quad xz = y = zx, \quad yz = x = zy. \quad (\text{G.1})$$

Recall 1.1.9 and note that

$$txt^{-1} = z, \quad tzt^{-1} = y, \quad tyt^{-1} = x, \quad (\text{G.2})$$

and hence H is normal.

Every element of A_4 can be written as hk where $h \in H, k \in K$ by shifting via G.2. The presentation is unique since $|H| \cdot |K| = |A_4|$.

Claim 10.1.1. The conjugacy classes in A_4 are $\{\text{id}\}$, $\{x, y, z\}$, $\{t, tx, ty, tz\}$, $\{t^2, t^2x, t^2y, t^2z\}$.

Proof. Indeed all 4 sets are closed under conjugation with t by G.2. Similarly, conjugation with x, y or z does not change exponent of t in the unique representation hk .

Define $s : H \rightarrow H : h \mapsto tht^{-1}$. Then $\forall i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $s(t^i h) = t(t^i h)t^{-1} = t^i tht^{-1} = t^i s(h)$ and $\forall i \in \{1, 2\}$, $xt^i x^{-1} = xt^i x = t^i s^i(x)x = \begin{cases} ty & \text{if } i = 1 \\ t^2 z & \text{if } i = 2 \end{cases}$. \square

For the 1-dimensional representations of A_4 , let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and one obtains 3 non-isomorphic 1-dimensional irreducible characters of A_4 via $\forall h \in H$, $\chi_i(ht^j) = \zeta^{ij}$. Now $\chi_i : A_4 \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{C})$ is indeed a group homomorphism since the conversion to normed form hk does not change the exponent of t , which implies

$$\forall h_1, h_2 \in H, \exists h \in H : \chi_i(h_1 t^{j_1} h_2 t^{j_2}) = \chi_i(ht^{j_1+j_2}) = \zeta^{i(j_1+j_2)} = \zeta^{ij_1} \zeta^{ij_2} = \chi_i(h_1 t^{j_1}) \chi_i(h_2 t^{j_2}).$$

Now by 7.4.5 and 7.5.1, there must be one remaining 3-dimensional irreducible representation. One can try and check if $S^{3,1} \downarrow_{A_4}^{S_4}$ is irreducible: $\dim S^{(3,1)} = \#$ standard tableaux of shape $(3, 1)$:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Week 10, lecture 3 starts here

Now

$$\begin{aligned} e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array} &= \frac{\overline{1 \ 3 \ 4}}{\underline{2}} - \frac{\overline{2 \ 3 \ 4}}{\underline{1}} \\ e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline \end{array} &= \frac{\overline{1 \ 2 \ 4}}{\underline{3}} - \frac{\overline{3 \ 2 \ 4}}{\underline{1}} = \frac{\overline{1 \ 2 \ 4}}{\underline{3}} - \frac{\overline{2 \ 3 \ 4}}{\underline{1}} \\ e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} &= \frac{\overline{1 \ 2 \ 3}}{\underline{4}} - \frac{\overline{4 \ 2 \ 3}}{\underline{1}} = \frac{\overline{1 \ 2 \ 3}}{\underline{4}} - \frac{\overline{2 \ 3 \ 4}}{\underline{1}} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
xe \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array} &= e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array} = e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 4 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \frac{\overline{2 \ 4 \ 3}}{1} - \frac{\overline{1 \ 4 \ 3}}{2} = -e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\
xe \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline \end{array} &= e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline \end{array} = e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \frac{\overline{2 \ 1 \ 3}}{4} - \frac{\overline{4 \ 1 \ 3}}{2} = e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} - e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\
xe \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} &= e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} = e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \frac{\overline{2 \ 1 \ 4}}{3} - \frac{\overline{3 \ 1 \ 4}}{2} = e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline \end{array} - e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

which gives us the representation matrix of x

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis

$$e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array}, e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & & \\ \hline \end{array}, e \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

with trace -1 . One continues and calculates $\psi = \chi_{(3,1)} \downarrow_{A_4}^{S_4}$:

$$\psi(\text{id}) = 3, \quad \psi(x) = -1, \quad \psi(t) = 0, \quad \psi(t^2) = 0$$

One verifies with Lemma 7.4.9 that ψ is irreducible:

$$\langle \psi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{12}(1 \cdot 3^2 + 3 \times (-1)^2 + 0) = 1.$$

The character table is

A_4	$\text{id}_{(1)}$	$x_{(3)}$	$t_{(4)}$	$t_{(4)}^2$
χ_0	1	1	1	1
χ_1	1	1	ζ	ζ^2
χ_2	1	1	ζ^2	ζ
ψ	3	-1	0	0

where ζ is the cubic root of unity.

10.2 Dihedral group

Recall that $D_{2n} = \langle r, s \mid r^n = 1, s^2 = 1, srs^{-1} = r^{-1} \rangle$ and $|D_{2n}| = 2n$. With the 1-dimensional representations $\phi : D_{2n} \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{C})$,

$$(\phi(r), \phi(s)) \in \begin{cases} \{(1, 1), (1, -1)\} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \{(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1)\} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$ and for $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the representation

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^h : D_{2n} &\rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \\
r^k &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{hk} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-hk} \end{pmatrix} \\
sr^k &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta^{hk} \\ \zeta^{-hk} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

(Verify that $\rho^h = \rho_{\zeta^h} \uparrow_{C_n}^{D_n}$.)

Claim 10.2.1. For $0 < h < \frac{n}{2}$, ρ^h is irreducible. (Check common eigenvectors of the two matrices.)

The characters χ_h of ρ^h :

$$\chi_h(r^k) = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi hk}{n}, \quad \chi_h(sr^k) = 0$$

Verify Lemma 7.5.1: if n is even,

$$4 \cdot 1^2 + \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \cdot 2^2 = 2n = |D_{2n}|,$$

and if n is odd

$$2 \cdot 1^2 + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \cdot 2^2 = 2n = |D_{2n}|.$$

10.3 Quaternion group Q_8

Recall that $Q_8 = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$ and $|Q_8| = 8$. We found (see HW2 Q1) that there are 4 1-dimensional representations and there is 1 2-dimensional representation

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : Q_8 &\rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \\ a &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \\ b &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The two matrices similarly have no common eigenvectors so the representation is irreducible. Applying 7.5.1:

$$1 \cdot 2^2 + 4 \cdot 1^2 = 8 = |Q_8|$$

and as a corollary we get that there are 5 conjugacy classes in Q_8 for free; in fact the character table is

Q_8	$\text{id}_{(1)}$	$a_{(2)}$	$ab_{(2)}$	$b_{(2)}$	$a_{(1)}^2$
$\chi_{1,1}$	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_{1,-1}$	1	1	-1	-1	1
$\chi_{-1,1}$	1	-1	-1	1	1
$\chi_{-1,-1}$	1	-1	1	-1	1
ϕ	2	0	0	0	-2