UCI

Evaluation Results

Generated by EEE+ Evaluations on 10/28/23 3:19PM

Gao, Jieyu
ECON 123B LEC A: ECONOMETRICS II (62200)

Instructor

Instructor Name: Gao, Jieyu
Title: TEACHG ASST-GSHIP
UCI Email: jieyug1@uci.edu
Department: Soc Sci/Graduate Students

Class

Term: Winter 2022
Section: ECON 123B LEC A: ECONOMETRICS II (62200)
Enrollment Count: 102

Evaluation

Form: SOCIAL SCIENCES TA EVAL FORM
Total Enrollment: 102
Total Responses: 21
Response Rate: 21%
Response Window: 2/27/22 12:00AM - 3/13/22 11:50PM

Evaluation Results

Departments and Instructors find students' written comments the most valuable element of course evaluations. Your evaluations are anonymous and not available until grades are posted.

Your feedback is important and matters. To help faculty get the most out of your feedback, please keep the following in mind:

*Specific constructive suggestions that focus on your learning and instructional choices are central to your feedback. Offer examples when needed – both positive and negative.

*Remember that you are writing to your instructor. When providing constructive feedback, be professional and respectful. Do not use offensive language or labels.

*Comments that are not related to your learning diminish the value of your feedback. For example, it is not helpful to comment upon an instructor's appearance or to include personal insults in your feedback.

Please compare this teaching assistant with others who have been your T.A. for courses taken at UCI. If a question does not apply to this course, choose the "0" or "Not Applicable" answer. All answers are anonymous.

ATTENDANCE

Question #1 • Multiple Choice • 17 responses (81%)
How often did you attend discussion sections?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
Never	6	35%
Once	2	12%
2 - 3 times	1	6%
4 - 5 times	4	24%
6 - 7 times	2	12%
8 times	0	0%
More than 8 times	2	12%

Question #2 • Multiple Choice • 17 responses (81%)
How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
Never	12	71%
Once	0	0%
2 - 3 times	4	24%
4 - 5 times	1	6%
6 - 7 times	0	0%
8 times	0	0%
More than 8 times	0	0%

PRESENTATION

Grid Question Header

Question #3 • Grid Question • 19 responses (90%)
T.A. was competent in course material:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	2	11%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4 (OK or Average)	2	11%
5	2	11%
6	4	21%
7 (Among Best)	9	47%

Question #4 • Grid Question • 19 responses (90%)
T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	2	11%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4 (OK or Average)	2	11%
5	3	16%
6	4	21%
7 (Among Best)	8	42%

Question #5 • Grid Question • 19 responses (90%)
T.A. was responsive to students:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	3	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4 (OK or Average)	2	11%
5	3	16%
6	2	11%
7 (Among Best)	9	47%

Question #6 • Grid Question • 19 responses (90%)
T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	3	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4 (OK or Average)	2	11%
5	2	11%
6	3	16%
7 (Among Best)	9	47%

Question #7 • Grid Question • 19 responses (90%)
T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	3	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4 (OK or Average)	2	11%
5	2	11%
6	3	16%
7 (Among Best)	9	47%

Question #8 • Grid Question • 19 responses (90%)
The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	3	16%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4 (OK or Average)	2	11%
5	2	11%
6	3	16%
7 (Among Best)	9	47%

Question #9 • Grid Question • 19 responses (90%)
I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	2	11%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4 (OK or Average)	2	11%
5	2	11%
6	3	16%
7 (Among Best)	10	53%

EFFECTIVENESS

Question #10 • Multiple Choice • 19 responses (90%)
Please rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
0 (N/A or Unsure)	2	11%
1 (Among Worst)	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4 (OK or Average)	1	5%
5	4	21%
6	4	21%
7 (Among Best)	8	42%

COMMENTS

Question #11 • Text Response • 6 responses (29%)
What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

Results:

- Jieyu gave helpful feedback on the homework assignments and helped me understand what type of mistakes I made. This helped me review the homework and know what to do differently for future assignments.
- The TA was able to capture some of the key points explained by the professor and re-explain them well, and the TA gave examples of questions that we were able to find in the assignment.
- This TA would send us many helpful reminders.
- Clear and useful examples.
- Good grader.
- no

Question #12 • Text Response • 4 responses (19%)
Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?

Results:

- I wish she was a little more specific about reducing the grade and offer more feedback.
- Summary the lecture materials.
- No.
- no