Problem Set 1

Due 9:30am January 23, 2014

Only one late period is allowed for this homework (01/28).

General Instructions

These questions require thought, but do not require long answers. Please be as concise as possible. Please fill the cover sheet and submit it as a front page with your answers. We will **subtract 2 points** for failing to include the cover sheet. Include the names of your collaborators (see the course website for the collaboration or honor code policy).

Regular (non-SCPD) students should submit hard copies of the answers and upload the source code (see course website FAQ for further instructions). You should also include source code and any other files you used in the paper submission.

SCPD students should submit their answers through SCPD. The submission must include the cover sheet, all the answers, the source code and the usual SCPD routing form http://scpd.stanford.edu/generalInformation/pdf/SCPD_HomeworkRouteForm.pdf.

Additionally, all students (Regular and SCPD) should upload all code.

Cover Sheet: http://cs246.stanford.edu/cover.pdf

Code Upload: http://snap.stanford.edu/submit/

Questions

1 MapReduce (25 pts) [Jason/Mike]

Write a MapReduce program in Hadoop that implements a simple "People You Might Know" social network friendship recommendation algorithm. The key idea is that if two people have a lot of mutual friends, then the system should recommend that they connect with each other.

Input:

Download the input file from the link: http://snap.stanford.edu/class/cs246-data/hw1q1.zip.

The input file contains the adjacency list and has multiple lines in the following format:

```
<User><TAB><Friends>
```

Here, <User> is a unique integer ID corresponding to a unique user and <Friends> is a comma separated list of unique IDs corresponding to the friends of the user with the unique

ID <User>. Note that the friendships are mutual (i.e., edges are undirected): if A is friend with B then B is also friend with A.

Algorithm: Let us use a simple algorithm such that, for each user U, the algorithm recommends N = 10 users who are not already friends with U, but have the most number of mutual friends in common with U.

Output: The output should contain one line per user in the following format:

<User><TAB><Recommendations>

where <User> is a unique ID corresponding to a user and <Recommendations> is a comma separated list of unique IDs corresponding to the algorithm's recommendation of people that <User> might know, ordered in decreasing number of mutual friends. Even if a user has less than 10 second-degree friends, output all of them in decreasing order of the number of mutual friends. If there are recommended users with the same number of mutual friends, then output those user IDs in numerically ascending order.

Also, please provide a description of how you are going to use MapReduce jobs to solve this problem. Don't write more than 3 to 4 sentences for this: we only want a very high-level description of your strategy to tackle this problem.

Note: It is possible to solve this question with a single MapReduce job. But if your solution requires multiple map reduce jobs, then that's fine too.

What to submit

- (1) Submit the source code via the electronic submission website and include a printout with your writeup.
- (2) Include in your writeup a short paragraph describing your algorithm to tackle this problem.
- (3) Include in your writeup the recommendations for the users with following user IDs: 924, 8941, 8942, 9019, 9020, 9021, 9022, 9990, 9992, 9993.

2 Association Rules (30 pts) [Ashley/Alex/Neeraj]

Association Rules are frequently used for Market Basket Analysis (MBA) by retailers to understand the purchase behavior of their customers. This information can be then used for many different purposes such as cross-selling and up-selling of products, sales promotions, loyalty programs, store design, discount plans and many others.

Evaluation of item sets: Once you have found the frequent itemsets of a dataset, you need to choose a subset of them as your recommendations. Commonly used metrics for measuring significance and interest for selecting rules for recommendations are:

1. Confidence (denoted as $conf(A \to B)$): Confidence is defined as the probability of occurrence of B in the basket if the basket already contains A:

$$conf(A \to B) = Pr(B|A),$$

where Pr(B|A) is the conditional probability of finding item set B given that item set A is present.

2. **Lift** (denoted as lift $(A \to B)$): Lift measures how much more "A and B occur together" than "what would be expected if A and B were statistically independent":

$$\operatorname{lift}(A \to B) = \frac{\operatorname{conf}(A \to B)}{S(B)},$$

where $S(B) = \frac{\text{Support}(B)}{N}$ and N = total number of transactions.

3. Conviction (denoted as $conv(A \to B)$): Conviction compares the "probability that A appears without B if they were independent" with the "actual frequency of the appearance of A without B":

$$\operatorname{conv}(A \to B) = \frac{1 - S(B)}{1 - \operatorname{conf}(A \to B)}.$$

(a) [3pts]

A drawback of using confidence is that it ignores Pr(B). Why is this a drawback? Explain why *lift* and conviction do not suffer from this drawback?

(b) [3pts]

A measure is symmetrical if measure $(A \to B) = \text{measure}(B \to A)$. Are all the measures presented here symmetrical? Explain.

(c) [4pts]

A measure is *desirable* if its value is maximal for rules that hold 100% of the time (such rules are called *perfect implications*). This makes it easy to identify the best rules. Which of the above measures have this property? Explain why.

Product Recommendations: The action or practice of selling additional products or services to existing customers is called *cross-selling*. Giving product recommendation is one of the examples of cross-selling that are frequently used by online retailers. One simple method to give product recommendations is to recommend products that are frequently browsed together by the customers.

Suppose we want to recommend new products to the customer based on the products they have already browsed on the online website. Write a program using the A-priori algorithm to find products which are frequently browsed together. Fix the support to s = 100 (i.e. product pairs need to occur together at least 100 times to be considered frequent) and find itemsets of size 2 and 3.

Use the online browsing behavior dataset at: http://snap.stanford.edu/class/cs246-data/browsing.txt. Each line represents a browsing session of a customer. On each line, each string of 8 characters represents the id of an item browsed during that session. The items are separated by spaces.

Note: for parts (d) and (e), the writeup will require a specific rule ordering but the program need not sort the output.

(d) [10pts]

List the top 5 rules with corresponding confidence scores in decreasing order of *confidence* score for itemsets of size 2. (Break ties, if any, by lexicographical order on the left hand side of the rule.)

(e) [10pts]

List the top 5 rules with corresponding confidence scores in decreasing order of *confidence* score for itemsets of size 3. A rule is of the form: (item1, item2) \Rightarrow item3. (Order the left hand side pair lexicographically. Then break ties, if any, by lexicographical order of the first then the second item in the pair.)

What to submit

Submit a print-out and upload code for all subparts.

- (a) Explanation.
- (b) Explanation.
- (c) Explanaion.
- (d) Top 5 rules with confidence scores.
- (e) Top 5 rules with confidence scores.

3 Locality-Sensitive Hashing (15 pts) [Bob/Hristo]

An alternative definition for locality-sensitive hashing schemes is:

Definition A locality-sensitive hashing scheme is a set \mathcal{F} of hash functions that operate on a set of objects, such that for two objects x, y,

$$\Pr_{h \in \mathcal{F}}[h(x) = h(y)] = \sin(x, y),$$

where sim is a similarity function that maps a pair of objects (x, y) to a single real number in [0, 1].

In class, we discussed the locality-sensitive hashing schemes for some standard similarity functions like Jaccard similarity and cosine similarity. However, not all similarity functions have a locality-sensitive hashing scheme of this form. In this question, we prove a necessary condition for the similarity function and use it on some simple functions to show that they have no locality-sensitive hashing scheme of this form.

(a) [5pts] Necessary condition

For a similarity function sim to have a locality-sensitive hashing scheme of the form given above, prove that the function $d(x,y) = 1 - \sin(x,y)$ has to satisfy the triangle inequality. (Hint: Triangle inequality is $d(x,y) + d(y,z) \ge d(x,z)$, for all x,y,z.)

(b) [5pts]

By means of a counterexample, show that there is no locality-sensitive hashing scheme for the Overlap similarity function:

$$\operatorname{sim}_{\operatorname{Over}}(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{\min(|A|, |B|)},$$

where A, B are two sets.

(c) [5pts]

By means of a counterexample, show that there is no locality-sensitive hashing scheme for the Dice similarity function:

$$\operatorname{sim}_{\operatorname{Dice}}(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{\frac{1}{2}(|A| + |B|)},$$

¹ Side note: This is a more restrictive definition than that in Section 3.6.1 of the MMDS book: in terms of Fig. 3.9 in the book, our definition here would correspond to the linear function p = 1 - d, with $p_1 = p_2$ and $d_1 = d_2$. That is, if the triangle inequality does not hold, you may still obtain an LSH scheme in the sense of the book, but not in the stricter sense we consider in this problem.

where A, B are two sets.

What to submit

- (a) Proof
- (b) Counterexample
- (c) Counterexample

4 LSH for Approximate Near Neighbor Search (30 pts) [Ashwin/Sammy]

In this problem, we study the application of LSH to the problem of finding approximate near neighbors.

Assume we have a dataset \mathcal{A} of n points in a metric space with distance metric $d(\cdot,\cdot)$. Consider the (c,λ) -Approximate Near Neighbor (ANN) problem: Given a query point z, assuming there is a point x in the dataset with $d(x,z) \leq \lambda$, return a point x' from the dataset with $d(x',z) \leq c\lambda$ with c > 1 (this point is called a (c,λ) -ANN). The parameter c therefore represents the maximum approximation factor allowed in the problem.

Let us consider a LSH family \mathcal{H} of hash functions that is $(\lambda, c\lambda, p_1, p_2)$ -sensitive for the distance measure $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}^k = \{g = (h_1, \dots, h_k) | h_i \in \mathcal{H}, \ \forall \ 1 \leq i \leq k\}$, where $k = \log_{1/p_2}(n)$.

Let us consider the following procedure:

- 1. Select $L = n^{\rho}$ random members g_1, \ldots, g_L of \mathcal{G} , where $\rho = \frac{\log(1/p_1)}{\log(1/p_2)}$.
- 2. Hash all the data points as well as the query point using all g_i $(1 \le i \le L)$.
- 3. Retrieve at most 3 3L data points (chosen uniformly at random) from the set of L buckets where the query point hashes.
- 4. Among the points selected in phase 3, report the one that is the closest to the query point as a (c, λ) -ANN.

The goal of the first part of this problem is to show that this procedure leads to a correct answer with constant probability.

²Basically, the equality $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}^k$ is saying that every function of \mathcal{G} is composed of k functions of \mathcal{H} .

 $^{^{3}}$ If there are less than 3L data points hashing to the same buckets as the query point, just take all of them.

(a) [5 pts]

Let $W_j = \{x \in \mathcal{A} | g_j(x) = g_j(z)\}\ (1 \leq j \leq L)$ be the set of data points x mapping to the same value as the query point z by the hash function g_j . Define $T = \{x \in \mathcal{A} | d(x, z) > c\lambda\}$. Prove:

$$\Pr\left[\sum_{j=1}^{L} |T \cap W_j| \geqslant 3L\right] \leqslant \frac{1}{3}.$$

(Hint: Markov's Inequality.)

(b) [5 pts]

Let $x^* \in \mathcal{A}$ be a point such that $d(x^*, z) \leq \lambda$. Prove:

$$\Pr \left[\forall \ 1 \le j \le L, \ g_j(x^*) \ne g_j(z) \right] < \frac{1}{e}.$$

(c) [5 pts]

Conclude that with a constant probability the reported point is an actual (c, λ) -ANN.

(d) [15 pts]

A dataset of images⁴, patches.mat, is provided in: http://snap.stanford.edu/class/cs246-data/lsh.zip

Each column in this dataset is a 20×20 image patch represented as a 400-dimensional vector. We would like to compare the performance of LSH-based approximate near neighbor search with that of linear search. You should use the code provided with the dataset for this task. The included ReadMe.txt file explains how to use the provided code. In particular, you will need to use the functions 1sh and 1shlookup. We will use the L_1 distance measure, and the corresponding LSH with L=10, k=24. Feel free to use other parameter values, but make sure you explain the reason behind your parameters' choice.

• For each of the image patches in columns 100, 200, 300, ..., 1000, find the top 3 near neighbors⁵ (excluding the original patch itself) using both LSH and linear search. What is the average search time for LSH? What about for linear search?

⁴Dataset and code adopted from Brown University's Greg Shakhnarovich

⁵Sometimes, the function nnlsh may return less than 3 nearest neighbors. You can use a while loop to check that lshlookup returns enough results, or you can manually run the program multiple times until it returns the correct number of neighbors.

• Assuming $\{z_j | 1 \leq j \leq 10\}$ to be the set of image patches considered (i.e., z_j is the image patch in column 100j), $\{x_{ij}\}_{i=1}^3$ to be the approximate near neighbors of z_j found using LSH, and $\{x_{ij}^*\}_{i=1}^3$ to be the (true) top 3 near neighbors of z_j found using linear search, compute the following error measure:

error =
$$\frac{1}{10} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3} d(x_{ij}, z_{j})}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} d(x_{ij}^{*}, z_{j})}$$

Plot the error value as a function of L (for $L = 10, 12, 14, \ldots, 20$, with k = 24). Similarly, plot the error value as a function of k (for k = 16, 18, 20, 22, 24 with L = 10). Briefly comment on the two plots (one sentence per plot would be sufficient).

• Finally, plot the top 10 near neighbors found using the two methods (using the default L=10, k=24 or your alternative choice of parameter values for LSH) for the image patch in column 100, together with the image patch itself. You may find the functions reshape() and mat2gray() useful to convert the matrices to images; you can also use the functions imshow() and subplot() to display the images. How do they compare visually?

What to submit

- (a) Proof
- (b) Proof
- (c) Logical reasoning for why the reported point is an actual (c, λ) -ANN.
- (d) Please upload matlab code and submit a printed copy with the solution.
 - Average search time for LSH and linear search.
 - Plots for error value vs. L and error value vs. K, and brief comments for each plot
 - Plot of 10 nearest neighbors found by the two methods (also include the original image) and brief visual comparison

⁶Same remark, you may sometimes have less that 10 nearest neighbors in your results; you can use the same hacks to bypass this problem.