

1. Consider the following proof
  - a. Property of matrix Q
    - i. *equation  $u^T Q u \geq 0$  prove that the matrix Q is positive semi – definite for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$* 
      1. *more specifically, it is symmetric positive semi – definite (SPSD)*
  - b. Property of matrix Q mean for the standard QP problem
    - i. *The above property prove that QP is a convex function*
  - c. Usefulness of (b)
    - i. Since we are looking for a  $u^*$  that minimize the  $f(x)$ , and since the function is convex, by finding the absolute minima will make you find the answer.
2. Given the standard QP problem, explain what each component represents.
  - a.  $u$ 
    - i. this is the part that has bias and weights that QP solver is trying to optimize.
    - ii. this is useful since this will give us the hyperplane.
  - b.  $Q$ 
    - i.  $q \times q$  matrix that represents coefficients of quadratic term.
    - ii. Useful since this is the one that changes the optimal value  $u$  that we are looking for
  - c.  $p$ 
    - i.  $q \times 1$  vector that represents coefficients of linear term.
    - ii. Same as  $Q$ , this can change the optimal value  $u$  that we are looking for since it is the coefficients
  - d.  $A$ 
    - i.  $q \times 1$  vector that specifies the linear inequality constraints.
    - ii. We use this vector, constructed from this equation  $y_n(w^T x_n + b) \geq 1$ , where  $A$  is equivalent to this part  $y_n(w^T x_n + b)$  that has to be solved with  $c$  vector below in order to get  $u$
  - e.  $c$ 
    - i.  $q \times 1$  vector which normally appear as 1 for each element.
    - ii. Similar to  $A$  vector, it represents 1 from the equation above, looks like this  $[1, 1, 1, \dots, 1]$  and used to solve for  $u$  with  $A$  vector.
3. Consider the dataset, manually solve the optimal hyperplane optimization problem
  - a.  $\min \frac{1}{2} w^T w$  subject to:  $y_n(w^T x_n + b) \geq 1$
  - b.  $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   $y = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ +1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{cases} 1: -b \geq 1 \\ 2: -(-w_2 + b) \geq 1 \\ 3: -2w_1 + b \geq 1 \end{cases}$
  - c. Matrix Notation

$$\text{i. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} w + b * y \geq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{ii. } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ b \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

iii. We know that  $b = -1$  from  $-b \geq 1$

$$1. -(-w_2 + b) \geq 1 \Rightarrow w_2 - b \geq 1 = w_2 + 1 \geq 1 \Rightarrow w_2 \geq 0$$

$$\text{a. } w_2 = 0$$

$$2. -2w_1 + b \geq 1 \Rightarrow -2w_1 - 1 \geq 1 \Rightarrow -2w_1 \geq 2 \Rightarrow w_1 \leq -1$$

$$\text{a. } w_1 = -1$$

$$\text{d. } b = -1, w_1 = -1, w_2 = 0$$

4. SVM using python

a. Use CVXOPT to verify the toy dataset

```

      pcost      dcost      gap      pres      dres
0:  3.2653e-01  1.9592e+00  6e+00  2e+00  4e+00
1:  1.5796e+00  8.5663e-01  7e-01  2e-16  2e-15
2:  1.0195e+00  9.9227e-01  3e-02  2e-16  2e-15
3:  1.0002e+00  9.9992e-01  3e-04  2e-16  1e-15
4:  1.0000e+00  1.0000e+00  3e-06  3e-16  3e-15
5:  1.0000e+00  1.0000e+00  3e-08  0e+00  1e-15
Optimal solution found.
[-1.00e+00]
[ 1.00e+00]
[-1.00e+00]
```

i.

1. Python code is submitted on Mimir

b. From what I tested, increasing dimension cause the runtime cost to increase faster than increasing sample size. These are the two scenario that my computer started to slow down and took a minute to calculate.

i. Sample\_size: 10      Dimension: 20000

1. If you have less than 5000 dimensions, it will calculate the optimal solution quickly.

ii. Sample\_size: 10,000,000      Dimension: 2

1. If you have less than 1,000,000 samples, it will calculate the optimal solution quickly.