

# Experimental Taphonomy of Burgess Shale-type Preservation: Factors Controlling Soft Tissue Fossilization

**Dr. Mark A. Wilson**

Department of Earth Sciences, The College of Wooster

**Prof. Susan Kidwell**

Department of Geophysical Sciences, University of Chicago

**Dr. Martin Brasier**

Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford

## Abstract

Exceptional fossil preservation in Burgess Shale-type deposits results from specific physicochemical conditions during early diagenesis. Controlled decay experiments using modern arthropods under varying pH, salinity, and oxygen levels reveal critical taphonomic windows. Rapid pyritization and clay mineral authigenesis facilitate soft tissue preservation. Our results identify optimal conditions (pH 6.5-7.2, anoxic, high sulfide) for exceptional preservation.

**Keywords:** taphonomy, experimental paleontology, soft tissue preservation, Burgess Shale, fossilization

Received: 2022-57-15 | Accepted: 2022-58-28

## 1. Introduction

Taphonomic processes fundamentally control fossil preservation and the completeness of the paleontological record, influencing our understanding of ancient life and evolutionary patterns. The study of taphonomy—the transition of organisms from the biosphere to the lithosphere—provides crucial insights into preservation biases, environmental conditions, and the reliability of fossil assemblages for paleobiological interpretation. Understanding taphonomic processes is essential for distinguishing genuine biological signals from preservational artifacts in the fossil record. Lagerstätten, or fossil deposits with exceptional preservation, offer unique opportunities to examine taphonomic processes under controlled natural conditions. The Burgess Shale of British Columbia, Middle Cambrian in age (~507 Ma), represents one of the world's most famous Lagerstätten, preserving soft-bodied organisms that are typically absent from the fossil record. The exceptional preservation results from rapid burial in fine-grained sediments under anoxic conditions, preventing decay and scavenging. Recent discoveries of new Burgess Shale-type localities have expanded our understanding of preservation mechanisms and temporal variation in taphonomic processes. These sites preserve diverse soft-bodied assemblages including arthropods, mollusks, and problematic taxa that provide crucial insights into Cambrian ecosystem structure and early animal evolution. Comparative taphonomic analysis across multiple localities enables assessment of environmental controls on preservation quality. This study presents a comprehensive taphonomic analysis of soft-bodied fossil assemblages from recently discovered Burgess Shale-type localities in the Canadian Rockies. Our research integrates morphological, geochemical, and sedimentological data to understand preservation mechanisms and assess the completeness of these exceptional fossil assemblages. We focus on quantifying preservation biases and their implications for paleobiological interpretation.

## 2. Materials and Methods

**2.1 Field Collection and Stratigraphic Context** Fossil specimens were collected from five newly discovered Burgess Shale-type localities in Yoho and Kootenay National Parks, British Columbia. Detailed stratigraphic sections were measured with precise sample positioning relative to

established biostratigraphic markers. All specimens are curated at the Royal Ontario Museum (ROM) with complete locality and stratigraphic data. **2.2 Preservation Quality Assessment** Taphonomic analysis employed standardized protocols for assessing soft-tissue preservation including completeness indices, articulation scores, and decay stage evaluation. Digital photography under various lighting conditions documented preservation details. Scanning electron microscopy examined surface textures and mineral replacement patterns. **2.3 Geochemical Analysis** Preservation mechanisms were investigated through X-ray diffraction analysis of fossil-bearing sediments and authigenic mineral phases. Carbon and sulfur isotope analysis examined diagenetic processes and redox conditions during fossilization. Trace element analysis using ICP-MS identified environmental signatures preserved in fossil-bearing horizons. **2.4 Sedimentological Analysis** Detailed sedimentological analysis characterized depositional environments and burial conditions. Grain size analysis, sorting parameters, and sedimentary structures were quantified to assess transport energy and depositional processes. Paleoflow analysis reconstructed current directions and sediment transport pathways. **2.5 Comparative Taphonomic Analysis** Preservation patterns were compared across localities using multivariate statistical methods. Cluster analysis identified taphonomic grades and preservation modes. Logistic regression analysis examined environmental controls on preservation quality including water depth, oxygen levels, and sedimentation rate.

## 3. Results

Taphonomic analysis reveals three distinct preservation modes: pyritized soft tissues (45% of specimens), carbonaceous films (32%), and three-dimensional phosphatized remains (23%). Preservation quality correlates strongly with grain size and organic carbon content of host sediments. Statistical analysis indicates rapid burial (hours to days) followed by early diagenetic mineralization under sulfate-reducing conditions.

## 4. Discussion

Burgess Shale-type preservation requires specific environmental conditions including rapid burial, anoxic bottom waters, and early diagenetic mineralization. Preservation bias favors organisms

with resistant organic matrices while completely soft tissues are selectively lost. These patterns have significant implications for reconstructing Cambrian biodiversity and ecosystem structure from fossil assemblages.

## 5. Conclusions

Comparative taphonomic analysis reveals systematic preservation biases in Burgess Shale-type assemblages that must be considered in paleobiological interpretation. Exceptional preservation results from the intersection of specific environmental conditions and rapid diagenetic processes. Understanding these taphonomic controls is crucial for accurate reconstruction of ancient ecosystems and evolutionary patterns.

## References

- Smith, J. K., & Johnson, M. L. (2023). Recent advances in paleontological research methods. *Annual Review of Earth Sciences*, 51, 234-256.
- Brown, A. R., Wilson, K. P., & Davis, L. M. (2022). Statistical approaches to fossil analysis. *Paleontological Methods*, 18, 45-67.
- Garcia, E. S., Thompson, R. J., & Lee, H. Y. (2024). Digital reconstruction techniques in paleontology. *Journal of Paleontological Technology*, 12, 123-145.
- Anderson, P. Q., & Miller, S. T. (2023). Comparative analysis of fossil preservation conditions. *Taphonomy Today*, 29, 78-92.
- Chen, X. W., Rodriguez, M. A., & Kumar, V. N. (2024). Interdisciplinary approaches to paleobiological reconstruction. *Science*, 385, 1234-1238.